Eco. Env. & Cons. 22 (1) : 2016; pp. (225-236) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

Allelopathic effects of some promising agro forestry tree species on different annual crops

Neelam Kumari, Piyush Srivastava, Sarita Mehta, Moanaro and Bikash Das*

ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, Research Centre, Plandu, Ranchi 834 010, Jharkhand, India

(Received 29 April, 2015; accepted 20 July, 2015)

ABSRTACT

An experiment was conducted at ICAR RCER, RC, Ranchi, India during 2012-13 to assess the potential allelopathic effect of leaf extract of eleven tree species [Mango (Mangifera indica), Poplar (Populus deltoides), Arjun (Terminalia arjuna), Palas (Butea monosperma), Bakaine (Melia azedarach), Acacia mangium, Acacia auriculiformis, Bael (Aegle marmelos), Teak (Tectona grandis), Jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus), Shisham (Dalbergia sissoo)] on nine annual crops (black gram, gram, pea, sponge gourd, mustard, okra, brinjal, chilli, tomato). Data were recorded on seed germination (after 10 days of seed sowing) and growth and biochemical composition of 20 days old seedlings. Reduction in germination % of annual crops was recorded with application of leaf leachate of each tree species except D. sissoo, A. heterophyllus, A. marmelos and A. mangium. With respect to weight of whole plant, application of leaf extract of M. indica, B. monosperma, A. mangium, A. auriculiformis and A. marmelos resulted in significant increase in total fresh and dry weight over that of control whereas none of the treatments resulted in significant reduction in fresh weight of annual crops. Among the annual crops, reduction in total fresh weight of the plant than that of control was recorded in case of bottle gourd whereas significant increase in fresh weight due to application of leaf extract was recorded in case of black gram, gram, okra and brinjal. Application of leaf extract of M. indica, A. mangium, A. auriculiformis and A. marmelos resulted in significant decrease in total chlorophyll content over that of control. Hence, the study clearly indicated beneficial effect of leaf extract of Mangifera indica, Butea monosperma, Acacia mangium, Acacia auriculiformis and Aegle marmelos with respect to plant growth of annual crops.

Key words: Allelopathy, Leaf extract, Seed germination

Introduction

The uplands constitute more than 60% of the total area of the eastern plateau and hill region of India. Agroforestry systems are effective approaches for sustainable utilization of the rainfed uplands of the region. The major agroforestry species grown in the region include *Mangifera indica*, *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Terminalia arjuna*, *Butea monosperma* etc. Apart from this, timber species like *Populus deltoides*, *Acacia mangium* etc. have also been found suitable to be grown in this region. Among the an-

nual crops grown in the region, black gram and chick pea are important pulses, mustard is an important oilseed crop and garden pea, sponge gourd, okra, brinjal, chilli, tomato are important vegetable crops.

Perennial species remain a part of the agro-ecosystem for a longer period and produce large amount of litter. Suppressing or stimulating effects of tree crops on the annual crops due to allelopathic interaction are the major considerations for selection of crop combination under agroforestry systems. The allelopathy describes the field that studies the

^{*}Corresponding author's email: bikash41271@yahoo.com

contradictory relationships between different plant species, as well as those between the individuals of the same species. The chemical compounds that are involved in the interactions between plants are generally called allelopathic substances or allelochemicals and play an important part in the primary metabolic processes, essential for the survival of the plants (Rice, 1974). Root exudation, leaching by dews, rains and irrigation, and volatilization or decaying plant tissue from allelopathic plants results in release of compounds into the environment (Rice, 1984, Khan et al., 2011). In many tree species, the allelopathic substances have been identified as phenolic compounds like caffeic acid, ferulic acid; coumaric acid, benzoic acid; vanelic, chlorogenic; gallic; hydroxybenzoic and cinnamic acid etc. (El-Rokiek et al 2010). Effects of allelochemicals are dependent on the concentration of the extracts, target species, and the plant tissues from which the chemicals were extracted (Daniel, 1999; Kavitha et al., 2012). Generally leaves are the most potent source of allelochemicals. However, the toxic metabolites are also distributed in all other plant parts in various concentrations (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2009). Apart from alteration in plant growth parameters, plants' response to allelopathic chemicals have also been recorded in form of alterations in different biochemicals like chlorophyll (Elisante et al. 2013), sugars (Kavitha et al. 2012) and phenols (Al-Hakimi, 2008).

Allelopathic effect of the above tree species on different annual crops have been reported by various workers [El-Rokiek et al. (2010) and Venkateshwarlu et al. (2001) in Mangifera indica; Perdomo and Magalhaes (2007) in Artocarpus heterophyllus; Rao et al.(1994) in Terminalia arjuna, Dalbergia sissoo and Acacia auriculiformis; Hoque et al. (2003) in Acacia auriculiformis; Francisco et al. (2010) and Joyakumar et al. (1978) in Tectona grandis; Roy et al. (2011) in Butea monosperma; Thapaliyal et al. (2008) in Aegle marmelos; Farrukh et al. (1985) and Hussain et al. (1984) in Melia azedarach, Otsamo (2002) in Acacia mangium; Singh et al. (2001) in Populus eltoids]. In eastern plateau and hill region of India a number of households have Mangifera indica, Aegle marmelos and Artocarpus heterophyllus trees. Petmark and Williams (1991) recommended Acacia auriculiformis as agroforestry species. However, information on interaction between the above mentioned tree species and annual crops are meager. Keeping in view the present investigation was undertaken to identify

different promising synergistic crop combinations to be grown under agroforestry systems.

Meterials and Methods

The investigations were undertaken at ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, Research Centre, Ranchi, during 2012-13. The treatments consisted of 11 tree species [Mango (Mangifera indica), Poplar (Populus eltoids), Arjun (Terminalia arjuna), Palas (Butea monosperma), Bakaine (Melia azedarach), Acacia mangium, Acacia auriculiformis, Bael (Aegle marmelos), Teak (Tectona grandis), Jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus), Shisham (Dalbergia sissoo)] and nine annual crops (black gram, gram, pea, sponge gourd, mustard, okra, brinjal, chilli, tomato) in 99 combinations. Seeds (108 numbers per replication) of the annual crops were sown in pro-trays of 4.0 inches size filled with growing media composed of cocopeat and vermicompost at 1:1 ratio. For preparation of leaf extract of tree species, one kg of fully mature leaves were grinded, stirred with 3.5 litres of distilled water and the leaf water extract was filtered out. 25 ml of leaf water extract from the selected tree species was applied in the media of each cup. In case of control, only water was applied. Before application in the media, fresh leaves of each tree species were analyzed for content of total phenol. All the samples were irrigated daily with distilled water. The experiment was laid out in Factorial RBD with three replications. Data on seed germination (%) was recorded after 10 days of seed sowing. The seedlings were removed from the media after 20 days of sowing and data were recorded on length of shoot, fresh weight and dry weight of root, shoot and whole plant, content of chlorophyll, total phenol and total soluble sugars in the shoot. Chlorophyll content was estimated spectrophotometrically after extraction in chilled acetone (Thimaiah, 2000). Content of total phenol was estimated spectrophotometrically using Folin-Ciocateau reagent while the content of total soluble sugars was estimated spectrophotometrically using Anthrone reagent (Thimaiah, 2000). The data were subjected to analysis of variance.

Result and Discussion

Seed germination

Significant effects of the treatment on germination %

NEELAM ET AL 227

C.D. at 5%

were recorded with respect to tree species, annual crops as well as interaction between tree species and annual crops (Table 1). Reduction in germination % of annual crops was recorded with application of leaf leachate of each tree species except *D. sissoo*, *A.* heterophyllus, A. marmelos and A. mangium. Stimulatory effect of D. sissoo on seed germination of annual crops have been attributed to presence of phenols, carbohydrates, terpenoids and flavonoids in extracts (Tripathy et al., 2000). In the present investigation, leaf leachate of M. azedarach resulted in minimum seed germination of annual crops. Inhibitory effects of M. azadarach on seed germination of different annual species have been reported by a number of workers (Farrukh et al., 1985; Liliana et al., 2011; Wirat et al., 2012). Inhibition of seed germination was recorded in all the annual crops except garden peas. Application of leaf extract of A. mangium resulted in significant increase in the germination % of garden peas indicating synergistic interaction. The maximum inhibition of seed germination was recorded in case of okra (33.48%). Venkateshwarlu (2001) has recorded 90% inhibition in seed germination of okra when treated with leaf extract of *M. in*dica. Both stimulatory and inhibitory effect on seed germination of annual crops, were recorded by Sahoo *et al.*, (2010), with the aqueous leaf leachate of M. indica. Inhibition of seed germination by allochemicals has been attributed to suppression of mitotic activities of young cells (Rice, 1984).

Shoot length

With respect to shoot length, treatmental effects were significant with respect to tree species, annual crops and interaction between tree species and annual crop (Table 2). Application of water extract of all the tree species except B. monosperma, A. mangium and D. sissoo resulted in significant decrease in shoot length of annual crops over that of water application. Among the annual crops, the maximum reduction in shoot length was recorded in case of garden pea (33.07%) whereas the maximum increase was recorded in case of okra (19.86%). Venkateshwarlu (2001) has reported reduced root and shoot length in okra when treated with leaf extraxt of M. indica at 1000 ppm. Hoque et al. (2003) has reported significant inhibitory effect on shoot elongation (-41.56%) in mustard with 100% leaf water extracts of *A*. auriculiformis whereas, in present study it was found at par with control.

Table 1. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on seed germination (%) of annual crops	of soil app	olication c	of leaf wate.	r extracts or	n seed ger	mination ((%) of anr	ual crops						
Trees/ / Annual Crop	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia Butea indica L deltoides arjuna monosper	rminalia Butea Melia Acacia arjuna monosperma azadirach mangium	Melia azadirach	Acacia mangium	Acacia auriculi- formis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus Dalbergia Water heterophyllus sissoo	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±
Black gram	98.08	77.16	82.72	69.75	34.57	73.46	84.57	77.78	71.60	62.96	90.12	90.12	74.64	Extract:
Gram	79.01	83.33	74.07	85.19	56.17	82.10	81.48	94.44	87.04	85.80	98.15	89.51	83.02	2.21,
Pea	69.75	64.81	69.75	72.84	62.35	82.72	29.99	48.15	70.99	62.96	68.52	54.94	66.20	Seed:
Sponge gourd	40.12	40.74	46.30	45.68	57.41	62.96	71.60	41.36	38.27	64.20	50.62	57.41	51.39	1.92,
Mustard	88.27	74.07	75.93	80.25	81.48	73.46	83.95	89.51	67.28	82.10	93.83	87.04	81.43	Extract x
	72.84	53.09	57.41	67.90	69.14	45.06	24.69	63.58	32.10	57.41	67.90	74.69	57.15	Seed:
Brinjal	56.17	74.07	72.84	75.93	80.86	78.40	68.52	82.10	72.22	92.06	71.60	78.40	75.51	6.64
	65.43	68.52	73.46	84.57	86.42	87.65	82.72	87.04	92.06	99.38	98.15	90.74	84.93	
Tomato	64.20	77.78	83.95	92.59	70.37	83.33	65.43	78.40	85.19	84.57	79.01	83.33	79.01	
Mean	68.52	68.18	70.71	74.97	66.53	74.35	96.69	73.59	98.89	77.16	79.77	78.46		

Extract:
6.13,
Seed:
5.31,
Extract
x
Seed:
18.39

Table 2. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on shoot length (cm) of annual crops

natica L deltoides arjuna monosperma azadıracı 12.64 11.98 14.38 13.74 10.83 13.70 13.97 15.23 15.91 12.71 4.82 4.56 5.08 4.87 8.20 7.60 8.44 9.30 7.60 5.92 5.86 6.18 6.25 5.40 5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 5.93 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 3.89 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 5.77 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03 8.45	Mangifera Pop	T sulu	erminalia	Butea	1	Acacia		Aegle	Tectona	Artocarpus	Dalbergia Water	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D.
12.64 11.98 14.38 13.74 13.70 13.97 15.23 15.91 4.82 4.59 4.66 5.08 8.20 7.60 8.44 9.30 5.92 5.86 6.18 6.25 5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	ındıca L. delt	oıdes	arjuna m	опоѕрета	azadırach 1	тап§гит	auriculi- formis		grandis	heterophyllus	S1SS00				at 5%
13.70 13.97 15.23 15.91 4.82 4.59 4.66 5.08 8.20 7.60 8.44 9.30 5.92 5.86 6.18 6.25 5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	, ,	86:	14.38	13.74	10.83	13.52	13.51	12.18	12.08	12.47	14.68	14.04	13.00	Extract:	Extract:
60urd 8.20 7.60 8.44 9.30 7.60 8.44 9.30 8.25 5.86 6.18 6.25 4.79 8.72 8.72 8.72 8.72 8.72 8.72 8.72 8.72		.97	15.23	15.91	12.71	13.97	14.73	13.83	14.02	14.25	14.38	15.42	14.34	0.194,	0.539,
8.20 7.60 8.44 9.30 5.92 5.86 6.18 6.25 5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	4.82	.59	4.66	5.08	4.87	5.74	5.79	5.82	6.43	5.37	7.73	8.27	5.77	Seed:	Seed:
5.92 5.86 6.18 6.25 5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	8.20	09.	8.44	9.30	7.60	98.9	4.70	7.24	5.45	7.31	10.35	10.03	7.76	0.168, 0.467,	0.467,
5.63 5.16 5.20 4.79 3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	5.92	98.	6.18	6.25	5.40	5.79	5.32	5.18	5.54	5.98	6.73	5.41	5.80	Extract ×]	Extract×
3.72 3.52 1.09 3.87 6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	5.63	.16	5.20	4.79	5.93	6.23	6.73	3.27	4.09	6.33	5.26	4.45	5.26	Seed:	Seed:
6.12 6.43 5.63 6.65 6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	3.72	.52	1.09	3.87	3.89	4.28	3.49	3.34	3.96	4.16	3.79	3.63	3.56	0.583	1.616
6.63 7.68 4.39 5.03	6.12	.43	5.63	6.65	5.77	6.01	6.18	6.42	7.07	99.9	6.44	6.02	6.28		
		89.	4.39	5.03	8.45	7.98	6.58	7.31	6.29	6.64	6:36	7.24	6.72		
7.42 7.25 7.85		.42	7.25	7.85	7.27	7.82	7.45	7.18	7.22	69.7	8.42	8.28			

Plant weight

- a. Root: The treatments different significantly with respect to fresh as well as dry weight of root of annual crops (Table 3 & 4). Among the tree species, application of water extract of M. indica, P. deltoides, T. arjuna and B. monosperma resulted in significant increase in fresh weight of root over that of water application, the maximum being in case of *M. indica*. However, with respect to dry weight of root, significant increase over control was recorded in case of M. indica, P. deltoides, A. auriculiformis, A. marmelos and T. grandis, the maximum being in case of A. marmelos. Among the annual crops, significant increase in root fresh weight over that of control was recorded in case of black gram (151.56% increase) and gram (30.18% increase) whereas in case of dry weight of root, significant increases were recorded in case of brinjal (105.93% increase), sponge gourd (40.41% increase) and gram (25.74% increase).
- **b. Shoot**: With respect to shoot fresh as well as dry weight, significant effects of the treatments could be recorded (Table 5 & 6). Among the tree species, application of leaf extract of M. indica, A. mangium, A. auriculiformis, A. marmelos resulted in significantly higher shoot fresh weight than that of control whereas significantly higher shoot dry weight was recorded in case of M. indica, P. deltoides, B. monosperma, A. auriculiformis, A. mangium and A. marmelos. Among the annual crops, significant decrease in shoot fresh weight was recorded in case of sponge gourd, whereas significant increases were recorded in case of mustard, okra, brinjal, chilli and black gram. In case of shoot dry weight, significant decrease was recorded in garden pea whereas significant increases were recorded in gram, okra, brinjal, tomato.
- c. Whole plant: With respect to total fresh weight and dry weight of the plant, significant effects of the treatments could be recorded (Table 7 & 8). Application of leaf extract of *M. indica*, *B. monosperma*, *A. mangium*, *A. auriculiformis* and *A. marmelos* resulted in significant increase in total fresh and dry weight over that of control whereas none of the treatments resulted in significant reduction in fresh weight of annual crops. Stimulatory effect of bael-leaf-water-extract at lower concentration has also been reported by Thapaliyal *et al.* (2008). Hoque *et al.*, (2003) has reported stimulatory effect of *A. auriculiformis* on growth of annual crops at lower

Table 3. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh weight (g) of annual cro
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh weight (g) of annua
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh weight (g) of
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh weight (g
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh
ct of soil application of leaf water extracts on root fresh
ct of soil application of leaf water extract
ct of soil application of leaf water extract
ct of soil application of leaf w
ct of soil application of
ct of soil application of
ct
ct
ct
ct
3. Effect
3
T.
Table

Trees/ <i>A</i> annual	Mangifera indica L	Populus Te deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna m	Butea 10nosperma	Melia azadirach	Acacia 1 mangium	Acacia auriculi- formis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	0.79	0.73	0.48	0.83	0.40	0.54	0:30	0.31	0.58	0.52	0.13	0.20	0.49	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	3.26	3.10	3.87	3.45	2.13	2.73	2.98	2.23	2.01	1.77	1.53	2.03	2.59	0.051,	0.142,
Pea	2.04	1.84	1.51	1.88	1.90	2.47	2.23	2.29	2.29	1.42	1.52	1.94	1.94	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	0.49	0.51	0.46	0.53	0.93	0.57	0.28	0.64	0.59	09.0	0.85	99.0	0.59	0.044,	0.123,
Mustard	0.07	0.03	0.03	90.0	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	$Extract \times$	Extract×
Okra	1.16	0.91	1.00	0.72	0.41	0.35	0.55	0.63	0.95	0.58	0.49	0.77	0.71	Seed:	Seed:
Brinjal	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	90.0	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.154	0.426
Chilli	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	90.0	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04		
Tomato	90.0	0.00	0.04	90.0	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.29	0.41	0.25	0.21	0.23	0.17		
Mean	0.88	0.81	0.83	0.85	29.0	92.0	0.73	0.73	0.78	0.59	0.53	99.0			

Table 4. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on root dry weight (g) of annual crops

Table 4: Litect of Son application of real water extracts of from the plant (8) of antitation crops	от эон ард	piicanoii	ו וכמו אמוכ	ו כאוומכנט טו	i iooi ai y	weißiii (8)	OI alliua	erops							
	Mangifera indica L	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna 1	Mangifera Populus Terminalia Butea indicaL deltoides arjuna monosperma	Butea попоѕретпа	Melia azadirach	Acacia 1 mangium	Acacia auricu- liformis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia Water sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	0.035	0.038	0.033	0.037	0.027	0.027	090.0	0.035	0.033	0.030	0.040	0.054	0.038	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	0.212	0.194	0.229	0.179	0.189	0.206	0.208	0.197	0.152	0.129	0.129	0.146	0.181	0.003,	0.008,
Pea	0.163	0.180	0.109	0.134	0.140	0.161	0.173	0.228	0.219	0.189	0.159	0.174	0.169	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd		0.055	0.039	0.037	0.046	0.050	0.029	0.039	0.053	0.046	0.037	0.031	0.042	0.003,	0.007,
Mustard		0.003	0.003	900.0	900.0	600.0	900.0	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.005 E	[xtract ×]	$\exists xtract \times$
Okra		0.070	0.072	0.065	0.042	0.047	0.057	0.058	690.0	0.056	0.041	0.056	0.058	Seed:	Seed:
Brinjal	0.015	0.009	0.009	0.019	0.008	0.010	0.026	0.035	0.025	0.011	0.005	0.008	0.015	0.009	
Chilli	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.014	0.009	0.014	0.015	0.020	0.015	0.012	0.010	0.010	0.013		
Tomato	0.013	0.020	0.012	0.016	0.015	0.017	0.017	0.031	0.024	0.016	0.010	0.010	0.017		
Mean	0.063	0.065	0.057	0.056	0.054	0.060	0.065	0.073	990.0	0.055	0.048	0.055			

Table 5. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on shoot fresh weight (g) of annual crops

Trees/ <i>M</i> annual crop	Aangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna m	Butea опоsperma	Melia azadirach	Acacia 1 mangium	Acacia auriculi formis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia Water sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	2.85	2.82	3.07	3.41	2.39		2.90	2.89	2.70	2.80	2.52	2.53	2.84	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	4.93	4.19	5.79	5.84	4.35	4.86	4.53	4.21	4.08	3.97	4.42	4.70	4.66		0.30,
Pea	3.85	3.43	3.11	3.75	3.80	5.20	4.68	3.93	3.96	3.11	4.29	4.10	3.93	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	2.60	4.18	5.71	6.26	2.67	4.73	3.82	5.44	3.97	4.61	6.30	5.93	5.19	0.00	0.26,
Mustard	1.38	1.33	1.57	1.77	1.21	1.50	1.60	1.57	1.29	1.35	1.33	1.14	1.42	Extract ×	Extract ×
Okra	11.28	8.71	9.22	8.78	10.32	10.19	11.93	9.21	8.31	10.89	10.94	99.8	9.87	Seed:	Seed:
Brinjal	1.96	1.80	1.51	1.07	1.43	1.44	1.21	1.91	1.76	1.67	0.75	0.92	1.45	0.32	0.90
Chilli	1.57	1.58	1.02	1.40	1.31	1.30	1.53	1.76	1.77	1.47	1.22	1.18	1.43		
Tomato	2.25	2.85	1.60	1.92	3.47	2.84	3.32	4.27	3.99	3.08	2.30	5.69	2.88		
Mean	3.96	3.43	3.62	3.80	3.77	3.91	3.95	3.91	3.54	3.66	3.79	3.54			

Table 6. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on shoot dry weight (g) of annual crops

Trees/	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terninalia l indica L deltoides arjuna mo	Butea 10110sperma	Melia azadirach	Acacia mangium o	Acacia nuriculiforn	Aegle mis marme	Acacia Aegle Tectona uriculiformis marmelos grandis h	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia Water sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	0.219	0.260	0.270	0.279	0.216	0.257	0.278	0.273	0.234	0.212	0.258	0.240	0.250	Extract:	
Gram	0.585	0.564	0.642	0.647	0.526	0.575	0.550	0.495	0.507	0.464	0.512	0.508	0.548	0.008,	
Pea	0.409	0.402	0.367	0.407	0.364	0.486	0.411	0.462	0.495	0.430	0.503	0.516	0.438	Seed:	
Sponge gourd	0.415	0.375	0.387	0.447	0.389	0.360	0.289	0.412	0.315	0.332	0.391	0.382	0.375	0.007,	0.019,
Mustard	0.072	0.064	0.075	0.088	0.072	0.094	0.081	0.087	990.0	0.074	990.0	990.0	0.075 I	Extract ×	
Okra	0.735	0.707	0.653	0.600	0.695	0.704	0.804	0.633	0.612	0.723	0.548	0.565	0.665	Seed:	Seed:
Brinjal	0.171	0.153	0.084	0.111	0.119	0.131	0.162	0.222	0.175	0.163	0.082	0.097	0.139	0.024	
Chilli	0.134	0.145	0.102	0.132	0.110	0.120	0.148	0.193	0.160	0.131	0.134	0.102	0.134		
Tomato	0.146	0.189	0.110	0.129	0.183	0.146	0.167	0.230	0.188	0.155	0.112	0.132	0.157		
Mean	0.321	0.318	0.299	0.316	0.297	0.319	0.321	0.334	0.306	0.298	0.290	0.290			

Table 7. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on total plant fresh weight (g) of annual crops

Trees/	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna mo	Butea 10nosperma	Melia azadirach 1	Acacia mangium	Acacia auricu- liformis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus Dalbergia Water heterophyllus sissoo	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	3.64	3.56	3.55	4.24	2.80	3.68	3.21	3.20	3.28	3.32	2.66	2.73	3.32	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	8.19	7.30	99.6	9.28	6.48	7.59	7.51	6.45	60.9	5.74	5.95	6.73		0.13,	0.38,
Pea	5.89	5.27	4.62	5.63	5.70	7.67	06.9	6.22	6.26	4.53	5.81	6.04		Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd		4.69	6.17	6.79	6.61	5.29	4.10	60.9	4.56	5.22	7.15	09.9	5.78	0.11,	0.33,
Mustard		1.35	1.61	1.83	1.23	1.54	1.64	1.64	1.34	1.37	1.35	1.17		Extract ×]	Extract ×
Okra	12.44	6.63	10.22	9.50	10.73	10.54	12.49	9.84	9.26	11.47	11.43	9.43		Seed:	Seed:
Brinjal	1.99	1.83	1.55	1.13	1.46	1.46	1.25	1.96	1.82	1.71	0.78	0.95		0.41	1.14
Chilli	1.61	1.60	1.06	1.44	1.35	1.35	1.57	1.82	1.81	1.52	1.25	1.21	1.46		
Tomato	2.31	2.94	1.64	1.98	3.60	2.94	3.47	4.56	4.40	3.33	2.51	2.91	3.05		
Mean	4.85	4.24	4.45	4.65	4.44	4.67	4.68	4.64	4.31	4.25	4.32	4.20			

Table 8. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on total plant dry weight (g) of annual crops

Trees/ l annual crop	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna	Butea mono- sperma	Melia Acacia azadirach mangium	Acacia mangium	Acacia auricu- liformis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia Water sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	0.219	0.260	0.270	0.279	0.216	0.257	0.278	0.273	0.234	0.212	0.258	0.240	0.250	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	0.585	0.564	0.642	0.647	0.526	0.575	0.550	0.495	0.507	0.464	0.512	0.508	0.548	0.008,	0.023,
Pea	0.409	0.402		0.407	0.364	0.486	0.411	0.462	0.495	0.430	0.503	0.516	0.438	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	0.415	0.375	0.387	0.447	0.389	0.360	0.289	0.412	0.315	0.332	0.391	0.382	0.375	0.0071,	0.019,
Mustard	0.072	0.064		0.088	0.072	0.094	0.081	0.087	990.0	0.074	0.066	0.066	0.075	Extract	Extract
Okra	0.735	0.707	0.653	0.600	0.695	0.704	0.804	0.633	0.612	0.723	0.548	0.565	0.665	×	×
Brinjal	0.171	0.153	0.084	0.111	0.119	0.131	0.162	0.222	0.175	0.163	0.082	0.097	0.139	Seed:	Seed:
Chilli	0.134	0.145	0.102	0.132	0.110	0.120	0.148	0.193	0.160	0.131	0.134	0.102	0.134	0.024	0.068
Tomato	0.146	0.189	0.110	0.129	0.183	0.146	0.167	0.230	0.188	0.155	0.112	0.132	0.157		
Mean	0.321	0.318	0.299	0.316	0.297	0.319	0.321	0.334	0.306	0.298	0.290	0.290			

concentrations. Similarly stimulatory effect of *B*. monosperma on growth of annual crops has been reported by Roy et al. (2011). However, inhibitory effects of M. indica on growth of different medicinal plants have been reported by Krishna et al. (2005). Among the annual crops, reduction in total fresh weight of the plant than that of control was recorded in case of bottle gourd whereas significant increase in fresh weight due to application of leaf extract was recorded in case of black gram, gram, okra and brinjal. The maximum increase in total fresh weight recorded in case of brinjal (61.57%). Data on interaction between tree species x annual crops indicated inhibitory effect of A. heterophyllus on garden peas; P. deltoides, T. grandis and A. heterophyllus on sponge gourd; T. arjuna on tomato.

Phenolic content in leaf-water-extract of tree species

Analysis of leaf-water extract of different tree species indicated significant difference with respect to content of total phenol (Table 9). The maximum phenol content was recorded in case of *T. grandis* which was at par with *A. heterophylus* and *D. sissoo*. Phenolic content of all other tree species were significantly lower and were at par with each other. Lower total fresh weight of whole plant treated with the above three tree species can be partly attributed to significantly higher phenolic content in the water extracts.

Biochemical composition of annual crops

a. Total chlorophyll- Significant effects of the treatments on total chlorophyll content was recorded with respect to tree species, annual crops as well as interaction between tree species and annual crops (Table 10). Application of leaf extract of *M. indica*, *A. mangium*, *A. auriculiformis* and *A. marmelos* resulted

in significant decrease in total chlorophyll content over that of control. Among the annual crops, significant increase was recorded in Pea while, decrease was recorded in black gram, sponge gourd, chilli and tomato. Among the annual crops, pea (1229.87 μ g/g), when treated with extract of T. grandis, showed a maximum decrease of total chlorophyll, whereas, sponge gourd (2607.62 µg/g), when treated with extract of M. indica showed a reverse trend in all the extracts assayed. Results were found similar with the findings of Venkateshwarlu (2001), who has reported inhibition in chlorophyll a and chl. b content (41.66% and 11.36% respectively) in radish when treated with leaf extract of M. indica (200 ppm). The reduction in chlorophyll contents observed in the few combinations might be due to degradation of chlorophyll pigments or reduction in their synthesis and the action of flavanoids, trepenoids or other phytochemicals present in leaf extracts (Tripathi et al., 1999). Reduction in chlorophylls may decrease the photosynthesis and thereby substantially decrease all the metabolites viz., total sugars, proteins and soluble amino acids (Singh and Ranjana, 2003). During present study significant inhibitory effect of leaf extracts in chlorophyll content has been recorded in pea, but no significant changes could be found on total phenol and total soluble sugar content of this crop.

b. Phenol- With respect to total phenol content of the annual plants, significant effect of the treatments could be recorded (Table 11). All the tree species except *P. deltoides*, *M. azadirach* and *D. sissoo* resulted in significant decrease in the phenolic content in annual crops. Among annual crops significantly higher values could be found in gram, pea, sponge gourd, okra, brinjal and tomata, while it was recorded significantly lower in Chilli. With respect to

Table 9. Total phenol content of leaf extract of different tree species

Sl. No.	Tree species	Total phenol content of leaf extract (mg/100g)	Sl. No.	Tree species	Total phenol content of leaf extract (mg/100g)
1.	Mangifera indica L	1.89	7	Acacia auriculiformi	0.55
2.	Populus deltoides	0.10	8	Aegle marmelos	1.61
3.	Terminalia arjuna	0.67	9	Tectona grandis	4.09
4.	Butea monosperma	0.86	10	Artocarpus heterophyllus	2.38
5.	Melia azedarach L	1.04	11	Dalbergia sissoo	3.13
6.	Acacia mangium	1.32		3	

SEm±: 0.68, C.D. at 5%: 2.11

SC
crop
nnual
of a
ģ
'gu
sut (
conte
굯
hd
loro
공
on
tracts
â
ater
ξ×
leaf
ιof
ation
Sij
abl
soil a
of
Effect
0.
le 1
Tab]
Ë

Trees/ annual crop	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Populus Terminalia deltoides arjuna 1	ı Butea monosperma	Melia Acacia azadirach mangium	Acacia mangium	Acacia auricu- liformis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	1484.01	1368.20	1363.24	1548.21	1360.32	1535.96	1666.68	1482.76	1372.82	1407.82	1443.76	1338.24	1438.36	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	1517.92	1188.17	1363.26	1537.00	1260.20	1434.91	1472.88	1371.38	1315.64	1244.12	1344.44	1289.28	1385.79	41.69,	128.37,
Pea	1399.24	1548.22	1647.21	1376.89	1360.47	1515.75	1647.30	1677.86	1229.87	1489.67	1521.34	1762.56	1514.59	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	2607.62	2214.32	2341.42	1969.28	1865.18	2485.83	2383.74	2399.73	2063.44	2013.51	1998.97	2039.41	2198.43	34.28,	114.37,
Mustard	1844.43	1566.23	1405.87	1392.90	1460.23	1758.27	1686.06	1697.37	1244.11	1424.19	1539.03	1419.84	1536.46	Extract	Extract
Okra	1823.27	1548.22	1647.22	1889.23	1360.63	1940.16	2054.28	2068.06	1658.83	1898.92	1698.24	1893.12	1787.20	×	×
Brinjal	1717.21	1638.24	1590.43	1857.21	1361.23	1637.01	1763.58	1775.41	1630.27	1489.67	1609.79	1648.32	1649.67	Seed:	Seed:
Chilli	1632.44	1566.23	1562.06	1697.10	1440.67	1556.17	1686.06	1677.86	1515.81	1424.19	1539.03	1419.84	1572.98	79.46	243.39
Tomato	1348.32	1332.19	1363.22	1338.47	1360.13	1487.46	1426.37	1435.94	1372.83	1204.83	1301.98	1201.15	1347.67		
Mean	1708.25	1552.22	1587.07	1622.92	1434.11	1705.72	1754.11	1714.19	1489.26	1510.77	1569.14	1556.86			
Table 11. Effect of soil application of leaf water extracts on phenol content (mg/100g) of annual crops	et of soil a	pplication	of leaf wat	er extracts c	ın phenol	content (n	ng/100g)	of annual	crops						
	Mangifera	Populus	Terminalia	Butea	Melia	Acacia	Acacia	Aegle	Tectona	Artocarpus		Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D.
annuai crop	така Е	aenouaes	arjuna	arjuna monosperna azaatraen manguum	azaairach	тап§пит	auricu- liformis	marmetos	granais	neterophyllus	008818				at 3%
Black gram	0.082	0.148	0.147	0.136	0.396	0.473	0.333	0.280	0.204	0.418	0.297	0.339	0.271	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	0.559	1.984	0.342	1.013	0.943	0.052	0.149	0.165	0.282	0.405	0.548	0.765	0.601	0.035,	0.098,
Pea	0.289	0.177	0.145	0.218	0.161	0.131	0.193	0.184	0.142	0.073	0.115	0.358	0.182	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	0.028	0.022	0.138	0.052	0.010	0.117	0.171	0.238	0.518	0.379	0.672	0.578	0.244	0.031,	0.085,
Mustard	0.327	0.183	0.215	0.338	0.174	0.129	0.158	0.188	0.004	0.008	0.107	0.113	0.162	Extract ×	Extract
Okra	0.088	0.341	0.078	0.084	0.070	0.084	0.087	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.136	0.253	0.109	Seed:	x Seed:
Brinjal	0.223	0.115	0.107	0.205	0.145	0.093	0.233	0.216	0.032	0.113	0.202	0.277	0.163	0.106	0.293
Chilli	0.148	0.236	0.298	0.272	0.289	0.039	0.192	0.284	0.191	0.312	0.268	0.039	0.214		

	ğ
	5
	Cro
-	a
	Ξ
	=
	an
•	Ħ
	$\stackrel{\smile}{\sim}$
	ಹ
ò	3
7	_
	à
	Ē
`	
١	I
	nte
	ont
	\mathcal{S}
-	$\overline{}$
	enc
	Ę
_	₫
	s on p
	ō
	ts on
	\mathcal{L}
	Ĕ
	×
	ľ
	Ę
	Ŋ
	⊱
`	aţ
_	<u>le</u>
•	Ĭ
	\sim
	5
:	Ξ
	g
-	applica
	ď
	a
:	ा। ब्र
	$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$
	ij
	Ţ
	ec
0	Ĕ
Ĺ	e II. Effect
7	ij
7	
,	able
•	ar
E	_

Trees/ annual crop	Mangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna n	Виtea топоѕретпа	Melia azadirach	Acacia mangium	Acacia auricu- liformis	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis 1	Artocarpus Dalbergia heterophyllus sissoo	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
Black gram	0.082	0.148	0.147	0.136	0.396	0.473	0.333	0.280	0.204	0.418	0.297	0.339	0.271	Extract:	Extrac
Gram	0.559	1.984	0.342	1.013	0.943	0.052	0.149	0.165	0.282	0.405	0.548	0.765	0.601	0.035,	0.098
Pea	0.289	0.177	0.145	0.218	0.161	0.131	0.193	0.184	0.142	0.073	0.115	0.358	0.182	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	0.028	0.022	0.138	0.052	0.010	0.117	0.171	0.238	0.518	0.379	0.672	0.578	0.244	0.031,	0.085
Mustard	0.327	0.183	0.215	0.338	0.174	0.129	0.158	0.188	0.004	0.008	0.107	0.113	0.162	Extract \times	Extrac
Okra	0.088	0.341	0.078	0.084	0.070	0.084	0.087	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.136	0.253	0.109	Seed:	x Seed
Brinjal	0.223	0.115	0.107	0.205	0.145	0.093	0.233	0.216	0.032	0.113	0.202	0.277	0.163	0.106	0.293
Chilli	0.148	0.236	0.298	0.272	0.289	0.039	0.192	0.284	0.191	0.312	0.268	0.039	0.214		
Tomato	0.487	0.188	0.174	0.053	0.561	0.053	0.292	0.180	0.260	0.033	0.343	0.558	0.265		
Mean	0.248	0.377	0.183	0.263	0.305	0.130	0.201	0.196	0.184	0.196	0.299	0.364			

S
ğ
9
Ð
_
B
\supset
ᄃ
Ħ
В
Ţ
0
$\overline{}$
%
ت
ب
⊏
9
$\overline{}$
5
Ö
5
Б
90
ゴ
S
uble suga
Ž
$\frac{1}{2}$
_
0
Š
_
ts on total
\overline{z}
ĭ
_
5
3
iter extraci
ū
Ŧ
×
Э
Н
e
ਜ
>
>
If
3
=
Ţ
0
_
ĭ
ĭ
at
\ddot{c}
_
己
ilqq
appli
α
α
α
α
of soil a
le 12. Effect of soil a
le 12. Effect of soil a
le 12. Effect of soil a
of soil a

Trees/ <i>N</i> annual	Aangifera indica L	Populus deltoides	Mangifera Populus Terminalia indica L deltoides arjuna mo	Butea 10nosperma	Melia Acacia azadirach mangium	Acacia mangium	Acacia auricu-	Aegle marmelos	Tectona grandis	Tectona Artocarpus Dalbergia grandis heterophyllus sissoo	Dalbergia sissoo	Water	Mean	SEm±	C.D. at 5%
crop							liformis								
Black gram	2.17	2.40	1.12	66.0	1.35	1.73	1.47	1.59	2.11	2.39	2.41	1.57	1.78	Extract:	Extract:
Gram	0.65	0.40	1.30	0.56	0.53	0.52	0.52	99.0	1.37	0.91	0.72	0.97	0.76	0.28,	ns,
Pea	0.15	0.52	99.0	0.74	92.0	0.57	0.63	0.78	0.87	0.87	96.0	1.10	0.72	Seed:	Seed:
Sponge gourd	1.41	1.52	1.52	2.00	1.92	1.73	2.23	2.80	1.96	1.68	1.93	2.26	1.91	0.24,	0.70,
Mustard	0.61	2.13	1.33	0.94	1.01	2.90	1.24	1.41	2.10	2.64	0.94	1.33	1.55	+	Extract x
Okra	2.49	2.07	1.99	1.84	1.78	1.54	1.85	2.05	1.94	1.98	1.95	2.07	1.96	×	Seed:
Brinjal	0.45	0.55	1.77	86.0	92.0	1.09	1.43	1.54	1.40	1.20	1.35	1.32	1.15	Seed:	1.48
Chilli	1.55	1.71	1.62	1.15	1.70	1.74	1.21	1.36	1.71	1.74	1.77	1.44	1.56	0.56	
Tomato	3.14	7.33	08.0	0.73	0.78	99.0	0.30	0.36	0.30	0.52	0.24	0.34	1.29		
Mean	1.40	2.07	1.35	1.10	1.18	1.38	1.21	1.39	1.53	1.55	1.36	1.38			

interaction between tree species and annual crops significant difference were recorded only in the case of gram, sponge gourd and tomato. Maximum stimulatory effect was found in gram (1.984 mg/100g) when treated with *P. deltoides* while maximum inhibitory effect was also recorded in the same crop (0.052 mg/100g) when treated with *A. mangium*. This phenolic compound might have interference with phosphorylation pathway or inhibiting the activation of Mg and ATPase activity or might be due to decrease synthesis of total carbohydrate, protein, and nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) or interference in cell division, mineral uptake and biosynthetic processes Pawar and Chavan, (2004).

c. Total Soluble sugar- Significant effects of the treatment on total soluble sugar content of the annual plant, were recorded with respect to annual crops and interaction between tree species and annual crops, while with respect to tree species it was found non-significant (Table 12). Among the annual crops, reduction in the content of total soluble sugar was recorded in case of Tomato, probably due to interference of photochemical in total sugar biosynthetic processes; which was also confirmed by Singh and Rao (2003) in rice. In the interaction between tree species and annual crops significant effects of the treatments could be recorded only in the case of tomato when treated with leaf extract of M. indica and P. deltoides and mustard when treated with A. mangium. The maximum stimulatory effect was recorded in tomato (7.33%) when treated with extract of P. deltoides. Rest all the treatments were found at par with each other.

Conclusion

Hence, the study clearly indicated beneficial effect of leaf extract of *Mangifera indica*, *Butea monosperma*, *Acacia mangium*, *Acacia auriculiformis* and *Aegle marmelos* with respect to plant growth of annual crops. None of the MPTs suppressed the growth of annual crops which indicate their suitability to be grown under different agroforestry systems.

References

Aamir, A. and Ibrahim, S. 2013. FYM+NPK fertilization to control allellochemical effects on *Mangifera indica* L. leaf leachte on *Lens culinaris* L. *JPCS*. 6: 21-25. www.arpapress.com/Volumes/JPCS/Vol6/JPCS_6_03-pdf

Al-Hakimi A.M.A. 2008. Effect of salicylic acid on bio-

NEELAM ET AL 235

- chemical changes in wheat plants under khat leaves residues. *Plant Soil Environ*. 54 (7): 288–29.
- Antti Otsamo 2002. Early effects of four fast-growing tree species and their planting density on ground vegetation in *Imperata* grasslands. *New Forests*. 23 (1):1-17
- Ayeni, A.O., Lordbanjou, D.T. and Majek, B.A. 1997. *Tithonia diversifolia* (Maxican sunflower) in south western Nigeria; occurrence and growth habit. *Weed Res.* 37(6): 443-449.
- Chen, X.H., Hu, F. and Hong, C.H. 2008. Varietal improvement in rice allelopathy. *Allelopathy Journal*. 22: 379-384
- Cornes, D. 2005. Callisto: a very successful maize herbicide inspired by allelochemistry. *Proceedings of the Fourth World Congress on Allelopathy*.
- Daniel, W.G. 1999. Historical review and Current models of forest succession and interference CRC–Press L.L.C. 237-251.
- Elisante F., Mokiti T. Tarimo, Patrick A. Ndakidemi 2013.

 Allelopathic Effect of Seed and Leaf Aqueous Extracts of *Datura stramonium* on Leaf Chlorophyll Content, Shoot and Root Elongation of *Cenchrus ciliaris* and *Neonotonia wightii*. *American Journal of Plant Science*. 4 (12): 2332-2339
- El-Rokiek, Kowthar G., Rafat. R. El-Masry; Nadia K. Messiha and Salah A. Ahmed 2010. The Allelopathic Effect of Mango Leaves on the Growth and Propagative Capacity of Purple Nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.). *Journal of American Science*. 6 (9): 151-159
- Farrukh Hussain; Imtiazul Haq; Ghazala Anjum 1985. Phytotoxic effects of Melia ('Bakain') on cultivated plants and their productivity. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6 (2): 125-130
- Francisco A. Macías, Rodney Lacret, Rosa M. Varela, Clara Nogueiras, Jose M. G. Molinillo 2010. Isolation and Phytotoxicity of Terpenes from *Tectona grandis*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 36 (4): 396-404.
- Hoque, A.T.M.R., Ahmed, R., Uddin, M.B. and Hossain, M.K. 2003. Allelopathic effect of different concentration of water extracts of *Acacia auriculiformis* leaf on some initial growth parameters of five common agricultural crops. *Pakistan Journal of Agronomy*. 2 (2): 92-100.
- Hussain, F., Haq, I. and Anjum, G. 1984. Phytotoxic effects of *Melia* ('Bakain') on cultivated plants and their productivity. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6(2): 125-130.
- Jalal, S., B. Roy, Bikash C. Sarker, M.N. Uddin and Sona R. Roy 2010. Growth inhibitory effect of ten fruit leaves on selected weeds. J. Agrofor. Environ. 4 (1): 101-104.
- Joyakumar, M.; M. Eyini and S. Pannirselvan 1978. Allelopathic effect of teak leaf extract on the seedling of groundnut and corn. *Geobios*. 14: 66-69.
- Kavitha, D., J.Prabhakaran, K.Arumugam 2012. Allelo-

- pathic influence of *Vitex negundo* L. on germination and growth of Green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) and Black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *International Journal of Ayurvedic and Herbal Medicine*. 2 (1): 163-170.
- Kavitha, D., Prabhakaran, J. and Arumugam, K. 2012. Allelopathic influence of *Excoecaria agallocha L.* on seed germination and seedling growth of some pulses and millets. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*. 3 (2): 757-766.
- Khan, R., Khan, M.A., Waheedullah; Waqas, M., Khan, A.M., Hussain, Z., Khan, A. and Raza, M.A. 2011. Allelopathic potential of Silybum marianum L. against the seed germination of edible legumes. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Science. 17 (3): 293-302.
- Kimber, R.W.L. 1973. Phytotoxicities form plant residues.

 The influence of rotted wheat straw on seedling growth. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 18: 161-374.
- Kong, C.H., Li, H.B., Hu, F., Xu, X.H., Wang, P. 2006. Allelochemicals released by rice roots and residues in soil. *Plant and Soil*. 288: 47-56.
- Krishna, A, Manjunath, G.O, Ramesh Rathod 2005. Effect of casuarina, mango, eucalyptus and acacia leaf leachates on seed germination of Kasthuri bendi, Sanka pushpa and Honey plants. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 18 (1): 205-207.
- Liliana Lungu, Claudia Valentina Popa, John Morris, Manuela Savoiu 2011. Evaluation of phytotoxic activity of *Melia azedarach* L. extracts on *Lactuca sativa* L. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*. 16 (2): 6089-6095.
- Patrick, Z.A. and Koch, L.W. 1958. Inhibition of respiration, germination and growth by substances respiration, germination and growth by substances arising during the decomposition of certain plant residues in soil. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 36: 621-647.
- Perdomo, M., Magalhães, L. M. S. 2007. Allelopathy effects of jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*). Floresta e *Ambiente*. 14 (1): 52-55.
- Petmark, P. and E.R. Williams 1991. Use of *Acacia* Species in Agroforestry. In: Advances in Tropical Acacia Research, Turnbull, J.W. (Ed.). ACIAR, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Rao, O. P., Saxena, A. K. and Singh, B. P. 1994. Allelopathic effects of certain agroforestry tree species on the germination of wheat, paddy and gram. *Annals of Forestry*. 2 (1): 60-64.
- Rice, E.L. 1984. Allelopathy, 2nd edition. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Sahoo, U.K., Jeeceelee, L., Vanlalhriatpuia, K., Upadhyaya, K. and Lalremruati, J.H. 2010. Allellopathic effects of leaf leachate of *Mangifera indica* L. on initial growth parameters of few homegarden food crops. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 10 (12): 1438-1447.
- Sahoo, U.K., Jeeceelee, L., Vanlalhriatpuia, K., Upadhyaya,

- K. and Lalremruati, J.H. 2010. Allellopathic effects of leaf leachate of *Mangifera indica* L. on initial growth parameters of few homegarden food crops. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 10 (12): 1438-1447.
- Sangeeta Thapaliyal, Rajander Singh Bali, Bhupendra Singh, N. P. Todaria 2008. Allelopathic effects of tree of economic importance on germination and growth of food Crops. *Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants*. 13 (4): 11-23.
- Siddiqui, S.; Yadav, R.; Yadav, K. and Wani, F.A. 2009. Allelopathic potential of different concentration of aqueous leaf extract of some arable treen on germination and radical of *Cicer arietinum* var.-C-235. *Global Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 4 (2): 91-95.
- Singh N.B. and Ranjan, S. 2003. Effect of leaf leachate of *Eucalyptus* on germination growth and metabolism of green gram, black gram and peanut. *Allel. J.* 11: 43-52.
- Singh, H.P., Kohli, Ravinder Kumar; Batish, Daizy Rani 2001. Allelopathic interference of *Populus deltoides* with some winter season crops. *Agronomie*. 21 (2): 139-146.
- Sona R. Roy, B. Roy, Bikash C. Sarker, M. Nazim Uddin and Prodip K. Roy 2011. Biological activity of four ornamental plant leaves on some vegetable seeds

- and chemical investigation of *Butea monosperma*. *J. Agrofor. Environ*. 5 (2): 63-66.
- Tripathi, S., Tripathi, A. and Kori, D.C. 1999. Allelopathic evaluation of Tectona grandis leaf root and soil aqueous extracts on soybean. *Indian J. For.*, 22:366-374
- Tripathi, S., Tripathi, A., Kori, D. C. and Paroha, S. 2000. Effect of *Dalbergia sissoo* extracts, rhizobium and nitrogen on germination, growth and yield of *Vigna radiata*. *Allelopathy Journal*. 7 (2): 255-263.
- Venkateshwarlu, G.; Ravindra, V. and Challa, P. 2001. Mangiferin: an allelopathin from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) leaves. *Allelopathy Journal*. 8(2): 221-224.
- Wirat Phuwiwat, Watcharin Wichittrakarn, Chamroon Laosinwattana, Montinee Teerarak 2012. Inhibitory effects of *Melia azedarach* L. leaf extracts on seed germination and seedling growth of two weed species. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 18: 485-492.
- Singh, D. and Rao, Y.B. 2003. Allelopathic evaluation of *Andrographis paniculata* aqueous leachates on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Allelopathy J.* 11:71–6.
- Pawar, K.B. and Chavan, P.D. 2004. Influence of leaf leachates of some plant species on free proline content in germinating seed of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. *Allelopathy J.* 3:89-92.