ORIGINAL PAPER



Characterization of sub-tropical maize (*Zea Mays* L.) inbred lines for the variation in kernel row numbers (KRNs)

Ganapati Mukri¹ · R. N. Gadag¹ · Jayant S. Bhat¹ · T. Nepolean^{1,3} · Navin C. Gupta² · Shikha Mittal¹ · M. L. Nithyashree¹ · Ramesh Kumar⁴ · Digvender Pal¹

Received: 8 October 2022 / Accepted: 28 April 2023 © Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt. 2023

Abstract

Genetic dissection of high kernel row numbers (KRNs) trait and cob length has been undertaken by several researchers resulting in identification of loci controlling the traits. Further fine mapping of QTLs controlling KRN and cob length and TILLING strategies identified the underlying genes. All these studies are used temperate maize genotypes which are of limited use to researcher in sub-tropical region and the sub-tropical maize production systems. Present investigation explores the availability of genetic regions responsible for KRN in sub-tropical maize germplasm. A total of 280 sub-tropical maize germplasm were analyzed for their KRN variation and selected 45 stable lines were subjected to molecular characterization using genes linked to KRN in maize. Diversity analysis was also performed to understand the possible association of character with the KRN gene in deciding its variation in the given population. It was revealed that four genes showed highest probability of influencing KRN traits in these tropical maize inbred lines. The remaining genes not establishing specific pattern of association with high and low KRN genotypes may need further study on its allelic variation.

Keywords Kernel row number · Allelic variability · KRN-related genes · Molecular characterization

Introduction

Maize is known as a queen of cereal by virtue of various factors and features like versatility, amenability for diverse uses, greater adaptability, its C4 nature and high yield potential. In India, maize is the third most important food crops after rice and wheat and produces 27.82 million tonnes (MT) maize from the crop cultivated in an area of 9.2 million hectares (mha) with a productivity of 3 tonnes/ha (FAOSTAT 2018). India contributes 2–3% of the total maize production worldwide and among the top five maize exporters of the world, contributing almost 14% of the total maize exported

Communicated by Ahmad Mohammad Alqudah.

- ¹ Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India
- ² National Institute for Plant Biotechnology, New Delhi 110012, India
- ³ Indian Institute of Millet Research, Hyderabad 500030, India
- ⁴ Indian Institute of Maize Research, Punjab 141001, India

to different countries around the globe. The demand for maize as food, derived food, feed and fodder is increasing in tune with expanding global population (Dass et al. 2009). Maize plays an important role in maintaining food security, prompts development of animal husbandry and maize-based industries (Mukri et al. 2018). Thus, consistently improvement of grain yield is an important objective for maize breeders and also a challenge to increase the productivity of maize (Yi et al. 2019). To meet these demand prospective and potential requirements, breeder and geneticists working in maize have huge challenge of enhancing the productivity vis-à-vis with the decreasing natural resources. Understanding the genetic basis of grain yield is necessary to guide breeding efforts toward the development of high-yielding hybrids along with their specific adaptations (Rakshit et al. 2012). Maize yield a complex trait and it is the cumulative effect of expression of its component traits such as cob length, cob girth, kernel row number, kernel per row and shelling percentage and their interaction with environments (Bommert et al. 2013a, b; Calderón et al. 2016). As one of the major mutations, increased kernel row number, which underwent a dramatic change from two rows in teosinte to more than eight rows in modern maize, is directly associated

Ganapati Mukri ganapati4121@gmail.com

with the increased grain yield (Weil and Monde 2007). Kernel row number (KRN) is one of the most important yieldcontributing traits and a major breeding target to increase maize productivity (Liu et al. 2015). The variation in KRN of inbred lines plays a major role in determining the perse plant yield of that hybrid for which they are contributing as parental lines. Over recent decades, the scientific community has been working to uncover the genetic architecture of grain yield and yield-related traits in maize (Zea mays L.) (Shen et. al. 2019). A better understanding of the genetic architecture of KRN is required to establish breeding programs aiming at improving the grain yield of maize hybrids. However, current knowledge about the genetic control of the maize KRN was obtained mainly from genetic assays of inflorescence mutants. For example, thick tassel dwarf 1 (TD1) (Bommert et al. 2005) and fasciated ear 2 (FEA2) (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001; Bommert et al. 2013b) are involved in meristem maintenance. The ramosa mutants can increase the indeterminacy of lateral organs, which transforms the determinate spikelet pair meristems into branches (Bortiri et al. 2006; Gallavotti et al. 2010; Satoh-Nagasawa et al. 2006; Sigmon and Vollbrecht 2010). The ramosa mutant of maize has increased tassel branching with short branches replaced by long branches. They show indeterminate behavior. Similarly, Suppressor of sessile spikelets 1 (Sos1) controls meristem determinacy to produce single instead of paired spikelets in the inflorescence, thereby decreasing the KRN in the ear (Wu et al. 2009). The dominant *Corngrass1* (*Cg1*) mutant encodes two tandem zma-miR156 genes and leads to a small ear lacking an ordered kernel row and unbranched tassel (Chuck et al. 2007). It is pertinent to note that Indian maize germplasms are not subjected to comprehensive and systematic studies in respect of KRN traits. Generating such information about KRN could be better exploited in the crop improvement program in general and hybrid development in particular. Therefore, this study is an effort to characterize Indian maize inbred lines, which would be helpful in further dissecting the genetics of KRN traits.

Materials and methods

Maize germplasm

A set of 280 sub-tropical maize inbred lines were evaluated in consecutive two seasons, during *autumn* 2017 and *spring* 2017–18, at ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, under augmented design. The cob parameters such as cob length, cob girth, kernel row number, kernels/row and shelling percentage were recorded and analyzed. The population showed variability for the studied traits (Supplementary Table 1). The cob length ranged from 3.2 to 20.80 cm in *autumn* and 6.75–19.60 cm in *spring*,

and kernel row number which ranged from 10 to 26 in both the studied seasons were considered as basic criteria to narrow-down genotypes to total 80 for further characterization. During autumn 2018, these selected 80 inbred lines were evaluated in augmented design and were characterized for kernel and cob parameters. The mean and range for the traits indicated that the genotypes have significant variability for kernel row number and cob length (Supplementary Table 2). The phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variation showed that these traits were less influenced by environmental factors. Among the 80 genotypes, based on the KRN, total 16, 42 and 22 genotypes were grouped as low (<=14 KRN), medium (16-18 KRN) and high (>18 KRN) KRN genotypes, respectively. Finally, 15 genotypes each from low, medium and high category were characterized comprehensively for kernel row number and associated traits across the three different environments, viz., Location 1. Autumn season, 2018 at IARI (28.080°N 77.120°E), New Delhi, Location 2. Spring season, 2018–19 at IARI New Delhi (28.080°N 77.120°E) and Location 3. Spring season, 2018–19 at IARI-Regional Research Centre, Dharwad (15.489085°N 74.984264°E).

Selection of genes for KRN variability

Out of several genes known for having role in influencing kernel row number, there is a need to choose specific genes which could be informative. A total of 13 genes controlling KRN traits, namely, *fea2* (Taguchi-shibara et al. 2001), *ct2* (Bommert et al 2013a), *td1* (Bommert et al 2005), *Ids1* (Chuk et al. 1998), *Kn1*(Brown et al 2011), *zfl1* and *zfl2* (Bomblies et al 2003), *fea3* (Je et al. 2016), *fea4* (Pautler et al 2015), *cg1*(Chuck et al 2007), *tsh4* (Chuck et al 2010), *ub2* and *ub3*(Chuck et al 2014) were selected (Table 1) for analyzing their sequence variation and possible association with the KRN across the 45 selected genotypes. The gene sequences were obtained from the public domain; https://www.maizegdb.org/.

Isolation of genomic DNA and purification

The genomic DNA was isolated manually from the young leaves of the individual plants by following the CTAB method as described by Saghai-Maroof et. al. (1984). Isolated crude genomic DNA was purified by PCI (phenol–chloroform–isoamyl; 25:24:1) method and was diluted to the concentration of 500 ng/µl after checking their quality by electrophoresis on 0.8% agarose gel.

Primer designing and PCR amplification

The primers were designed manually from the flanking region of the gene sequence and evaluated them through

S. no	Gene	Gene name	Function annotated	Gene ID	References
1	ct2	compact plant 2	Shoot meristem size	GRMZM2G064732	Bommert et al. (2013a)
2	ub2	unbranched2	Regulating the development of spikelet meristems and floral meristems	GRMZM2G160917	Chuck et al. (2014)
3	kn1	knotted-1	Ear length of maize	GRMZM2G017087	Brown et al. (2011)
4	ids1	indeterminate spikelet1	Inflorescence branching and floral dormancy	GRMZM5G862109	Chuk et al. (1998)
5	zfl2	zea floricaula leafy 2	Quantitative variation in KRN	GRMZM2G180190	Bomblies et al. (2005)
6	cg1	corngrass1	Regulating the development of spikelet meristems and floral meristems	GRMZM2G022489	Chuck et al. (2007)
7	fea3	fasciated ear3	Regulates stem cell proliferation and yields	GRMZM2G166524	Je et al. (2016)
8	fea2	fasciated ear 2	Shoot meristem proliferation and Quantitative varia- tion in KRN	GRMZM2G104925	Taguchi-shibara et al. (2001)
9	ub3	unbranched3	Regulating the development of spikelet meristems and floral meristems	GRMZM2G460544)	Chuck et al. (2014)
10	td1	thick tassel dwarf 1	Ear fasciation, increased kernel rows, increase in spikelet and stamen	GRMZM2G300133	Bommert et al. (2005)
11	fea4	fasciated ear4	Regulation of shoot meristem size	GRMZM2G133331	Pautler et al. (2015)
12	tsh4	tassel sheath 4	Regulating the development of spikelet meristems and floral meristems	GRMZM2G307588	Chuck et al. (2010)
13	zfl1	zea floricaula leafy 1	Quantitative variation in KRN	GRMZM2G098813	Bomblies et al. (2005)

Table 1 Details of the gene used for characterizing the inbred lines

DNA Club software (https://molbiol-tools.ca/molecular_ biology_freeware.htm) with standard parameters. The gene length varied from 0.5 to 8.0 kb; hence, normal as well as long PCR amplification were done for the respective genes across the genotypes under investigation. The DNA polymerase "PrimeSTAR GXL" was used for standard PCR to amplify the genes up to 3 kb in size, whereas "LongAmp® Taq DNA Polymerase" was used to amplify the genes with more than 3 kb in size.

The PCR mixture for Takara PrimeSTAR GXL Taqbased gene amplification was constituted of 5X PrimeSTAR GXL Buffer (5 μ l), dNTP mixture (2.5 mM each) (2 μ l), primer 1 (10–15 pmol), primer 2 (10–15 pmol), template (50 ng), DNA polymerase (0.5 μ l) and sterile distilled water (volume to 25 μ l) with the thermal program of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s, annealing at 62 °C for 15 s and extension at 68 °C for 2 min (min/kb), and the cycle was repeated in thermal cycler for 30. For NEB Long PCR, amplification was carried out using the PCR mixture composed of 5X Long Amp Taq Buffer (5 μ l), dNTPs (0.75 μ l), primer 1 (1 μ l), primer 2 (1 μ l), NEB Long Amp Taq DNA Polymerase (2.5 U), template 50 ng and water (volume up to 25 μ l). Thermal program of the long PCR amplification was followed as per the manufacturer protocol.

Statistical analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for additive main effect and multiplicative interaction (AMMI) and GGE biplot analysis were carried out using software Genstat. Diversity analysis by hierarchical clustering with Ward's method was performed to understand the relatedness of the studied genotypes using SPSS software. Descriptive statistics and



Fig. 1 Average environment coordination (AEC) view of GGE bi-plot based on environment focused scaling for the mean performance vs. adaptability for kernel row number across three environments. New Delhi 1: *Autumn* 2018 at Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi New Delhi 2: *spring* 2018–19 at Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi Dharwad: Spring 2018–19 at Regional Research Centre, Dharwad

Table 2Pooled ANOVA forcob and kernel parametersacross three environments

Source Df Cob length Cob girth		Kernel row number		Kernel/row		Grain yield			
Genotypes 44 375.5**		2.3**	59.68**	59.68**		3.0287**			
Environments 2 555.2**		0.3691	10.12**	10.12**		0.607**			
Interact	ion	88	137.1**	0.2091**	* 2.91**		0.1729		0.378**
Error		132	12.2	0.0765	0.33	0.33		;	0.025
S. no.	Traits			Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. dev	CV	Variance
S. no.	Traits	irth (mm)	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. dev	CV	Variance
S. no.	Traits Cob gi Cob le	irth (mm	ı) n)	Minimum 13.08 5.00	Maximum 58.00 29.67	Mean 38.34 12.16	Std. dev 7.31 3.17	CV 19.08 16.05	Variance 53.50 10.04
S. no. 1 2 3	Traits Cob gi Cob le Kernel	irth (mm ngth (cn l per row	1) n) 7	Minimum 13.08 5.00 8.00	Maximum 58.00 29.67 41.00	Mean 38.34 12.16 22.32	Std. dev 7.31 3.17 5.73	CV 19.08 16.05 15.68	Variance 53.50 10.04 32.85
S. no. 1 2 3 4	Traits Cob gi Cob le Kernel Kernel	irth (mm ngth (cn l per row l row nu	n) n) / mber	Minimum 13.08 5.00 8.00 10	Maximum 58.00 29.67 41.00 26	Mean 38.34 12.16 22.32 16	Std. dev 7.31 3.17 5.73 3.09	CV 19.08 16.05 15.68 19.78	Variance 53.50 10.04 32.85 9.54

Table 3 Variability for yieldcomponent traits selected 45tropical maize inbred lines

analysis of variance (ANOVA) were estimated using the software SAS 9.3 v (http://stat.iasri.res.in/). Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the relationship between the number of kernel rows as a binary variable (<=14 KRN=0 and > 14 KRN=1) and KRN associated gene as explanatory variables. The following formula was used to estimate the probability of gene under investigation influences the kernel row number in maize inbred line by the maximum likelihood method (Hosmer and Lemeshow 1989).

$$P(Y = 1 | x_1, ..., x_n) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)$$

/ 1 + exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)

Results

Phenotypic variability, stability and diversity in selected maize germplasm

The ANOVA of AMMI analysis indicated that genotypes evaluated across the environments were significant among each other. The cob length, cob girth, kernel row number and grain yield showed significant $G \times E$ interaction (Table 2). Environment in which the genotypes were evaluated was also significant. The GGE biplot analysis indicated that three each genotype, AI 544, AI 521, AI 533 and AI 531, AI 545, AI 504 were specifically adapted to location 2 and location 3, respectively. The genotype AI 519 showed specific adaptation to location 1. Except them all are showing better adaptability all three tested environments (Fig. 1). Variance for the phenotypic traits indicated that the germplasm selected for the present study was significantly different from each other. The descriptive statistics for the kernel and cob parameters implied the prevalence of good amount of variation in the genotypes (Table 3), which were targeted for the final selection of KRN lines. The kernel row number ranged from 10 to 26, and cob length varied from 5.00 to 29.67 cm. Another parameter relating to and valid to the present concept is cob girth. It is one of the important traits exhibiting a positive correlation with the KRN and values ranged from 13.08 to 58.00 mm. Similarly, kernel per row (8.00 to 41.00) and test weight (6.40 to 40.40 g) also showed high degree of variability. Grouping based on phenotypic diversity formed seven clusters based on 40% dissimilarity index (Fig. 2). In cluster II, maximum inbred lines (13) were present followed by clusters III and VI both having 11 each inbred line, while clusters I and IV had four inbred lines each and clusters V and VII comprised solitary genotype (Fig. 2).

Allelic variability for KRN

Of the 13 genes tested, 10 got amplified in the selected set of maize germplasm subjected to finer characterization. Pattern of amplification profiles of genes as an example in the maize inbred lines is depicted in Fig. 3. Observation of amplification indicated that, out of 45 genotypes, 38 showed amplification of *fea4* gene, which is maximum compared to other genes, while cg1 and ids1 got amplified in 36 genotypes and the remaining nine genotypes had no amplification. Of the other genes td1, tsh4, fea3, ct2, kn1, fea2 and zfl2 got amplified in 16, 32, 28, 3, 22, 21 and 04 genotypes, respectively (Table 4). Interestingly the genotype in which amplification was successful, have also been showing variability for KRN and they were categorized as high (\geq 14 KRN) and low KRN genotypes. Accordingly, cgl is present in 31 high and five low KRN genotypes. The gene td1, ids1, tsh4, fea4, fea3, ct2, kn1, fea2 and zfl2 were present in 14, 30, 26, 30, 25, 3, 17, 25 and 03 high KRN genotypes, respectively, and also they were present in 02, 06, 06, 08, 03, 0, 05, 06 and 01 low KRN genotypes, respectively (Fig. 4). On the other hand,



Fig. 2 Clustering of genotype based on the morphological diversity

observation of amplification in the genotypes under investigation also had null to multiple genes with them (Table 5). Of the 45 genotypes, only AI 514 showed no amplification, whereas nine genes were amplified in two genotypes, viz., AI 506 and AI 538. Eight genes were amplified in AI 522, PML 46, AI 517, AI 520, AI 531, AI 533 and AI 539. Similarly, seven genes were amplified in the genotypes, AI 523, AI 526, AI 536, AI 537, AI 519 and AI 527. With six genes were amplification in AI 502, AI 518, AI 521, AI 534, PML 102, AI 503, AI 524, AI 525, AI 528 and AI 529, this group was biggest comprising 10 genotypes. In seven genotypes, (viz., AI 511, AI 530, AI 535, AI 507, AI 513, AI 532 and PML 17) total of five genes got amplified, whereas four genotypes (viz., AI 516, AI 504, AI 505 and AI 509) showed amplification of four genes. While AI 501, PML 95 showed amplification of three genes, in three genotypes (viz., AI 510, AI 540 and AI 508) exhibited amplification of two genes responsible for KRN in maize. Only single gene amplification was observed in the genotypes, AI 512 and PML 93.



Fig. 3 Gel image depicting the amplification of the genes in tropical maize inbred lies

Influence of Kernel row number by KRN-related gene

The amplification of the gene in a genotype was scored as "+" or "-" based on their presence or absence, respectively (Supplementary Table 3). These observations were

Table 4Amplification status ofKRN gene across tested maizegenotypes

Status	cgl	td1	ids1	tsh4	fea4	fea3	ct2	kn1	fea2	zfl2
Amplified	36	16	36	32	38	28	3	22	31	4
Not amplified	9	19	9	13	7	17	42	23	14	41

Table 5 Ge	notypes carr	ying multi	ple genes	responsible	for KR	Ν
------------	--------------	------------	-----------	-------------	--------	---

Number of genes									
Null	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
AI 514	AI 512,PML 93	AI 510,AI 540,AI 508	AI 501,PML 95,	AI 516,AI 504,AI 505,AI 509	AI 511,AI 530,AI 535,AI 507,AI 513,AI 532,PML 17	AI 502,AI 518,AI 521,AI 534,PML 102,AI 503,AI 524,AI 525,AI 528,AI 529	AI 523,AI 526,AI 536,AI 537,AI 519,AI 527,	AI 522,PML 46,AI 517,AI 520,AI 531,AI 533,AI 539,	AI 506,AI 538

converted into binary variables and subjected them to logistic regression analysis to explore and understand the probability of KRN gene that influences the kernel row number in these maize genotypes. The coefficients of logit regression were computed, and observed values for the gene cg1, td1, ids1, tsh4, fea3, ct2, kn1, fea2 and zfl2 were 2.56, 2.67, -0.93, -0.92, 2.08, -1.32, -1.79, 1.79 and -1.66 with the standard error 1.43, 1.24, 1.42, 1.22, 1.21, 1.61, 0.98, 1.13 and 1.13, respectively. Among them gene, cg1, td1, fea3, kn1 and *fea2* were found significant. Similarly, marginal effects on the gene cg1, td1, ids1, tsh4, fea3, ct2, kn1, fea2 and zfl2 were 0.47, 0.58, -0.23, -0.20, -0.48, -0.28, -0.42, 0.39 and -0.34 with the standard error 0.16, 0.20, 0.33, 0.29, 0.23, 0.27, 0.20, 0.19 and 0.23, respectively, with log likelihood -20.25. Thus, comparatively the following genes cg1, td1, fea3, kn1 and fea2 had significant marginal effect (Table 6).

Discussion

Maize kernel row number (KRN) per ear is one of the most important yield components and is a breeding goal for the improvement of maize inbred lines. To better understand the grain yield, QTLome, dozens of QTLs and trait-associated variants (TAVs) for kernel row number (KRN) have been identified across the maize genome by linkage mapping and next-generation sequencing-based genome-wide association studies (Li et al. 2018; Xiao et al. 2017; Calderón et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2015; Peng et al. 2011; Lu et al. 2010; Messmer et al. 2009; Upadyayula et al. 2006; Veldboom and Lee 1994; Stuber et al. 1987). Further the knowledge of genetic architecture of KRN was utilized to establish breeding programs. But the systematic study in unraveling the genetic base of the yield components targeting kernel row number is lacking in tropical maize. In the present study, an effort was made to characterize and select a set of tropical maize inbred lines from the pool of germplasm and further understand the association of KRN-related genes to the kernel row number. The selected 45 inbred lines for the current study were significantly different from each other as per kernel row per ear and were concerned and adaptable to varied environments tested. The expression of kernel row number was not much affected by the environment in which genotypes were grown. The range of KRN (10 to 26) in the studied maize inbred lines indicated its potential variability harnessed in crop improvement program. Along with the KRN, it was observed that variance parameters for cob girth, cob length, kernel per row, test weight were also high, indicating the diverse nature of the inbred lines. Diversity analysis among the selected 45 inbred lines also showed possible cause for this variation, and grouping of the genotypes was done majorly based on the KRN and related component traits. Taking a clue from these two analyses, inbred lines were characterized for the genes responsible for KRN. The length of the gene under characterization was

 Table 6
 Maximum likely-hood of KRN genes influencing KRN trait in tropical maize inbred line

Gene	Logit mode	1	Marginal effects			
	Coefficient	Standard error	dy/dx	Standard error		
cgl	2.56*	1.43	0.47***	0.16		
td1	2.67*	1.24	0.58***	0.20		
ids1	-0.93	1.42	-0.23	0.33		
tsh4	-0.82	1.22	-0.20	0.29		
fea3	-2.08*	1.21	-0.48**	0.23		
ct2	-1.32	1.61	-0.28	0.27		
kn1	-1.79*	0.98	-0.42**	0.20		
fea2	1.79*	1.13	0.39**	0.19		
zfl2	-1.66	1.54	-0.34	0.23		
Constant	-0.47	1.67				
Observations	38					
Log likeli- hood	-20.25					

***Significant at 1% level, **Significant at 5% level, *Significant at 10% level



Fig.4 Tendency of KRN genes codeveloping with high and low KRN genotypes

ranged from 1573 to 7928 bp with varied exon and intron numbers. Amplification profile of 13 genes indicated (Supplementary Table 3) that majority of the genes are present in Indian maize inbred line also a single copy of gene amplified as per the expected amplicon size. Only three genes which could not amplify in the studied material may not be present in the inbred lines or they may be specific to the genetic background of the maize inbred lines (Chuck et al. 2014). The genotypes which carried gene of interest were classified into high and low KRN types. It indicated that, the studied genes were having high a tendency to move with high KRN genotypes compared to their low KRN counterparts (Fig. 4). This implies that majority of the genes taken in this study are associated with high KRN phenotype (Bommert et. al. 2005 and 2013, Chucket. al. 2007 and 2010, Bomblies and Doebley 2006, McSteen 2006). The genetic control of kernel row number showed estimates that allow the researcher to infer that this is a polygenic character with predominantly additive allelic interaction (Toledo et al. 2011). It is evident from the study that Indian maize germplasm also contains



Fig. 5 Phenotype of high a and low b kernel genotype

one or more KRN-related genes for its phenotypic expression as high KRN genotype (Table 5). Recently, several genes in the CLV-WUS feedback loop, including thick tassel dwarf1 (td1) (Bommert et al 2005), fasciated ear2 (fea2) (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001), and COMPACT PLANT2 (CT2) (Bommert et al. 2013a) were isolated in maize. Additionally, the RAMOSA genes (McSteen et al. 2006) Corngrass1 (Cg1) (Chuck et al. 2007), tasselsheath4 (tsh4) (Chuck et al 2010), FLORICAULA/LEAFY (ZFL1 and ZFL2) (Bomblies et al. 2006), unbranched2 (ub2) and ub3 (Chuck et al. 2014) and others, all affect ear morphology by regulating the development of SPMs and SMs which finally decides the KRN in maize. The marginal effects of maximum likelihood indicated that cg1, td1 and fea2 are promoting high kernel row number by 47, 58 and 39%, respectively. It may be inferred that if cgl is present in the maize inbred lines, the probability of getting a high kernel row number is 47%. Similar examinations hold good for the other two genes also. Natural variation in *fea2* explains part of the difference in KRN between inbreds Mo17 and B73 (Bommert et al. 2013b). The fea2 and td1 are proposed to regulate ZmWUS1 based on the well-known model in Arabidopsis. On the other hand, it was noted that, if *fea3* gene is present in the maize inbred lines, there will be a 48% probability that fea3 will reduce the KRN in maize. It influences the addition of kernel row number in a negative direction. The earlier experimentation with fasciated mutant ears, such as those produced by fea3 mutants, have low seed yield because they make too many disorganized primordia that do not fill properly. However, it was found that weak alleles of *fea3* contributes more kernels (Je et al. 2016). Hence, allelic variation in the fea3 may be dissected to understand the role of *fea3* gene in tropical maize inbred lines. Further, genes with monomorphic amplification among the contrast genotypes (Fig. 5) may be studied in detail to understand its association with kernel row number in maize.

Conclusion

Enhancing kernel row number in maize is one of the important breeding targets in maize in general and for hybrid developing strategy in particular. The KRN genes which are characterized in temperate germplasm may have background effect and possibly available in different allelic forms tropical maize inbred lines. However, four genes, *cg1*, *td*, *fea2* and *fea3* showed association with KRN in the tropical maize inbred lines. The findings can be further studied and confirmed through molecular expression analysis.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s42976-023-00386-2. Acknowledgements This study is the output of an external project funded by DST-SERB, New Delhi. Authors are thankful for the financial support by the DST, New Delhi

Funding This study was funded by DST-SERB, New Delhi, India, with the Grant No. EEQ/2016/000447. This grant was received by author¹.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Authors are declaring that there is no conflict of interest exists.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. Hence, it does not require any ethical approval.

References

- Bomblies K, Doebley JF (2006) Pleiotropic effects of the duplicate maize FLORICAULA/LEAFY genes zfl1 and zfl2 on traits under selection during maize domestication. Genetics 172:519–531 (PMID: 16204211)
- Bomblies K, Wang RL, Ambrose BA, Schmidt RJ, Meeley RB, Doebley J (2003) Duplicate FLORICA/LEAFY homologs zfl1 and zfl2 control inflorescence architecture and flower pattern in maize. Development 130:2385–2395
- Bommert P, Lunde C, Nardmann J, Vollbrecht E, Running M, Jackson D, Hake S, Werr W (2005) thick tassel dwarf1 encodes a putative maize ortholog of the Aspringdopsis CLAVATA1 leucine-rich repeat receptor like kinase. Development 132:1235–1245 (PMID: 15716347)
- Bommert P, Je BI, Goldshmidt A, Jackson D (2013a) The maize Gα gene COMPACT PLANT2 functions in CLAVATA signalling to control shoot meristem size. Nature 502:555–558. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature12583. (PMID: 24025774)
- Bommert P, Nagasawa NS, Jackson D (2013b) Quantitative variation in maize kernel row number is controlled by the FASCIATED EAR2 locus. Nat Genet 45(3):334–337. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ng.2534. (PMID: 23377180)
- Bortiri E, Chuck G, Vollbrecht E, Rocheford T, Martienssen R, Hake S (2006) ramosa2 encodes a lateral organ boundary domain protein that determines the fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell 18:574–585
- Brown PJ, Upadyayula N, Mahone GS, Tian F, Bradbury PJ et al (2011) Distinct genetic architectures for male and female inflorescence traits of maize. PLoS Genet 7(11):e1002383
- Calderón CI, Yandell BS, Doebley JF (2016) Fine mapping of a QTL associated with kernel row number on chromosome 1 of maize. PLoS ONE 11(3):e0150276
- Chuck G, Meeley RB, Hake S (1998) The control of maize spikelet meristem fate by the APETALA2-like gene indeterminate spikelet1. Genes Dev 12:1145–1154
- Chuck G, Cigan AM, Saeteurn K, Hake S (2007) The heterochronic maize mutant Corngrass1 results from overexpression of a tandem microRNA. Nat Genet 39(4):544–549 (**PMID: 17369828**)
- Chuck G, Whipple C, Jackson D, Hake S (2010) The maize SBPbox transcription factor encoded by tasselsheath4 regulates bract development and the establishment of meristem boundaries. Development 137:1243–1250. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 048348. (PMID: 20223762)
- Chuck GS, Brown PJ, Meeley R, Hake S (2014) Maize SBP-box transcription factors unbranched2 and unbranched3 affect yield traits by regulating the rate of lateral primordia initiation. Proc Natl

Acad Sci USA 111(52):18775–18780. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1407401112. (PMID: 25512525)

- Dass S, Kaul J, Manivannan A, Singode A, Chikkappa GK (2009) Single cross hybrid maize—A viable solution in the changing climate Scenario. Indian J Genet 69(4):331–334
- Gallavotti A, Long JA, Stanfield S, Yang X, Jackson D, Vollbrecht E, Schmidt RJ (2010) The control of axillary meristem fate in the maize ramosa pathway. Stem Cells Dev 2856:2849–2856
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S (1989) Applied Logistic Regression. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- Je BI, Gruel J, Lee YK, Bommert P, Arevalo ED, Eveland AL, Wu Q, Goldshmidt A, Meeley R, Bartlett M, Komatsu M, Sakai H, Jönsson H, Jackson D (2016) Signaling from maize organ primordia via FASCIATED EAR3 regulates stem cell proliferation and yield traits. Nature Genet 48(7):785–791
- Li M, Zhong W, Yang F, Zhang Z (2018) Genetic and molecular mechanisms of quantitative trait loci controlling maize inflorescence architecture. Plant Cell Physiol 59(3):448–457
- Liu L, Du Y, Shen X, Li M, Sun W, Huang J, Liu Z, Tao Y, Zheng Y, Yan J, Zhang Z (2015) KRN4 controls quantitative variation in maize kernel row number. PLoS Genet 11(11):e1005670. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005670
- Lu M, Xie C, Li X, Hao Z, Li M, Weng J, Zhang D, Bai L, Zhang S (2010) Mapping of quantitative trait loci for kernel row number in maize across seven environments. Mol Breed 28:143–152
- McSteen P (2006) Branching out: the ramosa pathway and the evolution of grass inflorescence morphology. Plant Cell 18(3):518–522 (PMID: 16513602)
- Messmer R, Fracheboud Y, Bänziger M, Vargas M, Stamp P, Ribaut JM (2009) Drought stress and tropical maize: QTL-by-environment interactions and stability of QTLs across environments for yield components and secondary traits. Theor Appl Genet 119(5):913–930
- Mukri G, Kumar R, Rajendran A, Kumar B, Hooda KS, Karjagi CG, Singh V, Jat SL, Das AK, Sekhar JC, Singh SB (2018) Strategic selection of white maize inbred lines for tropical adaptation and their utilization in developing stable, medium to long duration maize hybrids. Maydica 63:1–8
- Pautler M, Eveland AL, LaRue T, Yang F, Weeks R, Lunde C et al (2015) FASCIATED EAR4 encodes a bZIP transcription factor that regulates shoot meristem size in maize. Plant Cell 27:104– 120. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.132506
- Peng B, Li Y, Wang Y, Liu C, Liu Z, Tan W, Li Y (2011) QTL analysis for yield components and kernel-related traits in maize across multi-environments. Theor Appl Genet 122(7):1305–1320
- Rakshit S, Ganapathy KN, Gomashe SS, Rathore A, Ghorade RB, Nagesh Kumar MV, Ganesmurthy K, Jain SK, Kamtar MY, Sachan JS, Ambekar SS, Ranwa BR, Kanawade DG, Balusamy M, Kadam D, Sarkar A, Tonapi VA, Patil JV (2012) GGE biplot analysis to evaluate genotype, environment and their interactions in sorghum multi-location data. Euphytica 185:465–479
- Saghai-Maroof MA, Soliman KM, Jorgensen RA, Allard RW (1984) Ribosomal DNAsepacer-length polymorphism in barley: mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci 81:8014–8019
- Satoh-Nagasawa N, Nagasawa N, Malcomber S, Sakai H, Jackson D (2006) A trehalose metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. Nature 441:227–230
- Shen X, Zhao R, Liu L, Zhu C, Li M, Du H, Zhang Z (2019) Identification of a candidate gene underlying *qKRN5b* for kernel row number in *Zea mays* L. Theor Appl Genet 132:3439–3448
- Sigmon B, Vollbrecht E (2010) Evidence of selection at the ramosal locus during maize domestication. Mol Ecol 19:1296–1311
- Stuber CW, Edwards MD, Wendel JF (1987) Molecular marker facilitated investigations of quantitative trait loci in maize. II.

Factors influencing yield and its component traits. Crop Sci 27(4):639-648

- Taguchi-Shiobara F, Yuan Z, Hake S, Jackson D (2001) The *fascinated ear2* gene encodes a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein that regulates shoot meristem proliferation in maize. Genes Dev 15:2755–2766
- Toledo FHRB, Ramalho MAP, Abreu GB, de Souza JC (2011) Inheritance of kernel row number, a multicategorical threshold trait of maize ears. Genet Mol Res 10(3):2133–2139
- Upadyayula N, Silva HS, Bohn MO, Rocheford TR (2006) Genetic and QTL analysis of maize tassel and ear inflorescence architecture. Theor Appl Genet 112(4):592–606
- Veldboom LR, Lee M (1994) Molecular-marker-facilitated studies of morphological traits in maize. II: determination of QTLs for grainyield and yield components. Theor Appl Genet 89(4):451–458
- Weil CF, Monde R-A (2007) Induced mutations in maize. Israel J Plant Sci 55(2):183–190

- Wu X, Skirpan A, McSteen P (2009) Suppressor of sessile spikelets1 functions in the ramosa pathway controlling meristem determinacy in maize. Plant Physiol 149:205–219
- Xiao Y, Liu H, Wu L, Warburton M, Yan J (2017) Genome-wide association studies in maize: praise and stargaze. Mol Plant 10(3):359–374
- Yi Q, Liu Y, Hou X, Zhang X, Li H, Zhang J, Liu H, Hu Y, Yu G, Li Y, Wang Y, Huang Y (2019) Genetic dissection of yield-related traits and mid-parent heterosis for those traits in maize (*Zea* mays L.). BMC Plant Biol 19(1):392. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12870-019-2009-2

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.