

# Leaching of Chromated Copper Arsenate (CCA) Wood Preservative into the Aquatic Environment – a Review

Leela Edwin, M. Ajith Peter, B. Meenakumari and P. Muhamed Ashraf

*Central Institute of Fisheries Technology  
Cochin - 682 029, India*

The scarcity of timber and its rising costs necessitates the use of chemical preservative treated wood for aquatic use. Preservative treated wood contains components that may be harmful to the environment. The wood treated with the most common preservative, Chromated Copper Arsenate (CCA), may form a significant source of metals in the aquatic environment. The studies on the factors affecting the leaching rates like pH, salinity, and temperature of the leaching media, the block size and the preservative treatment conditions are reviewed. Recent studies have generated conflicting data in this regard and Field studies in this direction are also found to be few. This communication highlights the need for further research in this field.

**Key words :** Wood preservative, Chromated Copper Arsenate (CCA), leaching, aquatic environment, speciation

The structural, economic, environmental and aesthetic benefits of wood make it the preferred material for a wide variety of construction applications. Its major weakness is susceptibility to attack by natural enemies-wood borers, insects and fungi. Over the past century a variety of wood preserving treatments have been developed that introduce a small controlled amount of protective preservative into the wood cells. Preservatives that are widely used for pressure treatment of wood can be classified as oil borne, water borne and solvent type. The water borne preservatives have largely replaced the oil borne preservatives for aquatic use, on environmental and human health considerations. The water borne preservatives include chromated copper arsenate (CCA), chromated copper boron (CCB), ammoniacal copper arsenate (ACA), acid copper chromate (ACC), ammoniacal copper zinc arsenate (ACZA) and ammoniacal copper quat (ACQ). Solvent type preservatives include pentachlorophenol and copper naphthanate. Out of the 16.7 million m<sup>3</sup> of wood preserved in USA in 1996, 13.2 million m<sup>3</sup> i.e., 79.1% were treated with water

borne preservatives amounting to 1.9 million kg. The most widely used wood preservative for timbers exposed in aquatic environments is CCA. Nearly 5.8 million board m of preserved timber were prepared for marine construction, of which 95% was treated with CCA (AWPI, 1997). The treated wood is extensively used to build structures such as decks, fences, poles, pilings, playgrounds, and picnic tables. CCA-treated wood represents approximately 90 % of the treated wood market by volume in Korea (KFRI, 2002). Minimum life spans in fresh and marine water for CCA treated panels are considered to be 30 and 15 years respectively (AWPA, 1994). In India about 150 treatment plants are there using 1000 tonnes of water borne preservatives to treat 0.4million m<sup>3</sup> of wood annually and it is estimated that it would increase to a maximum of 10000 tonnes over the next decade (Kumar, 1990).

The components of CCA, chromium, copper and arsenic all have important roles in preservative efficacy. The primary role of chromium in CCA is fixation of its components with reduction of chromium from

hexavalent to trivalent state. These reactions result in the insolubilization of CCA components in the wood so that they resist leaching and provide lengthy service. Copper and arsenic are vital to the preservative efficacy of CCA because of their toxicity to fungi and insects. Copper is an excellent fungicide and arsenic is especially effective against insects and helps to provide protection against some copper tolerant fungi. Recent toxicity studies have suggested that leaching of preservative components from wood used in aquatic media may be harmful to the environment. The focus of much of the early work concerning leaching of CCA has been on monitoring leaching in terms of durability of wood and the ability of treated timbers to withstand biological decay, rather than quantifying its release to the environment and its after effects (Fahlstorm *et al.*, 1967; Hager, 1969; Cherian *et al.*, 1979; Johnson, 1982; Eaton, 1989; Green *et al.*, 1989). Only few among the previously conducted leaching experiments have examined the rate of release and the factors which may affect the leachability of Cu, Cr and As from CCA treated wood specimens (Johnson, 1977). Conflicting evidence exists about the extent of leaching of Cu, Cr and As from CCA treated wood. Examination of CCA treated products following prolonged terrestrial and marine weathering showed that CCA-C treated wood effectively retained Cu, Cr and As (Arsenault, 1975; Johnson, 1977). In contrast, Hegarty and Curran, (1986) showed that CCA treated beech and Scots pine specimens weathered in seawater for one-year period showed measurable losses of Cu, Cr and As. Recent studies have also shown a similar pattern of leaching of Cu, Cr and As from CCA treated wood submerged in coastal water for one to four years (Archer & Preston, 1994; Hayes *et al.*, 1994). However the immersion conditions can affect the results obtained. In some situations, the leached preservative in the water may reach concentrations that inhibit further leaching (Brooks, 2002).

The efficacy of CCA against biodeterioration of wood exposed to the sea, soil and atmospheric condition have been studied in India. Retention levels of  $16 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$  have been found to be very effective in resisting borer attack in seawater (Kumar, 1985). Catamarans made of CCA treated logs of *Bombax ceiba* used for 15 years service at the Lawson's Bay fishing village, Visakhapatnam in India were studied for the efficacy of the preservative. Only  $2/3^{\text{rd}}$  of the preservative was found leached and the catamarans were still in usable condition (Kuppusamy *et al.*, 2002). The efficacy of CCA and the effect of its treatment in the physical and mechanical properties of boat building timbers have been studied at the Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin (Edwin *et al.*, 2005). The studies on the secondary species of timber have led to the adoption of preservative concentration of 7.5% for marine purposes. The strength of wood was not found to be affected when lesser concentrations of CCA were used along with creosote (Edwin *et al.*, 1993; Thomas *et al.*, 1998). The dual preservative treatment technology involving the pressure impregnation of CCA followed by creosote, with a drying period in between was used in the study on the resistance of preservative treated rubber wood to marine borers. After an exposure of 33 months in sea, the dual treated wood showed excellent performance without biodeterioration (Edwin & Pillai, 2004).

Leaching rates from preservative treated wood are dependent on several factors, especially the amount and kind of drying the wood is allowed to do (Hingston *et al.*, 2000). According to Hingston and Moore, wood dried for a longer time period at natural ambient temperatures, will fix more CCA preservative, leaving less to leach out (Hingston *et al.*, 2000). To enable a more realistic assessment of the possible environmental effects of CCA treated timber accurate quantification of component leaching rates is required. The aims of this review are

- To evaluate the process of fixation of the preservative
- To identify the principal factors of the medium that effect leaching rates.
- To assess the effect of sheathing/coating on leaching.
- To assess various leaching protocols.
- To evaluate the role of field trials in leaching studies.
- To evaluate the speciation of the leachate.

## FIXATION OF PRESERVATIVE

Although the term fixation is routinely used, the actual meaning of this term in the context of preservative treatment has not been precisely defined. In general, fixation refers to the series of chemical reactions that render the preservative non leachable during service. Fixation can otherwise be defined as the process that minimizes the leaching of the chemical components of the preservative and wood or other substrate when all chemical reactions are complete (Cooper *et al.*, 1993). The fixation reactions are highly dependent on treating factors, such as preservative formulation, preservative retention, processing techniques and post treatment conditioning factors such as temperature, humidity and air-flow (Lebow, 1996). The effect of wood species on the fixation and efficacy of treated wood and fixation reaction of CCA with lignin, cellulose and their model compounds have been extensively investigated (Greaves, 1972; Greaves, 1973; Greaves, 1974). The reactions that take place in the wood during the fixation of CCA have a great influence on the metal species that are emitted from the wood, and the subsequent toxicity of the leachate.

## Formulation

The ratio of components in CCA formulations is crucial to allow rapid and complete fixation. Differing commercial formulations have been produced that meet AWWPA standards for types A, B and C

(AWPA, 1994). Fahlstrom, (1967) found a clear relationship between the Cr:As ratio and total metal leachability and proposed a ratio of Cr: As of 1:1.3 as optimum. Smith and Williams (1973) studied a range of CCA formulation and suggested a Cr:As ratio of 1:1.9 or greater for maximum arsenic fixation. As a result of this variation in fixation of different formulations, the leaching studies conducted earlier generated variable results, with arsenic always proving to be the most leached element, probably due to insufficient Cr available for complexation (Fahlstrom *et al.*, 1967; Henshaw, 1979).

## Preservative treatment

Physical parameters of the preservative treatment process such as magnitude and duration of vacuum and pressure cycles may influence penetration and retention of preservatives. In order to ensure prevention of leaching adequate time should be allowed for fixation before placing the wood in service. The time required for fixation varies with wood species, specimen size and retention, but the most important parameter is temperature. Temperature is very important in obtaining adequate and timely fixation. Much work has been done to develop methods of high temperature fixation such as kiln drying, steam baths or water baths. Peek and Willeitner pioneered earlier works in the field of accelerated fixation (Peek Willeitner, 1981). Rapid drying may cause redistribution of chemicals between lignin and cellulose leading to higher proportions of CCA products in the cell lumens, where again they may be more accessible to leaching (Lee *et al.*, 1993; Cooper *et al.*, 1997).

## Loading / retention

The exact relationship between preservative loading or retention and leaching is not understood, even though preservative loading is known to affect the absolute concentration of elements leached (Cooper, 1994; Albuquerque & Cragg, 1995a; 1995b; 1996). Fahlstrom *et al.* (1967) suggested the

effect of retention on leaching was dependent on preservative composition with percentage leaching decreasing with increasing retention in As rich formulations. In Cr rich formulations, leaching increased with retention. Proportional losses have been found to decrease at increased loadings (Archer & Preston, 1994; Hayes *et al.*, 1994). Following 85 months exposure in the marine environment, percentage losses were 52 and 44 from pine treated to retentions of 24 and 48 kg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively. The reduced leaching was supposed to be due to increased total Cr concentrations in the system available to fix the remaining metal elements (Archer & Preston, 1994). At the same time other workers have shown increased leaching with increasing retentions (Albuquerque *et al.*, 1996; Irvine *et al.*, 1972). Long term copper and chromium leaching rates were highest in CCA treated wood with retention levels >35kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, whereas long term As leaching rates were increased in wood with retention levels <35kg.m<sup>-3</sup> (Breslin & Alder-Ivanbrook, 1998).

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LEACHING MEDIUM

Many features of the leaching media are important in determining leaching rates, particularly salinity, pH, wood: water volume, rate of water movement around the specimen, temperature, orientation of wood grain etc. Leaching medium is an important factor affecting release of preservative components from treated wood because physical and chemical characteristics of medium increase or decrease the solubility of fixed preservative components (Kartal *et al.*, 2004).

### Salinity

Standard leaching test methods for preservative leaching from treated wood usually requires distilled or de-ionized water (Kartal *et al.*, 2004; Kartal & Imamura, 2005). Water is a key factor for release of preservative components from treated wood. A study by Kartal *et al.* (2004) showed that

sea water and tap water containing higher ion concentrations than distilled water resulted in less leaching of wood preserving and calcium precipitating agent, N,N-hydroxynaphthalimide (NHA) from treated wood specimens. Scots pine and beech sap wood blocks treated with CCA were exposed in cooling towers receiving water from fresh water, sewage effluent and marine sources with results indicating increased loss of copper and chromium with increasing conductivity of the surrounding waters (Irvine *et al.*, 1972). It has been suggested that at low salinities NaCl has a coagulating effect on the crystalline Cu fixation complexes increasing surface area and decreasing solubility, and at salinities above 24ppt the increased formation of complexes between chloride and copper may explain the increased leaching (Irvine & Dalgren, 1976). The characteristics of the leaching water can also influence leaching of preservatives. The presence of some types of inorganic ions in water has been reported to increase leaching from CCA treated wood (Irvine *et al.*, 1972; Ruddick *et al.*, 1993).

The results of the studies conducted by Lebow *et al.* (2000), comparing leaching in de-ionized water and seawater are worth noting. The effect of salinity on the rate of copper release changed with time. For the first month, the rate of release of copper in deionized water was greater than or equal to that in seawater. However in the remaining months, copper release in the seawater was significantly greater than the release in deionized water. When leaching rates stabilized after 10 to 15 months, the rate of copper release in seawater was 8 to 25 times greater than that in deionized water. In comparison to copper, chromium release rates were much lower and relatively insensitive to the seawater concentration of the leaching solutions. Arsenic release tended to be greater in the deionized water than seawater solution. Unlike chromium, the greater release in deionized water did not appear to decrease over time.

## pH

The effects of the pH of solution on preservative loss were investigated by conducting studies using water buffered with NaOH and Citric acid. This study indicated very high leaching rates at low pHs, with losses of Cu up to 100% at pH 4.5 (Warner & Solomon, 1990). Leaching in dilute sulphuric acid solutions was reduced by up to a factor of 5 and later demonstrated that the high losses were due to the use of the citric acid buffer (Cooper, 1991).

In a study, sulphuric acid/nitric acid buffers were used to investigate the effect of pH on CCA leaching from western hemlock blocks (Kim & Kim, 1993). The study showed that the leaching of copper was between 16 and 25% at pH 3, but decreased to about 1% at pH 4. Leaching of arsenic was found less affected by pH, but was generally around 2-3% at pH 4 and above. Leaching of CCA is greatly increased when the pH of the leaching water is lowered to below 3, and the wood itself also begins to degrade (Cooper, 1991; Kim & Kim, 1993). Water pH ranges are less likely to have significant effect on leaching, although the presence of organic acids may influence leaching at moderate pH levels (Murphy & Dickinson, 1990).

## Wood: water volume

The volume of leaching water used is an important aspect, where a high wood: water volume ratio allowed toxic concentrations of metals to build up (Weis *et al.*, 1991; Albuquerque & Cragg, 1995a). Studies have been conducted exposing marine organisms to CCA treated wood or leachate waters and deleterious effects have been shown against a range of aquatic organisms (Weis *et al.*, 1991; Weis *et al.*, 1992). Criticism of this work focused on the unrealistically high ratio between wood and water volume, which allowed metal concentrations to build up to toxic levels (Albuquerque & Cragg, 1995a; Breslin & Alder-Ivanbrook, 1998). During

laboratory leaching trials, the size and dimensions of wooden blocks used were found to have major influence on the percentage of preservative leached from the wood. The relatively large surface area to volume ratio of the typical small sample blocks used in most studies allows proportionately more wood available for leaching (Cooper, 1994). Significant reductions in leaching rates of all CCA elements were observed with a decrease in surface area to volume ratio (Cooper, 1994).

## Rate of water movement

The rate of water movements around the test specimens can also influence leaching, although this effect has not well quantified. Van Eetvelde *et al.*, (1995) reported that leaching of CCA was greater when using stirred leaching water than with static leaching trials. The AWWA standard leaching test specifies the use of a low stirring speed (eg. a tip speed of 25 – 50 cm per second). With adequate care for the method of stirring or agitation used, the mechanical abrasion of the surface of the wood can be avoided.

## Temperature

Leaching of Cu, Cr and As has been shown to be reduced at lower temperatures and leaching of Cr at 20°C N is reported to be  $0.119 \mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  compared with  $0.079 \mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  at 8°C (Van Eetvelde, 1995). Cu, Cr and As leaching were approximately 1.4, 1.6 and 1.5 times greater, respectively from wood leached at 20°C than that at 8°C. Brooks (2002) also concluded that leaching of Cu from CCA treated wood could be substantially increased as water temperatures increased from 8°C to 20°C. A similar temperature effect was noted in study of release of creosote components from treated wood (Xiao *et al.*, 2002). However, temperature may not be a deciding factor in the leaching of CCA in the tropical waters because fluctuation in temperatures is not so pronounced in these regions.

## Orientation of wood grain

The rate of movement of liquids along the grain of wood is several orders of magnitude greater than that across the grain, and samples with a high proportion of exposed end grains will exhibit exaggerated rates of preservative leaching. Losses within 24 hours have been shown to be greatest from the radial and tangential surface, although long term leaching was greatest from end grain (Osler & Holland, 1993). End grain penetration has been shown to be 40 times greater than lateral penetration and may greatly influence leaching rates (Morgan & Purslow, 1973). In commodity size timber, end-grain may represent only a small percentage of exposed surfaces, but may form a significant portion of the standard test blocks. This leads to laboratory studies grossly over estimating leaching rates (Archer, & Preston, 1994; Cooper, 1994; Albuquerque, & Cragg, 1995a). The shape, size, volume and proportion of end grain in the wood are of prime importance in determining the potential for leaching (Cooper, 1994). Hayes *et al.* (1994) found a considerable reduction in the amount of metal lost with increasing block size.

## EFFECT OF SHEATHING/COATING ON LEACHING

The manufactures of CCA wood have recommended the use of surface coatings to reduce cracking of wood resulting from effects of weather such as rain, temperature, humidity, solar radiation etc. (USEPA, 2001). Boards with polyurethane, latex/acrylic, oil stain and spar varnish coatings were evaluated by Stilwell, Scientific Certification Systems and California Department of Health Services. The results indicate that these remained very effective in reducing dislodgeable CCA chemicals for at least one year after they are applied. The Scientific Certificate Systems study evaluated the impacts of two post treatment coatings, (Superdec stain and 3M clear sealer/polyurethane) and one coating incorporated into the CCA treatment process (Osmose water

repellent). The results indicated that only 3M polyurethane sealant was effective at reducing dislodgeable arsenic whereas the superdec stain and osmose water repellent were not effective. The California Department of Health Services evaluated Structures that were in current use and therefore effects of wear and tear on the efficacy of the coatings were included. This study suggests that coatings provide a considerable reduction in the amount of dislodgeable arsenic especially in the case of polyurethane, which is sufficient for two years after post treatment. Since the decrease in dislodgeable arsenic was very large, the data are considered to be meaningful even in the absence of an uncoated control.

The ability of coatings to reduce the leachability of arsenic from CCA treated wood was studied by Cooper *et al.* (1997). The results showed that Thomson's water seal (applied after CCA treatment) significantly reduced the quantity of arsenic leaching for a period of two years after its application. The water repellents applied as a part of CCA treatment were not effective at reducing leachable arsenic concentrations.

Lebow & Evans (1999) evaluated the use of a water-soluble acrylic polymer with an iron oxide, which was applied prior to treatment with CCA. Results from this study found that the pre stain was able to reduce the leaching of arsenic by 25 to 30% that simulated natural rainfall over a 17-week period. Application of coating/sheathing in field structures also found to reduce leaching. One report indicated that a clear water repellent finish greatly decreased CCA release from fencing (Cooper *et al.*, 1997). Even after two years, arsenic concentration in rainwater collected off the finished specimens was approximately five times lower than that from the unfinished specimens. A laboratory study has also indicated that latex paint, oil-based paint and semi-transparent penetrating stains are all effective in decreasing leaching from horizontal surfaces (Lebow *et al.*, 2002). Results of these



studies as a whole support that surface coatings applied after CCA treatment are effective at reducing the quantities of arsenic leaching. Reductions of 70 to 90% in dislodgeable arsenic were observed as CCA treated wood was subjected to natural weathering. It is suggested that future experiments should evaluate the efficacy of different types of coatings on the quantity of toxins leached.

## LEACHING PROTOCOLS

A number of standard protocols that have been used for short-term (<24 h) leaching tests for CCA-treated wood include the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP, SW-846 method 1311) and the synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (SPLP, SW-846 method 1312) (USEPA, 1996) and British Standard EN.84 (BSI, 1997). Results of these short-term leaching tests have often been used for regulatory decisions on management of CCA-treated wood in-service or discarded. For example, in the United States TCLP results of CCA-treated wood have created growing concerns for environmental communities and regulatory agencies in regard to the exclusion of CCA-treated wood from the definition of hazardous waste. Although many short-term leaching tests have been routinely used for regulatory purposes and in risk assessments at a fixed solid-to-liquid ratio, most tests do not provide the rate of long-term release of arsenic, chromium, and copper from CCA-treated wood in the environment. Studies have shown that CCA-treated wood leaches preservative compounds at greater rate when first exposed to leaching solution as compared to prolonged exposure period of time. Thus, extrapolating short-term data may lead to an over estimation of losses of metals expected during the long service life or disposal period of CCA-treated wood (Hyunmyung *et al.*, 2005).

The existing standard leaching protocols for conducting laboratory leaching studies (AWPA, 1997; ANS, 1986; BSI, 1994

& 1997). ENV.1250:2 of British standards recommends immersing five end sealed test blocks (50 x 25 x 15 mm) in 500 ml of water or artificial sea water, stirring at 20°C, for six leaching periods of increasing duration up to 48 hours. ENV. 1250:2 also recommends an intermediate drying period of 16hour during the test to simulate natural drying of wood exposed to tidal regimes (BSI, 1994).

According to AWPA, E-11, six blocks should be selected from panels having selected retentions. The six blocks should then be immersed in 300 ml of de-ionized water for 30 minutes. Then the panels should be leached in accelerated condition using magnetic stirrers (at a stirring speed of 25-50 cms<sup>-1</sup>) with the same type of magnetic stir bar for each leaching flask. Samples of leachates should be then collected and the whole solution should be replaced with fresh de-ionized water after 6, 24, 48, 96, 144, 192, 240, 288 and 336h. Willeitner and Peek (1998) briefly reviewed standard test methods for measuring environmental inputs of waste and other material by leaching and proposed general requirements necessary for leaching studies with wood preservatives.

B.S. EN.84 represent the most severe leaching test of all available protocols because of the incorporation of a water impregnation stage, where test blocks are immersed in water and maintained in a vacuum desiccator for two hours prior to leaching. Potential drawbacks of this approach are the inability to end seal test blocks, the use of static water conditions and lack of a rigid sampling regime. American Nuclear Society suggests a leaching pattern for tank leaching studies. The temperature and salinity should be varied to determine the effect of these variables in the rate of Cu, Cr and As leaching from CCA-C pressure treated wood. Filtered seawater (0.40 µm) prepared using Type 1 reagent grade water having salinities 3‰, 13‰ and 26‰ should be used. The temperature of the leaching water should be varied by conducting the experiments at room temperature (20°C) or

in a refrigerator (4°C). Leachate samples should be collected following 12, 24, 48, 96, 168, 336, 504, 840, 1176 and 2160 h for half the number of samples and following 12, 24, 120, 168, 312, 504 and 1440 h for the rest of the samples. The samples should be analyzed for metals.

Adopting a single recognized protocol for all leaching studies would certainly aid comparisons of laboratory-based data. An important aspect of any leaching protocol is that sampling should be conducted at sufficiently regular intervals to allow quantification of the decline in leaching rates during the early periods of exposure.

## FIELD STUDIES

Many factors that can influence leaching in service are difficult to arrange in a laboratory. Even if experimental conditions are more difficult to control in field or service leaching studies, the results tend to be more useful indicators of actual leaching amounts. In long term marine field trials, CCA treated pine leached as much as 25% of total active ingredients within six months, with total losses rising to 52% after 85 months (Hegarty & Curran, 1986). Hayes *et al.* 1994 also observed losses of Cu from pine submerged in coastal waters occurred most within the first 12 weeks of a 72 week leaching trial. Field trials testing the durability of different CCA treated timbers indicated that the average leaching rates of CCA were 1.8-17.3%, and that those with highest leaching rates had the minimum life span (Cherian *et al.*, 1979). Chemical and anatomical differences between wood species result in different rates of leaching. The chemical nature of wood affects the mode and quality of fixation (Cooper, 1994). Wood anatomy determines depth of penetration, but may also facilitate leaching. Cherian *et al.* (1979) found considerable differences in leaching rate between different species of Indian timbers exposed in Cochin Harbour, India. Marked differences in rate of seawater leaching were noted between European

beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) (Leightly, 1987).

Results of the study by Breslin and Alder Ivanbrook show that preservative retention and the leaching of elements from CCA-C treated lumber was highly variable. A 90 day leaching rates for Cu and Cr increased as CCA retention increased whereas arsenic flux decreased with increased CCA retention. There has been a recent increase in evaluation of preservative release from in-service structures that are generally observational (not controlled) studies. An evaluation of in-service structures provides valuable information in leaching and environmental accumulation in actual applications. The disadvantage of these types of studies is that they are specific to the conditions at that specific site and difficult to tolerate other exposures. The original treatment may be unknown, and there may be little historical data to indicate whether the site was previously exposed to contamination from construction debris or other non-leaching sources. The type of exposure or application also greatly influences in-service leaching. Regardless of whether the treated wood is exposed to precipitation, freshwater, seawater, sediments or soil, the movement and composition of water is the key to leaching of the preservative components from the wood (Hayes *et al.*, 1994; Albuquerque *et al.*, 1996).

For a field study, the specific parameters or hypothesis of interest relevant to the inference populations such as a 95% confidence interval for median amount of copper within 152 mm of a structure, need to be identified before the study. Subsequently, these best sampling strategy and analysis methodologies to address these information needs can be selected. Selection and number of sampling locations for removal of environmental samples can also influence levels of preservative components detected. Common preservative components such as copper, chromium and arsenic are reactive with soil constituents (Lebow, 1996) and are not freely



mobile in soil. Thus environmental concentrations tend to be concentrated in areas immediately adjacent to treated wood or water drips off treated wood into soil. Even when soil samples are removed from directly under the drip line of a deck, environmental concentrations of leached preservative components can vary greatly (Lebow *et al.*, 2000).

### Sediment analysis

The impacts due to the release of elements from CCA-C pressure treated lumber depend on many factors: the amount of treated wood present, treatment level, age of the wood and the movement of water surrounding the structure. The accumulation of these elements in the sediments, water column and biota would be expected to be highest for recently constructed large structures built in poorly flushed systems and less for smaller structures built in well flushed systems. Brooks (1996) developed a model based on the Putt (1993) leaching data to estimate the impacts of Cu leaching on the water column and sediments surrounding CCA-C pressure treated bulkheads and pilings. The initial (<7day) Cu leaching rates found in the Brooks model and those measured in a study conducted by Breslin and Alder-Ivanbrook (1998) are similar. The model is highly dependent on input parameters, including wood age, initial CCA-C retention, water depth, bulkhead length and tidal velocity. The Brooks model does demonstrate that initial (<3 weeks) water column copper concentration may be high (>2.5µg/l) near structures placed in poorly flushed areas or where the surface area of the bulk head is a significant portion of the water body surface area (marine water area/CCA surface area <259). However, water column copper concentrations are predicted to be low near CCA-C treated wood structures placed in well-flushed bodies of water.

The summary of the detailed study recently conducted (Brooks, 2002) on the environmental suitability of CCA-treated

marine piling is as follows. The results are against any suggestions that treated piling is harmful to sediment-dwelling life. Measured amounts of copper, chromium and arsenic in naturally occurring water, sediment, leachate and treated sediments established that some variability occurred between measured amounts due to the behavior of the three metals in natural environmental conditions (e.g., seawater, sediment and wood). Although this variability was observed and was consistent with expectations of naturally occurring environmental conditions, reliable and useful trends were established which can be used to understand the general leaching and adsorptive properties associated with the CCA-C treated wood and subsequent exposure in natural marine environments. Analyses for arsenic demonstrated that approximately 59.5 mg arsenic/m<sup>2</sup> of treated wood surface area leached from the treated pilings. Arsenic did not appear to adsorb onto sediment of either type and, in almost all cases, was not observed in interstitial or overlying water.

### SPECIATION OF THE LEACHATE

The degree of toxicity of leachate depends upon the chemical speciation of the components of CCA that are released when treated wood is placed in seawater. Although considerable information exists on speciation of the individual elements in CCA, there is little research specific to CCA leachates (Albuquerque, 1995a). It is not clear if metals are leached as individual elements, as Cu or Cr arsenates, as inorganic complexes or possibly even as organo-metallic complexes bound to water-soluble extractives (Lebow, 1996). Baldwin *et al.* (1996) studied partitioning of metals to sediment during laboratory leaching trials with marine piles, and found that Cu bound to both low and high organic carbon sediment to the same degree and did not desorb to the overlying waters. Cr exhibited minimal adsorption to a high organic carbon sediment only and arsenic was found in all cases in the interstitial or overlying waters. Speciation into oxidation

states was not possible due to low concentrations. The toxicity of Cu, Cr and As is highly dependent on the specific form in which it is present. Cr in the +6 oxidation state is known to be carcinogenic and mutagenic, but if reduced to Cr (III), as during the CCA fixation process, it may be significantly less harmful (Sanders & Reidel, 1987). Arsenic may also be carcinogenic and mutagenic as well as teratogenic and, of the predominant oxidation states, As (V) is thought to be the more prevalent and less toxic form than As (III). Inorganic arsenic and hexavalent chromium Cr (VI) originates from leached CCA chemicals causes health problems in human (Townsend *et al.*, 2001; Hingston *et al.*, 2000).

Many analytical procedures can only measure the total metal concentration, some times with the ability to distinguish between oxidation states. Few techniques are able to provide information concerning complexation. In seawater, metals can exist as free metal ion, or combine with anionic or naturally derived organic ligands to form complexes. A relatively low equilibrium percentage of free metal ions are usually present in total dissolved metal in seawater (Bruland, 1983). The percentage of free metal ions is known to vary depending on pH, but the buffering capacity of the seawater is assumed to resist major pH changes (Langston, 1990). Becher *et al.*, (1983) found that copper forms complexes with humic acids found in seawater. Fractions of organically associated copper exceeding 98% have been reported in Atlantic waters (Buckley, 1986; Kramer, 1986). The presence of organic ligands in seawater suggests that a high proportion could become bound to organic material and thus less toxic to biota. The possible species that may be subject to leaching from treated wood are Cu hydroxide ions,  $\text{CrO}_3$ ,  $\text{HCrO}_4^-$  or  $\text{CrAsO}_4$  (Hayes *et al.*, 1994). Pizzi suggested that of the forms of Cu present in CCA treated wood,  $\text{CuSO}_4$  physically adsorbed by the various wood constituents was the likely leachate component (Pizzi, 1982). Lebow *et al.* (1999)

compared the release of copper, chromium and arsenic into seawater and deionized water from CCA treated wood and the following results were observed. In the initial stage of leaching, when the release of copper is high in solution, a variety of forms of copper are being removed from the wood. These might include copper bound to water-soluble extractives, copper adsorbed to particulate matter and copper precipitated within the wood structure. Leaching of more conventionally fixed copper, such as that fixed by ion exchange reactions with wood would become a greater proportion of the copper release. It is known that seawater cations such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  compete with metals for ion exchange sites on organic matter (Salomons & Forstner, 1984). Although copper may form stronger complexes with organics than does  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , the high concentrations of these ions in seawater can decrease copper complexation with organic ligands (Bodek *et al.*, 1988). The relatively low initial release rate in seawater may have been caused by precipitation of chromium in colloidal form. Chromium is likely to form complexes with polyflavanoid tannin extractives and these complexes may have been precipitated by seawater metals but remained soluble in deionized water leachate (Ryan, & Plackatt, 1987). It has also been reported that the presence of metal cations (such as  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ , and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in seawater) could result in the immobilization of soluble arsenic through the precipitation of metal arsenates (Bodek *et al.*, 1988). Cr is present in seawater as the more toxic Cr (VI) form rather than Cr (III). This is because of the high pH of seawater (Ahrlund, 1975). It is readily taken by phytoplankton as the soluble chromate ion,  $\text{CrO}_4^{2-}$  (Baldwin *et al.*, 1996). This is comparable in size and charge to the sulphate ion (Ahrlund, 1975). The chromate ion does not form complexes with anions but acts as a potential metal coordinating ligand itself. Arsenic has two main oxidation states, As (V) and As (III), the former is less toxic form and is predominant in seawater (Sanders & Windom, 1980). As (V) occurs almost entirely as  $\text{HAsO}_4^-$  ions in

the arsenate form, it bears a similarity to phosphate hence is readily taken up by phytoplankton (Sanders & Windom, 1980). As (III) occurs mainly (87%) as  $\text{As}(\text{OH})_3$ .

## Conclusion

A number of interesting conclusions may be drawn from this review. The period between treatment and immersion in water greatly influences the magnitude of initial CCA release. Significant differences were observed in leaching of Cr and As between wood dried for one or four weeks. Increased time allows greater reaction between preservative and wood components to occur, reducing the leachable component. CCA treated timber intended for marine use should be treated with the most leach resistant formulation available provided that such a formulation also provides adequate protection from borers. Particular care should be taken to ensure that post-treatment fixation is properly conducted.

Better understanding of the importance of water selected for leaching studies, potential underestimation of losses in artificial seawater solutions and the effect of organic acids is required if the usefulness of existing laboratory data is to be determined. Both the environmental conditions and the preservative treatment of wood may affect its leachability. Factors such as preservative formulation, fixation, temperature, post-treatment handling, timber dimensions and pH, salinity and temperature of leaching media have been shown to affect leaching rates. More rigorous examination of these factors is required if accurate prediction of in-service leaching rates is to be made based solely on results of laboratory studies.

Although the fixation reaction greatly reduce leaching, measurable quantities of Cu, Cr and As can be found in leaching solutions from properly leached wood. On examining the existing information it was found that a number of other areas are worthy of further research in order to gain a more realistic assessment of preservative

treated timber in aquatic environments. The review suggests that

- The experiments should be of sufficient duration in order that the longer-term leaching rates are accurately quantified.
- End sealing of test blocks will help to minimize the effects of high leaching rates from timber end grains, which may form a significant proportion of standard test blocks.
- Simulation of water motion and tidal movements by incorporation of stirring devices and daily drying periods may also be important parameters in any leaching protocol.
- The effects of surface area: volume ratio and total sample size on leaching rates in order that models may be developed to allow extra-protection of leaching rate data generated from standard laboratory protocols to wood with commercial use dimensions.
- Field trials in both saline and fresh water environments to evaluate losses in-service to allow further refinement of laboratory based data to losses expected from real environmental exposures.
- Speciation analysis of leached components to determine if metals are lost as individual elements, metal complexes, or bound to inorganic or organic ligands; this will allow further refinement of risk assessments since the toxicities of CCA components, particularly Cr and As are greatly influenced by valence state.

The studies regarding the impact of leaching are found to be very less in the tropical waters. Hence further studies need to be taken up in this direction.

## References

- Ahrland, S. (1975) Metal Complexes Present in Seawater. In: *Dahlem Workshop on the Nature of Seawater*. (Ed. Goldberg, E.D.)

Physical and Chemical Sciences Research Report1. Dahlem Konferenzen.

Albuquerque, R.M. & Cragg, S.M. (1995a) *Evaluation of impact of CCA-Treated Wood on the Marine Environment* (IRG/WP 95-50040, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).

Albuquerque, R.M. & Cragg, S.M. (1995b) *Fouling organisms as the indicators of the Environmental Impact of Marine Preservative Treated Wood* (IRG/WP 95-50063, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).

Albuquerque, R.M., Cragg, S.M. & Icely, J.D. (1996) *Leaching of CCA Treated Wood Submerged in Seawater: Effects of High Loadings, and a comparison between Laboratory and Marine Conditions* (IRG/WP 96-50080, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).

ANS, (1986) *Measurement of the Leachability of Solidified Low-level Radioactive Waste by a Short Term Test Procedure* (ANSI/ANS 16.1 American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, IL, USA).

Archer, K. & Preston, A. (1994) *Depletion of wood preservatives after four years' marine Exposure in Mt. Maunganui Harbour, NZ.* IRG/WP 94-50036, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm.

Arsenault, R.D. (1975) *CCA-treated foundations-a study of permanence, effectiveness, durability and environmental considerations.* In: proceedings, American Wood Preservers' Association, Washington, DC.

AWPA, (1994). *Book of Standards.* Woodstock, MD. American Wood Preservers' Association, Washington, DC, USA.

AWPA, (1997) Standard method of determining leachability of wood preservatives E11. *Book of Standards.* p 5 (Granbury, TX: American Wood Preservers' Association, Washington, DC).

AWPI, (1997) *The 1996 Wood Preserving Industry Production Statistical Report.* American Wood Preservers Institute, Virginia.

Baldwin, W.J., Pasek, E.A. & Osborne, P.D. (1996) Sediment toxicity study of CCA-C treated marine piles. *Forest Prod. J.*, **46**, pp 42-50.

Becher, G., Oestvold, G., Paus, P. & Seip, H.M. (1983) Complexation of copper by aquatic humic matter studied by reversed phase liquid chromatography and atomic absorption spectroscopy. *Chemosphere*, **12** (9-1), 1209-1215.

Bodek, L., Lyman, W.J., Reehl, W.F. & Rosenblatt, D.H. (1988) (Eds) *Arsenic, Chapter 7.2, Environmental Inorganic Chemistry Properties, Processes and Estimation Methods* (SETAC special publications service). (Pergamon Press, New York). pp.1-10.

Breslin, V.T. & Alder-Ivanbrook, L. (1998) Release of copper, chromium and arsenic from CCA-C treated lumber in estuaries. *Estuar. Coast Shelf S.*, **46**, pp 111-125.

Brooks, K.M. (1996) Evaluating the environmental risks associated with the use of chromated copper arsenate-treated wood products in aquatic environments. *Estuaries*, **19**, pp 296-305.

Brooks, K.M. (2002) Charactering the environmental response to pressure treated wood. In: *Enhancing the durability of lumber and engineering wood products*, Forest Products Society, Madison, WI, pp 59-71.

Bruland, K.W., (1983) Trace elements in seawater. In: *Chemical Oceanography.* (Riley, J.P., Chester, R., eds, Academic Press, London) **8**, pp157-220.

BSI, (1994) ENV.1250:2. Wood Preservatives- Methods of Measuring Losses of Active Ingredients and Other Preservative Ingredients From Treated Timber- Part2: *Laboratory Method for Obtaining Samples for Analysis to Measure Losses by Leaching*

into Water or Synthetic Seawater. (British Standards Institution, London).

- BSI, (1997) B.S. EN. 84. *Wood Preservative Accelerated Ageing of Treated Wood Prior to Biological Testing*. Part 2: Leaching Procedure. (British Standards Institution, London).
- Buckley, P.J.M., van den Berg C.M.G. (1986) Copper complexation profiles in the Atlantic Ocean. A comparative study using electrochemical and ion exchange techniques. *Mar. Chem.* **19**, pp 281.
- Cherian, P.V., Sharma, M.N. & Cherian, C.J., (1979) A study on the leaching of copper-chrome-arsenic (CCA) from some common Indian timbers tested in Cochin harbour waters. *Journal of the Indian Acad. Wood Sci.*, **10**, pp 31-34.
- Cooper, P.A., (1991) Leaching CCA from treated wood: pH effects. *Forest Prod. J.*, **41**, pp 30-32.
- Cooper, P.A. & Stokes, D. (1993) *Leaching characteristics and fixation of copper diethyldithiocarbamate treated wood*. In proceedings, (American Wood Preservers' Association, Washington, DC) **89**, 192-203.
- Cooper, P.A. (1994) Leaching of CCA: Is it a problem? In: *Environmental considerations in the manufacture, use and disposal of Pressure treated Wood*. (Forest Products Society, Madison, WI, USA).
- Cooper, P.A. & Ung, Y.T. (1997) *Effect of water repellents on leaching of CCA from treated fence and deck units*. An update. (IRG/WP 97-50086, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Cooper, P.A., Ung, Y.T. & Kamden, P.D. (1997) Fixation and leaching of red maple treated with CCA-C. *Forest Prod. J.*, **47**, pp 70-74.
- Eaton, R.A. (1989) An international collaborative marine trial to investigate the effect of timber substrate on the efficacy of CCA and CCB wood preservatives. *Mater. and Organismen*, **24**, pp 51.
- Edwin, L., Saly N. Thomas, N. Unnikrishnan Nair and K. Ravindran (1993). Dual preservative treatment for small fishing vessels-Observations on the mango wood. Low energy Fishing, *Fish. Technol. (Spl. Issue)* Society of Fisheries Technologists (India), Cochin, pp 98-102.
- Edwin, L. and Gopalakrishna Pillai A.G. (2004) Resistance of preservative treated rubber wood to (*Hevea brasiliensis*) marine borers *Holz Roh Werkst*, **62**, pp 303-306.
- Edwin, L., Thomas, S.N., Meenakumari, B. (2005) Utilization of Rubber Wood for Fishing Canoe Construction. *Fish. Technol.* **42** (1), pp 47-54.
- Fahlstrom, G.B., Gunning, P.E. & Carlson, J.A. (1967) Copper-Chrome-Arsenate wood preservatives: a study of the influence of composition on leachability. *Forest Prod. J.* **17**, pp17-22.
- Greaves, H. (1972) Structural distribution of chemical components in preservative treated wood by energy dispersion X-ray analysis. *Mater. and Organismen* **7** (4), pp 277-286.
- Greaves, H. (1973) *X-ray analysis of selected anatomical structures in copper chrome arsenate treated wood* (IRG/WP 320, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Greaves, H. (1974) The micro distribution of copper chrome arsenic in preservative treated sap woods using X-ray micro analysis in scanning electron microscopy. *Holzforschung*, **28** (6), pp 193-200.
- Green, C.A. Smith, G.M. & King, B. (1989) The effects of aqueous leaching on the moisture uptake and decay of CCA-treated wood exposed to soil burial. *Mater. and Organismen*, **24**, pp 193-205.
- Hager, B. (1969) Leaching tests on copper-chromium-arsenic preservatives. *Forest Prod. J.*, **19**, pp 21-26.
- Hayes, C., Curran, P.M.T. & Hynes, M.J. (1994) Preservative leaching from softwood

- submerged in Irish coastal waters as measured by atomic absorption spectrometry. *Holzforschung*, **48**, pp 463-473.
- Hegarty, B.M. & Curran, P.M.T. (1986) Biodeterioration and micro distribution of copper chrome arsenic (CCA) in wood submerged in Irish coastal waters. *J. I. Wood Sci.*, **10**, pp 245-253.
- Henshaw, B. (1979) Fixation of copper, chromium and arsenic in softwoods and hardwoods. *Int. Biodeterior. Bull.*, **15**, pp 66-73.
- Hingston, J.A., Collins, C.D., Murphy, R.J. & Lester, J.N. (2000) Leaching of chromated copper arsenate wood preservatives: a review. *Environ. Pollut.* **111**, pp 53-66.
- Hyunmyung Yoon, Heeseok Kang & Yong-Chul Jang. (2005) *Long-Term Release of Arsenic, Chromium, and Copper from CCA-Treated Wood and Ash* (Department of Environmental Engineering, Chungnam National University).
- Irvine, J., Eaton, R.A. & Jones, E.B.G. (1972) The effect of water of different ionic composition on the leaching of water-borne preservative from timber placed in cooling towers and in the sea. *Mater. and Organismen*, **7**, pp 45-71.
- Irvine, J. & Dalgren, S.E. (1976) The mechanism of leaching of copper-chrome-arsenic preservatives in saline waters. *Holzforschung*, **30**, pp 44-50.
- Johnson, B.R. (1977) *Performance of single panel and dual treated panels in a semi-tropical harbour*. In: Proceedings of the American Wood Preservers' Association, Washington, DC, pp73.
- Johnson, B.R. (1982) A look at creosote versus chromated copper arsenate salts as wood preservatives for the marine environment. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod.*, **21** pp 704-705.
- Kartal, S.N., Won-Joung Hwang. & Yuji Imamura. (2004) *Effects of hard water, sea water and humic acid on the release of CCA components from treated wood*. (IRG/WP 05-50228, The International Research Group on Wood Protection, Stockholm).
- Kartal S. N. & Imamura Y (2005) Effects of N'-N-(1, 8-Naphthalyl) hydroxylamine (NHA-Na) and hydroxynaphthalimide (NHA-H) on boron leachability and biological degradation of wood. *Holz als Roh und Werkstoff* **62** (5), pp 378-385.
- KFRI, (2002) *Preservative Treatment of Exterior Woods for Landscape Architecture, Proceedings of 3rd Wood Preservation Symposium*, Korea Forest Research Institute, Seoul, Korea.
- Kim, J.J. & Kim, G.H. (1993) *Leaching of CCA Components from treated wood under acidic conditions*. (IRG/WP 93-50004, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Kramer, C.J.M. (1986) Apparent copper complexation capacity and conditional stability constants in North Atlantic waters. *Mar. Chem.* **18**, pp 335-345
- Kumar, S. (1985) Wood preservation practices for marine application. In: *Harvest and Post-harvest technology of Fish*. (Ravindran, K., Nair, U.N., Perigreen, P.A., Madhavan, P., Pillai, A.G.G., Panicker, P.A., and Thomas, M., Eds), Society of Fisheries Technologists (India), Cochin.
- Kumar, S. (1990) *Status of wood preservation research and industry in India*. 19<sup>th</sup> IUFRO Congress, Montreal Canada.
- Kuppusami, V., Balaji, M., Rao, M.V., and Rao, S. (2002) *Leaching of CCA From Bombax ceiba Catamarans in operation for 15 years*. Institute of Wood Science and Technology, Bangalore. (IRG/WP 02-50191, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Langston, W.J. (1990) Toxic Effects of Metals in the Incidence of Metal Pollution in Marine Ecosystems. In: *Heavy Metals in the Marine Environment*. (CRC press, Boca Raton, Florida).
- Lebow, S.T., (1996) *Leaching of Wood Preservative Components and their Mobility in the*



- Environment*. Summary of Pertinent Literature. (United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, WI).
- Lebow, S.T. & Evans, J.W. (1999) *Effect of pre stain on the release of copper, chromium and arsenic from Western Hemlock*. Research note, (Forest Products Laboratory-RN-0271. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest products Laboratory, Madison, WI).
- Lebow, S.T., D.O. Foster & Lebow P.K. (1999) Release of copper chromium and arsenic from treated southern pine exposed in seawater and freshwater. *Forest Prod. J.*, **49** (7/8), pp 80-89.
- Lebow, S.T., P.K. Lebow. & D.O. Foster. (2000) *Environmental Impact of preservative treated wood in a wetland boardwalk*. Part1. Leaching and Environmental accumulation of preservative elements. (FPL- RP-582. Madison, WI: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory) 126p.
- Lebow, S.T., Brooks, K.M. & J. Simonsen. (2002) Environmental Impact of treated wood in service. In: *Enhancing the durability of lumber and engineered wood products*, Kissimmee, FL. (Forest Products Society, Madison, WI), pp 205-215.
- Lee, A.W.C., Grafton, J.C. & Tainter, F.H. (1993) Effect of rapid redrying shortly after treatment on leachability of CCA-treated southern pine. *Forest Prod. J.*, **43**, pp37-40.
- Leightly, L.E. (1987) *Chemical analysis of IRG/COIPM international marine test samples*. (IRG/WP 4114 The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Morgan, J.W.W., Purslow, D.F. (1973) Physical and chemical aspects of preservative treatment by non-pressure methods. *Holzforschung*, **27**, pp 153-159.
- Murphy, R.J. & Dickinson, D.J. (1990) *The effect of acid rain on timber*. (IRG/WP 3579, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Orsler, R.J., Holland, G.E. (1993) *Assessment of Losses of Wood Preservatives from Treated Wood by Leaching into the Environment*. 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Wood Preservation, (Cannes-Mandelieu, France).
- Peek, R.D. & Willeitner, H. (1981) *Fundamentals of Steam Fixation of Chromated Wood Preservatives* (IRG/WP 3483, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).
- Pizzi, A. (1982) The chemistry and kinetic behaviour of CCA-B wood preservatives. Fixation of CCA to wood. *J. polym. sci. Pol. Chem.* **20**, pp 739-764.
- Putt, A.F. (1993) *Sediment bound CCA-C leachate 10 day repeated exposure toxicity to *Amplisca abditta* Under Static conditions*. (Springborn Laboratories Inc, Wareham, MA, USA).
- Ruddick, J.N.R., Yamamoto, K., Wong, P.C. & Mitchell, K.A.R. (1993) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic analysis of CCA-treated wood. *Holzforschung*, **47**, pp 458-464.
- Ryan, K.G. & D.V.Plackatt. (1987) The interaction of polyflavinoid tannins with CCA in *Pinus radiata*. IRG/WP/3422. (IRG secretariat, Stockholm, Sweden).
- Salomons, W.& U. Forstner 1984. *Metals in the Hydrocycle*. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin) pp 349.
- Sanders, J.G. & Windom, H.L. (1980) The uptake reduction of arsenic species by marine algae. *Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci.*, **10**, pp 555-567.
- Sanders, J.G., Reidel, G.F. (1987) Control of trace element toxicity by phytoplankton. In: *Phytochemical Effects of Environmental Compounds* edited by Saunders, J.A., Kosak-Channing, L., Conn, E.E., (Plenum Press, New York), pp 131-149.
- Smith, D.N.R. & Williams, A.I. (1973) The effect of composition on the effectiveness and fixation of copper-chrome-

arsenic and copper-chrome preservatives part 1: effectiveness. *Wood Sci. Technol.*, **7**, pp 60-76.

Thomas, S.N., Leela Edwin and K. Ravindran (1998). Effect of single and dual preservative treatments on the strength of boat building timbers in *Advances and Priorities in Fisheries Technology* (Balachandran, K.K., Iyer, T.S.G., Madhavan, P., Joseph, J., Perigreen, P.A., Raghunath, M.R., and Varghese, M.D. Eds.) Society of Fisheries Technologists (India), Cochin, pp 117-120.

Townsend, T., Stook, K., Tolaymat, T., Song, J.K., Solo-Gabriele, H.M., Hosein, N. & Khan, B. (2001) *New lines of CCA-treated wood research: In service and disposal issues*. (Florida Center for Hazardous Waste Management, Gainesville).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (1996) *Test methods for evaluating solid waste*, SW 846, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. (Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington D.C., USA).

US EPA, <http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/sap/2001/October/ccawood.pdf>

Van Eatvelde, G., Homan, W.J., Militz, H. & Stevens, M., (1995) *Effect of Leaching Temperature and Water Acidity on the Loss of Metal Elements from CCA Treated Wood*

*in Aquatic Applications*. Part1. Laboratory Scale Investigation (IRG/WP 95-50046, The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).

Warner, J.E. & Solomon, K.R., (1990) Acidity as a factor in leaching of copper, Chromium and arsenic from CCA-treated dimension lumber. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, **9**, pp 1331-1337.

Weis, P., Weis, J.S. & Coohil, L. (1991) Toxicity to estuarine organisms of leachates from chromated copper arsenate treated wood. *Arch. Environ. Con. Toxicol.*, **20**, pp 188-194.

Weis, P., Weis, J.S., Greenberg, A., Nosker, T.J. (1992) Toxicity of construction materials in the marine environment: A comparison of CCA-treated wood and recycled plastic. *Arch. Environ. Con. Toxicol.* **22**, pp 99-106.

Willeitner, H. & Peek, R.D. (1998) *How to Determine What is the Realistic Emission from Treated Wood* (IRG/WP 98-50105 The International Research Group on Wood Preservation, Stockholm).

Xiao, Y., Simonson, J., Morrel, J.J. (2002) *Effects of water flow rate and temperature on leaching from creosote treated wood*. Res. Note (FPL-RN-0286. Madison, WI: U.S.D.A., Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory).