

# **Agriculture in India: Issues in Technology Development and Transfer**

**B. VENKATESWARLU**

*Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Santoshnagar, Hyderabad – 500 059*

## **Introduction**

The Indian economy is mainly dependent on agriculture, which contributes 21 percent of country's capital GDP and 60 percent of employment potential. India made rapid strides in food production during last three decades culminating in self-sufficiency and surplus production. However, feeding the ever-increasing population through the next millennium remains an uphill task. The country will have to feed about 1.3 billion people by the year 2020 requiring 5-6 mt of additional feed grains every year. Besides, the problems of poverty and malnutrition have their own implication to national food security. Over 70 percent of Indian population, which is predominantly rural, does not have proper access to food and non-food commodities due to poor employment and infrastructure facilities. This reminds all those concerned with the country's food security of the daunting task ahead in order to ensure access to food to the growing population. Rainfed agriculture occupies 67 percent of net sown area, contributing 44 percent of food grain production and supporting 40 percent of the population. Even after realization of full irrigation potential of the country, 50 percent of net sown area will continue as rainfed (CRIDA, 1997).

At present 95 percent of area under coarse cereals, 91 percent under pulses, 80 percent under oilseeds, 65 percent under cotton and 53 percent under rice is rainfed (Government of India, 1994). Livestock forms an integral part of rainfed ecosystem and two out of every three animals are thriving in these regions. These areas are spread-out throughout the length and breadth of the country with semi-arid to sub-humid environments, shallow textured light soils to deep textured black and alluvial soils with varied effective crop growing periods from 90 to 180 days.

## **Scenario of food demand and resources**

The food grain requirement of the country is 243 mt by the year 2007-08, out of which food demand could be about 104 mt of rice, 84.3 mt of wheat, 34.4 mt of coarse grains and 21.5 mt of pulses, 9.5 mt of oilseeds and 119.5 mt of milk and 110.7 mt of vegetables and for fruits 70.5 mt. The food grain requirements have been projected for 2025 at 308 mt with low growth population of 286 million. But at higher population growth scenario (1333 million), the projected food grain production is 320 mt by 2025 (Kumar et al, 2005). More than the calories, ensuring protein security will become an important issue in view of the predominantly vegetarian habits of the populace and the dwindling availability of vegetable (pulses) proteins whose current supply is about 25 g head<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> against the minimum dietary need of about 70 g.

The agriculture production increased from 50 mt to over 200 mt, between 1950-2000, thanks to green revolution. This, however, had its own costs in terms of degradation of land and water resources, loss of plant biodiversity, shift of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, polluted environment, widening gap between the rich and the poor. Thus, physical access to food was the most important food security challenge in the past but economic and access to food has now become the most important cause of hunger and ecological access to food might become the most important concern in the next millennium owing to the damage now being done to land, water, flora, fauna and atmosphere.

### **Shrinking of natural resources**

The per capita availability of agricultural land in India was 0.46 hectares in 1951, which decreased to 0.15 hectares in 2000 as against the global average of 0.6 ha. Number of persons per hectare of net-cropped area was 3 in 1951, 6.5 in 2000 and is estimated at 8 persons in 2025. This situation of rapidly declining land to man ratio is likely to worsen further owing to competitive demand for food, fibre, fuel, fodder, timber and developmental activities such as urbanization and industrialization, special economic zones, mining, road construction and reservoirs etc.

### **Constraints of production in rainfed areas**

The rainfed lands suffer from a number of biophysical and socio-economic constraints, which affect productivity of crops and livestock. These include low and erratic rainfall, land degradation and poor productivity (Abrol and Katyal, 1994), low level of input use and technology adoption, low draft power availability (Mayande and Katyal, 1996), inadequate fodder availability low productive livestock (Singh, 1997), and resource poor farmers and inadequate credit availability.

### **Strategies for Sustained Food Production in Rainfed Region**

#### **Identification of viable rainfed technologies**

A number of economically viable rainfed technologies have been developed over the years in the country to address the problems of food production in rainfed agriculture through CRIDA and its network centers for the last three decades. These technologies have been evolved after refining them in farmers' field through Operational Research Projects, Institute Village Linkage Program (IVLP) and farm science centres. These include simple practices like off-season tillage in rainfed Alfisols and related soils for better moisture conservation and weed control. Farmers in Operational Research Project (ORP) areas of Hyderabad adopted this practice in sorghum and castor and realized yield advantage by 40 percent over traditional practice. Lack of adequate draft power with many small farmers, however, is one of the major constraints to popularize this practice. Custom hiring of tractor is effective solution of farm mechanization on these lands.

#### **Soil and rain water conservation techniques**

Efficient conservation of rainwater is the central issue in successful dryland farming. Extensive trials conducted by the soil conservation and dryland research centres have led to the identification of a number of inter-terrace land treatments besides contour and graded bunds (Sharma et al., 1982). These techniques are location specific and the benefits from their adoption are highly variable depending on the rainfall intensity, slope and texture of the soil besides the prevailing crop/cropping system. (Katyal and Das, 1993).

Farmers have not widely adopted mechanical measures like contour bunds, graded bunds, grassing of waterways and construction of farm ponds without the government support due to financial constraints. However, studies at Hyderabad, Bangalore and Anantapur revealed that more than 80 percent farmers follow simple conservation measures like sowing across the slope, opening of dead furrows and key line cultivation. The yield improvement by adoption of soil and water conservation measures vary between 12 and 20 percent which are at times not convincing enough to farmers. However, cumulative effects are significantly visible at some locations. Since such measures

help in long-term conservation of resources, these are implemented through the Government of India or the respective State Government sponsored watershed management programmes.

### **Timely planting of crops**

Timely sowing and precision are essential for getting good plant stand, higher yield and optimum utilization of rainfall and reduction in the incidence of pests and diseases. A number of demonstrations have been taken up in farmers fields through ORPs, KVKs and IVLP programmes in different rainfed regions of the country. In case of sorghum and castor in farmers fields of Hyderabad, a fifteen day delay in sowing led to reduction of 300 and 850 kg/ha compared to normal sowing. Inadequate availability of farm implements and draft are major constraints. However, seeding and interculture experiments developed by CRIDA and AICRPDA centres helped in overcoming the constraints to some extent.

### **Adoption of improved crop varieties**

A number of improved varieties and hybrids were evaluated in the farmers fields to identify suitable ones for matching growing periods for inter and sequence rainfed cropping systems. For example, farmers gained additional benefit ranging from Rs. 2000-4000/ha by adopting improved varieties of sorghum, castor and sunflower in Alfisols of Hyderabad.

### **Efficient crops and cropping systems**

To achieve appropriate land use, efficient inters and sequence-cropping systems were recommended based on soil type, rainfall and length of growing seasons. The studies at Hyderabad indicated only 25 percent farmers adopted 2:1 ratio of sorghum-pigeonpea. Whereas 45 percent of farmers adopted the finger millet + pigeonpea system (8:1) ratio in Alfisols of Karnataka and maize + soybean system (2:2) was accepted by Ranchi farmers. Groundnut + pigeonpea (7:1) was widely accepted by the farmers in Rayalseema of Andhra Pradesh. Some of the constraints for wider adoption by the farming communities are preference for fodder genotypes in cereals rather than grains for feed to live stock, lack of suitable farm implements to seed in different ratios, delay in planting of kharif for double cropping systems. These have to be refined under on-farm situations for greater acceptance by the farmers

### **Farm implements**

Proper tillage and precise placement of seed and fertilizers in the moist zone are most critical to for successful crop establishment in drylands. Since the sowing of crops must be completed in a short span of time, use of appropriate implements is necessary to cover large area before the seed zone dries out. Suitable implements have been recommended for various locations to meet this requirement. These are designed to suit the soil type, crop and the draught power availability. In many cases, the existing local implement used by the farmers have been improved to increase their working efficiency (Gupta and Sriram, 1987).

Studies at CRIDA in farmers' fields of Telangana indicated that use of the drill plough for sowing of castor and sorghum crops showed no variation in yields of the crops and plant as compared to farmers practice resulted 1 ½ times more coverage compared to farmers' method of seeding. Two labourers who are required for placement of seed and fertilizer in farmers method can be saved with the drill plough. Thus a saving of Rs. 187/ha is possible with a drill plough compared to the traditional plough and plant system.

### **Nutrient management**

Fertilizer recommendations in rainfed crop production have been made primarily for NPK along with the conjunctive use of chemical, organic and bio-fertilizer (Rao and Das, 1982). Inclusion of legumes in cropping systems can supplement fertilizer N to the extent of about 20 kg N per ha. Conjunctive use of fertilizer N with FYM, croppings of *luecaena* and *gliricidia* help in reducing the requirement of fertilizer by 50 percent (Reddy et al., 1996).

### **Integrated pest management (IPM)**

Pests and diseases constitute a major constraint to increased food production. Crop losses due to pest attack range from 10-30 percent depending on the crop and environment. Complete crop failure may occur in case of serious attack. Indiscriminate use of the pesticides in rainfed crops will lead to harmful side effects such as direct toxicity to the applicator or consumer, development of strains or pests resistant to pesticides, resurgence of pest species, outbreak of secondary pesticides, destruction of non-target organisms such as parasites and predators and accumulation of harmful residues of food products. Integrated pest management is one of the alternatives for the chemicals used for pest management. IPM encourages the most comfortable and ecologically sound combination of available pest suppression techniques and to keep the pest population below economic threshold. Easily adaptable and economically viable integrated pest management strategies have been developed for the control of major pest in rainfed crops like cotton and pulses.

### **Alternate Land use Systems**

Despite evolving a number of production technologies, arable cropping in drylands continues to suffer from instability due to aberrant weather. To provide stability to farm income and also utilize the marginal lands for production of fodder, fuel wood and fibre, a number of alternative land use systems were evolved based on location specific experimentation and cafeteria studies (Singh, 1988). In addition to the above general guidelines, specific experiments have been carried out to develop land use practices for different categories of soils across the centres integrating annual crops with the perennial component in order to utilize the off-season rainfall (Katyal et al., 1994). Different alternate land use systems include agri-silviculture, silvi-pasture, agri-horticulture, alley cropping etc.

### **Integration of livestock with rainfed farming systems**

Livestock is treated as a part of farming system in rainfed agriculture in India. The soil, plant, animal cycle is the basis for all feed used by the animals. The livestock in the rainfed regions are weak. Farmers in this area often sell their cattle due to the scarcity of fodder. In India the land holdings are being reduced with increased population pressure. Hence, land not suitable for agriculture has to be diverted for raising fodder need of animals through the appropriate alternate land use system such as improved pasture, silvipasture, hortipasture and tree techniques.

### **Integration of the technologies through watershed approaches**

The concept of watershed is important in efficient management of water resources. As the entire process of agricultural development depends upon the status of water resources, the watershed with distinct hydrological boundary is considered ideal for taking up a development programme. In brief, planning and designing of all soil conservation structures are carried out considering the peak runoff.

In this context, the watershed concept is of practical significance. Also, the entire development needs are to be taken up on topographic considerations from ridge to valley.

### **Resource conservation measures**

Details about conservation measures adopted in cultivated lands have been delineated by Katyal et al., (1995) and Sharma and Mishra (1995). Based on the nature and type of barriers and their cost, the conservation measures in arable lands can be divided into three categories: (i) Hardware treatments (ii) Medium software treatments and (iii) Software treatments.

### **Farming system approach**

Of late, it has been increasingly recognized that unlike irrigated areas, it is difficult to develop profitable technologies for heterogeneous agro-ecological and socio-economic conditions of small holders in arid and semi-arid regions (Osten et al., 1989). Since, the problems are complex, addressing only a component of the farming system, e.g crop variety, fertilizer use or even crop husbandry *per se* is not expected to bring about a significant increase in the productivity as witnessed in irrigated areas. The extension strategy should be such as to match this challenge. The farming systems perspective, dovetailed on watershed approach therefore can be the appropriate management strategy for such regions (Chambers, 1991).

The following steps constitute the farming systems mode for research, both on-station and on-farm (watershed)

- PRA and assessment of socio-economic conditions of people.
- Identification of ITK (indigenous technical knowledge)
- Collection of available technological knowledge on various components of the farming system – arable farming, animal husbandry, water harvesting, management of wastelands and alternate land use systems etc.
- Focus group (farmers) interaction to identify appropriate technology for different categories of farmers.
- Identification of lead farmers to function as facilitator in technology application and adoption.
- Identification of points of synergy among systems components.
- Structuring of technological components with maximum synergy.
- Phasing of program over the project period

### **REFERENCES**

- CRIDA-IVLP 1996. Progress Report of Technology Assessment and Refinement through Institute Village Linkage Program, CRIDA, Hyderabad.
- CRIDA-ORP 1997. Progress Report of Operation Research Projects of All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Dryland Agriculture. Pp: 519
- CRIDA-QRT report, 1996. Report of the third Quinquennial review team on Dryland Agriculture, CRIDA, Hyderabad submitting during November, 1996. pp: 55-61
- CRIDA Vision 2020, 1997, Perspective Plan of the Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, India.

- Government of India 1994. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, pp.140.
- Katyal, J.C. and Das, S.K. 1993. Transfer of Agricultural Technology in Rainfed Regions. Fertilizer News 38(4): 23-30
- Katyal J.C. and Das S.K.1994. Rainwater Conservation for Sustainable Agriculture. Indian Farming. Pp: 65-70.
- Katyal, J.C., Das, S.K., Korwar, G.R. and Osman. M 1994. Technology for Mitigation stresses: Alternate land uses. Stressed Ecosystems and Sustainable Agriculture eds. Pp. 291-305 (Virmani S.M., Katyal J.C., Eswaran H and Abrol I.P eds. Publisher)
- Mayande V.M and Katyal J.C.1996. Low Cost Improved Seeding Implements for Rainfed Agriculture. Technical Bulletin. 3, CRIDA, Hyderabad p. 26.
- Singh, R.P., 1988. Dryland Agriculture Research in India. Pages 136-164 in 40 years of Agricultural Research and Education in India, New Delhi, India : ICAR.
- Singh .H.P., Sharma , K.L., Venkateswarlu B and Neelaveni .K., 1998. Prospects of Indian Agriculture with Special Reference to Nutrient Management under Rainfed Ecosystems. National Workshop on Long Term Soil Fertility Management through Integrated Plant Nutrient Supply System. IISS, Bhopal, April 2-4.
- Singh R.P. and Das S.K. 1984. Timeliness and Precision Key Factors in Dryland Agriculture. Project Bulletin 9, CRIDA, Hyderabad , pp, 1-29.
- Venkateswarlu. J, 1986. Efficient Resource Management for Dryland. In: 15 Years of Dryland Agriculture Research, Souvenir, CRIDA, Hyderabad, pp. 42-58.
- Vishnumurthy, T. 1995. Analysis of Constraints in Transfer of Dryland Technology: An Operational Research Experience. Research for Rainfed Farming Process. Joint ICAR-ODA Workshop held at CRID, Hyderabad, 11-14 Sept., 1995, pp 33-44.