

ISSN 0974-0775
NAAS Rating : 4.38

GREEN FARMING

International Journal of Applied Agricultural & Horticultural Sciences

Volume 10 ❖ Number 3 ❖ May-June 2019 ❖ Bimonthly

Green Farming for Environmental Protection



Global Impact Factor : 0.468 www.greenfarming.in



GREEN FARMING

(International Journal of Applied Agricultural & Horticultural Sciences)

(Abbr. - Green Farming Int. J.)

EDITORIAL ADVISORY PANEL (HONY.)

Dr. N. KUMAR

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu)

Dr. AJOY KUMAR SINGH

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar)

Dr. K.M. INDIRESH

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor & Director of Education,
Univ. of Horticultural Sciences, Udyanagiri, Bagalkot (Karnataka)

Prof. NAZEER AHMED

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology
of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar (Jammu & Kashmir)

Dr. M.B. CHETTI

Assistant Director General (HRD), Education Division,
Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi

Dr. V.P. CHOVATIA

Director of Research & Dean, P.G. Studies,
Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat)

Dr. MYER MULA

Dean, College of Agriculture Science and Technology, Pampanga
State Agricultural University, Magalang, Pampanga (Philippines)

Dr. ASHOK K. PATRA

Director, ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil Science (IISR),
Nabi Bagh, Berasia Road, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

Dr. K.K. SINGH

Director, ICAR-Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering (CIAE)
Nabi Bagh, Berasia Road, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

Dr. K.L. SHARMA

Principal Scientist & National Fellow, Central Research Institute for
Dryland Agriculture (ICAR), Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh)

Dr. N.C. PATEL

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat)

Dr. V.M. BHALE

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
Dr. P.D. Krishi Vidyapeeth, Krishinagar, Akola (Maharashtra)

Dr. K.N. KATTIMANI

Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor,
University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur (Karnataka)

Dr. NARENDRA SINGH RATHORE

Dy. Director General Education, Division of Education,
Krishi Anusandhan Bhawan - II, ICAR, New Delhi

Dr. YASHBIR SINGH SHIVAY

Principal Scientist & Ex-Professor, Division of Agronomy,
ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), Pusa, New Delhi

Dr. RAJEEV K. VARSHNEY

Research Programme Director - Genetic Gains,
International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
(ICRISAT), Patancheru, Hyderabad (Telangana)

Dr. M.K. ARVADIA

Principal & Dean, N.M. College of Agriculture,
Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat)

Dr. SRINIVASAN RAMASAMY

Acting Regional Director – South and Central Asia; Lead Entomologist
& Flagship Leader, World Vegetable Center, Shanhua, Tainan (Taiwan)

Dr. PRAMOD W. RAMTEKE

Prof. & Dean, Post Graduate Studies, S.H. Institute of Agriculture
Technology & Sciences (Deemed University), Allahabad (U.P.)

Dr. A. NOOR

Ex. Professor (Entom.) Head & ZMT - Plant Protection, Agri. Research
Station (Raj. Agri. Univ), Mandore, Jodhpur, Rajasthan (Hony. Secret.)

Editor

Dr. A. HUSSAIN

Editorial Asstt.

K. SINGH

Chief Editor (Hony.)

Dr. A. NOOR

Publisher, Printer & Owned by : Dr. Anwar Hussain, and Published at White House, 78-A, Bank Colony Road, Near Lal Bangla, Raikabagh,
JODHPUR - 342 006 (Rajasthan) INDIA. **Printed at :** Bhandari Offset, JODHPUR - 342 005 (Rajasthan) INDIA,

Editor - Dr. Anwar Hussain

Registration No. RAJENG/2010/32178

NAAS Rating - 4.38 (2019)

ISSN 0974-0775

Citation : Abstracting & Indexing in Indian Science Abstracts (NISCAIR) & CABI International; Indexing in Agricultural & Biological Abstracts etc.

Disclaimer : Copy right reserved with the publisher. No part of this journal can be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of the publisher. The publisher/editor does not assume any responsibility for opinions offered by the authors published in the Journal. The views expressed in the articles by the authors are their own. All disputes are subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of competent courts in Jodhpur only.

The publisher and authors declare that they have compiled this document carefully. However, for any technical shortcomings, they hold no responsibility whatsoever. They assume no liability whatsoever for any damage resulting from the use of this document or its contents. They do not watch any claim for made by the advertisement of products and services.

Note : The editor does not accept responsibility for returning unsolicited publication material. The publisher and the editor are not responsible for any delay, whatsoever, in publication/delivery of the periodicals to the subscribers due to unforeseen circumstances or postal delay/lost.

All Correspondence to : Editorial Office, GREEN FARMING, White House, 78-A, Bank Colony Road, Near Lal Bangla, Raikabagh, JODHPUR - 342 006 (Rajasthan) India.



ISSN 0974-0775
NAAS Rating : 4.38

GREEN FARMING

(International Journal of Applied Agricultural & Horticultural Sciences)

(Abbreviation : *Green Farming Int. J.*, Global Impact Factor : 0.468)

Volume 10

Number 3

May-June 2019

Bimonthly

CONTENTS

Previous issue :

Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 127-252

Research Papers

- Combining ability analysis for grain yield and other associated traits in aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
— **SUMITRA UPENDI and N.K. MOTIRAMANI** 253
- Technology demonstration in coconut for higher productivity and profitability in coastal ecosystem of Maharashtra State
— **V.V. SHINDE, S.L. GHAVALI, S.M. WANKHEDE, P.M. HALDANKAR and H.P. MAHESWARAPPA** 258
- Effect of land configuration, growth regulators and integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of pigeonpea
— **V.K. SUTAR, W.N. NARKHEDE, S.K. NAYAK and D.N. GOKHALE** 266
- Effect of irrigation schedule and bio-regulators on growth parameters of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss] crop
— **ASHISH SHIVRAN, B.J. PATEL and MANOJ GORA** 272
- Effect of different levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on dry biomass yield of dodi (*Leptadenia reticulata* Retz. Wight & Arn.) under middle Gujarat condition
— **B.V. HIRPARA, N.K. CHAVDA, P.S. PARMAR and H.L. DHADUK** 276
- Performance of relative growth and yield attributing traits of *Piper nigrum* under shade and open condition in coastal ecosystem of Maharashtra
— **V.V. SHINDE, S.L. GHAVALI and S.M. WANKHEDE** 280
- Nutrient content, quality and water productivity of summer pearl millet as influenced by cultivars and integrated nutrient management
— **DIVYA G., VANI K.P., SURENDRA BABU P. and SUNEETHA DEVI K.B.** 284
- Effect of shrink wrap packaging on shelf-life of passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims.)
— **S.M. CHARAN, SAJI GOMEZ, K.B. SHEELA, P.B. PUSHPALATHA, G. DARSHAN and K.V. KAVANA** 288
- Performance evaluation of battery powered manually operated guava harvesting device
— **C.S. MATHOLIYA, S.K. JAIN and A.L. VADHER** 293
- Development of Zn-B mix for rice in Southern Laterites (AEU 8) of Kerala, India
— **SHEEBA S.S., SHALINI PILLAI P. and MINI V.** 297
- Millet production and prospects in India : An Economic overview
— **H.R. CHIKKATHIMME GOWDA, T. AMRUTHA, K.J. RAGHAVENDRA and SHIV KUMAR** 300
- Yield & yield traits correlation & path analysis of medium to long duration pigeonpea (*C. cajan* (L.) Millsp.) lines
— **MYER G. MULA, JAKE L. GALIAN and ROSANA P. MULA** 306
- Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for fodder yield and quality traits in sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]
— **T. SOUJANYA, T. SHASHIKALA and A.V. UMAKANTH** 310
- Leaf callus mediated *in vitro* regeneration of inter specific wilt resistant rootstock of guava
— **MANEESH MISHRA, MANASI RAI SHARMA and SHAIENDRA RAJAN** 314
- Gene action and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
— **P.K. PATEL, P.B. PATEL and A.C. SOLANKE** 319

Contd.

Assessing feasibility of growing sugarcane seed crop by different planting material, plant spacing and nitrogen management for higher seed cane yield	
— N.N. CHAUDHARI and H.M. VIRDIA 323
Performance of spray chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i> Tzvelev) cultivars in the Malwa region of M.P.	
— RAJENDRA PARIHAR, VIDHYA SANKAR M. and ANUJ KUMAR 328
Influence of seed priming and soil types on the germination & seedling vigor of common centro (<i>Centrosema pubescens</i>)	
— MYER G. MULA, ROSANA P. MULA and JOSHUAACE J. MARCOS 331
Effect of different drainage technologies on wheat to enhance water use efficiency in Ginchi district, Ethiopia	
— EYERUSALEM HAILU, MELESE TEMESGEN and THOMAS ABRAHAM 336
Moisture related engineering properties of different OUAT released varieties of <i>ragi</i>	
— S.K. SIAL, S.K. SWAIN, D. BEHERA, P.M. MOHAPATRA, A.K. MOHAPATRA and A.K. DASH 341
Effect of foliar application of secondary and micronutrient combinations on yield parameters pertaining to Guava cv. Allahabad Safeda	
— N.S. SUSHMITHA, JAGANNATH, A. MAMATHA and U. PREMCHAND 346
<i>In vitro</i> assessment of plasma and nanochitosan in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	
— HIMANGINI JOSHI, RAJEEW KUMAR, D.S. PANDEY and CHETAN JARIWALA 350
Morphometric analysis of Mewado Ka Math Micro-Watershed Using remote sensing and GIS	
— DEEP SINGH, P.K. SINGH, P.R. PATIL and MANJEET SINGH 354
Effect of tractor speed, number of knives and shredding plate speed on mixing efficiency of cotton stalk shredder-mixer	
— SUDHEENDRA SHEKHAR VYAS and S.M. MATHUR 360
Assessment of important pests of coconut during roving survey in Konkan region of Maharashtra	
— S.M. WANKHEDE, V.V. SHINDE and S.L. GHAVALE 364
Efficacy of botanical repellents against wild boar in field crops	
— N. SRINIVASA RAO, K. PRAVEEN KUMAR and P. SAKTHIVEL 368
Influence of different weed management practices on weed parameters and fibre yield of mesta (<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L.)	
— M. RAJU, R. PUSHPA, SUBYASACHI MITRA and V. AMBETHGAR 372
Economics of milk production in Andhra Pradesh	
— PATIBANDLA LAKSHMIPRIYA and RAJU R. 376
Livestock composition and fodder management strategies adopted by the farmers across Rural-Urban Continuum of Bengaluru North	
— SHOBHA K.A., M.N. VENKATARAMANA and G.N. NAGARAJA 382
Socio-economic and resource profile of three villages in Malihabad, Uttar Pradesh	
— MANEESH MISHRA, P.S. GURJAR, A.K. VERMA and S. RAJAN 387
Development of DSS software for optimum selection of power source for paddy groundnut cropping system in Odisha	
— SHARMISTHA SAHU and DEBARAJ BEHERA 391

Strategic Vision Message : 50

Rice landraces for organic farming

— **V. AMBETHGAR**

..... **Back Inner Page**



Technology demonstration in coconut for higher productivity and profitability in coastal ecosystem of Maharashtra State

V.V. SHINDE^{1*}, S.L. GHAVALÉ², S.M. WANKHEDE³, P.M. HALDANKAR⁴ and
H.P. MAHESWARAPPA⁵

AICRP on Palms, Regional Coconut Research Station, Bhatye, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth,
Dapoli - 415 712 Ratnagiri (Maharashtra)

Received : 18 April 2019 ; Revised accepted : 20 May 2019

ABSTRACT

Integrated nutrient management involve intelligent use of organic, inorganic and biological resources so as to sustain optimum yield, improve or maintain soil chemical and physical properties and provide crop nutrition packages which are technically sound and economically attractive. In recent days, nutrient management through organic source of manures is gaining momentum for sustaining the productivity and conserving the natural resources. Hence field experiment on impact of integrated nutrient management (INM) and organics including biomass recycling in coconut based cropping system was initiated in 30 years old healthy D x T coconut plantation at Regional coconut Research Station, Bhatye, Ratnagiri (M.S) during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18. The experiment consist of four treatments viz., T₁: 75 % of recommended NPK +25 % of N through organic recycling with vermi-compost, T₂: 50 % of RDF+ 50 % of N through organic recycling with vermi-compost + vermiwash application +bio-fertilizer application +*in situ* green manuring, T₃: 100 % of N through organic recycling with vermi-compost +vermiwash application +bio-fertilizer application +*in situ* green manuring and green leaf manuring (glyricidia leaves) + composted coir pith, husk incorporation and mulching with coconut leaves and T₄: control: mono-crop of coconut with recommended NPK and organic manure were imposed. The component crops were nutmeg, cinnamon, banana and pineapple. Annual leaf production did not differ among the treatments; however, integrated treatments resulted in higher number of leaves (11.79 Nos.). Five years mean data on nut yield indicated that, application of organic manures in combination with inorganic fertilizer either in 75 % of recommended NPK +25 % of N through organic recycling with vermi-compost (142.47 nuts/palm/year) or 50 % of RDF+50 % of N through organic recycling with vermi-compost +vermiwash application +bio-fertilizer application +*in situ* green manuring (128.96 nuts/palm/year) resulted the higher nut yield. There was improvement in the nutrient status of coconut leaves with INM practices compared to inorganic or organic manure alone application. The soil organic carbon was higher in the treatment T₁. Microbial population of fungi were more in treatments T₃ whereas the bacteria and actinomycetes present in top soil were higher in treatment T₁ and T₂ respectively. Earthworm population were highest in the treatment T₃ followed by the treatment T₁ and T₂. The highest (2.57) benefit: cost ratio was recorded in T₁ followed by T₂ (2.25) as compared to the other treatments.

Key words : Coconut, INM, Microbial population, Nutrient status, Nut yield, Organic recycling.

INTRODUCTION

Coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) is widely grown in coastal sandy soils which occur all along the coastal tract of West and East coast of Peninsular India. However, coconut productivity is very low in the coastal sandy soils due to an array of facts like poor water holding capacity, excessive infiltration, rapid leaching loss of nutrients resulting in low nutrient retentive capacity and

low availability of major and micro nutrients, small specific surface area on account of low clay and organic matter content, low CEC and low organic carbon content (Ollangnier and Ochs, 1978). Moreover, the sole crop of coconut planted at a wider spacing of 7.5 m x 7.5 m is not able to fully utilize the available basic resources of crop production, viz., soil, solar energy, water and nutrients. Introduction of component crops, especially, adoption of multi-storeyed cropping system with compatible crops favours better utilization of resources for

¹ Agronomist *(agronomistbhatye17@gmail.com), ² Research Officer,
³ Jr. Entomologist, ⁴ Director of Research, ⁵ Project Coordinator (Palms)

augmenting returns besides alleviating inherent soil limitations. Adoption of such systems can provide food security through food sufficiency, nutritional foods rich in vitamins and minerals, employment generation through farm diversification and ecological stability (Ramadasan and Lal, 1966). There is a need to consider the system as a unit especially with respect to supply of inputs like organic manures, fertilizers, herbicides, water and plant protection chemicals (Nampoothiri, 2001). The fertilizer doze for coconut is 2.25:3.0:2.0 kg urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash per palm per year and continuous application of large quantities of fertilizers over a considerable period of time will definitely affect the physico-chemical and biological properties of soils turning the system unsustainable in all aspects. In this context, an investigation entitled "Systems approach in coconut for higher productivity and profitability in coastal ecosystem of Maharashtra State" was carried out to develop appropriate cost effective practices for enhancing nutrient use efficiency, productivity and profitability of coconut based INM systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment on impact of INM and organics including biomass recycling in coconut based INM system was initiated at Regional Coconut Research Station, Bhatye, Ratnagiri, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli (M.S) during the year 2013-14 to 2017-18. The climate of the experimental site is warm and humid tropical with mean annual rainfall of 3,500 mm and 120 rainy days. The mean temperature ranges from 21°C (mini.) to 36°C (maximum). The average relative humidity varies between 60 and 95%. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, well drained with medium fertility status. The experiment was laid out in 30-year-old coconut garden (cv. D x T – 'COD x West Coast Tall') which was planted at a distance of 7.5 m x 7.5 m in a square system. The experiment consist of four treatments viz.,

- T₁ : 75 % of recommended NPK +25 % of N through organic recycling with vermicompost,
- T₂ : 50 % of RDF+50 % of N through organic recycling with vermicompost + vermiwash application + bio-fertilizer application + *in situ* green manuring,
- T₃ : Fully organic : 100 % of N through organic recycling with vermicompost + vermiwash application +bio-fertilizer application + *in situ* green manuring and green leaf manuring (glyricidia leaves) + composted coir pith, husk incorporation (once in 3 years) and mulching with coconut leaves and
- T₄ : Control: mono-crop of coconut with recommended NPK and organic manure were imposed.

Each treatment consisted of 4 palms/block. The coconut palms along with component crops like nutmeg, cinnamon, banana and pineapple as a farming model. The experiment

Table 1. Plant population in coconut based integrated nutrient management system

Sr. No.	Name of the crop	Varieties/ Hybrids	Number of plant/block	Number of plant/acre
1.	Coconut	D x T	20	70
2.	Nutmeg	Konkan Swad	12	54
3.	Cinnamon	Konkan Tej	62	246
4.	Banana	Konkan SafedVelchi	72	246
5.	Pineapple	Kew	960	4320

was conducted on 0.40 ha coconut garden that where inter planted with released varieties of the Maharashtra State (Table 1) as depicted in Fig. 1 and 2. The statistical design was non-replicated.




Fig. 1. Layout of the experimental field

Fig. 2. Layout of single plot

The quantity of NPK and vermicompost applied under different treatments has been described by Maheswarappa *et al.* (2011). As per the treatments, vermicompost was applied during September–October and inorganic fertilizers in the form of urea, SSP and muriate of potash were applied in 2 equal splits during June-July and September–October. Vermicompost was obtained by decomposing coconut leaves as per the procedure explained by Prabhu *et al.* (1998). The biomass was recycled back into the system after making vermicompost and recommended dose of fertilizer was applied both for coconut and component crops as per treatment details and farm waste utilization was done effectively to meet nutrient requirement. The vermicompost was prepared and applied to meet the requirement of nutrients. The vermiwash collected was drenched in the basin of each crop by diluting it in the ratio of 1:10 with water and applied twice in the year for coconut @5 lit./basin, nutmeg@3 lit./tree, banana @2 lit./plant, pineapple 4 lit. in a bed of 40 plants and cinnamon @ 2 lit./plant. In addition to this glyricidia plants were grown as green manuring crop at a border of plot and green leaf manuring was done for coconut and intercrops in the month of June, while application of fertilizer. The coconut palms were irrigated with drip system

while the sprinkler irrigation was followed for irrigating different crops in the system. During December to January, 27 lit. water and 32 lit. water during February to May per palm/day was applied. Husk burial was followed before planting perennial crops and husk burial in the trenches was followed in each set of four coconut palms (once in 3 year). Dried coconut leaves were used for mulching in summer months (February-May) in order to reduce the evaporation of moisture from the soil.

The soil and leaf samples were collected from 3 palms in each plot. Soil samples were collected from 0–25 cm, 25-50 cm and 50-100 cm depth, 1 m away from the bole of the coconut using augur and soil properties were determined by adopting standard procedures. The leaf samples were collected from index leaf (4th leaf) of the palm by using a specially designed knife, by cutting 4–5 leaflets from the middle of the frond on both the sides. The leaf samples were washed with distilled water, oven-dried at 65°C for 72 hr and powdered using a Tecator Cyclotec sample mill. The powdered fraction (0.5 mm) of leaf sample was digested in di-acid mixture of HNO₃ : HClO₄ (3:1) and analysed for phosphorus and potassium content. The nitrogen content in plant sample was estimated according to modified Kjeldahl procedure using Tecator Kjeltac Auto Analyser. The content of K was estimated in atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

Soil samples drawn from the circular basins at 1.0 m away from the bole, at 0–25 cm using a tube augur were used for microbial enumeration. The population of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes were determined in the collected soils. The results of the microbial analyses were given as CFU/g of dry soil. Each CFU value was the average of 4 × 3 sample replicates. Annual leaf production was recorded from the selected palms by marking a newly emerged leaf and later counting the number of leaves emerged above the marked leaves as leaf production per palm per year in each year. Numbers of spadices and buttons were timely recorded. Nuts were harvested at maturity stage palm-wise and average for the year was worked out. Copra and oil yield were also calculated. Growth and yield characters of component crops were timely recorded. Earthworm population (Nos./m²), biomass generation (kg) and light interception (%) were recorded and economics of coconut based integrated nutrient management system was worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of leaves on crown

The mean data regarding to the number of functional leaves on crown shown (Table 2) that the highest number of functional leaves (30.96 Nos.) were in the treatment T₁, whereas lowest (29.29 Nos.) in the treatment T₄. Nath *et al.* (2012) reported increase in number of leaves due to INM in coconut. The results are in tune with the observations of Maheswarappa *et al.* (2014) wherein application of different sources of organics and inorganics did not reduce or increase the number of leaves on crown. The number of leaves present on the crown also did not differ among the treatments. Being a perennial crop, effect of different treatments might not have any influenced on the growth and development of the palms.

Rate of leaf production

Number of leaves produced per palm per year did not differ among the treatments (Table 2). However, it was found that the leaf production was higher (11.79 Nos.) in the INM treatments T₂ compared to other treatments. Nath *et al.* (2012) reported increase in leaf production owing to integrated nutrient management in coconut. Being a perennial crop, effect of different treatments might not have significantly influenced the growth and development of the palms.

Number of spadices

Number of spadices produced per palm per year did not differ among the treatments (Table 3). However, it was found that the mean of spadices production were higher (11.45 Nos.) in the INM treatments T₁ followed by treatment T₂ (11.34 Nos.) and T₃ (11.29 Nos.) whereas lower mean of spadices production were in treatment T₄ (11.19 Nos.). Kalpana *et al.* (2008) reported increase in spadices production owing to INM in coconut and recorded maximum mean spadices per palm per year in the application of 100% CCP and 50% CCP + 50% RDF.

Number of buttons

Number of buttons (female flowers) produced per palm per year did differ among the treatments (Table 3). However, it was found that the mean of buttons production was higher (326.04 Nos.) in the INM treatments T₁ followed by treatment T₂ and T₃.

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management system on growth characters of coconut

Treatment	Number of leaves on crown (Nos./palm)						Rate of leaf production (Nos./palm/year)					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	30.93	31.38	31.38	31.47	29.65	30.96	11.68	12.00	11.73	11.75	11.75	11.78
T ₂	30.35	30.05	30.03	30.80	28.45	29.94	11.70	12.65	11.40	11.65	11.55	11.79
T ₃	30.52	30.22	29.43	30.22	29.16	29.91	11.90	11.65	11.55	11.97	11.83	11.78
T ₄	29.73	29.48	28.97	29.92	28.33	29.29	11.53	11.58	11.47	11.95	11.71	11.65

Table 3. Effect of coconut based integrated nutrient management system on yield and yield attributing characters of coconut

Treat- ment	Number of spadices (Nos./palm)						Number of buttons (female flowers) (Nos./palm)						Nut yield (Nos./palm)					
	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean
T ₁	10.35	11.95	11.50	11.65	11.80	11.45	311.15	323.96	330.08	334.72	330.30	326.04	131.63	126.03	178.25	129.53	146.90	142.47
T ₂	11.20	11.50	10.95	11.50	11.55	11.34	330.75	314.05	312.00	319.95	326.45	320.64	127.28	120.43	146.92	110.50	139.65	128.96
T ₃	10.72	11.28	11.27	11.37	11.83	11.29	324.43	314.53	316.08	319.77	327.59	320.48	112.85	98.60	125.40	124.85	137.05	119.75
T ₄	10.60	11.20	10.78	11.68	11.71	11.19	309.40	308.67	302.67	311.67	329.55	312.39	100.00	102.40	87.45	123.80	134.30	109.59

whereas lowest mean of buttons production was in the treatment T₄. Kalpana *et al.* (2008) reported increase in buttons production due to INM in coconut and recorded maximum mean of female flowers per palm per year in the application of 100% CCP and 50% CCP + 50% RDF and Nath *et al.* (2012) reported increase in buttons production owing to INM in coconut.

Coconut nut yield

The coconut nut yield recorded among the treatments over the years and the mean data are presented in Table 3. In general, there was an increase in the yield of coconut and the yield obtained in different treatments was higher over the years than the pre-treatment yields, which was mainly owing to the effect of treatments and irrigation provided to coconut palms.

During 2014-2017, T₁ treatment recorded higher nut yield and was at par with T₂ treatment and was differed compared to the other treatments. The yield obtained under T₁ treatment and T₂ treatment was at par and ranged from 98.60 to 126.03 nuts/palm/year. The mean data on nut yield for 5 years (2013-14 to 2017-18) indicated the differences among the treatments (Table 3). Application T₁ treatment recorded higher nut yield and differed with the other treatments. Increase in yield under these treatments might be owing to better availability of required nutrients which resulted in improvement in yield. Srinivasa Reddy and Upadhyay (2002), Talashilkar *et al.* (2008) and Nath *et al.* (2012) reported increase in yield of coconut with the application of inorganic fertilizer (50%) and 50% through vermicompost and the positive effect of integration of organic

and inorganic fertilizer combination on coconut yield in different soil types also. Nut yield recorded under T₁, T₂ and T₃ treatments were at par with each other and ranged from 119.75 to 142.47 nuts/palm/year. An increase in annual productivity in coconut by following mix cropping has been reported by Nelliath *et al.* (1974). Additional increased in yield of coconut with farming system component could be due to synergistic effect of crop combination and nutrient status maintained in the system. Application of vermicompost alone could not result in increase in yield of coconut, as it could not provide the required P and K and application of inorganic fertilizer alone could not provide the suitable soil environment for the growth and development of coconut.

Copra and oil yield

The coconut copra and oil yield recorded among the treatments over the years and the mean data are presented in Table 4. In general, there was an increase in the copra and oil yield of coconut and the yield obtained in different treatments was higher over the years than the pre-treatment yields, which was mainly owing to the effect of treatments and irrigation provided to coconut palms. During 2014-2017, T₁ treatment recorded higher copra and oil yield and was at par with T₂ treatment and was differed compared to the other treatments. The mean copra and oil yield obtained under T₁ treatment and T₂ treatment was at par and ranged from 23.06 to 25.73 kg/palm/year and 15.72 to 17.11 kg/palm/year respectively. Also the oil yield (tonnes/ha) obtained under T₁ treatment and T₂ treatment was at par and ranged from 16.25 to 15.71 tonnes/hectare.

Table 4. Effect of coconut based integrated nutrient management system on copra and oil yield of coconut

Treat- ment	Copra yield (kg/palm)							Oil yield (kg/palm)							Oil yield (tonnes/ha)						
	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean			
T ₁	21.45	21.44	30.30	28.87	26.59	25.73	14.53	14.57	20.61	18.51	17.34	17.11	2.81	2.55	3.61	4.07	3.21	3.25			
T ₂	21.64	20.40	24.98	25.05	23.21	23.06	14.69	13.87	16.98	16.82	16.25	15.72	3.99	2.42	2.97	3.51	2.82	3.14			
T ₃	18.86	16.76	21.32	23.58	21.32	20.37	12.85	11.39	14.50	14.71	14.57	13.60	2.24	1.99	2.54	3.25	2.45	2.49			
T ₄	17.02	17.38	14.87	17.14	17.50	16.78	11.57	11.82	10.11	11.85	11.66	11.40	2.02	2.07	1.77	2.35	1.75	1.99			

Increase in copra and oil yield under these treatments might be owing to better availability of required nutrients which resulted in improvement in yield. Application of any single manure could not result in increase in copra and oil yield of coconut, as it could not provide the required P and K and application of inorganic fertilizer alone could not provide the suitable soil environment for the growth and development of coconut. Results analogous to these finding were recorded by Kalpana *et al.* (2008) in the coconut based INM on nut yield and quality of coconut under coastal ecosystem.

Growth and yield of components crops

The growth of component crops as influenced by coconut based INM system in coconut is presented in

Table 5. It was observed that the height of nutmeg plants increases after the 4th year of treatment initiation and the maximum mean height of nutmeg plants was 154 cm in T₃ whereas the minimum was in treatment T₂ (79 cm).

The mean maximum number of nutmeg branches was in treatment T₃ (10.3 Nos.) whereas minimum in treatment T₂ (5.8 Nos.). The mean maximum height of cinnamon plants was (228.9 cm) in T₁ whereas the minimum was in treatment T₂ (206.4 cm). The mean maximum number of cinnamon branches was in treatment T₃ (15.33 Nos.) whereas minimum in treatment T₂ (7.77 Nos.). The yield of component crops as influenced by coconut based INM system in coconut is presented in **Table 6.** The highest mean yield of component crops namely pineapple and banana were in the treatment T₃

Table 5. Effect of coconut based integrated nutrient management system on growth characters of component crops as an intercrops in coconut orchard

Treatment	Height of nutmeg plant (cm)						No. of branches in nutmeg					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	29.5	84.0	95.0	107.4	120	87.2	3.0	5.0	7.0	8.4	9.4	6.6
T ₂	39.4	76.4	84.4	92.8	102	79.0	2.4	3.4	6.8	7.6	9.0	5.8
T ₃	111.8	118.2	150.4	163.4	226	154.0	8.0	7.6	11.2	12.8	12.0	10.3
T ₄	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Contd.... Table 5.

Treatment	Height of cinnamon plant (cm)						No. of branches in cinnamon					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	93.00	178.60	206.45	250.75	415.5	228.9	10.00	11.45	15.50	17.15	2.65	11.35
T ₂	54.95	156.85	189.50	231.50	399.0	206.4	5.90	4.80	12.30	13.55	2.30	7.77
T ₃	87.25	186.75	219.65	241.50	373.0	221.6	10.70	20.25	21.15	22.80	1.75	15.33
T ₄	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6. Effect of coconut based integrated nutrient management system on yield of component crops as an intercrops in coconut orchard

Treatment	Yield of component crops/block											
	Pineapple (kg)						Banana (kg)					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	45.0	50	60	65	35	51.0	179.5	450	420	470	490	401.9
T ₂	55.0	45	50	57	30	47.4	187.0	400	360	400	430	355.4
T ₃	37.5	40	70	76	40	52.7	96.0	350	480	550	580	411.2
T ₄	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Contd.... Table 6.

Treatment	Yield of component crops/block											
	Cinnamon bark (kg)						Cinnamon leaves (kg)					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	4	8.4	17.0	19.0	21	13.88	40	42	60	65	67	54.8
T ₂	5	8.0	8.0	9.5	10	8.10	50	40	40	42	45	43.4
T ₃	3	9.0	8.4	8.5	9	7.58	30	45	42	45	48	42.0
T ₄	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

such as 52.7 kg/block and 411.0 kg/block respectively whereas highest mean yield of component crops cinnamon bark and cinnamon leaves were in the treatment T₁ such as 21 kg/block and 54.8 kg/block respectively. Similar results were also reported by Bavappa and Jacob (1982) in coconut farming system.

Biomass generation, vermi-compost production and light interception

The biomass generation was highest in the treatment T₃ (5700 kg/ha/year) followed by the treatment T₂ (5670 kg/ha/year), T₁ (5285 kg/ha/year) and T₄ (3791 kg/ha/year). The vermin-compost production was higher in the treatment T₃ (3875 kg/ha/year) followed by the treatment T₂ (3700 kg/ha/year), T₁ (3518 kg/ha/year) and T₄ (2477 kg/ha/year) (Table 7). Also the generated biomass and vermi-compost

Table 7. Biomass generation, vermicompost production and light interception in coconut based integrated nutrient management system (Light intensity in Lux during 11.00 am to 1.00 pm) (2017-2018)

Treatments	Biomass generation (kg/ha/year)	Vermi-compost production (kg/ha/year)	Light intensity (Lux)	Light interception (%)
Open field	-	-	75850	-
T ₁	5285	3518	27500	63.74
T ₂	5670	3700	25350	67.89
T ₃	5700	3875	26500	65.06
T ₄	3791	2477	63500	16.28

production from different component crops which can be recycled in the coconut based INM system.

The percent of light intensity was highest in treatment T₂ (67.89 %) and T₃ (65.06 %). Additional yield obtained by different crops in the system and additional biomass generated on the same piece of land, and other resource like space, height, irrigation etc. could be benefited to obtain higher income. Similar results were also reported by Bavappa and Jacob (1982) in coconut farming system.

Soil properties

The electrical conductivity of the soil (at 0–25 cm depth) did change due to the INM practices in the basins of the coconut, as seen during treatment initiation (2013-14) and the mean of 4th years after treatment initiation (2014-15 to 2017-18) (Table 8). After the 4th years of treatment initiation soil pH and organic carbon content differed among the treatments. With the application of vermicompost, there was change in the pH of the soil, and the T₁ treatment recorded higher pH (7.55) followed by T₂ treatment green manuring (7.24) as compared to the other two treatments. The soil organic carbon also higher with T₁ treatment (1.26 %) followed by T₂ treatment green manuring (1.24 %) as compared to the other two treatments.

The soil nutrient content (NPK) was also highest with T₁ treatment followed by T₂ treatment green manuring as compared to the other two treatments (Table 8). Increase in N, P and K, content of coconut cropping system from 2013-14 to 2017-18 could be attributed to organic recycling of biomass glyricidia leaf lopping, vermiwash application in the system. Krishnakumar and Maheswarappa (2010), Srinivasa Reddy

Table 8. Soil nutrient status as influenced by coconut based integrated nutrient management system

Soil depth	Parameter Treatment	2013-2014						2017-2018					
		pH	EC (dS/m ²)	N (kg/ha)	P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	K ₂ O (kg/ha)	OC (%)	pH	EC (dS/m ²)	N (kg/ha)	P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	K ₂ O (kg/ha)	OC (%)
0-25	T ₁	7.5	0.183	253	17	301	0.42	7.55	0.190	277	21.5	309.3	1.26
	T ₂	7.1	0.149	248	18	289	0.54	7.24	0.158	262	25.6	293.1	1.24
	T ₃	6.8	0.168	220	16	278	0.39	6.82	0.176	243	19.3	284.0	1.20
	T ₄	6.7	0.186	265	17	312	0.30	6.71	0.196	284	20.3	318.0	0.89
25-50	T ₁	7.4	0.170	234	17	277	0.31	7.43	0.181	248	21.6	286.0	1.22
	T ₂	7.1	0.168	217	15	242	0.51	7.11	0.173	241	18.1	256.0	1.20
	T ₃	6.9	0.178	167	9	269	0.44	6.9	0.181	178	13.0	276.1	1.18
	T ₄	6.8	0.190	240	12	265	0.30	6.81	0.198	251	16.0	278.1	0.91
50-100	T ₁	7.3	0.211	191	13	212	0.38	7.22	0.221	202	16.1	218.3	1.19
	T ₂	7.0	0.151	143	9	228	0.43	7.10	0.168	151	13.0	233.1	1.18
	T ₃	6.8	0.201	152	9	261	0.41	6.79	0.206	158	12.2	273.0	1.16
	T ₄	6.7	0.192	210	10	215	0.32	6.41	0.198	221	13.4	226.1	0.78

Table 9. Leaf nutrient status (%) and soil microbial population (CFU/g dry soil) as influenced by coconut based integrated nutrient management system

Treatment	Leaf nutrient status						Soil microbial population (CFU/g dry soil) (2017-18)		
	2013-2014			2017-2018			Bacteria	Fungi	Actinomycetes
	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)	N (%)	P (%)	K (%)	(10 ⁵ CFU/g soil)	(10 ⁴ CFU/g soil)	(10 ³ CFU/g soil)
T ₁	1.50	0.12	1.2	1.75	0.18	1.31	95.0	153.0	134.0
T ₂	1.40	0.14	1.0	1.71	0.16	1.26	89.0	148.0	136.0
T ₃	1.38	0.13	0.9	1.53	0.11	1.24	77.0	166.0	112.0
T ₄	1.48	0.14	1.1	1.49	0.10	1.20	42.0	59.0	67.0

Table 10. Earthworm population (No.'s/m²) as influenced by coconut based integrated nutrient management system

Treatment	Soil depth																	
	0-10 cm						10-20 cm						20-30 cm					
	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean	2013 -14	2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	Mean
T ₁	12.00	12.40	12.0	13.0	13.5	12.6	4.20	6.40	6.4	5.8	6.0	5.8	1.60	2.20	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.5
T ₂	8.00	8.60	9.0	10.8	10.2	9.30	2.00	2.80	3.2	4.4	4.9	3.5	0.20	1.60	1.8	2.4	2.8	1.8
T ₃	16.40	16.00	14.8	14.8	15.0	15.4	7.80	9.60	9.6	9.8	10	9.4	3.60	3.80	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.9
T ₄	4.00	7.60	7.2	7.6	7.7	6.80	1.80	2.00	2.2	3.4	3.8	2.6	0.00	0.80	1.4	2.4	2.8	1.5

and Upadhyay (2002) and Maheswarappa *et al.* (2014) reported significant change in soil properties due to INM practices.

Leaf-nutrient status

The nutrient content in the index leaf in respect of N, P and K differed among the treatments (**Table 9**). After the 4th years of treatment initiation the mean N content was higher with T₁ treatment (1.75%) followed by T₂ treatment green manuring (1.71 %) as compared to the other two treatments. Also the P and K content were higher with treatment T₁ and T₂. It was observed that, as the recommended NPK was reduced, the leaf N, K content also found to be decreased, mainly because of the lower N and K supply through vermin-compost and reduced dose of recommended N.

In general, it was found that, there was improvement in leaf nutrient status in respect of major and micronutrients due to different treatments compared to pre-experimental nutrient status. This is mainly attributed to timely application of nutrients and irrigation for the crop. It was observed from the data that N, P, K content of coconut leaf increased after four years from system. Results analogous to these finding were recorded by Maheswarappa *et al.* (1998) in mix cropping of coconut.

Soil microbial population

The population of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes did

differ among the various treatments, when analysed at 0–25 cm soil depth (**Table 9**). Though the top soil (0–25 cm depth) is the zone of intensive microbial activity and therefore, should have reflected changes undergoing in microbial community structure in response to extraneous inputs, which in present study are organic and inorganic fertilizers.

However, the population of fungi were, in general, more in treatments T₃ maximum inputs was applied as compared to other treatments. The bacteria and actinomycetes present in top soil were higher in treatment T₁ and T₂ respectively. Also the earthworm population were highest in the treatment T₃ followed by the treatment T₁ and T₂ (**Table 10**). Results analogous to these finding were recorded by Maheswarappa *et al.* (2014) in the coconut based integrated nutrient management with vermi-composted coconut leaves.

Economics

The economics of coconut based INM system was worked out and presented in **Table 11**. The economics of the INM system revealed that the highest (2.57) benefit : cost ratio was recorded with T₁ treatment followed by (2.25 B:C) with T₂ treatment as compared to the other two treatments. The economic analysis of mixed farming system maintained at CPCRI, Kasargod for the period of 1989-90 to 1997-98, realized net return between ₹ 49,700 and 1,26,900 (Maheswarappa *et al.*, 2000).

Table 11. Economics (per ha.) of coconut based integrated nutrient management system

Treatment	Cost of production (₹/ha)						Gross return (₹/ha)					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	94439	208696	168671	168665	168780	161850.2	239616	830650	1281300	1712550	2479897	1308802.6
T ₂	89424	154260	151920	152160	152250	140002.8	249985	784120	967800	1236750	1546836	957098.2
T ₃	77229	276904	152122	148743	148850	160769.6	201557	781650	900450	1388100	1495072	953365.8
T ₄	60195	57000	57000	57000	57000	57639.0	138600	214200	189000	258300	311682	222356.4

Contd....

Treatment	Net return (₹/ha)						B:C ratio					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	Mean
T ₁	145177	621954	1112629	1543885	2311117	1146952.4	1.79	2.11	2.86	2.98	3.10	2.57
T ₂	160561	629860	815880	1084590	148743	567926.8	1.53	1.94	2.14	2.76	2.87	2.25
T ₃	104328	504746	748328	1239357	1346222	788596.2	1.35	1.63	1.88	2.11	2.41	1.88
T ₄	78405	157200	132000	201300	254682	164717.4	1.30	1.61	1.69	1.91	2.14	1.73

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that, application of organic manures in combination with inorganic fertilizer either in 75 % of recommended NPK + 25 % of N through organic recycling with vermicompost or 50 % of RDF+ 50 % of N through organic recycling with vermicompost + vermiwash application + bio-fertilizer application + *in situ* green manuring found to be beneficial in respect of maintaining nutritional status of coconut and improving the soil microbial population and coconut yield over a period of time.

REFERENCES

- Bavappa K V A and Jacob VJ. 1982. High intensity multispecies cropping – A new approach to small scale farming in the tropics. *World Crops*. **34** (2): 47-50.
- Kalpna M, Srinivasulu B, Rao D V R, Arulraj S and Jayabose C. 2008. Impact of integrated nutrient management on nut yield and quality of coconut under coastal ecosystem. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **36** (3): 249-253.
- Krishnakumar V and Maheswarappa H P. 2010. Integrated nutrient management for root (wilt) diseased coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) palms. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. **80** (5): 394-98.
- Maheswarappa H P, George V Thomas, Ravi Bhat, Palaniswami C and Jayasekhar S. 2011. Impact of inorganic fertilizer substitutions by vermicomposted coconut leaves on productivity and economics of coconut. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **39** (1): 30-34.
- Maheswarappa H P, Hegde M R, Dhanapal R and Biddappa C C. 1998. Mixed farming in coconut garden: Its impact on soil physical and chemical properties, coconut nutrition and yield. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **26** (2): 139-143.
- Maheswarappa H P, Sairam C V, Dhanapal R, Vidhan Singh T, and Hegde M R. 2000. Economic analysis of coconut based

mixed farming systems. *CORD*. **XVI** (1): 37-46.

- Maheswarappa H P, George V. Thomas, Alka Gupta, Ravi Bhat and C. Palaniswami. 2014. Productivity and nutrient status of coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management with vermicomposted leaves. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **59** (3): 455-459.
- Nampoothiri K U K. 2001. Organic Farming—Its relevance to plantation crops. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **29** (1): 1-9.
- Nath J C, Arulraj S and Maheswarappa H P. 2012. Integrated nutrient management of COD × WCT hybrid coconut under alluvial clay-loam soil of Assam. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **40** (2): 105-10.
- Nelliath E V, Bavappa K V A and Nair P K R. 1974. Multi-storeyed cropping – A new dimension in multiple cropping for coconut plantation. *World Crops*. **26** (6): 262-266.
- Ollangnier M and Ochs R. 1978. Mineral exportation of the hybrid coconut PB 121. *Oleagineux*. **33**: 437-43.
- Prabhu S R, Subramanian P, Biddappa C C and Bopaiah B M. 1998. Prospects of improving coconut productivity through vermiculture technology. *Indian Coconut Journal*. **29** (4): 79-94.
- Ramadasan A and Lal S B. 1966. Exhaust of nutrients from coconut garden – Factors affecting production. *Coconut Bulletin*. **20**: 173-75.
- Srinivasa Reddy D V and Upadhyay A K. 2002. Impact of integrated nutrient management on the mineral nutrition and yield of WCT coconut in littoral sandy soil at Kasaragod. (*In:*) *Proceedings of PLACROSYM-XV*, Central Coffee Research Station, Balehonnur, Karnataka. pp. 274-81.
- Talashikar S C, Nagwekar D D, Akhave S R and Dabke D J. 2008. Effect of integrated use of manures and fertilizers on coconut yield and changes in available nutrient content and N, P and K fractions of inceptisols of Konkan region. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. **36** (2): 112-116.

