


DOI : <http://doi.org/10.22438/jeb/39/3/MRN-593>

JEB™

p-ISSN: 0254-8704  
e-ISSN: 2394-0379  
CODEN: JEBIDP

# A five years study on the selection of rice based cropping systems in Goa, for west coast region of India



## Authors Info

**B.L. Manjunath<sup>1</sup>, V. Paramesh<sup>2\*</sup>,  
G.R. Mahajan<sup>2</sup>, K.V. Reddy<sup>2</sup>,  
B. Das<sup>2</sup> and N.P. Singh<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>NRM Section, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru-560 089, India

<sup>2</sup>NRM Section, ICAR- Central Coastal Agricultural Research Institute, Goa-403 402, India

<sup>3</sup>NRM Section, ICAR- National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati-413 115, India

\*Corresponding Author Email : [parameshagron@gmail.com](mailto:parameshagron@gmail.com)

## Key words

Cropping system  
Economic analysis  
Energy ratio  
Nutrient balance  
Residue recycling

## Publication Info

Paper received : 18.02.2017  
Revised received : 03.06.2017  
Re-revised received : 04.08.2017  
Accepted : 26.09.2017


## Abstract

**Aim :** Field experiments were conducted for five years during 2010-11 to 2014-15 to select productive and profitable rice based cropping systems for West coast region of India at ICAR-Central Coastal Agricultural Research Institute, Goa.

**Methodology :** Cropping systems viz. rice-cowpea, rice-groundnut, rice-brinjal and rice-sweet corn were tested for their production potential, economic feasibility, nutrient balance and energy budgeting along with rice-fallow as control.

**Results :** The data indicated significantly higher rice equivalent yield ( $23.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) with rice-sweet corn followed by rice-brinjal ( $14.1 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) as compared to a predominant rice-fallow system of the region ( $5.50 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). Higher potential usable residue was also found higher with rice-sweet corn system ( $36.9 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). Results of the energy ratio (46.5), specific energy ( $0.65 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$ ) and energy productivity ( $1.52 \text{ kg MJ}^{-1}$ ) indicate that the rice-sweet corn is most energy efficient system among all the evaluated systems. Although, rice-brinjal system depicted higher onfarm employment potential ( $217 \text{ man days ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) with an increase of 317 % over monocropping, while the economic analysis indicated higher net return (₹. 95350) and benefit cost ratio (2.57) in rice-sweet corn system. The nutrient balance were positive for N and P, while in all the cropping systems the K balance was found negative.

**Interpretation :** The rice-sweet corn system was found to be the most productive, economical and energy efficient cropping system for the West coast region of India under protective irrigated conditions.



## Introduction

West coast plains and Ghats region of India has a warm humid tropical climate with mean annual rainfall of 280 cm. The main crops of the region include rice, sugarcane, cowpea, groundnut, plantation crops and spices. The operational land holdings in this region are highly fragmented with more than 80% of the holdings are less than one hectare (Korikanthimath and Manjunath, 2009). The undulating topography coupled with high rainfall during *Kharif* and flooding by drained water from uplands making rice as most suitable crop for this region. Majority farmers take up single crop of rice leaving the land fallow during *rabi* although these lowlands have a huge potential to grow *rabi* crops due to adequate residual soil moisture after rice harvest. Further, in the recent years, rice production is under threat in the region owing to increased cost of cultivation (Manjunath *et al.*, 2010). Crop diversification is imperative for this region to achieve food and nutritional security. This could be achieved by increasing the cropping intensity by growing less exhaustive, water efficient and fertility restoring crops like vegetables, oilseeds and pulses (Hegde *et al.*, 2003; Gill and Ahlawat, 2006; Kankwamba *et al.*, 2012).

In this region, cropping systems like rice-cowpea, rice-groundnut and rice-vegetables are followed to a limited extent under rice fallows. Earlier studies in this direction have clearly brought out the feasibility of rice-brinjal cropping system under protective irrigated situations (Manjunath and Korikanthimath, 2004). For residual moisture situations, substituted crop must be of short duration, tolerant to terminal water stress, better yielding, disease and pest resistant having a quick ground cover with high local market demand. The crop should be with good acceptance by the local farmers for their capacity to adjust to existing cropping system and it should improve the long-term productivity and profitability of the system (Sharma *et al.*, 2014). Cowpea, groundnut, brinjal and sweet corns are the potential crops for this region (Manjunath *et al.*, 2010).

Further, production of adequate biomass and returning sizeable portion of it is imperative for maintaining the soil productivity of the whole cropping system. Otherwise, the systems can be over exploitative like the mono-cropping leading to disturbance in the biological activities and loss of nutrients and soil health. The productivity of the system can be improved by recycling of these potentially usable residues and there is greater employment for the most part of the year. Further, the problems of energy consumption, shortage and environmental pollution have created an urge for processing organics for energy and plant nutrient recovery (Manjunath and Itnal, 2005). Thus, considering the above facts an attempt was made to assess different cropping systems on production, productivity, economics and employment potential; determine the energy efficient cropping system for lowland situation and study the effect of cropping systems on soil chemical properties.

## Materials and Methods

**Experimental location :** The experiment was carried out at ICAR- Central Coastal Agricultural Research Institute, Goa, India during the period 2010-11 to 2014-15. The region has a warm humid tropical climate. The rice crop received an adequate amount of rainfall (five year's average-3180 mm) for crop growth. During rice crop growing period (June to October), a total of 3128, 3490, 2890, 3248 and 3093 mm rainfall was received in the first, second, third, fourth and fifth year of study, respectively. During *rabi* season the rainfall was meager. Cowpea and groundnut were grown on limited irrigation condition, while brinjal and sweet corn were grown under protective irrigated conditions as per local practice.

**Experimental design and treatments :** Five cropping system treatments studied differed from one another with respect to crop management practices. The study was done in an area of 0.4 ha and identical area was assigned for each cropping system. Rice (variety Karjat-3) based crops suitable to the region were designed for the experiments. The cropping systems studied were rice-fallow, rice-cowpea (var. Goa cowpea-3), rice-groundnut (var. TG-51), rice-brinjal (var. local selection Aggasaim) and rice-sweet corn (var. S-75). All the recommended practices were followed as per crop needs. The rice-fallow treatment was considered to assess the economic losses due to fallowing which is quite common in the study region. The recycled manure was applied uniformly to the entire experimental field before one month of rice transplanting and pulverized to a depth of 0.3 m by ploughing and harrowing. The leaf litter and root residue were incorporated *in situ* after the crop harvest. Rice crop was transplanted in the month of July and harvested in October month. The sowing of the cowpea, groundnut and sweet corn was done during the second fortnight of November to first fortnight of December based on the available residual soil moisture. The brinjal was also transplanted during the same time.

**Soil sampling and chemical analysis :** The initial and final soil sample was collected from 0-30 cm soil depth. The composite soil sample was air-dried, powdered and sieved through 2 mm sieve for further chemical analysis. The experimental soil had pH 6.01, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.02 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, bulk density 1.34 mg m<sup>-3</sup>, soil available nitrogen (N) 155 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), soil available phosphorus (P) 21.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) and soil available potassium (K) 188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Hanway and Heidel, 1952).

**Crop yield estimation :** Grain and straw yields of rice, grain and pod/seed yields of cowpea and groundnut, cob yield of sweet corn and brinjal fruit yields were determined manually. The data of five replicate measurements (1 m<sup>2</sup> each) from each of the plot was recorded. The grain yields of rice, cowpea and groundnut were adjusted to 14, 12 and 20% moisture content, respectively. In the case of brinjal, harvesting was done batch wise and the yields

were recorded as the weight of fruits. The sweet corn cobs were harvested batch wise and the yield data was recorded as number of cobs. For calculating rice equivalent yield (REY), the farm-gate prices of different produce prevailing in local market were considered. The REY was computed using the formulae of Anjaneyulu *et al.* (1982).

**Potential usable residue :** The recyclable wastes used as manure to enrich soil fertility in both rice and rice-based crops, were quantified periodically as potential usable residue and expressed as tons per hectare.

**Energy calculation :** The energy equivalent was calculated for each farm input (labour, machinery use, seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, fuel, irrigation and electricity) and output (economic yield of different crops, crop residues, etc.) by multiplying a respective energy value. Fuel consumption during land preparation, transplanting, harvesting, etc. was recorded. All the energy indices were calculated using the equations of Rafiee *et al.* (2010).

**Employment potential :** Labour required for various activities of crop production were recorded and given in man-days  $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ . A man or woman working for eight hours a day was considered as one man-day.

**Economic analysis :** The total variable costs of production and gross returns were computed for economic analysis. The cost of production includes human labour, tractor rent, input costs (seed, fertilizer, pesticides, fuel and electricity) etc. The costs of different inputs like seeds, fertilizers, pesticides and fuel were calculated based on the market prices during the period. Gross return were obtained by multiplying economic yields and market price. Net returns were estimated by subtracting the cost of production with gross return. The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) was calculated by dividing the cost of production by gross return.

**Data analysis :** In the present investigation, the replicated data was collected from different plots as the plots were not replicated due to fixed experimental design. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, 2014). The critical difference test at 5% significance level was used to test the differences between the system means.

## Results and Discussion

A significantly higher rice grain yield was recorded in rice-groundnut ( $5.47 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by rice-cowpea and rice-fallow (both  $5.43 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) systems. Rice-sweet corn ( $5.20 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and rice-brinjal ( $5.23 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) recorded relatively lower rice grain yield (Table 1). The improvement in rice yield in rice-groundnut and rice-cowpea might be due to beneficial effect of legume crops on soil fertility. Biological nitrogen fixation and addition of biomass improves soil physical conditions and availability of nitrogen (Knoth *et al.*, 2014). Pokhrel and Pokhrel (2013) reported that

legume crops provide  $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  biomass and quick decomposition of crop residues release available nutrients to produce additional grain yield in the rice-legume rotation, whereas decrease in rice yields under both rice-sweet corn and rice-brinjal system could be attributed to the nutrient exhaustive nature of both the *rabi* crops. This nature of sweet corn and brinjal makes the soil less productive for the successive crops (Singh *et al.* 2011). Kannan *et al.* (2013) reported that inclusion of exhaustive crop such as maize will remove more available nutrients from the soil as compared to legumes and also reduce the microbial activity in the soil.

The REY in different cropping systems differed significantly (Table 1). Pooled analysis of five years data indicated that the highest REY ( $23.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and system productivity ( $110.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) were recorded with rice-sweet corn, followed by rice-brinjal system ( $14.1 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  and  $51.1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ). The average duration of the cropping systems showed noticeable differences over the five-years. The rice-sweet corn system took average minimum duration for production (212 days) as compared to other systems because sweet corn was harvested for green cobs. On the contrary, the rice-brinjal system with second highest REY took comparatively higher duration (275 days). The higher equivalent yield and system productivity of rice-sweet corn system was due to higher yield potential, market price and efficient utilization of time and space (Ramachandra *et al.*, 2007; Choudhary *et al.*, 2000). The system with short duration and high production should be preferred for commercial cultivation to increase equivalent yield and system productivity (Brahmanand *et al.*, 2009; Basak *et al.*, 2016).

The total biomass production was significantly influenced by different cropping systems (Table 2). Among the cropping systems studied, rice-sweet corn system recorded more biomass production ( $61.6 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by rice-brinjal ( $33.4 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). The rice-sweet corn system recorded 572.9 % higher total biomass over rice-fallow system ( $9.16 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). The higher biomass in the treatment was due to the fast-growing nature of the sweet corn hybrid. The potential usable residue followed a similar trend as that of total biomass production. The higher potential usable residue was observed with rice-sweet corn system ( $36.92 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) which differed significantly and recorded 466.3% higher compared to rice-fallow system ( $6.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). Although rice-brinjal system recorded substantial total biomass, the potential usable residue ( $6.52 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) available from the system was negligible (Table 2). The nature of the biomass produced and suitability of the sweet corn hybrid to the region will result in higher availability of residue for recycling through composting. Earlier in the same agro climatic conditions, higher potential usable residue was noted in rice-sunn hemp system which resulted in production of good quality vermicompost having a mean nutrient content of 0.90% N, 0.27 % P and 0.13% K (Manjunath and Korikanthimath, 2009).

The energy analysis of different cropping systems in the present investigation are depicted in Table 3. Total energy input and output was lower in rice-fallow system (15682 and 79115 MJ ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to all other cropping systems. The rice-brinjal was found to be highly energy intensive with total energy input of 38332 MJ ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the contrary, the energy input of rice-sweet corn was lesser but with higher energy output (1082858 MJ ha<sup>-1</sup>). The energy use efficiency followed the order rice-sweet corn (46.5) > rice – brinjal (7.88) > rice fallow (5.04) > rice-cowpea (4.89) > rice-groundnut (4.84). Specific energy and energy productivity determined the energy efficiency of a

production system and were reciprocal to each other. Lower specific energy (0.65 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) and higher energy productivity (1.52 kg MJ<sup>-1</sup>) in rice-sweet corn indicated the potential of this cropping system to use energy efficiently as compared to other systems under investigation. Based on the energy productivity, the efficiency of different cropping system under study were found in the following order: rice-sweet corn > rice-brinjal > rice-groundnut > rice-cowpea > rice fallow. The trend of the net energy was more or less similar to energy productivity with the highest net energy of 1059577 MJ ha<sup>-1</sup> in rice-sweet corn system.

**Table 1 :** Crop yield and system productivity of different cropping systems under lowland situations of Goa (pooled mean of five years)

Cropping systems	Crop yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		REY* (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Duration (days)	System productivity (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
	Kharif	Rabi			
Rice-fallow	5.43	-	5.43	132	41.7
Rice-cowpea	5.43	0.86	9.71	237	41.0
Rice-groundnut	5.47	1.40	8.97	257	34.9
Rice-brinjal	5.23	14.11	14.05	275	51.1
Rice-sweet corn	5.20	9.76	23.49	212	110.8
S.Em±	0.046		3.11		13.9
C.D (P=0.05)	0.14		9.69		43.2

\*REY- Rice equivalent yield

**Table 2 :** Total biomass production and the potential usable residue (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) from different cropping systems under lowland situations of Goa (pooled mean of five years)

Cropping systems	Mean total biomass production (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )**	Mean potential usable residue (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )**	Recyclable residue (%)	Increase in potential residue over rice-fallow system (%)
Rice- fallow	9.16	6.52	71.2	--
Rice- cowpea	11.7	7.09	60.8	8.74
Rice- groundnut	17.5	10.8	61.9	66.0
Rice – brinjal	33.4	6.52	19.5	-
Rice – sweet corn*	61.6	36.9*	59.9	466.3
S.Em±	1.12	0.80	8.7	
C.D (P=0.05)	3.50	2.50	27.3	

\* with the presumption that the entire sweet corn stover is available for recycling

\*\* on dry weight basis

**Table 3 :** Energy budgeting of different rice based cropping system under lowland situations of Goa (pooled mean of five years)

Cropping systems	Total energy input (MJ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total energy output (MJ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Energy use efficiency	Specific energy (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Energy productivity (kg MJ <sup>-1</sup> )	Net energy (MJ ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Rice-fallow	15682	79115	5.04	2.90	0.34	63433
Rice-cowpea	20836	102018	4.89	1.47	0.67	81181
Rice-groundnut	22113	107060	4.84	1.35	0.74	84946
Rice-brinjal	38332	302366	7.88	0.95	1.04	264034
Rice-sweet corn	23280	1082858	46.5	0.65	1.52	1059577