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## FOREWORD

It is a well recognized fact that the level of agricultural production in India is one of the lowest in the world and it is only by the exploitation of scientific methods of agriculture that we can hope to increase our agricultural production to the level necessary for providing a reasonable standard of living to the country's population. Properly planned and conducted field experiments provide a reliable basis for propagating improved agricultural techniques among farmers. A number of research institutes and other experimental centres are functioning under the Central Ministry of Agriculture, the Commodity Cornmittees and the State Governments, in which research on agricultural problems is going on. The need for an integrated account of the researches done in these organisations and institutions in the country has been felt for a long time, particularly in the context of planning. The absence of such a unified account has often led to duplication of work and delay in the utilisation of the results for practical farming. The Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research has, therefore, rendered a most timely service by preparing a compendium of all agricultural field experirients conducted in India upto 1953 and similar compendia are under preparation by the Institute for subsequent years.

The present compendium contains critical summaries of results of experiments bearing on important agronomic factors such as the responses of crops to fertilizers and manures, inter-relationship of fertilizers, varieties and cultivation practices and other information of value for giving sound advice to farmers in different regions. I am sure that these results wili be fully utilised by agricultural institutions, research workers, planners and extension organisations. The chief merit of the present publication is that it brings together in one place the results of experimentation carried out under diverse soil, clirnatic and agricultural conditions obtaining in India. Workers in one State can thus supplement data for their own area by results from other regions where conditions may be similar and thereby re-inforce their own conclusions. For the same reason I hope that this publication will be of use to workers in other countries also.

A Standing Committee consisting of the Agricultural Commissioner with the Government of India, the Director, Indian Agricultural Research Institute and the Statistical Adviser, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, has been set up to provide general guidance to the work under this scheme. I congratulate the members of this Committee and in particular the Statistical Adviser and his associates at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics for bringing out this compendium. The preparation of this compendium has been made possible only by the whole hearted co-operation of the States and other organisations in making available the results of their experimental researches for this purpose. My thanks are due to the officers of the State Departments of Agraculture and other institutions for participating in this work. I hope that the present series will be followed by periodical publication of similar compendia for later years, in order that the availability, in a consolidated form, of results of scientific experiments in agriculture in India may be maintained up-to date.

New Delhi,
August 20, 1962.
A.D. Pandit

Vice-President,
Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

## PREFACE

A large number of agricultural field experiments on different problems is being conducted in the country by Central and State Governments, Research Institutes, Commodity Committees and other organisations engaged in agricultural research. In addition, a number of schemes involving field experimentation is sponsored by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. The absence of a unified record of the results of these various experiments has considerably handicapped planning of further research and development and has often led to duplication of efforts.

Vaidyanathan brought out in 1933 a useful catalogue of manurial experiments conducted in India till then. Considering that Vaidyanathan's work was confined to manurial experiments and the fact that an enormous increase has taken place in the number and scope of agronomic experiments in recent years in India, the Indian Council of Agricultural Research launched the scheme of National Index of Field Experiments in 1954. The object of the scheme was two-fold :
(i) the preparation of compendium of all the field experiments for the period 1935-53 and
(ii) the preparation of index cards for individual experiments from 1954 onwards.

Under the scheme, results of all agricultural field experiments other than purely varietal trials were to be consolidated. Subsequently at the time of the extension of the scheme in 1959 it was decided that the compendium would be prepared in the first instance for the period 1948 w 53 and a similar compendium would be prepared for the period 195459. The present series for the period $1948-53$ has been prepared in pursuance of this decision.

The compendium is divided into 15 volumes one each for (1) Andhra Pradesh (2) Assam, Manipur and Tripura (3) Bihar (4) Gujarat (5) Kerala (6) Madhya Pradesh (7) Madras (8) Maharashtra (9) Mysore (10) Orissa (11) Punjab, Jammu \& Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh (12) Rajasthan (13) Uttar Pradesh (14) West Bengal and (15) all Central Institutes. In each volume back-ground information of the respective State regarding its physical features, soils, rainfall and climate, agricultural production and area under different crops is given. A map showing different regions of the State, soils and agricultural research farms is also included. The experiments reported in each volume have been arranged cropwise for each State. All the experiments belonging to a particular crop at various research stations are grouped together. For a particular crop, experiments are arranged according to the following classification :

Manurial (M), Cultural (C), Irrigational (I), Diseases, Pests and Chemicals other than fertilisers (D), Rotational (R), Mixed Cropping (X) and combinations of these wherever they occur (e.g., CM as Cultural-cum-Manurial). Experiments in which crop varieties also form a factor are denoted by adding V to their symbol and are given together (e.g., MV as Manurial-cum-Varietal). The results of an experiment are given along with other basic information such as rotation of crops followed, cultural practices adopted, etc.

For making maximum use of the experimental data all the important tables giving the average yields of various treatments along with the appropriate standard errors have been presented. No attempt has, however, been made to summarise the data of groups of experiments on any particular item and to draw any general conclusions. This will be done for the period 1948-59 while publishing the compendium for the period 1954-59.

This publication is the result of the co-operative endeavour of a large number of persons both at the Centre and in the States. I should particularly mention in this connection, guidance and help rendered in the formulation of the scheme by Dr. D.J. Finney F.R.S. of Aberdeen University, Scotland, during his stay at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics as an F.A.O. Statistical Expert in 1952-53.

At the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics, the work under the scheme was carried out under the supervision and guidance of Shri T.P. Abraham, Assistant Statistical Adviser. Shri G.A. Kulkarni, Statistician, looked after the detailed werking of the scheme. These officers have been largely responsible for the preparation of the manuscript of the compendium and it is a pleasure to thank them for the hard work they have put in for getting this compendium ready. Messrs O.P. Kathuria, B.V. Srikansiah, M.L. Sahni, B.P. Dyundi, S.D. Bal and P.K. Jain of the statistical staff of the Institute deserve special mention for their careful scrutiny of the data and preparation of the materal for the compendium. Thanks are also due to Dr. Uttam Chand, Professor of Statistics, now with the Central Statistical Orgainsation, Shri K.S. Avadhany, Assistant Statistician, also now with the Central Statistical Organisation, and Shri K.C. Raut, Statistician in this office who were associated with the scheme in its initial stages.

The burden of collecting data from original records by visiting different research stations and the analysis of a large number of experiments, only the primary data ior which had been recorded in the files, fell on the regional staff appointed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. They deserve to be congratulated for the patient work they have put in. The State Departments of Agriculture, Cential Institutes and Commodity Committees made data for the experiments conducted within their jurisdiction readily available. The Indian Council of Agricultural Research acknowledges this willing co-operation without which the consolidation of the results would not have been possible. Various State officers who helped the project by making the data accessible to the satistical staff of the project and worked as the regional supervisors for the scheme also deserve thanks by the Council for their active help. The list of names of the regional supervisors is given on the following page.

New Delhi,
August 16, 1962.
V.G. Panse

Statistical Adviser
Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics
(I.C.A.R.)

## REGIONAL SUPERVISORS FOR THE NATIONAL INDEX OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS

| Region and headquaters | Regional Supervisors : |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad) | Shri D.V.G. Krishnamoorthy, <br> Deputy Director of Food Production, Andhra Pradesh. <br> Shri Jagannath Rao, <br> Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. <br> Dr. Khadruddin Khan, <br> Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. <br> Dr. Wahiuddin, <br> Headquarters Deputy Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. |
| 2. Assam, Manipur and Tripura (Shillong) | Shri L.K. Handique, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. <br> Shri S. Majid, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. <br> Dr. S.R. Barooha, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. |
| 3. Bitar (SAbour) | Dr. R. Richaria, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour. <br> Shri R.S. Roy, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour. |
| 4. Kerala <br> (Trivandrum) | Shri N. Shankara Menon, <br> Director of Agriculture, Kerala. <br> Shri P.D. Nair, <br> Director of Agriculture, Kerala. |
| 5. Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior) | Dr. T.R. Mehta, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Gwalior. |
| 6. Madras <br> (Cormbatore) | Shri C.R. Sheshadri, <br> Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri P.A. Venkateswaran, Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Late Shri M. Bhavani Sankara Rao, <br> Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri T. Natarajan, <br> Agronomist \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri A.H. Sarma, <br> Extension Specialist \& Secretary, Research CounciI, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. |
| 7. Maharashtra \& Gjuarat (Former State) (Poona) | Shri D.S. Ranga Rao, mbay Statistician, Department of Agriculture, Poona. |

Owing to transfers and other changes more than one Regional Supervisor have been shown against several states as these officers have acted as Regional Supervisors during different periods from 1955 to
(iv;
8. Mysore
(Bangalore)
y. Orissa
(Bhubaneshwar)
10. Punjab, Jammu \& Kashmir and Himachal
Pradesh (Chandigare)
11. Rajasthan
(Jaipur)
12. Uttar Pradesh
(Lucknow)
13. West Bengal
(Calcutta)

Shri A. Anant Padmanabha Rau, State Statistician, Mysore State.

Dr. U.N. Mohanty,
Dy. Director of Agriculture (H.Q.), Orissa.
Shri P.S. Sahota,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Punjab.

Shri H.C. Kothari,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Rajasthan.
Dr. K. Kishen,
Chief Statistician to Govt. of U.P.
Department of Agriculture, U.P.
Shri S.N. Mukherjee,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.
Dr. S. Basu,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.

## ABBREVIATIONS COMMON TO EXPERIMENTS ON ANNUAL AND PERENNIAL CROPS AND EXPERIMENTS ON CULTIVATORS' FIELDS

Crop :- In the top left coner is given the name of the crop on which the experiment is conducted. Within brackets along side the crop is mentioned the season wherever the information is available.

Ref:- Against the sub-title 'reference' is mentioned the name of the State, the year in which the experiment is conducted and the serial number of the experiment fo: that year given in brackets.

Abbreviations adopted for States are as follows :-

| A.P. | Andhra Pradesh | Mn. | Manipur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As. | Assam | Mh. | Maharashtra |
| Bh. | Bihar | Ms. | Mysore |
| Dl. | Delhi | M.P. | Madhya Pradesh |
| Gj. | Gujarat | Or. | Orissa |
| H.P. | Himachal Pradesh | Pb. | Punjab |
| J.K. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Rj. | Rajasthan |
| K. | Kerala | Tr. | Tripura |
| M. | Madras | U.P. | Uttar Pradesh |
|  |  | W.B. | West Bengal |

Repetition of the experiment in other years is indicated in the same line against 'reference' by stating the year and serial number for each repetition side by side e.g. U.P. $53(19) / 52(42) / 51(20)$ etc.

Site :- Name of the Research Station is mentioned along with the place where it is located, e.g. Agri. Res. Stn. for Agricultural Research Station.

For Central Institutes, the corresponding standard abbreviations have been adopted e.g. I.A.R.I. for Indian Agricultural Research Institute.

Type :- Abbreviations used against this item are one or more than one of the: following:-

C-Cultural ; D-Control of Diseases and Pests ; I-Irrigational ; M—Manurial ; R -Rotational ; V-Varietal and X -Mixed cropping. e.g. CM. is to be read as Cultural-cum-Manurial.

Results:- Information under this heading should be read against the following items:-
(i) General mean. (ii) S.E. per plot. (iii) Result of test of significance. (iv) Summary table (s) with S.E. of comparison (s).

## Abbreviations used in the text of the experiments:-

ac.-acre.
Ammo. Phos.-Ammonium Phosphate.
$\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$-Ammonium Nitrate.
A/S-Ammonium Sulphate.
B.D.-Basal Dressing.
B.M.-Bone Meal.
C.L.-Cart load.
C.M.-Cattle Manure.

C/N-Chilean Nitrate.
C/S-Copper Sulphate.
F.M.-Fish Meal or Fish Manure.
F.W.C.-Farm Waste Compost.
F.Y.M.-Farm Yard Manure.
G.M.-Green Manure.
G.N.C.--Groundnut cake.

K -Potash.
lb. - Pounds.
M.C.-Municipal Compost.

Mur. Pot.-Muriate of Potash.
N.-Nitrogen.

Nitro phos-Nitro phosphate.
P.-Phosphate.

Pot. Sul.-- Potassium Sulphate.
Super-Super Phosphate.
T.C.-Town compost.

Zn. Sul.-Zinc Sulphate.

## BASAL CONDITIONS

Information under the above heading to be read against the following itens:

## A. For annual crops :

(i) (a) Crop rotation if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previcus crops. (State amount and kind). (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Date of sowing' planting. (iv) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing/planting. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedlings pes hole. (v) Basal manuring with time and method of application. vi) Varety, (vi) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing planting cultural operations. (ix, Rainall during crop season (State name of the season along with the month). (x) Daie of harvest.
B. For perennial crops :
(i) History of site including manuring and other operations. (ii) (a) Soil iype. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Method of propagation of plants. (iv) Variety. ( $v$, Date and method of sowing/planting. (vi) Age of seedling at the time of planting. (vii) Basal dressing with time and method of application. (viii) Cultural operations during the year. (ix) Inter cropping if any. (x) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (xi) Rainfall during crop season. (xii) Date of harvest.
C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :
(i) (a) Crop rotation, if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crop. (ii) Soil type in general. (iii) Basal manuring with time and method of application.
(iv) Variety. (v) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedings per hole (vi Period of sowing/planting per hold. (vii) Irrigated or ( inirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing/planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season. (x) Period of harvesting.

## DESIGN

Information under this heading to be read against the following itom:
A. For annual crops :
(i) Abbreviations for designs: C.R.D.-Compietely Randomisec D-sign R.B.D.Randomised Block Design ; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.-. Confouncd ; fact.-Factorial. (other designs and modifications of the above to be indicated in full. (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions (iii) No. of replication: (iv) Plot size. (a) Gross. (b) Net. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Whether treatments are randomised (separately in each block).
B. For perennial crops :
(i) Abbreviations for designs: C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design ; R.B.D...Randomised Block Design ; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.- Confounced. (other designs and modifications of the above indicated in full). (ii) (a) No of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions, (iii) No. of replications. (iv) No. of trees/plot. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Are treatments randomised.
C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :
(i) Method of selection of experimental sites. (ii) No. and distribution of experiments. (iii) Plot size. (a) Gross. (b) Net. (iv) Whether treatments are randcmised.

Information under this heading to be read against the following items :-
A. For annual crops :
(i) Crop conditions during growth with date of lodging, if any. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places,
(a) names of the places along with reference. (b) reference to combined analysis, if any. (vi) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vii) Any other important information.
B. For perennial crops :
(i) Crop condition during the year. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) refererce to combined analysis, if any. (v) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc, if any. (vi) Any other important information.
C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :
(i) Crop condition during growth. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years, (a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) refererce to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places names of places along with reference. (vi) Abnormal occurrences, like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vii) Any other important information.
glossary of vernaculak names of crops

| SI. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayalam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hind | Panjabi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Paddy | Oryza sativa L. | Dhan | Dhan | Dhano | Vadlu, Biyyamu | Ne? | Nellu | Blatta | Bhat | Dangar | Dhan; <br> Chawal | Chaul : <br> Dhan |
| 2. | Sugarcane | Saccharum officinarum L. | Kubiar | Akh |  | Cheruku | Karumbu | Karimbu | Kabbu | Oos | Sherdi | Ganna; <br> Kamad: <br> Naishakar | Kamad <br> Ganna : <br> Eakh |
| 3. | Turmeric | Curcuma longa; Curcuma dome stica Val. | Halodhi | Halud : <br> Haldi | Haldi | Pasupu | Manial | Manal | Arisina | Halad | Haldar | Haldi | Haldi |
| 4. | Tapioca | Manihot utilissima; Manihot esculanta Crantz. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simolu } \\ & \text { Alu } \end{aligned}$ | Shimul alu |  | Karra: <br> Penda- <br> lamu | Marvall Kizhangu; Kuchi | Maracheen: | Marsgenasu | Tapioca |  | Tapioca | Tapioca |
| 5. | Sweet Potato | Ipomoea batatas Lam | Mitha <br> Aloo | Mishti <br> Alu | Kanda mula | Chilagadadumpa | Seeni <br> kilanzu | Cheeni <br> kizange | Genau | Ratalu | Shakaria | Shakarkandi | Shakarkandi |
| 6. | Potato | Solanum tuberosum L. | Alooguti | Alu | Bilati Alu | Bangladampa Urlagadda | Urucha: kilangu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grala } \\ & \text { k’zangu } \end{aligned}$ | Alu gedde | Eatata | Aloo, Batata | Aaloo | Alu |
| 7. | Colocasia | Colocasia antiquorum Schott | - | Kachu | Saru | Chemadumpalu | Samtu | Chembu | Kesavina gedide | Alu | Alvi | Axhi Dhueya | Arvi |
| 8. | Groundnut | Arachis hypogaea L . | China hadam | Cheena badam | C bina badam | Nelashanga | Nilak adalai | Nilakk. adia | Kadale <br> kayi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ehui- } \\ & \text { muag } \end{aligned}$ | Ma ${ }_{\text {E }}{ }^{\text {ali }}$ | Mungpheli | Mungfali |
| 9. | Nagpur Santra (orange) | Citus reticulata Blanco | Kamala | Kamla lebu | Santra | Kamalaph alansu | Kamia <br> Koore <br> Kudayu <br> crance | Arergu | - | Satra | Santra: <br> Naung | Sartra | Santra |
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## ORISSA STATE

## 1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The State of Orissa located on the eastern coast of India has an area of 60,136 square miles or about 38,487 thousand acres. The area according to village papers is 37,644 thousand acres. The State is bound by Madhya Pradesh on West, Bihar and West-Bengal on North, Andhra Pradesh on South and Bay of Bengal on East. The capital of the State is at Bhubaneswar. For administration purposes the State is divided into 13 districts. The land utilisation in 1955-56 was follows -
Classification of land.

Area(i) Forests
(000 acre). 8,739
(ii) Area not available for cultivation
(a) Barren \& unculturable land. ..... 2,945
(b) Land put to non-agricultural uses. ..... 2,861
Sub-total. ..... 5,800
(iii) Other uncultivated land excluding fallow land
(a) Culturable waste. ..... 3,504
(b) Permanent pastures and other grazing lands. ..... 1,821
(c) Land under misc, tree crops not included in net area sown. ..... 1,076
Sub-total ..... 6,401
(iv) Fallow lands.
(a) Current fallow. ..... 2,114
(b) Other ..... 739
Sub-total. ..... 2,8.53
(v) Net area sown. ..... 1,334
(vi) Area sown more than once. ..... 1,109
Total cropped area.

## 2. PHYSICAL FEATURES

The Statc on the whole is characterized by its much diversified topography and its river system has a direct outlet into the Bay of Bengal. On the east is a belt of fatopen country more or less parallel to the coast which itself is not homogeneous. On the coast, there are stretches of sand and sand-hills alternating with deltaic and tidal mud with mangrove swamps. Behind this coastal belt is an area of cultivated alluvial and laterite formations about 50 miles in width near Cuttack and Balasore and is narrowed on the north by the outlying hills of Nilgiri and Mayurbhanj and bounded on south by isolated rock hills and laterite formations. On the extreme south the boundary hills of Orissa and Madras States meet the Chilka lake. Physiographically the State has two natural divisions namely (i) Orissa Inland Division and (ii) Orissa Coastal Division ; the former lies in the North-east plateau sub-region of the Peninsular hills and Plateau region whereas the latter is natural division of the North Madras and Orissa Coastal sub-region of the Eastern Ghats and Coastal region. The districts covered by these two divisions are :
(i) Orissa Inland Division :-

Mayurbhanj, Keonjhar, Dhenknal, Sundergarh, Phulbani, Ganjam, and Sambalpur.
(ii) Orissa Coastal Division :-

Bolangir, Kalahandi, Koraput, Balasore, Cuttack, and Puri.

## 3. SOILS

## (i) Orissa Inland Division :

This tract has a type of soil known as red soil. This granite which is so wide-spread in this region, gives rise to rather coarse sandy soil with just sufficient clay to hold together. There is accumulation of plenty of iron hydroxide on the surface which causes the
uppermost crust of the soilto set as hard as cement in dry season. The soils are poor in organic matter and plant nutrients. These characteristics are most marked in Bamanghaty sub-division of Mayurbhanj, Banai sub-division and ba!ir of Sundragarh. Soil reaction of this division is neutral with $p \mathrm{H}$. ranging from 7 to 7 .i.

## (ii) Orissa Coastal Division :

It contains deltaic alluvial soil. In the northern most sectior wh ich lies in district of Balasore and which has been formed by rivers Subarnarekha, Burabaland and Saland, the soil is sandy loam, while in the delta of the Baitarani and the Brabamani stretcining over Bhadrak and Jeypore subdivision, the soil varies from clay locm o stiff clay. The Rushikulya delta of Ganjam is remarkably sandy. There is a narrow stretch of saline soil along the sea coast and also marshy and swampy patches of land here and there. Sand dunes are noticeable along surf-beaten coast.

## 4. RAINFALL AND CLIMATE

The coastal region on account of its proximity to the Bay of Ber gal has a higher rainfall. The rest of the State has also a high rainfall owing to the hilliness and a forest cover. It is, however, not possible to indicate well defined zones of rainfall due to irregular topography of the area. The western and central hilly districts have an average rainfall varying from $54^{\circ}$ to $58^{\circ}$. The rainfall of the coastal area varies from $54^{\circ}$ to $68^{\circ}$. The principal rainfall occurs from June to September, but occassional showers are obtained in December-January and frequently thunder storms and showers in May. The relative humidity of the coastal areas is highest.

The districts of the State can be grouped together according to the normal rainfall as below:-

| Districts | Rainfall range |
| :--- | :--- |
| (i) Bolangir. | $50^{\prime \prime}-60^{\prime \prime}$ |
| (ii) Sambalpur, Keonjhar, Puri and Ganjam. | $60^{\prime \prime}-70^{\prime \prime}$ |
| (iii) Sundargarh, Cuttack, Dhenkal, Phulbani, Kalahandi |  |
| and Koraput. | $70^{\prime \prime}-80^{\prime \prime}$ |
| (iv) Balasore. | $80^{\prime \prime}-90^{\circ}$ |
| (v) Mayurbhanj. | $90^{\prime \prime}-100^{\prime \prime}$ |

The season-wise normal rainfall figures for the two divisions of the State are given in Table 1

TABLE 1
Seasonwise normal rainfall in inches for the State of Orissa.

| Divisions | June to Sept. | Oct. to Dec. | Jan. to March | April to May | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) Orissa Inland <br> Division <br> (ii) Orissa Coastal <br> Division | 44.10 | 6.26 | 0.28 | 4.35 | 54.99 |
| State (simple <br> average) | 42.40 | 8.62 | 0.45 | 5.47 | 56.94 |

## 5. IR RIGATION

Total area irrigated in the State in $1955-56$ was $24,14,448$ acres. The distribution according to different sources of irrigation is given below :-

## TABLE 2

Source wise distribution of area irrigated in 1955-56.

| Source | Area (000 acres) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. Government canals. | 486.9 |
| 2. Private canals | 69.4 |
| 3. Tanks | 1222.6 |
| 4. Wells | 94.1 |
| 5. Others | 541.4 |
| Total | - |

## 6. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND NORMAL CROPPING PATTERN

Paddy is the main crop of the State and occupies nearly $83 \%$ of the total cultivated area. It is grown in varying extent in all districts of which Balasore has the largest percentage of rice growing area. Jute is grown mainly in Cuttack and to a small extert in Balasore and Puri. Sugarcane is grown to a small extent. Cuttack and Sambalpar have proportionally the largest areas under sugarcane. Wheat, fowar, Bajra, Ragi and Gram occupy the largest areas in Koraput.

In coastal region of the State double cropping of Paddy is common practice with the farmers.

The practice of rotating rice with pulses (black gram or green gram) is foliowed throughout the State.

The important rotations for wheat crop are :-
(i) Aus paddy-Wheat
(ii) Jute (early)-Wheat.
(iii) Jowar mixed with Arhar-Fallow-Wheat.
(iv) Cotton alone or alongwith black or green gram-Wheat.
(v) Rice-Maize-Wheat along or mixed with gram.
(vi) Rice-Pea-Fallow-Wheat.

The area, production and yield per acre for important crops are given in the table below.

TABLE 3
Area production and yield per acre of the principal crops of Orissa State for 1957-58.

| Crop | Area (000 acres) | Production (000 tons) | Av. yield in lb./ac. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rice | 9,476 | 1755 | 415 |
| Ragi | 165 | 27 | 367 |
| Small millets | 111 | 12 | 242 |
| Other cereals | 100 | 17 | 381 |
| Pulses | 1,159 | 266 | 475 |
| Oilseeds | 514 | 64 | 275 |
| Fibre crops | 129 | 243 | 4045 |
| Miscelleneous | 69 | 97 | 3149 |

## 7. AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTATION

There were only five State agricultural farms which reported the experiments for the period 1948-53. Experiments on paddy crop were conducted at Berhampur and Jeypore farms. Experiments on sugarcane and vegetable crops like potato were carried out at Bhubaneshwar farm. The farm at G. Udaygiri reported experiments on turmeric crop. The experiment on citrus fruit crops were carried out at Angul farm. Out of the five farms two represented black cotton soil, clayey loam, loam laterite being represented by the others.

## 8. EXPERIMENTS

There were only 84 agricultural field experiments available for the period 1948-53. The distribution of these according to crops and types of treatments tried is given in table below :-

TABLE 4
Distribution of experiments according to crops and types of treatments.

| Crop | M | C | CM | Mixed | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Paddy |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Tapioca, Sweet potato |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Potato |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Sugarcane | 23 | 5 | 1 | - | 29 |
| 4. Turmeric | 3 | 4 | - | - | 7 |
| 5. Groundnut | 2 | - | 2 | - | 5 |
| 6. Orange | 18 | 17 | - | - | 40 |
| 7. Others | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 8. Mixed | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |

There were only 29 experiments on paddy which is the principle crop of the State. So far as the research on the agronomic problems was concerned he other importart cereal crops did not receive any attention. It may be that experimentation on paddy and other crops is done for varietal trials for selection of high yielding and disease resitart varieties. There were 40 experiments on turmeric crop, 5 out of 6 experiments, reportec on mixed cropping were on turmeric crop.

The rate of application of Nitrogen varied from 20 lb ./ac. to $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from 40 lb ./ac. to $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Some experiments were conducted on paddy to study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ singly or in combination. There were other experiments with organic manures. The bulky manures applied were farm yard mantre, urban and rural composts. The rate of application of farm yard manure was 4 to 15 tons $/ a c$. and for urban and rural composts was 2 to 5 tons/acre. The other organic manures as source of nitiogen were Karanja cake, Groundnut cake, Gingelly cake and Niger cil cake. These orgaric manures were tried for comparison with ammonium sulphate.

So far as turmeric crop was concerned the amount of N varied from 60 to 120 IJ./ aere and of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from 100 to 200 lb ./acre. The organic manures corrmonly used for t is crop were Niger oil cake, Sal leaf mulch, compost, groundnut cake, fatm yard manure and cowdung. The amount of $N$ applied through Til oil cake and ammonium sulphate for sugarcane varied from 80 to 160 lb . acre.

Out of 84 experiments, 64 experiments were carried out in Randomised blocks, and 15 were in split plot-design. There was one manurial experiment on Santra at Angul with $3^{3}$ confounding and the other at G. Udaygiri on Turmeric from 1948 to 1951. In these $3^{3}$ design the treatments were the combinations of 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ level of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~N}=0,60\right.$ and $120 ; \mathrm{PO}=0,45$ and 90 and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0,100$ and $200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

The split plot-design adopted was mostly for cultural experiments with spacing, and method of planting as treatments. There were few experiments with split plot designs with manurial treatments.

The number of plots per block in R.B.D. varied from 3 to 10 . In the split plotdesign the number of main-plots per block varied from 4 to 9 and number of sub-plots per main plot-varied from 2 to 4.

The net-plot size varied from nearly 1.2 cent to 2.5 cents, although there were few experiments on turmeric (cultural) with 0.09 cent to 0.05 cent. The number of replications varied from 3 to 6.

Sl. No. Name of the experimental station with location, year of experiment, the tract it represents and major crops.

Soil type and soil analysis. Normal rainfall in inches.

No. of experiments.
Irrigation facilities.

General description of the topography of the exptl. area.


1. Angul ; Citrus fruit Res. Stn. Dist; Dhenkanal 15 miles from Meramundali Rly. Stn
Year of establishment : 1946.
Major crops ; Citrus fruit.
2. 

Berhampore; Rice Research SubStation. Dist ; Ganjam. 6 miles from Berhampur Rly. Stn. Year of establishment: 1932.
It represents coastal tract having red and clayey soils.
Major crops: Paddy, Mung, Kulthi and Biri.

| 1. Soil types : Red loam but | June | 4.76 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | the experimental area has | July | 14.47 |
|  | black cotton soil. | Aug. | 0.49 |
| 2. | Depth $: 2^{\prime}$ on average. | Sept. | 0.52 |
| 3. Colour : Black. | Oct. | 0.23 |  |
| 4.. | Structure ; Medium. | Nov. to | 0.23 |
| 5. | Soil analysis : Not avail- | May | Nil. |
|  | able. | Total. | 20.47 |
|  |  | Figures for | $1957-58$. |

1. Soil type : Red and clay. June 2.47 ey soils.
2. Depth : $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $1^{\prime \prime}$
3. Colour : Reddish to slight gray.
4. Structure : Very fine sand with clay colloidal trace.
5. Soil analysis : Not available.

| June | 2.47 |
| :--- | ---: |
| July | 9.43 |
| Aug. | 9.65 |
| Sept. | 2.12 |
| Oct. | 22.12 |
| Nov. | 11.32 |
| Dec. | - |
| Jan. | 0.87 |
| Feb. | - |
| March | - |
| April | 0.17 |
| May | - |
|  | - |
| Totai. | 58.25 |
| Figure for | $1958-59$. |

Res. Stn. is having 2 major portions. High land and low land.
Highland: entirely depends on vagaries of monsoon (31 ac) facilities.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | Bhubaneshwar: State Agricultural Res. Stn. Distt. Puri. <br> 4 miles from Bhubaneshwar Rly. Stn. Year of establishment : 1949. It represents coastal laterite tract of Orissa. | Soil types: Loain and Sandy loam soils other information not available. | Normal annual rainfall $=55^{\prime \prime}$. | Information not available. | 4 -Sugarcane. <br> 2-Tapioca. <br> 4-Sweetpotato. <br> 1-Colocasia. | No information. |
| 4. | Jeypore : Rice Research sub-Stn. Distt: Koraput. 105 miles from Vijianagaram Rly. Stn. Year of establishment: 1937. Agronmic research on Paddy. | 1. Soil type: Laterite and clayey soils. Other information not available. | Normal annual rainfall $=70^{\prime \prime}$. | - | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text {-Paddy. } \\ \text { 1-Potato. } \\ 16 \text {-Total. } \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 5. | G. Udayagiri. <br> G. Udayagiri farm. Dist. Phulbani. <br> 79 miles from Berhampur Rly. Stn. Year of establishment: 1938. It represents hilly tract. Multiplication of cereals, Millets, Pulses, Oilseeds, and Green manure. | 1. Soil Type:-Clayey loam and sandy loam. <br> 2. Depth : $20^{\prime}$ Ked laterite and $15^{\prime}$ clay complex. <br> 3. Colour :--Red and brown. <br> 4. Structure :-Red laterite and clay complex. <br> 5. Soil analysis :-Not available. | June 7.73 <br> July 10.65 <br> Aug. 21.16 <br> Sept. 9.14 <br> Oct. 3.36 <br> Nov. 1.20 <br> Dec. - <br> Jan. - <br> Feb.  <br> March 0.53 <br> April 0.35 <br> May 4.99 <br> Total. 59.11 <br> Figures for the <br> 1953.  | Lift irrigation by pump irrigating 4 acres from 1944 1945. No drainage system. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 35-Turmeric. } \\ & \text { 5-Mixed cropping } \\ & \text { 40-Total. } \end{aligned}$ | The experimental ferm is situated at a dista ce of 12 miles towards west of $G$. Udaygiri. The area of the farm is 35 acres. It is situated avove $15^{\prime}$ level to the west of G. Udayagiri and at the foot of the hills surrounding it. It is subject to soil erosion during rainy season. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :~ Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.

Ref:- Or. 53(6).
Type:- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of placement of manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Compost Expt. 5, 7, 10, 15 ton/ac. of compost. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (c)-. (v) 15 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) T-1242. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a Control (no manure).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ On surface and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Under sub-soil.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Paddy grain yield. (iv) (a) Not continued. (b) Nil. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3199 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $324.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control $v$ s. other treatments effect is highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of paddy in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 3364 | 3412 | Mean |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 3340 | 3219 | 3280 |
| Mean | 3352 | 3216 | 3334 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or M <br> S.E. of body of table | $=114.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- Or. 49(19). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Behrampore. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combination on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil.
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A
(ii) (a) Clay loam.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Behrampore. (iii) 3.8.49.
(iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding.
(ix) N.A.
(x) 6.12.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1 Control (no manure).
2. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
9. 40 lb . /ac. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
10. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

Date of manuring : 2.849 ., sources of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949-1950. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RELULTS:
(i) 2276 lb. .ac.
(ii) $298.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1600 |
| 2. | 2380 |
| 3. | 2413 |
| 4. | 2153 |
| 5. | 2307 |
| 6. | 2353 |
| 7. | 2087 |
| 8. | 2410 |
| 9. | 2467 |
| 10. | 2587 |
| S.E./mean | $=172.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.

Ref:-Or. 50(12).
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore. Type: 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combination on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 26.8.50.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) Line to line spacing $6^{\prime \prime}$ and plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil.
(vi) B.A. M-11. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Control (no manure).
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{llb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. 40 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{N}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
8. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
9. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
10. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb}$. ac . of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
11. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) Fair, (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949-50. (b) and (c) N.A, (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment actually laid out with 3 replications but analysis done with two replications only, ${ }^{5}$ s the treatments were not randomised in 3rd replication.
13. RESULTS :
(i) $1763 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii). $237.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1245 |
| 2. | 1900 |
| 3. | 1860 |
| 4. | 1760 |
| 5. | 1750 |
| 6. | 1750 |
| 7. | 1720 |
| 8. | 1700 |
| 9. | 1905 |
| 10. | 2045 |
| S.E./mean | $=167.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :~ Paddy. <br> Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore. <br> Ref :- Or. 52(8). <br> Type:- $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of compost on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sunnhemp. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15.8 .52 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) Line to line spacing $6^{\prime \prime}$ and plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. , vi Nil. (vi) T-1242. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $31^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 5 ton/ac. of F.Y.M.
5. 7 ton/ac. of F.Y.M.
6. 10 ton/ac. of F.Y.M.
7. 15 ton/ac. of F.Y.M.

Manure applied before transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Not continued. (b) Nil. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) a c (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2621 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $252.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2472 |
| 2. | 2435 |
| 3. | 2641 |
| 4. | 2702 |
| 5. | 2856 |
| S.E./mean | $=126.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Ref :- Or. 50(10).
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.
Type:- ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of compost on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Behrampore. (iii) Transplanting 29.7.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) NKD-35. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.11.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. F.Y.M. at 4 ton/ac.
3. F.Y.M. at 7 ton/ac.
4. F.Y.M. at 10 ton/ac.
5. Urban compost at 2 ton/ac.
6. Urban compost at 3.5 ton/ac.
7. Urban compost 5.0 ton/ac.
8. Rural compost at 2 ton/ac.
9. Rural compost at 3.5 ton/ac.
10. Rural compost at 5.0 ton/ac.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) Generally good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yeld of grain. (iv) (a) Not continued. (b) Nil. (c) N.A, (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS .
(i) $169 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $308.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatmedt | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1613 |
| 2. | $1 \times 87$ |
| 3. | $1 \times 40$ |
| 4. | 1780 |
| 5. | 1480 |
| 6. | 1467 |
| 7. | 1773 |
| 8. | 1593 |
| 9. | 1520 |
| 10. | 1133 |
| S.E./mean | $=178.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref:- Or. 49(4) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Rice Res. Sub -Stn., Berhampore. | Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

W.n ci:-- To study the effect of Super on Paddy.

1. BASAL CU,DITIONS:
(i) (a Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loan. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bchampore. (iii) 13.849 . (iv a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi; T-141. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) NA. (ix) NA x 28.11.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $46 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$.
3. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

Manures applied on 12.8.1949.

3 DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16!\times 161^{\prime \prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

4 GENER * L :
(i) Generally gond. (ii) NA (iii) Yield of grain (iv) (a) 1949 to 1950. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A (b N. s. vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2192 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $392.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2113 |
| 2. | 2223 |
| 3. | 2140 |
| 4. | 2293 |
| S.E./mean | $=160.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy.
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berh mpore.

## Ref:- Or. 50(2)/49(4).

Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To studdy the effect of Super on Faddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bertampore. (iii) 24.7.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) . d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) T-141. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.11.50.
2. TREAEMENTS:
3. Control.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{} \cdot \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Good in all replications except in 6th. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and ivii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $1447 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $413.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1540 |
| 2. | 1820 |
| 3. | 1867 |
| 4. | 1760 |
| S.E./mean | $=168.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Ref:- Or. 49(3).
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.
Type : ' ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of Potash on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 13.8.49. (iv) (a) to (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (v) T-141. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 27.11.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control no manure).
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
3. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
4. 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Source of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and time and method of its application N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N•A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1950. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2399 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 207.3 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 2243 |
| 2. | 2407 |
| 3. | 2413 |
| 4. | 2532 |
| S.E./mean | $=84.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- Rice Res. Sub.Stn. Berhampore.
Ref :- Or. 50(11),49(3).
Type:-' l '.
```

Object :--To study the effect of Potash on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Vil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refor soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Transplanting on 20.8.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (c) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) T.-141. vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.11.50.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 40 Jb . ac . of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5. $50 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{O}$.
6. 60 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $162^{\prime \prime} \times 162^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL
(i) Fair. ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1950 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1844 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $170.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Trea!ments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1767 |
| 2. | 1927 |
| 3. | 1827 |
| 4. | 1853 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :m Paddy. Ref :- Or. 48(2).
Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.
Type:~ 'M'.
```

Object:-To study the effect of oilcakes on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Transplanting on 5.8.48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) Line to line and plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) T. 1242. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.12.48.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N : Karanja cake (K.C.), G.N.C. and Gingelly cake (G.C.).
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ alround, (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A: (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1829 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $317.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{0}=1738 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | K.C. | G.N.C. | G.C. | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2062 | 1669 | 1782 | 1838 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 1796 | 1972 | 1969 | 1912 |
| Mean | 1929 | 1820 | 1875 | 1875 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of source | $=112.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of maginal mean of N | $=91.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of body of table | $=158.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- Or. 49(1)/48(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :~Rice Res. Sub..-Stn., Berhampore. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of oilcakes on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 24.7.49. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of̂ (1) and (2).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of N : Karanja cake (K.C), G.N.C. and Gingelly cake (G.C.)
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) 2479 lb ./ac.
(ii) 298.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}=2367 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ab}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K.C. | G.N.C. | G.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2333 | 2734 | 2310 | 2459 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2536 | 2646 | 2651 | 2611 |
| Mean | 2434 | 2690 | 2480 | 2535 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of sources | $=105.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=86.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of the table | $=149.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- Or. 50(1)/49(1)/48(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Rice Res. Sub.-Stn., Berhampore. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :--To study the effect of oilcakes on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore.
(iii) Transplanting on 22.7.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b, Transplanting. (c) -. (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi; T-1242.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.12 .50 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N : Karanja cake (K.C.), G.N.C., and Gingelly cake (G.C.).
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 171^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 161^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ alround.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2048 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $408.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}=1747 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K.C. | G. V.C. | G.C. | Mean |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2211 | 1969 | 2244 | 2141 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2112 | 2354 | 2304 | $22 \vdots 7$ |
| Mean | 2161 | 2161 | 2274 | 2199 |

S.E. of marginal mean of source $S$ E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ S E. of body of table
$=148.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=120.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=209.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop:~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :w Rice Res. Sub..-Stn., Jeypore.
Ref :- Or. 48(10).
Type : ' \({ }^{\prime}\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of oilcakes on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) $12.5 .43 / 5$ to 8.8.48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) Line to line $6^{\prime \prime}$, plant to plant $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T-90 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $50.79^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19.11.48.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + Control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N : Karanja cake (K.C.), Mustard cake (M.C.) and Niger cake (N.C).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ along length; $6^{\prime \prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7230 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1202 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of straw in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control |  |  | $=6171 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | K.C. | M.C. | N.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 6171 | 7585 | 7868 | 7208 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 7685 | 7592 | 6515 | 6931 |
| 7970 | 7736 | 8005 | 7904 |  |
| Mean | 6942 | 7636 | 7463 | 7348 |

S.E. of marginal mean $\quad=347.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=601.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Rice Res. Sub.-Stn., Jeypore.

Ref : mr , 49(22).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To compare different cakes as sources of N .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (c) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 27.7.49. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T-90 (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $49.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure). 8. Niger cake at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
2. Karanja cake at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. Niger cake at 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$
4. Karanja cake at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
5. Karanja cake at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. Niger cake at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
7. Karanja cake + Mustard cake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
8. Karanja cake + Niger cake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
9. Mustard cake + Niger cake at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
10. Karanja cake + Mustard cake + Niger cake at 40 lb./ac. of N .

Manures applied on 17.7.49.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ along length; $6^{\prime \prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1943 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2305 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $540 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do no differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2031 | 8. | 2160 |
| 2. | 2757 | 9. | 2191 |
| 3. | 2101 | 10. | 2512 |
| 4. | 2827 | 11. | 2251 |
| 5. | 2040 | 12. | 2405 |
| 6. | 2116 | 13. | 2464 |
| 7. | 1905 | S.E./mean | $=311.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |  | 2513 |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Rice Res. Sub.Stn., Jeypore.

Ref: Or. 49(7).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 12.10.49. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) Line to line and plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) J.-7 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $49.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.12.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5. 60 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
6. 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Time, method of application and source of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ N.A.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) Nil. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $325.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $205.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(v) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 357.5 |
| 2. | 520.0 |
| 3. | 275.0 |
| 4. | 147.5 |
| S.E./mean | $=102.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Rice. Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.
```


## Ref:- Or. 49(17). <br> Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of G.M. and Oilcake applied singly and in combination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 2.8.49. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) Line to line and plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T.-812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 49.47". (x) 22.11.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. G.M. at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
5. G.M. + Niger cake at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
6. G.M. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
7. Nigercake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
8. Nigercake $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
9. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
10. A/S+Nigercake+G.M. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

A/S applied on 4.8.49.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ border alround.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Generally good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1783 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $533.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1480 |
| 2. | 1958 |
| 3. | 1601 |
| 4. | 1910 |
| 5. | 2177 |
| 6. | 1707 |
| 7. | 1640 |
| 8. | 1793 |
| S.E./mean | $=308.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Padd y (Kharif). | Ref :- Or. 49(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Rice. Res. Sub-Stn. Jeypore. | Type :~ ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of rural and urban composts against F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 30.7.49. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T-812. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 49.47". (x) 1.12.49.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. F.Y.M. at 4 ton/ac.
5. F.Y.M. at 7 ton/ac.
6. F.Y.M. at 10 ton/ac.
7. Urban compost at 2 ton/ac.
8. Urban compost at 3.5 ton/ac.
9. Urban compost at 5 ton/ac.
10. Rural compost at 2 ton/ac.
11. Rural compost at 3.5 ton/ac.
12. Rural compost at 5 ton./ac.
13. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (r) N.A. (vi) Yes.
14. GENERAL :
(i) Poor growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) Not continued. (b) -. (c) -. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
15. RESULTS :
(i) 895 lb ./ac.
(ii) $216.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 647 |
| 2. | 932 |
| 3. | 1073 |
| 4. | 812 |
| 5. | 952 |
| 6. | 737 |
| 7. | 903 |
| 8. | 1000 |
| 9. | 948 |
| 10. | 948 |
| S.E./mean | $=124.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn. Jeypore.

Ref :- Or. 48(9).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of application of Dhaincha, Niger cake and A/S on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore (iii) $12.6 .48 / 26.7 .48$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\circ}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vii T812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $50.79^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19.11.48.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure)
4. Dhaincha at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Nigercake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. A/S at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

Dhaincha and Niger cake applied on 24.7.48, while A/S on 21.8.48.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good to fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-1951. (b) Yes, (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi, and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3186 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 438.2 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2780 |
| 2. | 3844 |
| 3. | 3058 |
| 4. | 3062 |
| S.E./mean | $=196.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).

Site :~Rice Res. Stn., Jeypore.

Ref :-Or. 49(18)/48(9).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of application of Dhaincha, Niger cake and A/S on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) $8.6 .49 / 1,2.8 .49$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c,-. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) T-812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 49.47". (x) 8.11.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Dhaincha at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Niger cake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ,

4 , A/S at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2026 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $222.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1951 |
| 2. | 2245 |
| 3. | 2053 |
| 4. | 1855 |
| S.E./mean | $=99.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :~Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.

Ref :- Or. 50(13)/49(18)/48(9).
Type :-' M '.

Object:-To study the direct and residual effect of application of Dhaincha. Niger cake and A/S on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black Cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore (iii) (a) $8.6 .50 / 1.8 .50$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) P 812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 44.63". (x) 30.11.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. Dhaincha at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
3. Niger Cake at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $31 \times 12^{\prime}$, (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime \prime}$ border alround. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a, 1945-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1720 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $491.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1810 |
| 2. | 1672 |
| 3. | 1816 |
| 4. | 1584 |
| S.E./mean | $=219 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Or 51(7)/50(13)/49(18)/48(9).
Site :-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore. Type :n'M'.
Object :-To study the direct and residual effect of application of Dhaincha, Nigercake and A/S on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer sol analysis, Jeypore. (iii) $2.6 .51 / 21.7 .51$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c, 一. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T 812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $70.86^{\circ}$. (x) 5.12.51.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. Dhaincha at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Nigercake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ border all round. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Not uniform. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) . (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Residual effect not studied.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $2897 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $421.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2944 |
| 2. | 2856 |
| 3. | 2906 |
| 4. | 2881 |
| S.E./mean | $=188.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Paddy (K harif). <br> Site :-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore. <br> Ref :-Or. 52(10). <br> Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Super, Hyper phosphate and B.M. on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nigercake, Dhaincha and A/S to give 40 lb ./ac. of N. (ii) (a) B ack cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 11.6.52./31.7.52. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) J-5 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $91.59^{\circ}$. (x) 30.10.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. Hyper phosphate at 250 lb ./ac.
5. Super at 250 lb ./ac.
6. B.M. at $125 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Manures applied on 30.7.52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) Yes, 1952 continuing. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2720 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 341.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 2591 |
| 2. | 2767 |
| 3. | 2673 |
| 4. | 2850 |
| S.E./mean | $=152.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Or. 53(8)/52(10).
Site:-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore. Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of Super, Hyper phosphate and B.M. on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore.
(iii) 2.8.53. iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) J-5 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 82.25". (x) 15.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.

2, Hyper phosphate at 250 lb ./ac.
3. Super at 250 lb ./ac.
4. B.M. at $125 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A: (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952 continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3036 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $392.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av yield

| 1. | 2842 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 3345 |
| 3. | 2883 |
| 4. | 3075 |
| S.E./mean | $=175.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Paddy (1st Crop). Ref :- Simple trials on cultivators field (T.C.M.), 1953. Centre :- Kalahandi (Orissa). <br> Type :- 'M'

Object :-I (b) (ii) To study the effect of different levels and types of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) Red loam-clay to clay loam, $p$ H. 7.0. (iii) Nil. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) June-July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) December.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$P=20 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{P}=$ Urea at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{P}=$ Urea at 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
All fertilizers applied before puddling $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in the form of Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) Eleven community project centres, representing the entire paddy growing tract of the cocnt:y were selected. From each community project centre, one development block was selected. Villages were selected at random from the selected block and a list of cultivators growing paddy for each selected village was prepared. From this list, two cultivators were selected at random and one field each belonging to them was taken for trial. In each selected field an unreplicated trial was laid out. (iii; N.A. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield data. (iv) (a) $19 \div 3-56$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | Av. yield in lb./ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 1739 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 2343 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 2658 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 2457 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 2513 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | $\cdot$ |
| G.M. | 2487 |
| S.E./mean | 2366 |
| No. of expts. | 166.2 |

## Crop :- Paddy (1st crop). Ref :- Simple trials on cultivators field (T.C.M;, 1953. Centre :- Kalahandi (Orissa). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-I (b) (ii) To study the effect of different levels and types of $N$ and $F$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) Red loam clay to clay-loam, pH. 7.0. (iii) Nil. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) June-July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 57". (x) December.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

O Control.
$\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{N}^{\prime}{ }_{1} \mathrm{P}=$ Urea at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
All fertilizers applied before puddling $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in the form of Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) Eleven community project centres, representing the entire paddy growing tract of the country were selected. From each community project centre, one development block was selected. Villazes were selected at random from the selected block and a list of cultivators growing paddy for each selected village was prepared. From this list, two cultivators were selected at random and one field each belonging to them was taken for trial. In each selected field an unreplicated trial was laid out. (iii) N.A. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield data.
(iv) (a) 1953-56.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | Av. yield in lb/ac. |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 2315 |
| P | 2571 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 2768 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 3155 |
| G.M. | 2702 |
| S.E./mean | 153.0 |
| No. of expts. | 11 |

> Crop :- Paddy. (1st crop). Ref :- Simple trials on cultivator's fields (T.C.M), 1953. Centre :- Kalahandi (Orissa).
> Type :m 'M'.

Object :-III. To study the effect of $A / S$ with different sources of $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) Red loam-clay to clay loam-pH 7.0. (iii) Nil; (iv) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) June-July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) December.
2. TREATMENTS :
$\mathrm{O}=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
$\mathrm{NP}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{NP}^{\prime}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Nitrophos at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{NP}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Ammo. Phos. at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
All fertilizers applied before puddling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) Eleven community project centres, representing the entire paddy growing tract of the country were selected. From each community project centre, one development block was selected. Villages were selected at random from the selected block and a list of cultivators growing paddy for each selected village was prepared. From this list, two cultivators were selected at random and one field each belonging to them was taken for trial. In each selected field an unreplicated trial was laid out. (iii) N.A. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv)(a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | Av. yield in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 2413 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 2715 |
| NP | 3224 |
| NP $^{\prime}$ | 2675 |
| NP | 3205 |
| G.M. | 2846 |
| S.E./mean | 257.5 |
| No. of expts. | 6 |

Crop :- Paddy (1st crop). Ref :- Complex experiments (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre :- Sahaspur (Orissa). Type :n ' $M$ '.
Object :-I (a) To study the effect of types and levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on non-acid soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, light soil. (b) N.A. (iii) T.P. 6, 8.9.53. (iv;
N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Sarda Type-141. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) November, 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

411 combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super or Triple Super : $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Manured after puddling before transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 59.8$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) [(a) Aduthurai, Karjat, Burdwan, Mankhanada, Maruteru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1959 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $294.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of "levels of $N$ " is highly significant. "Source of $N$ " is aiso significant. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Mean | A/S | Urea | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1277 | 1821 | 2282 | 1896 | 2166 | 1936 | 2051 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1168 | 1834 | 2385 | 1921 | 2302 | 1917 | 2109 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1617 | 2041 | 2297 | 2059 | 297 | 2141 | 2169 |
| Mean | 1354 | 1899 | 2321 | 1959 | 2222 | 1998 | 2110 |
| A/S | - | 1935 | 2508 | 2222 |  |  |  |
| Urea | - | 1862 | 2134 | 1998 |  |  |  |

For $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table

| S.E. of body of table $\left(\mathrm{N}_{0}\right.$ col. $)$ | $=170.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{Col}.\right)$ |  |
| S.E. for the marginal mean $\left(\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{Col}.\right)$ |  |
| S.E. for marginal mean $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{Col}.\right)$ |  |
| S.E. for P marginal means | $=98.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | $=69.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | $=75.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## For 'source of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ ' table

| S.E. of body of table | $=120.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. for P marginal means | $=85.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal means for source | $=69.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 'source $\times$ level of $N$ ' table |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |
|  | $=69.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (1st crop). Ref :- Complex experiments (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre :~ Sahaspur (Orissa). Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object:-VI. To stady the residual value of Phosphatic manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, light soil. (b) N.A. (iii) TP 1 to 3.9.53. (iv)
N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) B.A.M. 9. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) End of December, 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 treatments replicated as follows :-
(1) $O=$ Untreated 1 plot/block
(2) $\mathrm{C}=$ Control $\quad 6$ plots/block
(3) $\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}$ unit dressing 1 plot/block
(4) $P_{1}=$ Unit dressing 2 plots/block
(5) $\mathrm{P}_{2}=2$ unit dressing 2 plots/block
Unit dressing $=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

A basal dressing of $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied to all treatments except treatment (1).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 59.8$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Shimoga, Burdwan, Mankhanda, Maruteru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2216 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $436.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Ay. yield | S.E./mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 1613 | 218.3 |
| C | 2166 | 89.1 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 2355 | 218.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2306 | 154.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2509 | 154.3 |

```
Crop:- (1st Crop).
Ref:-Complex experiments (T.C.M.),1953.
Centre:- Sahaspur (Orissa) Type :- 'MV'.
```

Object:-VIII. To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ along with varieties.
. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, light soil. (b) N.A. (iii) T P. 4,5.9.53. (iv) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 26.12.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 varieties: $V_{1}=T-812$, improved, $V_{2}=$ Local and $V_{3}=T-141$, improved.

Manures applied just before transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Conf. Factorial. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 59.8$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield data. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Ponnampet, Burdwan, Mankhanada, Maruteru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1951 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $246.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1360 | 2137 | 2449 | 1982 | 1928 | 2160 | 1858 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1323 | 1992 | 2375 | 1897 | 1933 | 2027 | 1730 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1458 | 1956 | 2510 | 1975 | 1800 | 2052 | 2072 |
| Mean | 1380 | 2028 | 2445 | 1951 | 1887 | 2080 | 1886 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ | 1350 | 1928 | 2384 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ | 1440 | 2183 | 2616 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}_{3}$ | 1351 | 1974 | 2334 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. for marginal mean | $=82.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. for body of table | $=142.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: : Paddy.
Site :-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.

Ref :-Or. 48(1).
Type :-'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of different seed-rates on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 10.7 .48 . iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) B.A.M. 12. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 22, 23.10.48.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Seed-rate at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Seed-rate at 70 lb ./ac.
5. Secd-rate at 80 lb ./ac.
6. Seed-rate at $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. Seed-rate at 100 lb ./ac.
8. Seed-rate at $110 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (v) 2 spacing between plots. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Poor. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-1948. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1242 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $251.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1321 |
| 2. | 1246 |
| 3. | 1172 |
| 4. | 1200 |
| 5. | 1270 |
| 6. | 1243 |
| S.E./mean | $=102.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.<br>Ref :-Or. 49(5).<br>Type :-‘'C'.

Object :-To find the proper time of transplantation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Karanja, Mustard and Nigercakes to supply 20,40 and $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in each case. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) 一. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T. 812 (medium), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 49.47". (x) 15.11.49 and 25.11.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Transplanted on 17.7.49.
4. Transplanted on 2.8 .49 .
5. Transplanted on 17.8.49.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Medium. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1872 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $545.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2185 |
| 2. | 1961 |
| 3. | 1469 |
| S.E./mean | $=272.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :m Paddy (Kharif). <br> Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.

Ref: Or, 50(15).
Type:- ' C '.

Object :-To study the effect of different times of transplanting oa Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) As under treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) N.A. (vi) T-812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Transplanted on 3.7.1950.
4. Transplanted on 15.7 .1950
5. Transplanted on 27.7.1950.
6. Transplanted on 8.8.1950.
7. Transplanted on 20.8.1950.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 22^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) N.A. (v) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A, (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1950-1952$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $559.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $305.0 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 125, |
| 2. | 710 |
| 3. | 643 |
| 4. | 128 |
| 5. | 55 |
| S.E./mean | $=124.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Paddy (Kharif).<br>Ref:- Or. 51(8).<br>Site :- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.<br>Type :m 'C'.

Object :-To find the proper time of trindination.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) 'a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transpianting. (c) - (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) T-812 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. viii) Weeding. (ix) $70.86^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12 .51 .
2. TREATMENTS:

11 Transplanting on 3rd July.
2. Transplanting on 15 th July.
3. Transplanting on 27 th Iuly.
4. Transplanting on 8 th August.
5. Transplanting on 20th August.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $11^{\prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) Yes; 1950-51; 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1548 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $479.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2345 |
| 2. | 1650 |
| 3. | 1638 |
| 4. | 895 |
| 5. | 1213 |
| S.E /mean | $=195.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :-Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Jeypore.

Ref ${ }^{-}$Or. 52(9).
Type : ' 'C'.

Object :-To find the proper time of transplantation.
2. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b( Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi. T-812 (medium). (vii, Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $91.59^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Transplanted on 3rd July.
2. Transplanted on 15th July.
3. Transplanted on 27th Jdly.
4. Transplanted on 8th August.
5. Transplanted on 20th August.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $11^{\prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (iv) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1950-51. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Treatment (5) discarded as there was no transplanting on 20th August.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1782 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $355.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3. | 1741 |
| 2. | 2054 |
| 3. | 1943 |
| 4. | 1392 |
| S.E./mean | $=145.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site:- Rice Res. Sub.Stn., Berhampore.

Ref:- Or. 53(7).
Site:- Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore.
Type :- ‘CM'.
Object :-To study the effect of Japanese method of cultivation on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 30.7.53. (iv) (a) to (e) As per treatments. (v) G.M. 6000 lb ./ac. allowed to rot. $100 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{jac}$. of A/S and 100 lb . Super applied just before planting. (vi) B.A. M-. 3 (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.12.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Cultivators, method (i.e.,) local method (control).
2. Local method of cultivation and manuring as per recomendations of the Agri, department.
3. Local method of cuitivation and manuring as (i) G.M. 6000 lb ./ac. whenever it is practised or green leaves applied at puddling time (ii) 5 C.L. of F.Y.M. or compost applied one month before planting (iii) 200 lb . of $A / S$ and 200 lb . of Super at planting time.
4. Local method of cultivation and Japanese method of manuring.
5. Japanese method of cultivation and manuring.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ atround. (vi; Yes.

4 GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) (o) to (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2923 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $353.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2667 |
| 2. | 2865 |
| 3. | 3107 |
| 4. | 3223 |
| S. | 2754 |
| S.E./mean | $=158.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar.

Ref:-Or. 52(12).
Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of organic and inorganic manures applied singly and in zombinations on Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Dhaincha. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy, (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubaneswar. (iii) $12.5 .52^{\prime} 14.5 .52$. (iv) (a) 5 to 10 ploughings. (b) Planting in furrows $0^{\circ} 6^{\prime \prime}$ depth. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) C.O. 421 'medium). (vii, Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing and weeding at intervals. 2 earthings 1 st : 5.7 .52 to 7.7 .52 and 2 nd : after manuring. (ix) N.A. ( $x$ ) 6.1 .53 to 8.1.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $\quad 80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Tilcake.
5. $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Tilcake.
6. 80 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
8. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Oilcake $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Oilcake +80 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. Manures applied on 13.8.1952.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ length wise and $1^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS:
(i) 1.86 toa/ac.
(ii) 1.21 ton/ac.
(iil) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 0.81 |
| 2. | 1.94 |
| 3. | 2.63 |
| 4. | 1.95 |
| 5. | 1.51 |
| 6. | 2.10 |
| 7. | 2.09 |
| S.E./mean | $=0.494$ ton/ac. |

## Crop :/Sugarcane. <br> Site :-State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar. <br> Ref :-Or. 53(9)/52(12). <br> Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the residual effect of organic and inorganic manures applied singly and in combination to Ratoon crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Sugarcane. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loam soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubaneswar. (iii) 6.1 .53 to 8.1 .53 (date of harvest of plant crop). (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ betueen rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) C.O. 421 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing and weeding at intervals. (ix) N.A. (x) 27.11.53 to 12.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no manure).
4. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Tilcake.
5. 160 lb ./ac. of N as Tilcake.
6. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. 160 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Oilcake +40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Oilcake +80 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied on 13.8.52.
Treatment applied last year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 18 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ length wise and $1^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1952-53-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5.39 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 2.24 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3.13 |
| 2. | 4.99 |
| 3. | 7.56 |
| 4. | 5.54 |
| 5. | 6.19 |
| 6. | 4.54 |
| 7. | 5.80 |
| S.E./mean | $=0.91$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- State Res. Stn. Bhubaneswa r,

Ref:- Or. (52)11.
Type :m 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of time of application of $A / S$ on Sugarcane yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rato $n$-Paddy. (b) Dhaincha. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loam soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 222.52. (iv) (a) 5 to 0 ploughings, levelling. (b) Planted in furrows of $6^{\prime \prime}$ depth. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) 一. ,v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irigated. (viii) Hoeing and weeding at intervals. (ix) N.A. (x) 28.4.53 to 6.5.53.

## 2, TREATMENTS :

A/S at 5.7 srs./gross plot applied.

1. $\frac{1}{3}$ at planting $+\frac{1}{2}$ at Ist earthing on 17.5.52 $+\frac{1}{3}$ at 2 nd earthing on 15.7.52. (Controi)
2. at the time of planting (22.2.52).
3. after germination '6.5.52).
4. at tillering (2i.5.52).
$5 . \quad$ before rains (2.7.52).
5. during rains (15.7.52).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $54^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $11^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Not good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1952-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) 7.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.05 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 9.64 |
| 2. | 4.91 |
| 3. | 5.28 |
| 4. | 7.43 |
| 5. | 7.79 |
| 6. | 8.86 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.525$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- State Res. Stn. Bhubaneswar.

Ref:-Or 53(10)/52(11).
Type :- 'M',

Object :--To study the residual effect of time of application of A/S to the planted cane on the Ratoon crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Sugarcane. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loam soil. b) (iii) 22.2.52 planting and 6.5 .5 ; ratoon. (iv) (a) 5 to 10 ploughings, levelling (b) Planted in furrows of $6^{\circ}$ depth. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$. (e) -. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (tate). (vii) irrigated. (viii) Hoeing and weeding. (ix N.A. (x) 5 to 13.1.54.
2. TREATMENTS :

A/S at 5.7 srs./plot applied.

1. $\frac{1}{3}$ at planting $+\frac{1}{8}$ at 1 si earthing on $17.5 .52+\frac{1}{3}$ at 2 nd earthing on 15.7.52 Control.
2. at the time of planting (22.2.52).
3. after germination (6.5.52).
4. at tillering (21.5.52)
5. before rains (2.7.52).
6. during rains (15.7.52).

Treatments applied last year.

## DESIGN :

R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $54^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1952 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 12.73 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.68 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 14.56 |
| 2. | 10.32 |
| 3. | 12.35 |
| 4. | 13.70 |
| 5. | 10.81 |
| 6. | 14.63 |
| S.E./mean | $=2.34$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.<br>> Ref:- Or. $48(3)$. Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Udayagiri. (iii) 27.5.48 to 5.6.48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) Lines $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ apart, plants $1^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) $150 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Sal leaf applied as much to all the plots immediately after planting. (vi) Jobedi. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9 to 12.2.49.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1): (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=45$ aud $\mathrm{P}_{2}=90 \mathrm{lb}$. ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \quad \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=2 \mathrm{Colb}$./ac.

Sources of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ N.A. Manures applied on 31.7.48.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ Partially Confd. (ii) (a) 3 block/replication ; 9 plots/block. (b)N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 51^{\circ}$. (b) $2 f^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) If' on each side of length and $I^{\prime}$ on each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. ,b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7165 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1421 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{0}$ | 5623 | 6196 | 6759 | 6193 | 6748 | 5443 | 6387 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 6706 | 7480 | 8223 | 7469 | 7013 | 7703 | 7692 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 6833 | 7660 | 9008 | 7834 | 7268 | 7788 | 8446 |
| Mean | 6367 | $71!2$ | 7997 | 7165 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 6069 | 6737 | 8223 | 7010 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 6164 | 6907 | 7863 | 6978 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | 6928 | 7692 | 7904 | 7508 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=273.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of the table | $=470.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Turmeric.
Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref:-Or. 49(12).
Type:- M '.

Object : To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Uçayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Jobedi. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix $57^{\circ}$. 'x) 21.1.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. Manures applied on 16.8.49. Sources of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{N} A$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Partially Confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks replication; 9 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (c) $1 \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$ on each side of length and $1^{\prime}$ on each side of breadth (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) N.A• (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $6919 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Other main effects and interactions are not signficant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 5403 | 5371 | 5551 | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 7302 | 7653 | 7748 | 7568 | 5330 | 5117 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 7802 | 7663 | 7780 | 7748 | 5678 |  |
| Mean | 6836 | 6896 | 7026 | 6919 |  | 7420 |
| 7769 | 7229 | 8247 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 6783 | 7069 | 6836 | 6895 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 6571 | 6571 | 6623 | 6589 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 7154 | 7048 | 7621 | 7274 |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=223.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=386.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Ref:- Or. $50(5)$.<br>Site :~ Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.<br>Type :-‘M'.

Object .-TTo study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 6, 7.6.50 (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) 18 lines with 21 plants per line in a plot. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Mother rhizomes of Iabedi (Local variety). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 43". (x) 15.1.51. to 19.1.51.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. applied on 29.8 .50 to 1st and 2nd replication and on 30.8 .50 to 3 rd replication.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Partially Confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication ; 9 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $4^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $5568 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1310 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N is significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 4957 | 4691 | 4862 | 4837 | 4245 | 5202 | 5063 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 5318 | 5965 | 6348 | 5877 | 5700 | 6050 | 5881 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 5657 | 6251 | 6060 | 5989 | 6656 | 5541 | 5774 |
| Mean | 5311 | 5636 | 5757 | 5568 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 5318 | 5360 | 5923 | 5534 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 5477 | 5902 | 5414 | 5598 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | 5138 | 5647 | 5933 | 5573 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =252.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =4367 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Turmeric.
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.

Ref:- Or. 51(1).
Type: ' M '.

Object:--To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil gnalysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 17 th and 18 May 51 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. v, Nil. (vi) Jabedi. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Mulching on 22.5.51. (ix) 39". (x) 13th to 19th Feb. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. applied on 6.8.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Partially Confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 plots/blocks. (b) N.A. iiii) 3. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $11923 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2129 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 11049 | 11367 | 12466 | 11627 | 11543 | 11813 | 11526 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 12610 | 12036 | 12546 | 12397 | 11781 | 12195 | 13214 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 12036 | 11049 | 12149 | 11745 | 12753 | 11273 | 11208 |
| Mean | 11898 | 11484 | 12387 | 11923 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 11273 | 12021 | 12784 | 12026 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 12386 | 10492 | 12403 | 11760 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | 12036 | 11940 | 11973 | 11983 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =409.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =709.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri

## Ref:- Or. 48 (8).

bject :-To study the effect of artificial fertilizers containing $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, (Super) Magnes:a ( MgO ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on Turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayageri. (iii) 24th, 25th-6 1948. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) Between lines $1 \frac{z^{\prime}}{}$ and plant to plant $1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sal leaf as mulch immediately after planting. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 7, 8.2.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $30 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Ash.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 180 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
8. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +180 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
9. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MgO}+180 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
10. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
11. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MgO}+180 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Manures applied on 2.8.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$ on each side of length and $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ on each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1950 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $6610 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1282 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments are not significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 6366 |
| 2. | 6270 |
| 3. | 7225 |
| 4. | 5285 |
| 5. | 6589 |
| 6. | 6780 |
| 7. | 6525 |
| 8. | 7067 |
| 9. | 7385 |
| S.E./mean | $523.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop: ${ }^{m}$ Turmeric.

Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.

Ref:- Or. 49 (12).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of artificial fertilizers containing $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and NgO alone and in combination against Sal ash.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagri. (iii) 7.6.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 150 $\mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sal leaf immediately after plantıng as leaf mulch. (ix) $57^{\circ}$. (x) 28.121949 to 2.1.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $30 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sal ash.
3. 40 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 180 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
6. 40 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+180 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
7. $180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
9. 40 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+180 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .

Manures applied on 18, 19 August, 1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $19 \times 12^{\prime}$. (v. $1^{\prime}$ on each side of ength and 1/' on each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to $19: 0$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7971 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1234 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
iv, Av. yield of tumeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 8088 |
| 2. | $79: 9$ |
| 3. | 7196 |
| 4. | 8404 |
| 5. | 7769 |
| 6. | 7674 |
| 7. | 8247 |
| 8. | 8088 |
| 9. | 8343 |
| S.E./mean | $=504 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Turmeric.
Site :~Turmeric Res: Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Res:- Or. 50(6).
Type :-' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of artificial fertilizers containing $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5},!\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and MgO applied singly ard n combination against $S a l$ ash.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 30.6.5).
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row $1_{2}^{\prime}$, plant to plant $1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) NI.
(vi) Mother rhizomes (mixed seed). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) Mulching on 20.7 .50 to 22.7 .50 . (ix) $43^{\prime \prime}$.
(ix) 27.1.51.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no manure).
4. $30 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Sal ash.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MgO}+180 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. .
6. 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+60 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MgO}+180 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5.80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{MgO} .+180 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Artificial fertilizers applied on 12.9.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ on eack wide ef leagth and $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ on each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1950 . (b) ard (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2026 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $649.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2016 |
| 2. | 2210 |
| 3. | 2113 |
| 4. | 1629 |
| 5. | 2161 |
| S.E.mean | $=265.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Turmeric. <br> Site:- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. <br> Ref:- Or. 48(4). <br> Type :~' M '.

Object :--To find out if'Sal leaf mulch could be replaced by organic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 27 :0 ii0...48 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) Plant to plant $1^{\prime}$ and line to line $1^{\prime}$. (a) N.A (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 31.1.59.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $S a l$ leaf mulch ( $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ).
4. $280 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung ( $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ).
5. $17 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. $(112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N$)$.

Manures were applied on 27.6.48.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ along each side of length and $1^{\prime}$ along each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) NA (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $4773 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $865.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significan tly,
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5679 |
| 2. | 5065 |
| 3. | 3574 |
| S.E./mean | $=353.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Turmeric. <br> Ref :- Or. 49(20).

Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri,
Type:~' M '.
Object :-To find out if Sal leaf mulch could be replaced by other kinds of organic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis G. Udayagiri. (iii) 7.6.49. (iv) (a). N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Mixed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) \& A. (ix) $57^{*}$ (x) 15 to 17.1.50.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sal leaf mulch at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Cowdung at $280 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. G.N.C. at $17.5 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Organic manures applied on 6.6.49.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $11^{\prime}$ border along length side and $1^{\prime}$ border along breadth side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4559 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $835.9 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 4602 |
| 2. | 6305 |
| 3. | 2770 |
| S.E./mean | $=341.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ref :- Or. } 50(8) \\
\text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Object :-To find out if Sal leaf mulch could be replaced by other kinds of organic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{MgO}$ at 40 lb ./ac., Sal ash at 30 lb ./ac. (ii)
(a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis. G. Udayagiri (iii) 12,13.6.50. (lv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mother rhizomes of mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $43^{*}$. (x) 11.1.51.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sal leaf at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N
4. Compost at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. G.N. C. at 112 lb ./ac. of N .
G.N.C. and compost are applied in furrows before planting and the planting is done in these furrows. Sal leaf is applied as mulch immediately after planting.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ border along length side and $1^{\prime}$ along breadth side. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) NA.'(vi , and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $3815 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $602.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Tretment | Av. yidld |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 5555 |
| 2. | 3311 |
| 3. | 2578 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=246.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :- Or. 51(6). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri. | Type :~ ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To find out if Sal leaf mulch could be replaced by other kinds of organic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 27.551. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) ${ }_{3}$ N.A. (d) Line to line $1 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$ plant to plant $1_{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39^{*}$. (x) 10.1.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sal leaf mulch at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. F.Y.M. at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Compost at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. G.N.C. at $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $8^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $6^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $7290 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1287 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 10365 |
| 2. | 6776 |
| 3. | 5929 |
| 4. | 6091 |
| S.E./mean | $=525.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :- Or. 52(4). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out a substitute for leaf mulching by other kinds of organic manures ty conducting yield trial.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding.
(ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Sal leaf mulch.
2. F.Y.M.
3. Fresh Cow dung.
4. Compost.
5. Castor Cake.
6. Niger Cake.
7. Soil dust mulch.

Amount of manures applied N.A.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
(b) $62^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alrouad.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to $1954 . \quad$ bi No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $5181 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1847 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ bighly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 11584 |
| 2. | 5384 |
| 3. | 4916 |
| 4. | 2956 |
| 5. | 3513 |
| 6. | 3778 |
| 7. | 4137 |
| S.E./mean | $=923.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Turmeric.<br>Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ref :-Or. } 53(4) . \\
\text { Type :- }{ }^{\prime} \text { '. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Object:-Tofind out a substitute for leaf mulching by other kinds of organic manures by conducting an yield trial.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sal leaf mulch.
4. F.Y.M.
5. Fresh Cowdung.
6. Compost.
7. Castor cake.
8. Niger cake.
9. Soil dust mulch.

Amount of manures applied N.A.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (b) $62^{\prime} \times 4 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ along length side and $9^{\prime \prime}$ along breadth side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (yi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $8049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1414 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 17330 |
| 2. | 10414 |
| 3. | 6479 |
| 4. | 5933 |
| 5. | 5464 |
| 6. | 5855 |
| 7. | 4871 |
| S.E./mean | $=707.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref :- Or. 48(5).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object:-To find out the optimum dose of ash required for turmeric crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 7 to 10.5 .48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sal leaf mulch immediately after planting. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 23 to 29.1.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $30 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of ash.
5. $60 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of ash.
6. $90 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of ash
7. $120 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of ash.
8. $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of ash.
9. DESIGN
(i) L. sq. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ on each side of length and $\frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ on each side of breadth. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) $1946-1948$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $12315 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1752 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 11406 |
| 2. | 12632 |
| 3. | 11551 |
| 4. | 13584 |
| 5. | 12664 |
| 6. | 12052 |
| S.E./mean | $=715.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Turmeric.

Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.

Ref:- Or. $52(5)$.
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of varying doses of organic and inorganic N and to study their residual effect on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) $11,22.552$. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\circ}$ plough. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and $0^{\prime \prime}$ within rows. (e) N.A. (v) N A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no manure).
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .=$ Niger cake and A/S.
(2) 3 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=6 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$.
(b) $62^{\prime} \times 5 \frac{1}{1}^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $19979 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3197 lb ./ac.
(iii) Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times$ Sources is significant while all other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=18727 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.C. | 19059 | 20898 | 23300 | 21086 |
| A/S | 21716 | 18395 | 17756 | 19289 |
| Mean | 20387 | 19646 | 20528 | 20187 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N \quad=1011.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of source $\quad=825.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=14.9 .8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Turmeric.
Ref :- Or. 53(5).
Site :- Turmeric Res, Stn., G. Uda yagiri.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :--To study the effect of varying doses of organic and inorganic N and to study their residual effect on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) N.A.
(iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\circ}$ plough. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and $6^{\circ}$ within rows, (c) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Ali combinations of (1) and (2)+a Control (no manure).
(1) 2 sources of $N: N . C .=$ Nigercake and $A / S$.
(2) 3 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 7{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$.
(b) $62^{\prime \prime}-5 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5 RESULTS
(i) $21169 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $3344.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) 'Control $v s$. others', N , source effect and the interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

Control $=21658 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.C. | 21351 | 23395 | 19513 | 21420 <br> A/S |
| 19844 | 20483 | 21939 | 20755 |  |
| Mean | 20597 | 21939 | 20726 | 21087 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=1057.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of source $=863.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=1495.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Turmeric.

Ref:- Or. 48(6).
Site :- Turmeric Resi Stn. G. Udayagiri.
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out if sal leaf mulch could te replaced by other kinds of leaf mulch and green manuring or not.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagin. (iii) 25.7.49.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) Plant to plant $1^{\prime}$ line to line $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1,2.2.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
4 manures : $\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ Sal leaf at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{L}_{2}=$ Mixed leaf at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{L}_{3}=$ Dhaincha at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{L}_{4}=$ Sunnhemp at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 nethods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied as leaf mulch and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied as G.M.
3. DESIGN
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Each sub-plot is bounded by bunds $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ side and $1^{\prime}$ high on all sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $641.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $173.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $204.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 617.6 | 741.1 | 784.0 | 552.1 | 673.7 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 577.3 | 660.4 | 534.4 | 663.0 | 608.8 |
| Mean | 597.4 | 700.7 | 659.2 | 670.6 | 641.2 |

S.E. of differcnce of two

| 1. $L$ marginal means | $=86.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. M marginal means | $=72.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $M$ means at the same levcl of $L$ | $=144.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. L means at the same level of M | $=134.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Ref-Or. 49(15).<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Sub-Stn., G. Udayagiri<br>Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To find out if sal leaf mulch could be replaced by other kinds of leaf mulch or not.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 11 to 14.8.59. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14 to 15.2.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :-
4 manures : $\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ Sal leaf at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{Mxed}$ leaf at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{L}_{3}=$ Dhaincha at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{L}_{4}=$ Sunnhemp at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments :-
2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied as leaf mulch and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied as G. M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Sp.it plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 12$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ along length and $11^{\prime}$ along breadth on both sides. (v) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4355 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $666.3 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1368.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 4194 | 4073 | 4516 | 4073 | 42.4 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 4799 | 4339 | 3831 | 4516 | 4496 |
| Mean | 4496 | 4456 | 4173 | 4295 | 4355 |

S.E. of difference between two

1. L marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =333.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =483.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae} . \\
& =967.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =761.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. M marginal means
3. $M$ means at the same level of $L$
4. L maans at the same level of M

Cróp:- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri,

## Ref :-Or. 49 (11). <br> Type:-‘'C’.

Object :-To study the effect of partial shade on the growth and yield of turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) Sal ash at $30,60,90,120,150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 15.6 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Turmeric alone.
4. Turmeric + Sunnhemp at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. Turmeric + Arhar at $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Sunnhemp and Arhar to be sown along with turmeric in order to provide partial shade for a prolonged period.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $\mathbf{~} 0^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Border lfet. Details N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1754 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $279.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2042 |
| 2. | 1996 |
| 3. | 1225 |
| S.E./mean | $=139.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Ref :-Or. 50 (9).<br>Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiai.

Object :-To study the effect of partial shade on the growth and yield of turmeric.
1- BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagerici. (iii) 16.6.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows with 8 lines/per plot and 20 plants/line. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row is $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ plant to plant is $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed of turmeric mother rhizomes. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $43^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Turmeric alone.
2. Turmeric + Sunnhemp at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Turmeric +Arhar at 10 lb ./ac.

Sunnhemp and Arhar sown along with turmeric and harvested for seed in order to provide partial shade for a prolonged period. It is sown in lines alternating with lines of turmeric so that there are 7 lines in a plot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) NA. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $190.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 1089 |
| 2. | 1029 |
| 3. | 1029 |
| S.E./mean | $=77.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :- Or. $51(2)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri. | Type :-‘C'. |

Object :-To study the effect of partial shade on the growth and yield of turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 15.6 .51 . (iv) (a) and (c) N.A. (d) Arhar was sown i, lines alternately with turmeric. Turmeric was planted with spacing $1 \frac{1}{2} \times 1^{\circ}$ Sunnhemp was braadcast immediately before planting turmeric. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unir igated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 39". (x) Sunnhemp : 23.10.51. Turmeric : 11.1.52 and Arhaı : 17.2.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Turmeric alone.
4. Turmeric with Sunnhemp.
5. Turmeric with Arhar.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $8^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $6^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A (iii) Yieid of turmeric before draige. (iv) (a) Yes 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (b, N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) 2549 lb ./ac.
(ii) $657.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1, | 2637 |
| 2. | 27.9 |
| 3. | 2300 |
| S.E./mean | $=272.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Tumeric.<br>Site :- Tumeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.<br>Ref:-Or. 49(13).<br>Type:- 'C'.

Object :--To study the relative meritrs of planting whole mother rhizomes versus cut mother rhizomes with a view to reduce the seed rate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagri. (iii) 23.6 .49 (iv) (a) N A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.2.50.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Whole mother rhizomes.
4. Whole mothers rhizomes cut into 2 pieces.
5. Whole mother rhizomes cut into 3 pieces.

4: Whole mother rhizomes cut into 4 pieces.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 4^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) NA.. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3464 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1008.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5354 |
| 2. | 4386 |
| 3. | 2087 |
| 4. | 2027 |
| S.E./mean | $=451.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref :- Or. 50(7).
Type : ' C '.
Object :-To study the relative merits of planting whole mother rhizomes versus cut mother rhizones with a view to reduce the seed rate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 22.6.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing of seed in furrows with 5 lines/plot and 19 setts/line. (c) N.A. (d) Line to line $1 \frac{k^{\prime}}{}$, plant to plant $1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed of turmeric. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Mulching on 226.50 . (ix) $43^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.2:51.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Whole mother rhizomes.
4. Mother rhizomes cut in to two pieces.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 2 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Mild attack of caterpillar in some plots. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $3587 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1079 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 4112 |
| 2. | 3062 |
| S.E./mean | $=440.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.
Ref:- Or. 51(4).
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.
Type:-'C'.

Object :--To study the merits of planting whole mother rhizomes versus cut mother rhizomes on the yield of Turmeric.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (ii) 28.5.51.
(iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{*}$ plough. (b) Planting in furrows. 'c) N.A. (d) Line to line $1^{\prime}$, seed to seed $6^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) Weeding, mulching. ix) $39^{* *}$ (x) 22.1 .52 to 23.1.52.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Whole mother rhizomes.
2. Whole mother rhizomes cut into 2 pieces.
3. Whole mother rhizomes cut into 3 pieces.
4. Whole mother rhizomes cut into 4 pieces.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric tefore driage. (iv) (a) 1999 to 1951. (b) Nil. (c) :.A.A (w, (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $17134 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2273 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 23522 |
| 3. | 18005 |
| 3. | 15342 |
| 4. | 11665 |
| S.E./mean | $=927.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Turmeric.
Site :-Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udaya giri.
Ref:-Or. 52(2).
Type :-'C'.

Object :-To study the optimum depth and time of planting turmeric rhizomes.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil anolysis, G. Udcyagiri. (iii) As per reatments. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
6 sowing dates: $D_{1}=1$ sth April, $D_{2}=30$ th April, $D_{3}=15$ th May, $D_{4}=30$ th May, $D_{5}=14$ th June and $D_{6}=29$ th Junc.
Sub-plot treatments -
4 depths of sowing: $C_{1}=1 \frac{1}{\prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=3^{\prime \prime}, C_{3}=41^{\prime \prime}$ and $C_{4}=6^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 72^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $18452 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $4697.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $3219.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only dates of sowing effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 20715 | 18973 | 22361 | 22893 | 13697 | 8567 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 18973 | 18392 | 24055 | 21296 | 17908 | 9196 | 18303 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 19215 | 23038 | 23619 | 24006 | 15585 | 10745 | 19368 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 18053 | 21393 | 22651 | 22022 | 14810 | 1069 | 18271 |
| Mean | 19239 | 20449 | 23172 | 22554 | 15500 | 9801 | 18452 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $D$ marginal means | $=1485.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $C$ marginal means | $=831.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $C$ means at the same level of $D=2036.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $C=2305.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:-Turmeric.
Site :~Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref:-Or. 53 (2).
Type:-'C'.

Object:-To study the optimum depth and time of planting turmeric rhizomes.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Lldayagiri. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $\dot{6}^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
6 sowing dates : $D_{1}=15$ th April, $D_{2}=30$ th April, $D_{3}=15$ th May, $D_{4}=30$ th May, $D_{5}=14$ th June and $\mathrm{D}_{6}=29$ th June.
Sub-plot treatments :-
4 depths of sowing : $C_{1}=1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=3^{\prime \prime}, C_{3}=4 \cdot \frac{12^{\prime \prime}}{}$ and $C_{4}=6^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block ; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $32 \times 7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c, N.A. (v) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) 26331 lb ./ac.
(ii) (a) $6067.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $3622.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Dates of sowing effect is highly significant, depths of sowing effect is highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | $D_{4}$ | $D_{5}$ | $D_{6}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 23619 | 24297 | 27540 | 22942 | 29088 | 18731 | 24369 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 25216 | 24442 | 31750 | 26717 | 33541 | 20183 | 26975 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 26330 | 25555 | 29863 | 27056 | 30637 | 21635 | 26846 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 22409 | 26620 | 32041 | 31266 | 29572 | 20909 | 27136 |
| Mean | 24393 | 25228 | 30298 | 26995 | 30709 | 20364 | 26331 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=1918.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $C$ marginal means | $=935.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $C$ means at the same level of $D=2291.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $C=2760.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Turmeric. } & \text { Ref :- Or. 49(16). } \\ \text { Site :~ Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. } & \text { Type :- 'C'. }\end{array}$
Object :-To study the relative merits of planting mother and daughter rhizomes of turmeric with different spacing and to find out the right type of seed material.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 3, 5.5.49. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Guttama (N.A.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sal leaf mulch applied immediately after planting. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.12.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
4 spacings: $S_{1}=9^{*}, S_{2}=12^{*}, S_{3}=15^{*}$ and $S_{4}=18^{*}$.
Sub-plot treatments:-
2 types of seed meterial : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=$ Daughter rhizomes and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Mother rhizomes.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1945-continuing. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $14034 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $4809 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 2139 lb ./ac.
(iii) $\mathbf{R}$ effect is highly significant, interaction $R \times S$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | 16226 | 19481 | 17854 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 10950 | 15682 | 13316 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 10757 | 14157 | 12457 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 13153 | 11870 | 12512 |
| Mean | 12771 | 15297 | 14034 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=2151 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=676 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=1353 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=2354 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.<br>\section*{Ref :- Or. 50(14).<br><br>Type :- 'C'.}

Object :-To study the relative merits of planting mother and daughter rhizomes of turmeric with differeot spacings and to find out the right type of seed material and optimum spacing required.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric (two years crop). (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 19.5.50. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Though mulching with sal leaf was done at the same time in ' $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ' and ' $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ' plots yet early sprouting took place in ' $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ' plots. (ix) 43 '. (x) 29.12.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
6 spacings : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{5}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{6}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :-
2 types of seed material : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Daughter rhizomes and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Mother rhizomes.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ along length side and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ along breadth side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Sprouts were stouter in $R_{1}$ than in $R_{2}$. Stand of crop better in $R_{1}$ than in $\mathbf{R}_{2}$. Colour of leaves deep green in $R_{1}$ while yeilowish green in $R_{2}$. No difference in dates of maturity. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric. (iv)
(a) 1945-continued.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 13899 lb ./ac.
(ii) (a) $2270 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 1432 lb ./ac.
(iii) $S$ effect and interaction $S \times R$ are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{6}$ | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 10797 | 13217 | 14427 | 15450 | 16474 | 14892 | 14210 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 7167 | 10611 | 11448 | 12658 | 18057 | 21598 | 13589 |
| Mean | 8982 | 11914 | 12937 | 14054 | 17265 | 18242 | 13899 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=1135.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=413.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=1013.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=1342.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Turmeric.
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref: Or. 52 (1).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To study the relative merits of planting mother and daughter rhizomes of turmeric with different spacing required in planting.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) Date of planting 18.5.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\circ \prime}$ plough. (b) Planting. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatm (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding, mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :-
6 spacings : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{5}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{8}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :-
2 types of seed material : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=$ Daughter rhizomes and $\mathbf{R}_{2}=$ Mother rhizomes.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots;block, 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}, 15^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ or $15^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$ as per spacings. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on each side of breadth and $1^{\prime}$ on each side of length. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $31332 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $9124.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 10011.3 lb . fc .
(iii) $S$ effect and interaction $S \times R$ are significant while $R$ effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{6}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 19965 | 20663 | 31134 | 27783 | 20663 | 21361 | 23595 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 21640 | 36300 | 33089 | 41047 | 46631 | 55707 | 39069 |
| Mean | 20802 | 28481 | 32111 | 34415 | 33647 | 38534 | 31332 |

S.E. of difference of two.

| 1. $S$ marginal means. | $=4562.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means. | $=2890.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$ |  |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ |  |

Crop:- Turmeric.
Ref:- Or. 53 (1)52 (1).
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Type : $\quad$ ' $C$ '.

Object:-To study the relative merits of planting mother and daughter rhizomes of turmeric with different spacings so as to know the right type of seed material and the spacing required in planting.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) NA. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil apalysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :-

Main-plot treatments:
6 spacings : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{5}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{6}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :-
2 types of seed material : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=$ Daughter rhizomes and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Mother rhizomes.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block, 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}, 15^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$, $15^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$, or $15^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ according to spacing. (b) $14^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on each side of breadth and $6^{\prime \prime}$ on each side of length. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $6754 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $2358.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1873.0 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only spacing effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 3500 | 5315 | 6288 | 6482 | 8945 | 8297 | 6471 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 4473 | 6106 | 6547 | 7143 | 7454 | 10501 | 7037 |
| Mean | 3986 | 5710 | 6417 | 6812 | 8199 | 9399 | 6754 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means $\quad=1179.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. R marginal means $\quad=540.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S \quad=1324.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R=1505.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Turmeric.
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.

Ref:- Or. 48(7).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To study the relative merits of planting turmeric on flat beds versus ridges of different widths.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil a nalysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) -6,18.5.48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Rucabi.thingia. (vii) Unirrrigated. (viii) 150 md ./ac. of sal leaf mulch applied immediately after planting. (ix) 9"。 (x) 14 to 17.2.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Flat beds $27^{\prime \prime}$ wide with 18 lines of turmeric leaving $9^{\prime \prime}$ at either side of the bed. Line to line $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
2. Ridges $3^{\prime \prime}$ wide, furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide in a plot $27^{\prime}$ wide i.e., 18 ridges and 18 furrows. One line of turmeric on each ridge. Total 18 line per plot.
3. Ridges $2^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide in a plot $27^{\prime}$ wide i.e., 9 ridges and 9 furrows. Two lines to start leaving $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ on either side of the ridge.
4. Ridges $3^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide in a plot in $27^{\prime}$ wide i.e., 6 ridges and 6 furrows. Three lines of turmeric on each ridge. Total 18 lines per plot, lines to start leaving $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ or either side-line to line $1 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ in all cases.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $62^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ and $1^{1^{\prime}}$ on either side $0_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ length and breadth. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $4996 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $975.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5549 |
| 2. | 4280 |
| 3. | 4904 |
| 4. | 5251 |
| S.E./mean | $=398.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :-Or 49 (21), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. | Type :-'C'. |

Object :-To study the relative merits of planting turmeric on flat beds and on ridges.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G.Ucayagini. (iii) 25 to 28.5 . 9 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (v) NA. (vi) Rudabithingia. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2 to 10.1.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Flat bed spacing between lines $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$.
2. $9^{\prime}$ ridge.
3. $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ ridge.
4. $3^{\prime}-9^{*}$ ridge.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. ((iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $62^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ and $11_{2}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{cn}$ either side of length and breadth. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $4285 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $704.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 4322 |
| 2. | 4165 |
| 3. | 4284 |
| 4. | 4369 |
| S.E./mean | $=287.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn. G. Udayagiri.

Ref:- Or. 50(4).
Type : ' C '.
Object :-To study the relative merits of planting turmeric on flat beds and on ridges of varying width.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 25.5.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Rudabthingia. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $43^{*}$. (x) 20.1.51.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Flat beds $64^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$ with 18 lines $1 \frac{11^{\prime}}{}$ apart.
2. Ridges $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide. 18 lines, 1 line per ridge $1 \frac{1}{3}^{\prime}$ apart with 64 plants/line.
3. Ridges $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide, 18 lines and 64 plants per line.
4. Ridge; $3^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide- 18 lines, 3 lines per ridge $1 \frac{1}{\prime^{\prime}}$ apart with 64 plants/line.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $62^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ on either: side of length and breadth. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $2385 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $419.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2608 |
| 2. | 2212 |
| 3. | 2206 |
| 4. | 2514 |
| S.E./mean | $=171.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.
Ref:- Or. 51(5).
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.
Type :- 'C'.

Objeet :-To study the merits of planting turmeric on flat beds and on ridges of varying widths.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 27, 28.4.51. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Mulching on 27, 28.4.51; weeding. (ix) $39^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.2.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Flat bed.
4. Ridges $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide, 12 lines , 1 line/ridge and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ apart.
5. Ridges $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide, 12 lines, 2 lines/ridge.
6. Ridges $3^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ wide and furrows $9^{\prime \prime}$ wide, 12 lines, 3 lines/ridge.
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ on either side of length and breadth. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) $1946-1951$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $13551 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1196.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 15522 |
| 2. | 12272 |
| 3. | 13344 |
| 4. | 13067 |
| S.E./mean | $=488.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Turmeric.

Ref: Or. 49(8).
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.
Type:- ' C '.
Object :-To study the effect of placement of different types of seed material (depth of planting).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 25.6 .49 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.2.50.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Mother rhizomes placed below $1^{\prime \prime}$ of the surface.
4. Mother rhizomes placed below $2^{\prime \prime}$ of the surface.
5. Mother rhizomes placed below $3^{\prime \prime}$ of the surface.
6. Mother rhizomes placed below $4^{*}$ of the surface.
7. Mother rhizomes placed below $5^{\prime \prime}$ of the surface.
8. Mother rhizomes placed below $6^{* \prime}$ of the surface.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $5^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric. (iv) (a) No. (b) Nil. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $5133 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
12. 5615
13. 5513
$3 . \quad 4730$
$4 . \quad 5853$
$5 . \quad 5173$
$6 . \quad 3914$
S.E. $/$ mean $=725.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :- Or. 49(9). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. | Type :- 'X'. |

Object :-To study the effect of mixed cropping of Turmeric with early Paddy and Arhar on the yield of Turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) Sal ash at $30,60,90,120,150 \mathrm{md}$;ac. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Kefer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 13.6 49. iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $10,11.2 .50$.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Turmeric alone.
4. Turmeric +early paddy at 40 lb ./ac.
5. Turmeric + Arhar at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1619 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $533.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
$1 . \quad 2496$
9. 1452
10. 908
S.E. $/$ mean. $=266.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Turmeric.<br>Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri.<br>Ref:- Or. 50(3).<br>Type:-'X'.

Object :-To study the effect of mixed cropping of Turmeric with early Paddy and Arhar on the yield of Turmeric.

## 1 BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 15.6.50.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) Plant to plant $1^{\prime}$ and row $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi)

Paddy (73-8) early. Arhar :-Bold grain. Turmeric :-Mother rhizomes of mixed seed. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Paddy 23.10.50; Arhar 16.12.50 and Turmeric 3.1.51.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Turmeric alone.
2. Turmeric+early paddy (73-8) at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Turmeric + Arhar (Bold grain) at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. DESIGN:
(i) R B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of raw turmeric. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $1080 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $277.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1211 |
| 2. | 908 |
| 3. | 1120 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.
Ref:- Or. 51(3).
Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. Type :- 'X'.
Object :-To study the effect of mixed cropping of Turmeric with early Pad dy and Arhar on the yield of Turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Turmeric. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 11.6.51. (iv) (a) to (e) Paddy was broadcast immediately before planting turmeric at 20 lb ./ac. Turmeric was planted $\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$ line to line and $l^{\prime}$ seed to seed in all plots of all combinations. Arhar was sown in between the lines of turmeric $1 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{}$ line to line and $1_{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ seed to seed. (v) Nil. (vi) Paddy 73-8 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $39^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Paddy 23.10.51; Turmeric 12.1.52 : Arhar 17.2. n2.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Turmeric alone.
2. Turmeric + Arhar (Bold grain).
3. Turmeric+early paddy
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1949 to 195I. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $2256 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $479.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2736 |
| 2. | 1786 |
| 3. | 2247 |
| S.E/mean | $=239.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref:- Or. 52(3). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stte :- Turmeric Res. Stn, G. Udayagiri. | Type :- ' $X$ '. |

Object :-To study the effest of mixed cropping on Turmeric with Ragi, Dhaincha and Sunnhemp.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis. G. C dayagiri. (iii) 12.6 .52. (iv) (a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to (e) Nil. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Turmeric alone.
4. Turmeric + Dhaincha broadcast at $8 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. Turmeric + Sunnhemp broadcast at 12 srs./ac.
6. Turmeric + Ragi broadcast at 5 srs/ac.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric before driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $3182 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $438.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb .ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3440 |
| 2. | 3676 |
| 3. | 3225 |
| 4. | 2386 |
| S.E./mean | $=179.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Turmeric. | Ref :-Or. 53(3). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Turmeric Res. Stn., G. Udayagiri. | Type :m 'X' |

Object :-To sudy the effect of mixed cropping of Turmeric with Ragi, Dhaincha and Sunnhemp.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil.
(b) N.A. (c) N.A.
(ii) (a) Laterite.
(b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) Ploughing with $6^{\prime \prime}$ plough. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weedirg and mulching, (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Turmeric alone.
4. Turmeric + Dhaincha (broadcast at $8 \mathrm{sr} . / \mathrm{ac}$.)
5. Turmeric+Sunnhemp (broadcast at $12 \mathrm{sr} . / \mathrm{ac}$.).
6. Turmeric + Ragi (broadcast at $5 \mathrm{sr} . / \mathrm{ac}$.).
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 132^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of turmeric kefore driage. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $5947 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $800.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5790 |
| 2. | 5450 |
| 3. | 6758 |
| 4. | 5790 |
| S.E./mean | $=327.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Tapioca. | Ref :- Or. 52 (13). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To compare different spacings and method of planting on Tapioca yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, G. Udayagiri. (iii) 20.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 31.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
9 spacings : $S_{1}=2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{2}=2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{5}=4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}, S_{6}=4^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}, S_{7}=6^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{8}=6^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$, and $S_{9}=6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 methods of planting : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ On ridges and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{On}$ maunds.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $14^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$, (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (i)
(ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tapioca. (iv)
(iv) (a) 1952-1953.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Nil.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5362 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $3591 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1923.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tapioca in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{9}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 4126 | 4798 | 5433 | 3948 | 4099 | 6670 | 5363 | 5403 | 6365 | 5145 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 5690 | 7033 | 4761 | 3639 | 6900 | 6900 | 5227 | 4964 | 5103 | 5950 |
| Mean | 4958 | 5915 | 5097 | 3793 | 5499 | 6785 | 5295 | 5183 | 5734 | 5362 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=1795.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $M$ marginal means
$=453.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. M means at the same level of $S$
$=1360.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of M
$=2036.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Tapioca.
Site :- State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar.

Ref:~Or. 53 (13) 52 (13).
Type: ' C '.

Object:-To compare the different spacings and methods of planting Tapioca.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Tapioca. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, G.

Udayagiri. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. id) As uader treatments. (e, N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. ix: N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
$y$ spacings : $S_{1}=2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{2}=2 \times 4^{\prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}, S_{4}=4 \times 2, S_{5}=4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}, S_{3} \times 4^{\prime} \leqslant 6^{\prime}, S_{7}=6^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{8}=b^{\prime}>4^{\prime}$ and $S_{9}=6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
Sub-plut treatments:
2 methods of planting: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ on ridges and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ on maunds.
3. DESIGN
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $14^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (b) $1: \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tapioca. (iv) (a, 1952 to 953 . (b) Yes. (c N.A. (v, (a) No. (t, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. REiULTS :
(i) $13111 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $3554.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $3557 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tapioca in Ib ./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{8}$ | $S_{9}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ | 10143 | 11891 | $135 \cdot 5$ | 17125 | 1539 | 1.579 | 13546 | 9043 | 13733 | 13390 |
| $M$ | 12539 | 14414 | 10975 | 13888 | 13839 | 1342 | 12527 | 1414 | 9293 | 12333 |
| Mean | 11341 | 14153 | 12265 | 15506 | 14865 | 1478 | 13036 | 11448 | 11513 | 13111 |

two

1. $S$ marginal means

$$
\begin{array}{r}
177.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
=838.4 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac} \\
=2515.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} \\
=2514.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

2. M marginal means
3. M means at the same level of $S$
4. S means at the same level of M

Crop:- Sweetpotato.
Site :- State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar.

Ref :- Or. 52(15).
Type :m 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubanesuar. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, breaking of clods, laddering, (b) and (c) N.A. (d) Rows 3 apart. (e) N.A. (v) (i) No dressing. (ii) F.Y.M. (iii) Grean leaf. Each basal dressing for two replications entirely. (v) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of N is $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Potash.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 84^{\prime}$ (main-plot), $15^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ (sub-plot). (b) $9^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (v) In each sub-plot 3 rows, $1^{\prime}$ length wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sweetpotato. (iv) (a) 1952 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1285 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $890.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $625.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main-plot treatment effect is significant. Further sub-division shows that only N effect is highly significant while others not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sweetpotato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | Pr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 785 | 803 | 998 | 862 | 831 | 893 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1141 | 1327 | 1456 | 1308 | 1145 | 1470 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1944 | 1142 | 1967 | 1684 | 1544 | 182: |
| Mean | 1290 | 1091 | 1474 | 1285 | 1174 | 1395 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1073 | 897 | 1551 | 1174 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1507 | 1284 | 1395 | 1395 |  |  |

S.E. of marginal means of N or K
S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$
S.E. of difference of two

1. P marginal means $=120.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. P means at the same level of $N \times K=208.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N or K means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=256.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop: Sweetpotato.
Site :- State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar.

Ref:- Or. 53(12)/52(15).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied alone and in combination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sweetpotato. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubaneswar. (iii) 17.7.53. (iv) ( $a_{l}$ and (b) 6 ploughngs, laddering and forming ridges. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Reps. I and VI : F.Y.M. at 2 C.L./rep. Reps II and III : Nob asal dressing, Reps IV : and V: G.L. at 3 C.L./rep. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Twice hoeing and weeding, gap filling on 7.8.53. training vines on 18.9.53. (ix) N.A. (x) 10.2.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments : -
All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of N is $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Potash.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 8.4^{\prime}$ (main-plot) ; $15^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ (sub-plot). (b) $9^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ (sub-plot). (v) In each sub-plot 3 ows $3^{\prime}$ apart ; $1^{\prime}$ length wise (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sweetpotato. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3647 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $2184 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1315 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatment effect, N and NP are highly significant. Others effects are not significant.

|  | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2950. | 2399 | 1531 | 2293 | 2154 | 2433 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4294 | 4700 | 3799 | 4264 | 4450 | 4078 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 5227 | 3636 | 4292 | 4385 | 3812 | 4958 |
| Mean | 4157 | 3578 | 3207 | 3647 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 3776 | 3375 | 3266 | 3472 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4538 | 3782 | 3148 | 3823 |  |  |

S.E. of marginal means of N or K
S.E. of body of table $N \times K$
S.E. of difference of two

1. P marginal means
2. P means at the same level of N or K
3. N or K means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
$=364.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=630.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
$=248.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=438.4 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=601.0 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Sweetpotato.<br>Ref:- Or. 52(14).<br>Site :- State Res. Stn. Bhubaneswar.<br>Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out optimum spacing and method of planting Sweetpotato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubaneswar. (iii) 11.7.52. (iv) (a) Four lines ploughing, breaking clods, twice laddering. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L. F.Y.M./ac. Manuring with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 50 lb ./ac., $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 80 lb ./ac. and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 10 lb ./ac. 2 months after planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings, twice weeding, once training vines and twice earthing. (ix) N.A. (x) 8.12.55.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
 and $S_{9}=3^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:-
2 methods of planting: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ On flat beds and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ On ridges
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $13^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $\frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sweetpotato. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) Yes. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1380 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 'a) $695.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $780.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only methods of planting effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sweetpotato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{9}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 690 | 853 | ¢07 | 1355 | 1010 | 1313 | 1779 | 908 | 484 | 1033 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 932 | 1307 | 1543 | 2390 | 2505 | 2184 | 1434 | 1289 | 1966 | 1728 |
| Mean | 811 | 1080 | 1225 | 1872 | 1757 | 1748 | 1606 | 1098 | 1225 | 1380 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=347.9 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2. $M$ marginal means | $=184.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $M$ means at the same level of $S$ |  |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $M$ |  |
|  | $=551.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |

Crop :- Sweetpotato.
Site : State Res. Stn., Bhubaneswar.

Ref :- Or, 53(14)/52(14). Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and method of planting Sweetpotato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sweetpotato. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhubaneswar. (iii) 2.8.53. iv) (a) 4 ploughings, breaking clods twice and laddering (b) As under treatments. (c) to (e)N.A. (v) 5 C.L. compost, $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Super $55 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Pot. Sul. 295 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to whole exptl. plot. (vi) N.A. (vii)Irrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings, twice weeding, once training vines and twice earthing. (ix) N.A. (x) 22.12.53
2. TREATMENTS :

```
Main-plot treatments:-
    9 spacings: \(\quad S_{1}=1^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, \quad S_{2}=1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}, \quad S_{4}=2^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, \quad S_{5}=2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, \quad S_{6}=2^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}, \quad S_{7}=3^{\prime} \times 6^{\circ}\),
        \(\mathrm{S}_{8}=3^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\). and \(\mathrm{S}_{9}=3^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}\).
Sub-plot treatments :-
    2 methods of planting : \(\mathrm{M}_{1}=\) On flat beds and \(\mathrm{M}_{2}=\) On ridges.
```

3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $\mathfrak{3}^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) :' all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sweetpotato. (iv) (a) $1952_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ to 1953. (b) Ycs. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N. (b) N.A. (vi; and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4365 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $182+\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1758 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sweetpotato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{8}$ | S ${ }_{9}$ | Mran |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 6154 | 4727 | 4036 | 3791 | 3403 | 2959 | 3535 | 3819 | 3507 | 399 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 6031 | 5199 | 5691 | 4840 | 4093 | 4575 | 5237 | 2675 | 4301 | :.38 |
| Mean | 6092 | 4963 | 4863 | 4315 | 3748 | 3767 | 436 | 3.17 | 3904 | 4.365 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. S marginal means |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. M marginal means | $=912.0 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. M means at the same level of S |  |
| 4. S means at the same level of M. |  |
|  | $=414.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |
|  | $=1243.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: P Potato.
Site :- Rice Res. Stn. Jeypore.

Ref:- Or. 49(6).
TYpe :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effects of oil cake and A/S alone and in combination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jeypore. (iii) 5.11.49. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=80, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=100$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=$ Oilcake and $S_{3}=A / S$ + oilcake.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $9^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Effected by red ants. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) No. (bi to (c) -. (v) (a) NA. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 8548 lb ./ac.
(ii) $2156 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control=5387 lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 11134 | 8483 | 7403 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 7216 | 9679 | 10557 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 7807 | 9031 | $\mathbf{i} 8786$ |
| Mean | 8719 | 9064 | 8915 |
| 907 |  |  |  |
| 85151 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =718.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =124.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

| Crop :- Colocasia. | Ref :- Or. 53(11). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Res. Stn. Bhubaneswar. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To siudy the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ alone and in combination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Application of compost for replications II and IV. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x. N.A

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of N is $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $12^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime}$ (Sub-plot). (b) $8^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ row all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield. (iv) (a) 1st year. (b) -. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3656 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $1710.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $901.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatment and sub-plot treatment effects are highly significant. N and $\mathbf{P}$ effects are highly significant. K and NP effects are significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of colocasia in lb .1 ac .

|  | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1762 | 2625 | 2679 | 2356 | 2228 | 2483 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4168 | 3579 | 5408 | 4385 | 3593 | 5177 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3415 | 4429 | 4837 | 4227 | 3508 | 4946 |
| Mean | 3115 | 3544 | 4308 | 3636 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2665 | 3131 | 3532 | 3110 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3555 | 3958 | 5084 | 4202 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of N or K S.E. of body of table | $\begin{aligned} & =285.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =493.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| S.E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. P marginal means | $=212.4 \mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. P means at the same level of N or K | $=367.9 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N or K means at the same level of P | $=558.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Groundnut. | Ref :- Or. 52(6). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :~Rice Res. Sub-Stn., Berhampore. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different cultural practices on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis. Berhampore. (iii) 266.52.
(iv) (a) As under treatments. (b) Dibbling behind plough. (c: 34 lb 6 oz ac. (d) Line to line $1 \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}$. (e) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi) A.H. 685. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Earthing, weeding and 3 boeings. (ix 31". (x) 26.10.52.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 2 ploughings and one hoeing.
4. 4 ploughings and two hoeings.
5. 6 ploughings and two hoeings.
6. 4 ploughings and three hoeines.
7. 6 ploughings and three hocings.

1st hoeing on 22.7.52, 2nd hoeing on 31.7 .52 and 3 rd hoeing on 11.8.52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $44^{\prime} \times 53^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. b: $4 i^{\prime} \times y^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Weight of kernels. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) -. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1821 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $255.0 \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kerneis in lb . ac .

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1550 |
| 2. | 1839 |
| 3. | $182^{\circ}$ |
| 4. | 2009 |
| 5. | 1880 |
| S.E./mean | $=127.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Arhar and Groundnut.<br>Site :- Rice Res. SubrStn., Berhampore.

## Ref :- Or. 52 (7).

Type :- 'X'.
Object :-To study the effect of mixed cropping experiment on A.H. 477 and Big Arhar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 27.5.52. (iv) (a) Ploughing more than $6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) Dibbling in lines $8 / 10$ lines in G.N. and $3 / 1$ line in Arhar in a Strip of mixed cropping. (c) N.A. (d) Line to line $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and plant to plant $9^{\prime \prime}$ in case of groundnut $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ in case of Arhar (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) A.H. 477. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and hoeing. (ix) 31". (x) 29.12.1952. and 14 1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Arhar alone.
2. Groundnut alone.
3. Arhar one line, Groundnut 2 lines.
4. Arhar one line, Groundnut 5 lines.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $44 \frac{1}{2} \times 15 \frac{1}{2}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A, (iii) Weight of Arhar and Groundnut pod taken separately. (iv) (a) Not continued. (b) Nil. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $409.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $95.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 270.6 |
| 2. | 414.6 |
| 3. | 498.7 |
| 4. | 453.3 |
| S.E./mean | $=47.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Nagpur Santra. <br> Site :~ Fruit Res. Stn. Angul.

Ref :m Or. 53(2).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To study the response of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ separately and in combination on the yield of Santra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Fallow. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Angul. (iii) Budding. (iv) Nagpur Santra. cn Khandia Local root stock. (v) July $1950,28^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) 1 year. (vii) G. M. with Dhaincha at 30 lb./ac. of seed applied before rains. (viii) 3 ploughings a year. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $45.51^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) No harvest.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Partially Confd. (ii) 3 blocks/replication; 9 plots/block. (iii) 2 . (iv) 4 . (v) One tree on all sides.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(1) N.A. (ii) Termites. No treated plants replaced." (iii) Diameter of stock and seion and height. (iv) (a) 1953-54 (I yr.). (b) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $10.90^{\prime \prime}$.
(ii) $1.02^{\circ}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. girth in inches.


[^0]
[^0]:    S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=0.24^{\prime \prime}$.
    S.E. of body of tables
    $=0.42^{*}$.

