# Evaluation of Eco-Friendly Insecticides against Major Insect Pests of Cabbage

# V Venkateswarlu, RK Sharma\* and Kirti Sharma

Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012, India

Field experiments in cabbage c.v. Golden acre were laid out during rabi 2009-10 and 2010-11 in the vegetable farm of Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi in Randomised Block Design (RBD) with ten treatments. Each treatment schedule comprised four sprays, except treatment No. 10 which was taken as control. The results showed that in  $T_{o}$  comprising of acetamiprid-acetamiprid-fipronil-spinosad, showed significantly highest percent reduction over control (PROC) of aphid Lipaphis erysimi population (84.65 and 82.92); and (77.88 and 76.04) after 1st spray over two seasons at 3 and 7 DAS respectively. Also acetamiprid in other treatment combination, whether used as 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> spray recoded significantly higher PROC of aphids than any other formulation. Chlorantraniliprole (18.5% SC @10 g a.i./ha) showed highest PROC of diamond back moth, Plutella xylostella (83.65 and 82.08) and cabbage butterfly, Pieris brassicae (84.42 and 84.54) at 3 d after  $3^{rd}$  spray during 2009-10 and 2010-11, respectively in treatment T<sub>4</sub> having acetamiprid-acetamipridchlorantraniliprole-emamectin benzoate with corresponding maximum marketable yield (30.6 and 32.17 ton/ ha). Neem baan, spinosad, emamectin benzoate and chlorantraniliprole were proved safe to natural enemies in cabbage. High efficacy of chlorantraniliprole in T<sub>4</sub> with emamectin benzoate and acetamiprid as other insecticides could be excellent choice in rotational strategy aimed at prolonging their efficacy by delaying the development of resistance due to diverse mode of action. Beauveria bassiana proved significantly superior at 14 DAS as highest PROC in T<sub>e</sub> (64.23 and 71.93) and T<sub>e</sub> (64.37 and 68.94) was recorded during 2009-10 and 2010-11, respectively after 1<sup>st</sup> spray.

Key words: Cabbage, eco-friendly insecticides, Lipaphis erysimi kalt., Plutella xylostella L., Pieris brassicae L., Apanteles plutellae

Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata L.) is one of the popular cultivar of the species of the Family Brassicaceae and is used as a leafy green vegetable. In India, cabbage is cultivated in an area of 0.33 million hectares with an annual production of 7.28 million tonnes. At global level, cabbage is cultivated in an area of 3.12 million hectares producing about 69.68 million tones. China is the world leader in context of cabbage production followed by India and Russia<sup>1</sup>. One of the major constraints of not attaining higher yields of crucifers is the damage caused by insect pests. The major insect pests, which cause maximum yield losses in cabbage are cabbage head borer, Hellula undalis Fab.; mustard aphid, Lipaphis erysimi kalt. and cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae L. at vegetative stage and diamond back moth (DBM), Plutella xylostella L.; cabbage butterfly, Pieris brassicae L.; Leaf webber, Crocidolomia binotalis at curd formation stage. DBM is the most destructive pest of cabbage worldwide and the yield loss up to 52% is reported in India<sup>2</sup>. To mitigate the losses due to these pests, large quantities of pesticides is used in vegetable crops by the farmers<sup>3</sup>. Thus, the intensive and indiscriminate use of pesticides, which is not ecofriendly in nature has changed pest complex and led to many problems like insecticide resistance in insects, development of secondary pests into primary pests, adverse effect on non-target organisms, toxic pesticide residues and health hazards.

In view of the undesirable effects due to unilateral dependence on conventional chemicals, recent advances in research are being directed towards development of safer and effective insecticides i.e. avermectins, microbes, pyrazoles, spinosyns and biopesticides which are relatively safe to natural enemies and reduces pesticide load in environment. It has been reported that these molecules spare good number of coccinellid beetles, spiders and *Chrysoperla*<sup>4</sup>. Considering this, newer pest management schedules comprising insecticides/biopesticides of new groups having different mode of action were evaluated against major insect pests of cabbage.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: rksharma57@gmail.com

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted with cabbage variety 'Golden Acre' in Randomized Block Design in the experimental field of Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi during *rabi* seasons of 2009-10 and 2010-11.

The crop was raised with appropriate agronomic practices with plot size of 5m×5m having row to row and plant to plant spacing of 60 cm and 45 cm respectively. There were 10 treatments replicated thrice, each treatment comprised four sprays, I<sup>st</sup> spray was given 35 d after transplanting and thereafter at 15 d intervals. As per following:

Treat-	First	Second spraying	Third	Fourth
ment	spraying		spraying	spraying
T1	Neem baan EC 1500 ppm	Neem baan EC 1500 ppm	<i>M. anisopliae</i> 1% WP	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG
T2	Vermiwash	Vermiwash	Fipronil 5%	Chlorantraniliprole
	@ 1:1dilution	@ 1:1dilution	EC	18.5% SC
Т3	Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilutions	Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilutions	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	Spinosad 45% SC
T4	Acetamiprid 20% SP	Acetamiprid 20% SP	Chlorantranili- prole 18.5% SC	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG
Т5	<i>B. bassiana</i>	<i>B. bassiana</i>	<i>M.anisopliae</i>	Chlorantraniliprole
	1% WP	1% WP	1% WP	18.5% SC
Т6	Neem baan	Neem baan	<i>B. bassiana</i>	Spinosad
	EC 1500 ppm	EC 1500 ppm	1% WP	45% SC
Τ7	<i>B. bassiana</i> 1% WP	<i>B. bassiana</i> 1% WP	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC
Т8	Acetamiprid	Acetamiprid	Spinosad	Emamectin
	20% SP	20% SP	45% SC	benzoate 5% SG
Т9	Acetamiprid	Acetamiprid	Fipronil	Spinosad
	20% SP	20% SP	5% EC	45% SC
T10	Control			

Neem baan EC (1500 ppm) @ 3 ml/L, Vermiwash@ 1:1 dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilutions, Acetamiprid 20% SP @ 20 g ai/ha, *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1% WP @ 2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@ 75 g ai/ha, *Beauveria bassiana* 1% @2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@ 50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @10 g ai/ha, Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 10 g ai/ha.

Observations on population of major insect pests were recorded in each plot one d before spraying as pretreatment count. Post treatment counts were taken at 3, 7, 14 d after each spray and 14<sup>th</sup> d count formed the pretreatment count for subsequent spraying. Percent reduction over control (PROC) in pest population was calculated by modified Abbott's formula<sup>5</sup>. Data obtained from all observations was subjected to OPSTAT and MS office excel sheet for statistical analysis after required transformation. The yield per plot was extrapolated into yield per ha.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Efficacy of treatment schedules against aphid, L. erysimi (Kalt): Only one species of aphid i.e. L. erysimi was observed in the field. The results (Table 1) revealed that reduction in aphid population in all the treatments was noticed 3rd and 7th d after first spray compared to untreated check during both the rabi seasons. Three d after first spray, the higher reduction of aphid population was obtained in T<sub>a</sub> (84.65 and 82.92%),  $T_{8}$  (81.77 and 82.61%) and  $T_{4}$  (79.41 and 78.15%) where in acetamiprid was common in all of them. The next best treatments were  $T_1$  (50.88 and 51.19%) and  $T_6$  (47.29 and 55.64%) where in Neem baan was common in both of them.  $T_2$  (46.73 and 47.44%) was at par with  $T_4$  and  $T_6$ .  $T_5$  with *B. bassiana* (18.35 and 19.67%) and T<sub>7</sub> also with *B. bassiana* (18.89 and 18.37%) were least effective compared to the other treatments. Treatments T<sub>a</sub>,  $T_a$  and  $T_d$  did not differ significantly from each other as acetamiprid was common in all of them. The values in parenthesis represent data for first and second year respectively. At seven d after first spraying,  $T_{\alpha}$  (77.88 and 76.04%),  $T_{4}$  (75.32 and 76.66%) and  $T_{8}$  (72.62 and 75.45%) were at par and most effective treatments while  $T_{5}$  (37.91 and 45.98%) and  $T_7$  (30.53 and 44.19%) were second effective followed by T<sub>1</sub> (29.88 and 31.65%), T<sub>e</sub> (23.73 and 33.80 %). T<sub>2</sub>(19.98 and 23.44%) and T<sub>3</sub>(19.05 and 19.12%) were the least effective treatments. However, on 14th d after first spray,  $T_{5}$  (64.23 and 71.93%) and  $T_{7}$  (64.37 and 68.94%) were at par and most effective treatments having Beauveria in both of them, while  $T_{a}$  (48.46 and 47.40 %) and  $T_{a}$  (38.55 and 35.12%) were second effective treatments followed by  $T_4$  (24.08 and 22.57%),  $T_6$  (21.44 and 24.75%) and,  $T_1$  (18.73 and 20.81%), T<sub>2</sub> (29.62 and 16.86 %). T<sub>3</sub> again was least effective treatment, giving only 14.38 and 14.84 PROC during the consecutive years.

Three d after the second spray (Table 2), the highest aphid population reduction was again obtained in  $T_4$  (84.78 and 78.34 %),  $T_8$  (84.28 and 81.82%) and followed by  $T_9$  (80.39 and 83.51 %) as acetamiprid was common in all of them.  $T_6$  with Neem baan (62.35 and 63.42 %) and  $T_1$  again with Neem baan (55.29 and 53.82%) having azadiractin were at par and second effective treatments followed by  $T_2$  with vermiwash 1:1 (48.39 and 45.58 %),  $T_3$  with vermiwash

#### 174 V Venkateswarlu et al.

Table 1. Effect of different treatments against aphid, L. erysimi (Kalt) on cabbage after 1st spray in rabi 2009-2010 and 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14D/	AS		
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E. benzoate	40.00	39.17	50.88 (45.53)°	51.19 (45.71) <sup>cd</sup>	29.88 (33.14) <sup>d</sup>	31.65 (34.25)⁰	18.73 (25.58) <sup>ef</sup>	20.81 (27.10) <sup>e</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	35.93	35.60	46.73 (43.14)⁰	47.44 (43.55) <sup>d</sup>	19.98 (26.51) <sup>ef</sup>	23.44 (28.97) <sup>d</sup>	29.62 (32.94) <sup>d</sup>	16.86 (24.26) <sup>f</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash <sup>*</sup> 1:4 - E. benzoate-Spinosad	41.33	44.33	13.46 (21.52) <sup>e</sup>	17.15 (24.47) <sup>e</sup>	19.05 (25.78) <sup>f</sup>	19.12 (25.94) <sup>e</sup>	14.38 (22.17) <sup>f</sup>	14.84 (22.66) <sup>f</sup>		
T <sub>4</sub>	Acetamiprid' -Chlorantraniliprole- E. benzoate	28.60	34.00	79.41 (63.08) <sup>b</sup>	78.15 (62.23)⁵	75.32 (60.24) <sup>ab</sup>	76.66 (61.14)ª	24.08 (29.28) <sup>de</sup>	22.57 (28.34) <sup>de</sup>		
T <sub>5</sub>	B.bassiana'-M. anisopliae- chlorantraniliprole	39.00	41.63	18.35 (25.34) <sup>d</sup>	19.67 (26.33) <sup>e</sup>	37.91 (38.02)⁰	45.98 (42.71) <sup>ь</sup>	64.23 (53.30)ª	71.93 (58.04)ª		
Т <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan' - B. bassiana- Spinosad	35.80	41.27	47.29 (43.47) <sup>c</sup>	55.64 (48.26) <sup>c</sup>	23.73 (29.13) <sup>e</sup>	33.80 (35.54)°	21.44 (27.58) <sup>e</sup>	24.75 (29.82) <sup>d</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	B.bassiana' – E. benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	25.67	32.77	18.89 (25.71) <sup>d</sup>	18.37 (25.33) <sup>e</sup>	30.53 (33.54)⁴	44.19 (41.68) <sup>b</sup>	64.37 (53.39 <sup>)a</sup>	68.94 (56.16)ª		
Т <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid* - Spinosad- E. benzoate	41.00	44.00	81.77 (64.82) <sup>ab</sup>	82.61 (65.47)ª	72.62 (58.49)⁵	75.45 (60.33)ª	38.55 (38.39)°	35.12 (36.36)⁰		
Τ <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid* -Fipronil -Spinosad	31.67	40.00	84.65 (67.02)a	82.92 (65.62)ª	77.88 (61.98)ª	76.04 (60.73)ª	48.46 (44.14) <sup>b</sup>	47.40 (43.53) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	40.67	44.38		-	-	-	-	-		
	S.Em. (±)			1.101	1.058	1.086	0.462	1.248	0.811		
	CD (P = 0.05)			3.301	3.173	3.258	1.385	3.743	2.433		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20% SP@ 20 g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1 dilution, Vermiwash @ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP @ 2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC @ 75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP @ 2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC @ 50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @ 10 g.ai /ha, E. benzoate 5 sg @ 10 g ai./ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values. Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

1:4 (22.16 and 18.77 %). However,  $T_5$  (16.89 and 19.10 %) and  $T_7$  with *B. bassiana* (14.62 and 19.42 %) were less effective treatments compared to others during 2009-10 and 2010-11 respectively. At seven d after second spraying, higher reduction was recorded in  $T_8$  (70.71 and 68.6 %),  $T_9$  (63.74 and 70.01 %) and  $T_4$  (64.81 and 58.67 %). These were followed by  $T_5$  (51.28 and 46.89 %) and  $T_7$ (44.54 and 47.53 %). On 14<sup>th</sup> d after second spray results were similar to that after 14<sup>th</sup> days of first spray as *B. bassiana* recorded significantly different from all other treatments. Maximum reduction of aphid population were obtained in  $T_5$  (66.71 and 63.68 %) and  $T_7$  (61.90 and 69.72 %).  $T_3$  treatment schedule was least effective giving only 20.15 and 21.87 per cent reduction during the consecutive years.

Third and fourth sprayings were taken against DBM and *Pieris*. However, data on aphid population was also recorded after 3<sup>rd</sup> spray.

The above results are in agreement with the findings, who reported that neonicotinoids proved most effective in suppressing the aphid population on cabbage<sup>6</sup>. In our findings also acetamiprid was found significantly effective upto 7 d after spray. The neem seed extracts were highly deterrent to Beauveria brassicae and azadirachtin disrupted the aphid feeding on cabbage<sup>7</sup>. In our investigation efficacy of Neem baan was next to acetamiprid. On the 14th d after 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> spray highest PROC was recorded with *B*. bassiana. It suggests the use of B. bassiana as prophylactic treatment against aphids. Application of vermicompost (2.5 t/ha) with six sprays of vermiwash 1:1 registered significantly lower thrips and mite mean population of 0.35 and 0.64 per leaf, respectively, being at par with the standard check<sup>8</sup>. Three application of neem seed kernel extract were adequate to reduce population of P. xylostella on cabbage9. Our findings also supported the reduction of aphid population due to the spray of vermiwash (1:1) but the efficacy was moderate. It warrants the use of vermiwash

## Pestic Res J 23(2): 172-180 (2011)

as potential component of aphid control in organic farming as well as one of the tool in IPM programme in cabbage. Quick effect of acetamiprid, medium efficacy of vermiwash or neem and late efficacy of *Beauveria* suggests their use against aphid in an integrated way in cabbage.

Efficacy of treatment schedules against diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* L.: First two sprays were undertaken against sucking insect pests while 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> spray against lepidopteran pests. Three d after third spray, the maximum reduction of DBM population was obtained in T<sub>4</sub> with chlorantraniliprole (83.65 and 82.08 %), T<sub>2</sub> with fipronil (82.37 and 81.24 %), T<sub>9</sub> again with fipronil (81.11 and 81.25 %), T<sub>8</sub> with spinosad (76.84 and 77.13 %), T<sub>7</sub> with emamectin benzoate (75.59 and 75.56 %), T<sub>3</sub> with emamectin benzoate (75.41 and 73.75 %). T<sub>6</sub> with *B. bassiana* (34.04 and 30.92 %) and T<sub>5</sub> with *Metarhizium anisopliae* (32.10 and 28.48 %) were at par, however T<sub>1</sub> with *M. anisopliae* (21.45 and 20.16 %) was least effective. At seven d after third spraying, treatments T<sub>4</sub> with chlorantraniliprole (82.10 and 81.01 %) was significant and most effective. T<sub>2</sub> with fipronil (78.37and 77.10 %), T<sub>7</sub> with emamectin benzoate (76.36 and 73.19 %) and T<sub>9</sub> with fipronil (75.51 and 74.54 %) were at par. T<sub>8</sub>(73.91 and 72.01 %) and T<sub>3</sub>(73.56 and 70.64 %) were at par. T<sub>5</sub>(59.34 and 54.84 %), T<sub>6</sub>(58.00 and 56.49 %) and T<sub>1</sub>(57.15 and 53.46 %) were at par and moderately effective. At 14 d after third spraying, treatment T<sub>4</sub>(81.34%) was most effective followed by T<sub>9</sub>(80.05%), T<sub>2</sub>(79.21%), T<sub>8</sub>(75.22%), T<sub>7</sub>(73.64%) while T<sub>5</sub>(67.2%), T<sub>6</sub>(66.03%), T1 (65.86%) were at par and second effective during 2009-10. During 2010-11 T<sub>4</sub>(80.11 %), T<sub>2</sub>(78.76%), T<sub>9</sub>(79.46%) were at par with and most effective. The efficacy of chlorantraniliprole continued till 14<sup>th</sup> days after spray. T<sub>8</sub>(76.95 %), T<sub>7</sub>(74.96 %) were at par

Three d after fourth spray, the higher population reduction was obtained in  $T_5$  (86.40%),  $T_2$  (85.84%) and  $T_7$  (84.38%) all having chlorantraniliprole.  $T_9$  (81.12%),  $T_6$  both with spinosad (80.40%) were at par and second effective

Table 2. Effect of different treatments against aphid, L. erysimi (Kalt) on cabbage after 2<sup>nd</sup> spray in rabi 2009-2010 and 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14D/	AS		
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan' – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E. benzoate	66.51	64.84	55.29 (48.06) <sup>d</sup>	53.82 (47.22) <sup>d</sup>	30.60 (33.59) <sup>e</sup>	38.68 (38.47) <sup>d</sup>	27.85 (31.85) <sup>e</sup>	29.43 (32.86) <sup>d</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	63.05	65.71	48.39 (44.10) <sup>e</sup>	45.58 (42.48) <sup>e</sup>	28.62 (32.33) <sup>ef</sup>	24.13 (29.41) <sup>e</sup>	25.88 (30.52) <sup>ef</sup>	26.37 (30.91) <sup>de</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:4 - E. benzoate-Spinosad	63.16	70.49	22.16 (28.07) <sup>f</sup>	18.77 (25.64) <sup>f</sup>	24.82 (29.88) <sup>f</sup>	19.95 (26.45) <sup>e</sup>	20.15 (26.69) <sup>f</sup>	21.87 (27.87) <sup>f</sup>		
T <sub>4</sub>	Acetamiprid <sup>*</sup> -Chlorantraniliprole- E. benzoate	44.04	42.40	84.78 (67.10)ª	78.34 (62.31) <sup>b</sup>	64.81 (53.66) <sup>b</sup>	58.67 (50.02) <sup>b</sup>	48.87 (44.37) <sup>cd</sup>	45.35 (42.35)⁰		
Т <sub>5</sub>	B. bassiana'-M. anisopliae- chlorantraniliprole	28.29	65.11	16.89 (24.26) <sup>g</sup>	19.10 (25.86) <sup>f</sup>	51.28 (45.76)⁰	46.89 (43.24) <sup>c</sup>	66.71 (54.80)ª	63.68 (52.97) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> - <i>B. bassiana</i> - Spinosad	55.93	59.27	62.35 (52.18)⁰	63.42 (52.82) <sup>c</sup>	33.92 (35.64) <sup>e</sup>	35.34 (36.47) <sup>d</sup>	30.28 (33.31) <sup>e</sup>	24.90 (29.94) <sup>ef</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	B. bassiana <sup>*</sup> – E. benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	16.03	54.64	14.62 (22.45) <sup>g</sup>	19.42 (26.07) <sup>f</sup>	44.54 (41.87) <sup>d</sup>	47.53 (43.56)⁰	61.90 (51.92) <sup>ab</sup>	69.72 (56.65)ª		
Т <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid* - Spinosad E. benzoate	51.59	44.59	84.28 (66.68) <sup>a</sup>	81.82 (64.80) <sup>ab</sup>	70.71 (57.27)ª	68.60 (55.96)ª	55.28 (48.06) <sup>bc</sup>	46.76 (43.16)⁰		
T <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid* -Fipronil -Spinosad	34.03	41.12	80.39 (63.76) <sup>b</sup>	83.51 (66.09)ª	63.74 (53.02) <sup>b</sup>	70.01 (56.84)ª	46.43 (42.97) <sup>d</sup>	45.15 (42.24)⁰		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	81.93	86.51		-	-	-	-	-		
	S.Em. (±)			0.836	1.159	1.108	1.308	1.381	0.778		
	CD (P = 0.05)			2.508	3.476	3.324	3.921	4.142	2.335		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20%SP@20g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP@2.5kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP@2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai /ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai./ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values.'Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

 Table 3. Effect of different treatments against daimond back moth, Plutella xylostella on cabbage after 3rd spray in rabi 2009-2010, 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14DA	٨S		
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E. benzoate	6.33	5.67	21.45 (27.49)°	20.16 (26.09) <sup>b</sup>	57.15 (49.14) <sup>c</sup>	53.46 (47.03) <sup>c</sup>	65.86 (54.30) <sup>c</sup>	64.98 (53.78) <sup>c</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	6.67	5.87	82.37 (65.21)ª	81.24 (64.37)ª	78.37 (62.32) <sup>ab</sup>	77.10 (61.61) <sup>ab</sup>	79.21 (62.91) <sup>ab</sup>	78.76 (62.60)ª		
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:4 - E. benzoate-Spinosad	6.80	6.07	75.41 (60.37)ª	73.75 (59.49)ª	73.56 (59.19)⁵	70.64 (57.30) <sup>b</sup>	71.11 (57.62) <sup>bc</sup>	67.89 (55.59) <sup>bc</sup>		
T4	Acetamiprid - Chlorantraniliprole - E. benzoate	5.87	5.53	83.65 (66.19)ª	82.08 (65.09)ª	82.10 (65.02)ª	81.01 (64.22)ª	81.34 (64.72)ª	80.11 (63.86)ª		
Т <sub>5</sub>	B. bassiana'- M. anisopliae-Chlorantraniliprole	6.20	5.67	32.10 (34.46) <sup>b</sup>	28.48 (32.21) <sup>b</sup>	59.34 (50.43) <sup>c</sup>	54.84 (47.83) <sup>c</sup>	67.28 (55.15)⁰	66.50 (54.67) <sup>c</sup>		
Т <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> - <i>B. bassiana</i> - Spinosad	6.53	5.77	34.04 (35.68)⁵	30.92 (33.70)⁵	58.00 (49.64)°	56.49 (48.79)⁰	66.03 (54.40) <sup>c</sup>	65.79 (54.28) <sup>c</sup>		
Т <sub>7</sub>	B. bassiana* -E. benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	5.93	6.20	75.59 (60.53)ª	75.56 (60.49)ª	76.36 (61.11) <sup>ab</sup>	73.19 (58.84) <sup>ab</sup>	73.64 (59.23) <sup>abc</sup>	74.96 (60.06) <sup>abc</sup>		
Т <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid* - Spinosad E. benzoate	6.40	5.97	76.84 (61.42)ª	77.13 (61.47)ª	73.91 (59.39)⁵	72.01 (58.15) <sup>ab</sup>	75.22 (60.29) <sup>abc</sup>	76.95 (61.40) <sup>ab</sup>		
Т <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid* -Fipronil -Spinosad	6.50	6.00	81.11 (64.53)ª	81.25 (64.58)ª(6	75.51 60.41) ª⁵(59	74.54 9.89) <sup>ab</sup>	80.05 (63.70) <sup>ab</sup>	79.46 (63.34)ª		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	6.93	6.27	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	S.Em. (±)			2.089	2.541	1.707	2.116	2.144	2.161		
	CD (P = 0.05)			6.263	7.619	5.111	6.345	6.428	6.479		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20% SP@20 g/ha , Vermiwash@ 1:1dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai/ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai./ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values. Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

treatments.  $T_1$  (74.29%),  $T_4$  (70.05%) and  $T_8$  all three with emamectin benzoate (69.27%) during 2009-10 were moderately effective. Higher the population reduction was obtained in  $T_5$  (84.35 %),  $T_2$  (84.02%) and  $T_7$  (83.12 %) during 2010-11, which were at par and all most effective treatments. The treatments  $T_{\alpha}$  (79.43 %),  $T_{\beta}$  (79.73 %) and T<sub>3</sub> (80.20%) all with spinosad were at par and second effective treatments. Seven d after fourth spraying, T<sub>7</sub> (84.04%) was significantly superior and most effective treatment,  $T_7$  (84.38%) and  $T_2$  (85.84%) were at par with each other and second effective treatments.  $T_5$  (80.77%) and  $T_2(79.07\%)$  were at par and, followed by  $T_6(76.58\%)$ ,  $T_{3}$  (74.11%) and  $T_{8}$  (61.54%) during 2009-10.  $T_{7}$  (82.08%),  $T_{2}$  (81.06%),  $T_{3}$  (76.92 %),  $T_{5}$  (80.20%) were at par and effective treatments during 2010-11 supremacy of chlorantriniprole continued upto 7 days also. Fourteen d after 4<sup>th</sup> spray, the treatments  $T_7$  (75.25 and 76.63 %),  $T_5$ (75.05 and 76.81 %) and  $\mathrm{T_2}(72.15$  and 75.85 %) were most effective treatments and at par with each other. Treatment schedules T<sub>9</sub> (65.35 and 66.05 %), T<sub>6</sub> (63.55 and 64.71 %) and T<sub>3</sub> (62.29 and 65.66 %), were second effective treatments and were at par followed by T<sub>4</sub> (51.91 and 47.47 %), T<sub>8</sub> (50.85 and 49.77 %) and T<sub>1</sub> (46.43 and 48.41 %) (Table 4).

Our results suggest that chlorantraniliprole was most effective insecticide both after 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> spray and its efficacy continued upto 14 days. The next best treatment was spinosad. It appears that relevant literature of chlorantrinipole is not available. It was for the first time registered at Phillippines in 2007 to protect cabbage, aubergines, beans and rice<sup>10</sup>. It was also recommended in Italy for control of the codling moth (*Cydia pomonella*)<sup>11</sup>. Low toxicity of spinosad to most beneficial insects and no phytotoxicity has been demonstrated with this product<sup>12</sup>. Spinosad has a reduced risk to humans compared to

### Pestic Res J 23(2): 172-180 (2011)

Table 4. Effect of different treatments against daimond back moth, Plutella xylostella on cabbage after 4th spray in rabi 2009-2010, 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14D/	AS		
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E.benzoate	8.23	8.80	74.29 (59.57) <sup>e</sup>	73.50 (59.15) <sup>cd</sup>	72.76 (58.57) <sup>e</sup>	71.18 (57.59) <sup>bcd</sup>	46.43 (42.97) <sup>d</sup>	48.41 (44.11)⁰		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	7.78	7.63	85.84 (67.93)ª	84.02 (66.52)ª	79.01 (62.77) <sup>bc</sup>	81.06 (64.45) <sup>ab</sup>	72.15 (58.18)ª	75.85 (60.64)ª		
Τ <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash <sup>*</sup> 1:4 - E.benzoate- Spinosad	7.33	8.23	77.36 (61.62) <sup>de</sup>	80.20 (63.62) <sup>ab</sup>	74.11 (59.45) <sup>de</sup>	76.91 (61.32) <sup>abcd</sup>	62.29 (52.15)⁵	65.66 (54.17) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>4</sub>	Acetamiprid'-Chlorantraniliprole- E.benzoate	6.31	7.77	70.05 (56.85) <sup>f</sup>	74.01 (59.38) <sup>bcd</sup>	67.56 (55.31) <sup>f</sup>	70.94 (57.41) <sup>cd</sup>	51.91 (46.12)⁰	47.47 (43.57) <sup>c</sup>		
Т <sub>5</sub>	B.bassiana' - M. anisopliae-Chlorantraniliprole	8.07	8.60	86.40 (68.40)ª	84.35 (66.74)ª	80.77 (64.03) <sup>b</sup>	81.20 (64.35) <sup>abc</sup>	75.05 (60.07)ª	76.81 (61.26)ª		
Т <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan - <i>B.bassiana</i> - Spinosad	8.77	8.10	80.40 (63.78) <sup>cd</sup>	79.73 (63.30) <sup>abc</sup>	76.58 (61.12) <sup>cd</sup>	76.42 (61.32) <sup>abcd</sup>	63.55 (52.89) <sup>b</sup>	64.71 (53.60) <sup>ь</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	B.bassiana* -E.benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	6.89	8.32	84.38 (66.76) <sup>ab</sup>	83.12 (65.85)ª	84.04 (66.55)ª	82.08 (65.01)ª	75.25 (60.22)ª	76.63 (61.13)ª		
Т <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid' - Spinosad E.benzoate	7.30	8.00	69.27 (56.42) <sup>f</sup>	72.02 (58.14) <sup>d</sup>	71.92 (58.04) <sup>e</sup>	70.29 (57.22) <sup>d</sup>	50.85 (45.51) <sup>cd</sup>	49.77 (44.89)°		
T <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid' -Fipronil -Spinosad	7.80	7.83	81.12 (64.28) <sup>bc</sup>	79.43 (63.10) <sup>abc</sup>	61.54 (51.70) <sup>g</sup>	49.13 (44.52) <sup>e</sup>	65.35 (53.97)⁵	66.05 (54.39) <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	11.41	10.03	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	S.Em. (±)			0.857	1.431	0.737	2.347	1.047	1.023		
	CD (P = 0.05)			2.569	4.291	2.212	7.038	3.140	3.069		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20%SP@20g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai/ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai/ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values. \*Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

synthetic insecticides and excellent environment profile with reduced risk to fish, birds, earthworms and mammals<sup>13</sup>. Spinosad is used for the control of insect pests on fruit and vegetable crops, cotton, tree and vine crops and ornamentals on a global basis. In our findings spinosad was superior to all the insecticides tested except chlorantraniliprole. However at certain times i.e. 3DAS after 3<sup>rd</sup> spray it was at par with chlorantraniliprole and at certain occasions after 4th spray. Foliar spray of emamectin benzoate @ 10 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and 8.75 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> doses were effective against P. xylostella L. in reducing the larval population by 80.15 and 79.23; 86.23 and 81.33%, respectively after 3 and 7 d after spray<sup>14</sup>. Eight isolates of entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae indigenous to Benin were screened for virulence against larvae of Diamond backmoth (DBM) Plutella xylostella. The isolate Bba5653 caused 94% mortality of DBM larvae and the mortality significantly higher than any isolates<sup>15</sup>.

Efficacy against cabbage butterfly, P. brassicae L .: Three d after third spray, the maximum PROC of cabbage butterfly larval population was obtained in  $T_4$  with chlorantraniliprole (84.42 and 84.54 %) which was most effective treatment and at par T<sub>2</sub> with fipronil (82.57 and 80.98 %) and T<sub>2</sub> with fipronil (80.91 and 81.33 %). T, with emamectin benzoate (72.28 and 77.51 %) was the second effective treatment. Seven d after third spray, the highest PROC of cabbage butterfly larval population was again obtained in  $T_4$  (79.54 and 80.12 %) followed by  $T_{2}$  (71.06 and 71.94 %) and  $T_{7}$ (71.83 and 73.71%). On 14<sup>th</sup> d after third spraying, the maximum reduction of cabbage butterfly larval population was obtained in  $T_4$  (79.39%) and at par with  $T_8$  (76.60 %) and T<sub>2</sub> (75.56 %) during 2009-10. In the year 2010-11, the highest reduction of cabbage butterfly larval population were obtained in  $T_4$  (81.30 %) and  $T_7$  (79.92 %) were most effective treatments and  $T_{8}$  (75.35 %) were at par.  $T_{3}$  (71.23 %),  $T_{9}$  (70.37 %) and  $T_{6}$  (68.75 %) were at par and second effective treatments.  $T_1$  (66.31 %),  $T_4$  (65.48%) and  $T_5$ 

#### 178 V Venkateswarlu et al.

Table 5. Effect of different treatments against cabbage butterfly, P. brassicae on cabbage after 3rd spray in rabi 2009-2010 and 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14DAS			
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E. benzoate	10.51	10.40	19.65 (26.32) <sup>d</sup>	17.40 (24.64) <sup>d</sup>	45.01 (42.16) <sup>e</sup>	45.40 (42.33) <sup>d</sup>	63.48 (52.85) <sup>e</sup>	66.31 (54.56) <sup>c</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash* 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	12.23	10.27	82.57 (65.38)ª	80.98 (64.23) <sup>ab</sup>	71.06 (57.49) <sup>ь</sup>	71.94 (58.08) <sup>ab</sup>	75.56 (60.41) <sup>ab</sup>	65.48 (54.05)°		
Τ <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash <sup>*</sup> 1:4 - E. benzoate-Spinosad	9.81	9.68	63.05 (52.62) <sup>c</sup>	60.10 (50.87) <sup>c</sup>	59.95 (50.78)º	72.06 (58.20) <sup>ab</sup>	74.38 (59.65) <sup>bc</sup>	71.23 (57.61) <sup>bc</sup>		
T <sub>4</sub>	Acetamiprid'-Chlorantraniliprole- E. benzoate	11.46	10.30	84.42 (66.79)ª	84.54 (67.09)ª	79.54 (63.16)ª	80.12 (63.58)ª	79.39 (63.06)ª	81.30 (64.58)ª		
Т <sub>5</sub>	B. bassiana'-M. anisopliae-Chlorantraniliprole	11.21	10.25	18.89 (25.63) <sup>d</sup>	16.96 (24.03) <sup>d</sup>	53.30 (46.92) <sup>d</sup>	48.54 (44.17)₫	67.19 (55.08) <sup>de</sup>	64.35 (53.38)⁰		
Т <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan *- B. bassiana- Spinosad	10.81	10.72	17.99 (24.76) <sup>d</sup>	14.94 (22.49) <sup>d</sup>	45.53 (42.46) <sup>e</sup>	49.85 (44.95)₫	63.80 (53.05) <sup>e</sup>	68.75 (56.05) <sup>bc</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	B. bassiana' -E. benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	11.10	9.88	72.28 (58.28) <sup>b</sup>	77.51 (61.79)⁵	71.83 (58.02) <sup>b</sup>	73.71 (59.19) <sup>ab</sup>	74.80 (59.94)⁵	79.92 (63.57)ª		
Т <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid' - Spinosad E. benzoate	9.81	10.09	68.47 (55.91) <sup>bc</sup>	64.78 (53.64) <sup>c</sup>	58.94 (50.20) <sup>c</sup>	60.61 (51.16)⁰	76.60 (61.11) <sup>ab</sup>	75.35 (60.55) <sup>ab</sup>		
T <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid' -Fipronil -Spinosad	11.58	10.91	80.91 (64.13)ª	81.33 (64.49) <sup>ab</sup>	69.52 (56.56) <sup>b</sup>	70.43 (57.09) <sup>bc</sup>	69.99 (56.85) <sup>cd</sup>	70.37 (57.09) <sup>bc</sup>		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	12.39	10.80		-	-	-	-	-		
	S.Em. (±)			1.571	1.712	0.945	2.068	0.959	1.698		
	CD (P = 0.05)			4.711	5.134	2.834	6.202	2.875	5.093		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20% SP@20 g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1 dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai/ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai/ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values. Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

(64.35%) were at par and less effective compared to the other treatments (Table 5).

Three d after fourth spray the maximum reduction of cabbage butterfly larval population was obtained in T<sub>5</sub> (87.63 %) and was the most effective treatment.  $T_{5}$ ,  $T_{7}$  (83.86 %) and T<sub>2</sub> (83.04 %) all three with chlorantraniliprole were at par; T<sub>3</sub> (73.45 %), T<sub>a</sub> (73.69%), T<sub>6</sub> (73.55 %) all three with spinosad were at par during 2009-10. Almost similar results trend followed during 2010-11. Chlorantraniliprole was also found effective on 7th d after fourth spray. T<sub>5</sub> (85.29 %) was most effective followed by  $T_7$  (79.60 %) and  $T_2$  (78.73 %) in first year. In second year T<sub>2</sub> (78.60%) was most effective treatment followed by  $T_7(76.77 \text{ \%})$  and  $T_5(75.92 \text{\%})$ . At 14 d after 4<sup>th</sup> spraying, the maximum reduction of cabbage butterfly larval population was obtained in first year with T<sub>5</sub> (79.16 %),  $T_7$  (68.54 %) and  $T_2$  (67.57%), the efficacy of chlorantraniliprole persisted even after 14 DAS. Spinosad as T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> was next effective treatment. In second year,  $T_7$  (75.88 %) and  $T_2$  (73.90 %) were most effective treatments (Table 6).

Chlorantraniliprole is used to protect cabbage, aubergines, beans and rice. It exhibits a distinguishing insecticidal activity on the level of 0.01-0.06 ppm, towards the most of species of Lepidoptera order<sup>10</sup>. Four to six applications of spinosad @ 6-96 g/ha were made at 6-10 intervals from 3-7 weeks post transplanting to harvest, it have a high level of control of DBM , P. brassicae and leaf miner larvae<sup>16</sup>. Emamectin benzoate (Proclaim 5% SG) is both a stomach and contact insecticide used primarily for the control of lepidopteran pests on cole crops and leaf vegetables<sup>17</sup>. Shortly after exposure, the caterpillar stopped feeding and became irreversibly paralyzed and died within three to four days<sup>18</sup>. Our findings suggest that chlorantraniliprole was most effective against Pieris which was closely followed by spinosad. Alternate spray of spinosad and chlorantraniliprole could be an answer to manage DBM and Pieris in cabbage.

Effect on yield: Based on yield performance, all the insecticides treated plots were significantly different from

## Pestic Res J 23(2): 172-180 (2011)

Table 6. Effect of different treatments against cabbage butterfly, P. brassicae on cabbage after 4th spray in rabi 2009-2010 and 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	Per cent reduction over control									
		Pre-treatment		3 DAS		7 DAS		14D	AS		
		2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11	2009-10	2010-11		
T <sub>1</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – M. anisopliae -E. benzoate	13.81	12.45	68.86	70.84	61.22	62.15	54.39	50.83		
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermiwash 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	12.18	10.90	(56.15)° 83.04	(57.35) <sup>cd</sup> 82.77	(51.52) <sup>fg</sup> 78.73	(52.06) <sup>cd</sup> 78.60	(47.54) <sup>e</sup> 67.57	(45.50)° 73.90		
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash <sup>*</sup> 1:4 - E. benzoate-Spinosad	14.09	10.90	(65.78) <sup>ab</sup> 73.45	(65.51) <sup>ab</sup> 68.29	(62.60) <sup>b</sup> 74.97	(62.58) <sup>a</sup> 66.23	(55.32) <sup>b</sup> 58.28	(59.69) <sup>a</sup> 64.32		
T <sub>4</sub>	Acetamiprid <sup>*</sup> -Chlorantraniliprole- E. benzoate	12.91	11.62	(59.04) <sup>bc</sup> 74.28	(55.88) <sup>cd</sup> 74.99	(60.04) <sup>bc</sup> 55.92	(54.53) <sup>bcd</sup> 60.37	(49.79) <sup>d</sup> 44.24	(53.43) <sup>abc</sup> 71.78		
T <sub>5</sub>	B. bassiana -M. anisopliae-Chlorantraniliprole	14.76	12.59	(59.77) <sup>bc</sup> 87.63	(60.23) <sup>bc</sup> 84.84	(48.43) <sup>9</sup> 85.29	(51.02) <sup>cd</sup> 75.92	(41.71) <sup>f</sup> 79.16	(58.50) <sup>ab</sup> 70.98		
Т <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan'- <i>B. bassiana</i> - Spinosad	14.14	12.45	(69.45)ª 73.55	(67.17)ª 72.63	(67.56)ª 73.01	(61.30) <sup>ab</sup> 67.11	(62.89)ª 58.49	(57.80) <sup>ab</sup> 63.56		
T <sub>7</sub>	B. bassiana <sup>*</sup> -E. benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	10.53	9.46	(59.39) <sup>bc</sup> 83.86	(58.53) <sup>cd</sup> 82.36	(58.75) <sup>cd</sup> 79.60	(55.10) <sup>bcd</sup> 76.77	(49.92) <sup>d</sup> 68.54	(52.98) <sup>abc</sup> 75.88		
T <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid - Spinosad- E. benzoate	14.67	11.29	(66.56)ª 67.54	(65.32) <sup>ab</sup> 64.79	(63.22) <sup>b</sup> 65.45	(61.27) <sup>ab</sup> 55.20	(55.95)⁵ 51.37	(60.69)ª 56.91		
T <sub>9</sub>	Acetamiprid* -Fipronil -Spinosad	12.54	10.64	(55.38)° 73.69	( 53.67) <sup>d</sup> 68.88	(54.05) <sup>ef</sup> 68.91	(48.01) <sup>d</sup> 69.06	(45.81) <sup>e</sup> 62.39	(49.05) <sup>c</sup> 59.38		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control S.Em. (±)	18.33	16.77	(59.19) <sup>bc</sup> 2.262	(56.16) <sup>cd</sup> - 1.698	(56.15) <sup>de</sup> - 1.125	(56.31) <sup>abc</sup> - 2.462	(52.20) <sup>°</sup> - 0.745	(50.54) <sup>bc</sup> - 2.814		
	CD (P = 0.05)			6.783	5.093	3.373	7.383	2.234	8.436		

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20% SP@20 g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1 dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *Beauveria bassiana* WP @2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai/ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai./ha

Figures in parenthesis are arcsine transformed values. Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

Table 7. Effect different treatments on the yield of cabbage during rabi 2010-2011

S.No.	Treatment <sup>#</sup>	MarketableYield (ton/ha) 2009-10	MarketableYield (ton/ha) 2010-11
T,	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> – <i>M. anisopliae</i> -E.benzoate	25.2 <sup>b</sup>	26.24 <sup>cd</sup>
T,	Vermiwash* 1:1-Fipronil- Chlorantraniliprole	24.3 <sup>bcd</sup>	25.40 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermiwash <sup>*</sup> 1:4 - E.benzoate-Spinosad	<b>22.9</b> <sup>d</sup>	23.53 <sup>e</sup>
T₄	Acetamiprid* -Chlorantraniliprole - E.benzoate	30.6ª	32.17ª
T <sub>5</sub>	B.bassiana* - M. anisopliae - Chlorantraniliprole	23.2 <sup>cd</sup>	22.88 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	Neembaan <sup>*</sup> - <i>B.bassiana</i> - Spinosad	25.5 <sup>b</sup>	26.53°
T <sub>7</sub>	B.bassiana * – E.benzoate- Chlorantraniliprole	24.8 <sup>bc</sup>	25.20 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	Acetamiprid* - Spinosad E.benzoate	28.9ª	29.97 <sup>b</sup>
T	Acetamiprid* -Fipronil -Spinosad	29.01ª	31.24ª
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	17.21 <sup>e</sup>	18.07 <sup>f</sup>
S.Em. (±)	0.589	0.390	
CD (P = 0.05)	1.751	1.16	

\*Neembaan EC @ 3 ml/L, Acetamiprid 20% SP@20 g/ha, Vermiwash@ 1:1dilution, Vermiwash@ 1:4 dilution, *M. anisopliae* WP@2.5 kg/ha, Spinosad 45 SC@75 g ai/ha, *B. bassiana* WP@2.5 kg/ha, Fipronil 5% EC@50 g ai/ha, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC@10 g ai/ha, E. benzoate 5 sg@10 g ai./ha, Sprayed twice as First and Second Spray

control by more number of healthy heads, higher average width and weight of heads during both the seasons. The yield gain over control was highest in treatments  $T_4$  (30.6 and 32.17 t/ha) followed by  $T_9$  (29.01 and 31.24 t/ha),  $T_8$  (28.9 and 29.97 t/ha),  $T_8$  (25.5 and 26.53 t/ha) and  $T_1$  (25.2

and 26.24 t/ha) as compared to 17.21 and 18.07 t/ha in control in 2009-10 and 2010-11 respectively (Table 7).

Based on yield performance and efficacy against insect pests viz.  $T_4$  (acetamiprid-acetamiprid-chlorantraniliprole-

emamectin benzoate), T<sub>8</sub> (acetamiprid-acetamipridspinosad-emamectin benzoate) and T<sub>9</sub> (acetamipridacetamiprid-fipronil-spinosad) performed extremely well. Use of bio-rational and low dose compounds such as spinosid, acetamiprid, emamectin benzoate will also be more appropriate as compared to conventional broad spectrum, high dose insecticides as they enable to conserve natural enemy fauna and minimize residual load in fruits and environment<sup>19</sup>. In our study vermiwash and Beauveria were found effective against aphids. These can be utilised in organic farming. Besides, their compatibility with other insecticides need to be worked and for their possible use in IPM programme. Current public concern about some chemicals in agriculture causing health and environmental problems, and the requirements of some overseas countries for no synthetic chemical residues in agricultural products has reinforced the need to develop techniques to eliminate or reduce the present level of chemical inputs in vegetable growing.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous (2010) National Horticultural Board, Ministry of Agriculture, GOI, accessed on March 2011, www.nhb.gov.in.
- Krishnamoorthy A (2004) Biological control of diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) and Indian scenario with reference to past and future strategies. In: *Proc Int Symp*, AA Kirk and D Bordat (Eds.), 21-24 October, 2002, Montpellier, France, CIRAD, pp 204-211.
- Sardana HR and Tanwar RK (2008) Adoptable IPM technology for vegetable crops. In: *Insect Pest and Disease Management*, D Prasad (Ed), Daya Publishing House, pp 1-19.
- Dhanalakshmi DN and Mallapur CP (2008) Evaluation of promising molecules against sucking pests of okra. Ann Pl Protec Sci 16: 29-32.
- Fleming R and Retnakaran A (1985) Evaluating single treatment data using modified Abbot formula with reference to insecticides. *J Econ Entomol* 78: 1179-1181.
- Sreekanth M and Ramesh Babu T (2001) Evaluation of certain new insecticides against the aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) on cabbage. *Int Pest Control* 43: 242-244.

Manuscript No. PRJ/08/11-01 Received 02 August, 2011; Accepted 08 November, 2011

- Koul O, Shankar JS and Mehta N (1997) Antifeedant activity of neem seed extracts and azadirachtin to cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassica* (L.). *Indian J Exptl Biol* 35: 994-997.
- George S, Giraddi RS and Patil RH (2007) Utility of vermiwash for the management of thrips and mites on chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) amended with soil organics. *Karnataka J Agric Sci* 20: 657-659.
- Moorthy PNK, Kumar NKK, Selvaraj C and Danil JS (1998) Neem seed kernel extract applications for diamondback moth management: Transfer of technology for mechanized farming. *Pest Manag Horti Ecosyst* 4: 128-130.
- Legocki J, Polec I and Zelechowski K (2008) Contemporary trends in development of active substances possessing the pesticidal properties: ryanodine-receptor targeting insecticides. *Pesticides* 3-4: 15-26.
- Mazzini F (2009) Coragen, a new insecticide against Carpocapsa on apple and pear. Informatore Agrario Supplemento 65: 18-20.
- Peterson LG (1997) Tracer Naturalyte Insect Control and IPM. Down to Earth 52: 28-34.
- 13. Saunders DG and Bret BL (1997) Fate of spinosad in the environment. *Down to Earth* **52**: 14-20.
- Suganyakanna S, Chandrasekaran S, Regupathy A and Lavanya D (2005) Emamectin 5 SG (Proclaim)-A newer insecticide for diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* (L.) management in cabbage. *Pestology* 29: 24-27.
- Godonou I, James B, Atcha-Ahowe C, Vodouhe S, Kooyman C, Ahanchede A and Korie S (2009) Potential of *Beauveria* bassiana and *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolates from Benin to control *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera:Plutellidae). Crop Prot 28: 220-224.
- Harris BM and Maclean B (1999) Spinosad: Control of lepidopterous pests in vegetable brassicas. In: *Proc. 52nd N.Z. Plant Protection Conf*, pp 65-69.
- Kumar P and Devappa V (2006) Bioefficacy of emamectin benzoate 5% SG (Proclaim) against diamondback moth in cabbage. *Pestology* **30**: 23-25.
- Patil BV and Rajanikantha R (2004) New class of insecticides, mode of action and their bioefficacy. In: *Proc Int Symp Strategies for Sustainable Cotton Production – A Global Vision*, UAS, Dharwad, Karnataka, India, pp 77-85.
- Sridhar Y (2011) Field evaluation of different insecticides against Aonla aphid, *Schoutedenia emblica*. Indian J Plant Prot **39**: 35-37.