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#### Abstract

Biology and morphomstrics studies of lemon butterty, Papilio denoleus L. were carried out on bsel. Adult female lad eggs singly on the under surface of tender leaves and algo on tender twigs. The pooled mean duration of the dilierent stages of lemon bullerily vizer the incubation period ai 2.80 days, larval period or 9.23 days, pre-pupal period of 0.99 days, pupel period of 8.11 days, female adtult longevity period of 6.95 days and male longevity period of 3.85 days respectively. The average length, width and wing expanse of male butterfly were found to be $27.961 \mathrm{~mm}, 5.997 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 88.518 mm while female butherlly was found to be $29.015 \mathrm{~mm}, 6.327 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 91.072 mm , respectively. The morphometric variations of different life stages of the citrus butterfly have been recorded.
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## Introdnction

Bael (Aegle marmelos Conca) belongs to family Rutaceae is an important indigenous fruil crop to dry foreat in tills, plains of central and southern parts as well as artid and semi arid reglons of Intia. It also called as Bengal quince or Indian quince. Fuits are famous for its medicinal values as well as edible Fruit quality. The different parts of bael are used for various therapeutic purposes, auch as for treatment of asthms, anaemia, fractures, healing of wounds, swollon joints and dianhoca The unripe dried Iruit of bael is astringent, digestive. stomachic and dysentery. Sweet drink prepared from the pulp of fruits produce a soothing effect on the patients who have just recovered from bacillary dyentery. The bael is gaining popolarity among the farmers of arid and semi arid areas for economic cultivation. However, the quality of fruits and productivity is not obtsined up to the standard (Samsdia and Haldhar ${ }_{+}$2017).

One of the reasons for it is infestation of insectpests on the vegetative as well as developing fruits which ultimately leads to sigmificant yield lass and quality aturibntes of the fruits. About 30 species of insect and mites have been reported feeding on bael in India (Lakta, 2004). Various types of insect-pests are found to be infesting beel in which $P_{\text {. demolens is major one which }}$ defoliate the plant. Pathak and Rizvi (2002) reponted that the $P$. demolews is a gerious citrus pest in India. Among varions insects of beel lemon butterfly commonly known as the lime or citrus swallowtail, has a successful dispersal and becoming a major pest of citrus plants throughout Asia The infestation of $P$. demolews appeared from August to February. The highest egg, larval population and plant infestation were observed $12.75,6.15 / 10$ branches and 65.0 \%, nespectively. The relationship between lemon butterfly egg. larval population and
maximum temperature was negatively correleted whereas the maximum relative hamidity was positively in arid region (Hakihar et al, 2010). P. demoleks leeds on the foliage of citrus trees and is regarded as a major citrus pest especially in nurseries the larval forms cause serious damage to citrus family by devouning large quantity of foliage during the later slages of thein development. The biology and developmental period is mainly dependant on the climate, lacation and plant speciea on it feeding. The defoliation was obscrved 83 per cent in swect oranges grown in the Southern zone of Andira Pradesh (Narayanammer et af, 2001). Severe infestation reanlts in defoliation of the tree and leads to retarding of plant growth and decreases fruit yicld. Kceping in vicw its economic importance, the study bas been carried out for biology and morphomatics of lemon butterfly, $P$. demaleus on bael to develop a tool for eco-ftiendly management.

## Materials and methorls

The larval populations of lemon butterfly were collected on bace plants from entomological experimental field of ICAR-Central Institute for Arid Horticulture, Bikaner ( $\mathrm{N} 28^{\circ}$ 06' E $73^{\circ} 21^{\circ}$ ) situated in western parts of Rajasthan and brought to entomology laboratory to study the biology and morphomatric of lemon butterily. The biology of lemon butterfly on bael was studied during September to November of 2015-16 and 2016-17 in the entomology laboratory by collecting fifth instar larvae regularly from the bael plants from entomolagical experimental field The larvae were reared in glass jars by providing fresh bael leaves daily. The male and female artults obtained were released in cages for egg loying. The adult moths were provided with 10 per cent honey solution in conton swabs that were left suspended in the
cages throughout the period of egg laying in which tender bael twigs were placed in conical flasks containing water. The twigs were changed after one day. The eggs were transferred to petridishes containing fresh tender leaves in petridishes at room temperature ( $28 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A moistened filter paper was kept in each petriplate to prevent the drying of leaves. The caterpillars were maintained in petridishes upto third instar. Later on they were transferred and reared in separate glass troughs and allowed to complete first generation.

Ten samples (egg, larval stages, pupa and adult) were used for observation and measurements. The larvae were reared under laboratory condition for measurement of different stages. The average linear measurements of various body parts of male and female butterfly were obtained under a stereo binoculars microscope (Radical Instruments, Ambala, Haryana, India) using Jenoptic Pro 2.8 .0 software. The terminology used to denote different parts of the body of the butterfly (Haldhar, 2012; Haldhar and Singh, 2014; Haldhar et al., 2015, Haldhar et al., 2016). Transformations were used as necessary to achieve normality in the data before analysis. The data on biology and morphomatrics of lemon butterfly were analyzed through one-way ANOVA using SPSS software. The standard error of the mean was calculated by this formula $\frac{s}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ where, ' $s$ ' is the sample standard deviation and ' $n$ ' is the size (number of observations) of the sample.

## Results and discussion

The field experiments were conducted during 2015-16 and 2016-17 with bael (Aegle marmelos) to determine the biology and morphomatrics studies of lemon butterfly, Papilio demoleus L. On the basis of pooled data of 2015-16 and 2016-17, adult female laid eggs singly on the under surface of tender leaves and also on tender twigs by curling its abdomen. The freshly laid eggs measured 0.91 mm to 1.04 mm with an average of 0.98 mm and smooth, spherical creamy yellow in colour and they turned to grayish with brown streaks all over the chorion before hatching. Incubation period ranged from 2.38 to 3.25 days. There were only five larval instars which the average duration of first to fifth instars were $2.21,1.03,1.55,1.93$ and 2.51 days respectively. Newly hatched caterpillars were less spiny, cylindrical in shape, light brown to brownish black in colour with thorax thicker than rest of the body having dirty white mark on dorsal side showing resemblance to birds excreta (Table 1, 2, 3 \& 4). Resham et al. (1986), Singh and Gangwar (1989), Radke and Kandalkar (1989) and Ramakrishna Rao (2015) reported that the incubation periods varying from 3-7 days, 4-7 days, 5days and 2.90 days, respectively. The difference in the incubation period was due to variations in the weather factors of different regions.
'The first instar larva recorded an average length and width of 5.56 mm and 1.55 mm respectively. The average duration of first instar larva was 2.21 days with range of 1.63 to 3.13 days. The second instar larvae were less spiny and dark brown in colour with a dirty white line
present obliquely along lateral sides of the abdomen and with a break on the dorsal side. The average size of the second instar larvae in length and width was found to be 9.05 mm and 2.71 mm , respectively. The average second instar larval period was found to be 1.03 days with a range of 0.75 to 1.38 days. Third instar larvae were resembled the second instar larvae except in size. Third instar larvae was recorded an average length and width of 13.25 mm and 3.659 mm , respectively. The average third instar larval period was 1.55 days with rage of 1.25 to 1.88 days. The fourth instar larvae were black in colour with a little greenish tinge and whitish bands could be seen on meso and meta thoracic segments laterally, anterior part of abdomen and on last anal segments. The average fourth instar larval period was found to be 26.56 mm in length and 5.74 mm in width. The average fourth instar larval period was found to be 1.93 days with a range of 1.63 to 2.25 days. Fifth instar larva were yellowish green or green in colour. The average length and width of the fifth instar larva was found to be 42.86 mm and 5.74 mm respectively. The average duration of fifth instar larva was 2.51 days (Table 1, 2, $3 \& 4$ ). The results of the present investigation are also comparable with Madansuri et al. (1979) who recorded the mean head capsule width of first, second, third, fourth and fifth instars of $P$. demoleus as $0.61,0.95,1.49,2.33$ and 3.64 mm respectively, Sharif et al. (1989) recorded the durations for egg, larva and pupal stages as $3.24,18.24$ and 11.7 days respectively and the butterfly had four generations in a year with a life cycle of 33.19 days and similarly Jahnavi et al. (2018) recorded duration of total larval period varied from 17.16 to 17.66 days with an average of 17.53 days on acid lime.

Before changing to pre-pupa the caterpillar shrunk in side and it hangs from the twig with the help of a silken girdle. The pre-pupal period was observed to be 0.75 to 1.25 days with an average of 0.99 days. Pupae were naked and varied in colour from green, straw to brown majority being green in colour with several black markings on the body. The average length and width of the pupal period was found to be 30.48 mm and 8.88 mm , respectively. The duration of the pupal period was observed to be 7.38 days and 8.50 days with an average of 8.11 days. Adult butterflies were large and beautiful with wide wing spread. Head, thorax and legs were black with creamy yellow streaks on either side, whole abdomen. The average length, width and wing expanse of male butterfly were found to be $27.96 \mathrm{~mm}, 6.00 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 88.52 mm while female butterfly was found to be 29.02 mm , 6.33 mm and 91.07 mm , respectively. The female adults were lived longer than the male adult. The longevity of male and female was 3.38 to 4.25 and 6.38 to 7.38 days with an average of 3.85 and 6.95 days when provided with dilute honey as a food (Table 1, 2, 3, $4 \&$ Plate 1). The variation in adult longevity was in agreement with the findings of Ramakrishna Rao (2015) and Jahnavi et al. (2018). Singh and Gangwar (1989) reported the longevity female and male was 5.80 and 5.10 days. Smith et al. (2008) studied the taxonomy and morphological characters of 5 species of $P$. demoleus, $P$. demodocus, $P$. erithonioides, P. grosesmithi, and P. morondavana based
on features of the wings, male and female genitalia. The results revealed that the tail on hind wing vein M3 is rudimentary or very short, less than twice as long as those of neighbouring veins in $P$. demoleus.
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Table 1.Biology of different life stages of the lemon butter fly, Papilio demoleus in 2015-16

| S. <br> No. | Life stages | Duration in days |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD | SEm |
| 1 | Incubation period | 2.250 | 3.250 | 2.750 | 0.312 | 0.099 |
| 2 | $1^{\text {st }}$ instar larva | 1.500 | 3.000 | 2.150 | 0.444 | 0.141 |
| 3 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ instar larva | 0.750 | 1.250 | 0.975 | 0.219 | 0.069 |
| 4 | $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larva | 1.250 | 1.750 | 1.500 | 0.204 | 0.065 |
| 5 | $4^{\text {li }}$ instar larva | 1.750 | 2.250 | 1.900 | 0.175 | 0.055 |
| 6 | $5^{\text {ti }}$ instar larva | 2.250 | 2.750 | 2.475 | 0.219 | 0.069 |
| 7 | Pre-pupal period | 0.750 | 1.250 | 0.950 | 0.197 | 0.062 |
| 8 | Pupal period | 7.500 | 8.500 | 8.050 | 0.329 | 0.104 |
| 9 | Male adult longevity | 3.250 | 4.250 | 3.800 | 0.307 | 0.097 |
| 10 | Female adult longevity | 6.250 | 7.250 | 6.900 | 0.337 | 0.107 |

[^0]Table 2.Biology of different life stages of the lemon butter fly, Papilio demoleus in 2015-16

| S. | Life stages | Duration in days |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. |  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD | SEm |
| 1 |  | 2.50 | 3.50 | 2.85 | 0.34 | 0.11 |
| 2 |  | 1.75 | 3.25 | 2.28 | 0.46 | 0.15 |
| 3 |  | 0.75 | 1.50 | 1.08 | 0.29 | 0.09 |
| 4 |  | 1.25 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 0.27 | 0.09 |
| 5 | $4^{\text {ti }}$ instar larva | 1.50 | 2.50 | 1.95 | 0.28 | 0.09 |
| 6 | $5^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 2.25 | 3.00 | 2.55 | 0.28 | 0.09 |
| 7 | Pre-pupal period | 0.75 | 1.50 | 1.03 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| 8 | Pupal period | 7.25 | 8.25 | 8.18 | 0.41 | 0.13 |
| 9 | Male adult longevity | 3.50 | 4.50 | 3.90 | 0.32 | 0.10 |
| 10 | Female adult longevity | 6.50 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 0.33 | 0.11 |

Mean of ten samples
Table 3. Pooled data of biology of different life stages of the lemon butter fly, Papilio demoleus in 2015-16 and 2016-17

| S. <br> No. | Life stages | Duration in days |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD | SEm |
| 1 |  | 2.38 | 3.25 | 2.80 | 0.31 | 0.10 |
| 2 |  | 1.63 | 3.13 | 2.21 | 0.45 | 0.14 |
| 3 |  | 0.75 | 1.38 | 1.03 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| 4 | $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larva | 1.25 | 1.88 | 1.55 | 0.23 | 0.07 |
| 5 | $4^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 1.63 | 2.25 | 1.93 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 6 | $5^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 2.25 | 2.75 | 2.51 | 0.23 | 0.07 |
| 7 | Pre-pupal period | 0.75 | 1.25 | 0.99 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Pupal period | 7.38 | 8.50 | 8.11 | 0.35 | 0.11 |
| 9 | Male adult longevity | 3.38 | 4.25 | 3.85 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| 10 | Female adult longevity | 6.38 | 7.38 | 6.95 | 0.33 | 0.10 |

Mean of ten samples
Table 4.Linear morphometric measurements of different life stages of the lemon butter fly, Papilio demoleus

| S. <br> No. | Life stages | Measurement in 'mm' |  |  |  | SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SEm |  |
|  | Egg diameter | 0.91 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 0.013 |
|  | Length of $1^{\text {st }}$ instar larva | 4.78 | 6.91 | 5.56 | 0.63 | 0.199 |
|  | Width of $1^{\text {st }}$ instar larva | 1.47 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 0.07 | 0.021 |
|  | Length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ instar larva | 8.45 | 9.78 | 9.05 | 0.51 | 0.161 |
|  | Width of $2^{\text {nd }}$ instar larva | 2.44 | 3.01 | 2.71 | 0.20 | 0.063 |
|  | Length of $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larva | 12.05 | 14.89 | 13.25 | 0.84 | 0.266 |
|  | Width of $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larva | 3.12 | 4.01 | 3.65 | 0.27 | 0.085 |
|  | Length of $4^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 24.59 | 27.89 | 26.56 | 1.06 | 0.334 |
|  | Width of $4^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 5.45 | 6.02 | 5.74 | 0.15 | 0.049 |
|  | Length of $5^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 40.15 | 45.78 | 42.86 | 1.95 | 0.616 |
|  | Width of $5^{\text {th }}$ instar larva | 6.52 | 7.14 | 6.78 | 0.22 | 0.068 |
|  | Length of pupa | 29.58 | 31.25 | 30.47 | 0.55 | 0.175 |
|  | Width of pupa | 8.45 | 9.25 | 8.88 | 0.21 | 0.066 |
|  | Length of adult male | 25.36 | 29.68 | 27.96 | 1.24 | 0.392 |
|  | Width of adult male | 5.88 | 6.12 | 5.10 | 0.09 | 0.028 |
|  | Width of adult male with wing <br> expanse | 86.99 | 90.25 | 88.52 | 1.17 | 0.369 |
|  | Length of adult female | 27.28 | 30.89 | 29.02 | 1.08 | 0.342 |
|  | Width of adult female | 6.01 | 6.55 | 6.33 | 0.19 | 0.060 |
|  | Width of adult female <br> wing expanse | 88.97 | 93.58 | 91.07 | 1.62 | 0.511 |

[^1]

Plate 1.Different life stages of the lemon butter fly, Papilio demoizus on bael
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