EVALUATION OF PROMISING TOBACCO BREEDING LINES UNDER VERTISOLS

K. SARALA, A.I. NARAYANAN, T.G.K. MURTHY, R.V.S. RAO AND P. V. VENUGOPALA RAO

ICAR-Central Tobacco Research Institute, Rajahmundry-533 105, India.

(Received on 10th May, 2016 and accepted on 13th September, 2016)

The Flue-cured Virginia (FCV) tobacco grown in rainfed Vertisols of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana has its place in the international market as cheap neutral filler. The productivity of FCV tobacco under black soils is about 1700 kg/ha. In order to improve the productivity a breeding programme was under taken at Central Tobacco Research Institute (CTRI), Rajahmundry and many high yielding, TMV resistant and superior quality FCV tobacco lines were evolved. After checking the yield performance selected entries under row trial, nine breeding lines found promising. These selected nine lines were tested in a replicated trial along with three checks, Hema, Cy-79 and VT-1158 at Katheru farm during 2003-06. Pooled analysis of leaf yields over three seasons indicated significant differences for all the leaf yields. Lines Cy-159, Cy-163 and Cy-156 recorded significantly higher leaf yields over control, Hema and VT-1158. These lines recorded 8-11% increase in green leaf, 9-12% in cured leaf, 12-14% in bright leaf and 8-10% in grade index over VT 1158. The entries Cy-156, Cy-159, Cy-160, Cy-161, Cy-163, Ct-164 and Cy-165 found to be resistant to TMV under artificial conditions. Based on the superiority for yield and TMV resistance, the entries Cy-159, Cy-163 and Cy-156 were promoted for pre-release testing under AINP(T) trials.

INTRODUCTION

Flue Cured Virginia tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L) is an export oriented tobacco type grown mainly in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Telangana and Karnataka in both black and light textured soils. The FCV tobacco produced under black soils conditions in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana is used as neutral filler and blended with other tobacco in the preparation of cigarettes. The black soil grown tobacco is raised in the vertisols of Khammam, Karimnagar, Warangal, Krishna, Gunturu, Prakasam, Nellore, East and West Godavari districts under conserved soil moisture

condition. The productivity of black soil grown tobacco is around 1700 kg/ha (Tobacco Board, 2016). There is a need to increase the productivity of black soil tobacco from the present level to meet the ever increasing cost of production and to facilitate the release of additional land to nontobacco crops (Sarala et al., 2005). One approach to increase the productivity is through the cultivation of high yielding varieties having resistance to major biotic stresses affecting yields. As Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV) is a problem affecting yield and quality of tobacco grown in this area, the ICAR- Central Tobacco Research Institute is aiming to breed and release tobacco cultivars having higher yield, quality and TMV resistance for commercial cultivation under Vertisols. The Institute has developed number of breeding lines through conventional breeding utilizing suitable parents. Advanced breeding lines are being analysed in pre-release trials for the identification of superior entries for their further release as varieties. The present study concern with the evaluation of nine promising advanced breeding lines developed at the Institute in replicated trial under Vertisol conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seven crosses viz., Cy-79 x 1099/2/4, Cy-134 x 1099/2/4, Cy-134 x HMR, Cy-128 x HMR, CM-16 x Jayasri, CM-12 x Bhavya and PCT-17 x CM-16 were made in a hybridization programme during 1997-98 (Table 1). Further, selected progeny of CM-16 x Jayasri crossed with TMV resistant entry, HMR and promising selections from crosses, CM-12 x Bhavya and PCT-17 x CM-16 were crossed with high yielding and TMV resistant entry, 1099/2/4. All the resultant progenies were handled following pedigree methods of selection. After ascertaining the performance of stable derivatives in row trials, nine promising advanced selections viz., Cy-159, Cy-160, Cy-161, Cy-162, Cy-163, Cy-

164, Cy-156, Cy-165 and Cy-166 were identified for further evaluation. The selected entries were evaluated in RBD along with two check varieties viz., Hema and VT-1158 at CTRI farm, Katheru during three years 2003-04 to 2005-06 with three replications. Observations recorded on yield parameters viz., Green leaf, Cured leaf, Bright leaf yield and Grade index. The yield data was analyzed statistically (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Parameters of chemical quality viz., nicotine (%), reducing sugars (%) and chlorides (%) were also recorded. The entries were also screened for Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV) resistance under artificial conditions through sap inoculation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The parents involved in breeding the advanced breeding lines evaluated in the study are Cy-79, 1099/2/4, Cy-134, HMR, Cy-128, CM-16, Jayasri, CM-12, Bhavya and PCT-17 (Table 1). The entries 1099/2/4 and HMR, are TMV resistant entries and others are lines with high leaf yielding abilities. This indicates that the parents are ideally selected in breeding high yielding and TMV resistance cultivars.

The segregating generations were handled through pedigree method of breeding and stabilised lines are tested under row trials (data not presented). Based on the row trial performance, nine superior entries were selected and tested under replicated trial for three seasons for assessing their leaf yielding potentials under vertisols. Treatments differed significantly for leaf yields of all types except bright leaf in 2003-04 in different years and on pooled basis (Tables 2-6). Most of the times, Cy-159, Cy-163 and Cy-156 found to record superior yields among all the entries tested in all three years. Mean leaf yields are significantly higher over controls, Hema and VT-1158 in these three lines. Cy-156 recorded highest mean cured leaf yield (2234 kg/ha) among the entries and controls followed by Cy-163 (2219 kg/ha) and Cy-159 (2180 kg/ha). The same trend continued with respect to mean green leaf, bright leaf and grade index, also. These lines recorded 8-11% increase in mean green leaf (13969-14334 kg/ ha), 9-12% in cured leaf (2180-2234 kg/ha), 12-14% in bright leaf (1139-1163 kg/ha) and 8-10% in grade index (1718-1748 kg/ha) over VT 1158.

Seasons and seasons X entries interaction were significant for all the leaf types except for seasons X entries interaction of cured leaf. Mean leaf yields are higher during 2004-05 season (16131 kg/ha green leaf, 2420 cured leaf, 1435 bright leaf and 2043 grade index). All the leaf yields are significant in all the three seasons, except for bright leaf yield during 2003-04. The entry, Cy-163 recorded highest green leaf (17303 kg/ ha), cured leaf (2649) and, bright leaf (1574) yields and Cy-156 highest grade Index (2233) during 2004-05.

Nicotine ranged from 2.23 to 3.12% and the reducing sugars ranged from 7.11 to 12.57%. The chloride ranged from 0.78 to 1.42% (Table 7). All these parameters are found to be in acceptable limits (Deo Singh *et al.*, 2003). The entries Cy-156, Cy-159, Cy-160, Cy-161, Cy-163 Cy-164 and Cy-165, found to be resistant to TMV when screened under artificial condition along with control, VT-1158 which is TMV resistant one (Table 8).

Based on the performance of the selections over three years, the selections, Cy-156, Cy-163 and Cy-159 with significantly superior mean yields of all kinds and TMV resistance were identified as better entries and proposed for testing in the Initial Varietal Trial (IVT) under All India Network Project on Tobacco (AINPT). Multi-location testing under AINPT is mandatory for release of any crop variety. Once the superiority of these lines is proved in AINPT trials, these entries can be released for commercial cultivation under vertisols. Further, these selections can also be utilised as parents in the breeding programmes aimed at higher leaf yields and resistance for TMV infection.

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

The authors express thanks to the Director, CTRI, Rajahmundry for the constant encouragement and facilities provided during the course of investigation.

REFERENCES

Deo Singh, K., P. S. R. Harishu Kumar, T. Prabhu, V. Krishna Murthy and Y.V. Suryanarayana. 2003. Quality characteristics of Indian tobacco types. **Tech. Bull.**, CTRI, Rajamundry, p 24.

94 SARALA ET AL.,

Gomez, A.K. and A. A. Gomez. 1984. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 680 p.

Sarala, K., K. Nagarajan, N.S. Murthy, M. Anuradha, K. Siva Raju, H. Ravisanker, A.I.

Narayanan and G.S.B. Prasannasimha Rao. 2005. Yield improvement in black soil viginia tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). **Indian J. Genet**. 65(1): 33-36.

Tobacco Board. 2016. http://tobaccoboard.com/soiltypes.php

Table 1: Parentage of breeding lines

| S. No. | Breeding line | Parentage* |
|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | (CM-16 x Jayasri) X HMR |
| 2 | Cy-159 | Cy 79 x 1099/2/4 |
| 3 | Cy-160 | Cy 134 x 1099/2/4 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | Cy 134 x HMR |
| 5 | Cy-162 | Cy 134 x HMR |
| 6 | Cy-163 | Cy 134 x HMR |
| 7 | Cy-164 | Cy 128 x HMR |
| 8 | Cy-165 | (CM-12 x Bhavya) X 1099/2/4 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | (PCT17 x CM-16) X 1099/2/4 |

^{*} 1099/2/4 and HMR are TMV resistant entries

Table 2: Leaf yields of breeding lines under replicated trial: Pooled (kg/ha) (2003-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | Greenleaf | Curedleaf | Brightleaf | GradeIndex |
|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 14334**(11) | 2234**(12) | 1163**(14) | 1748**(10) |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 13969**(8) | 2180**(9) | 1148**(12) | 1718**(8) |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 11651 | 1844 | 949 | 1447 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 13367* | 2096* | 1089* | 1653* |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 11005 | 1740 | 932 | 1379 |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 14159**(9) | 2219**(11) | 1139**(12) | 1732**(9) |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 12585 | 1978 | 1031 | 1554 |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 12679 | 1968 | 1038 | 1546 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 13387* | 2102* | 1089* | 1661* |
| 10 | Hema | 12357 | 1888 | 962 | 1483 |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 12936 | 2001 | 1027 | 1588 |
| Mean | | 12980 | 2027 | 1056 | 1595 |
| S. Em. | | 351 | 54 | 34 | 45 |
| CD at 5 | 5% | 972 | 150 | 93 | 125 |
| C.V.% | | 8.10 | 8.04 | 9.56 | 8.47 |
| Season | s | | | | |
| 2003-0 | 4 | 12998 | 2026 | 842 | 1472 |
| 2004-0 | 5 | 16131 | 2420 | 1435 | 2043 |
| 2005-0 | 6 | 9812 | 1634 | 888 | 1271 |
| S. E. M | | 200 | 39 | 25 | 30 |
| CD at 5 | 5% | 690 | 136 | 86 | 103 |
| C.V.% | | 9.22 | 11.59 | 14.09 | 11.15 |
| Season | s X Entries | | | | |
| S. E. M | | 607 | 94 | 58 | 78 |
| CD at 5 | 5% | 1683 | - | 161 | 216 |

^{*} Significantly superior over Hema.

Figures in the parentheses are percent increase over VT 1158.

^{**} Significantly superior over VT 1158.

96 SARALA ET AL.,

Table 3: Green leaf yields of breeding lines under replicated trial Pooled (Kg/ha) (2003-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean |
|--------|------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 14028 | 17226 | 11748** | 14334**(11) |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 15017* | 16840 | 10050 | 13969**(8) |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 11823 | 15085 | 8044 | 11651 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 13576 | 16339 | 10185 | 13367* |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 11719 | 12809 | 8488 | 11005 |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 14410 | 17303 | 10764* | 14159**(9) |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 12118 | 16801 | 8835 | 12585 |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 11649 | 16319 | 10069 | 12679 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 11823 | 16628 | 11709** | 13387* |
| 10 | Hema | 12708 | 15721 | 8642 | 12357 |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 13403 | 15818 | 9587 | 12936 |
| | Mean | 12998 | 16131 | 9812 | 12980 |
| | S. Em. | 462 | 773 | 543 | 351 |
| | CD AT 5% | 1354 | 2268 | 1592 | 972 |
| | C.V.% | 6.15 | 8.30 | 9.58 | 8.10 |

^{*} Significantly superior over Hema.

Figures in the parentheses are percent increase over VT 1158.

Table 4: Cured leaf yields of breeding lines under replicated trial Pooled (Kg/ha) (2003-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean |
|--------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 2174 | 2647** | 1881** | 2234**(12) |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 2319 | 2542 | 1680 | 2180**(9) |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 1883 | 2245 | 1404 | 1844 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 2121 | 2450 | 1719* | 2096* |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 1845 | 1960 | 1431 | 1740 |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 2227 | 2649** | 1782* | 2219**(11) |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 1920 | 2523 | 1491 | 1978 |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 1791 | 2452 | 1661 | 1968 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 1875 | 2508 | 1923** | 2102* |
| 10 | Hema | 1970 | 2265 | 1429 | 1888 |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 2090 | 2297 | 1615 | 2001 |
| | Mean | 2027 | 2420 | 1634 | 2027 |
| | S. Em. | 79 | 112 | 88 | 54 |
| | CD AT 5% | 232 | 328 | 259 | 150 |
| | C.V.% | 6.75 | 8.00 | 9.35 | 11.59 |

^{*} Significantly superior over Hema.

Figures in the parentheses are percent increase over VT 1158.

^{**} Significantly superior over VT 1158.

^{**} Significantly superior over VT 1158.

Table 5: Bright leaf yields of breeding lines under replicated trial Pooled (Kg/ha) (2003-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean |
|--------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 865 | 1563* | 1061** | 1163**(14) |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 1020 | 1491 | 934* | 1148**(12) |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 731 | 1335 | 781 | 949 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 867 | 1454 | 945* | 1089* |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 836 | 1167 | 792 | 932 |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 876 | 1574* | 968* | 1139**(12) |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 826 | 1501 | 766 | 1031 |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 789 | 1445 | 880 | 1038 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 736 | 1483 | 1047** | 1089* |
| 10 | Hema | 759 | 1335 | 793 | 962 |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 871 | 1379 | 831 | 1021 |
| | Mean | 842 | 1435 | 890 | 1055 |
| | S. Em. | 62 | 68 | 41 | 34 |
| | CD AT 5% | _ | 201 | 121 | 93 |
| | C.V.% | 12.69 | 8.25 | 8.04 | 9.56 |

^{*} Significantly superior over Hema.

Figures in the parentheses are percent increase over VT 1158.

Table 6: Grade Index values of breeding lines under replicated trial Pooled (Kg/ha) (2003-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean |
|--------|------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 1484 | 2233* | 1527** | 1748**(10) |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 1697 | 2139 | 1318* | 1718**(8) |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 1334 | 1890 | 1118 | 1447 |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 1549 | 2065 | 1345* | 1653* |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 1371 | 1650 | 1117 | 1379 |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 1565 | 2228* | 1404* | 1732**(9) |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 1394 | 2133 | 1135 | 1554 |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 1323 | 2065 | 1250 | 1546 |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 1362 | 2111 | 1509** | 1661* |
| 10 | Hema | 1427 | 1924 | 1099 | 1483 |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 1592 | 1960 | 1210 | 1588 |
| | Mean | 1472 | 2043 | 1271 | 1595 |
| | S. Em. | 66 | 97 | 67 | 45 |
| | CD AT 5% | 194 | 283 | 198 | 125 |
| | C.V.% | 7.79 | 8.19 | 9.19 | 8.47 |

^{*} Significantly superior over Hema.

Figures in the parentheses are percent increase over VT 1158.

^{**} Significantly superior over VT 1158.

^{**} Significantly superior over VT 1158.

98 SARALA ET AL..

Table7: Chemical quality characteristics (%) of the advance breeding lines (2005-06)

| S. No. | Line/Entry | Nicotine | Reducing Sugars | Chlorides | |
|--------|------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--|
| 1 | Cy-156 | 2.82 | 10.91 | 1.41 | |
| 2 | Cy-159 | 3.12 | 7.11 | 1.09 | |
| 3 | Cy-160 | 2.28 | 12.57 | 1.11 | |
| 4 | Cy-161 | 2.76 | 9.37 | 1.37 | |
| 5 | Cy-162 | 2.37 | 12.11 | 1.08 | |
| 6 | Cy-163 | 2.48 | 9.78 | 1.40 | |
| 7 | Cy-164 | 2.49 | 9.37 | 1.42 | |
| 8 | Cy-165 | 2.23 | 8.96 | 1.16 | |
| 9 | Cy-166 | 2.76 | 10.30 | 0.79 | |
| 10 | Hema | 2.76 | 11.39 | 0.78 | |
| 11 | VT-1158 | 2.42 | 8.71 | 1.16 | |

Table 8: Screening of breeding lines against TMV (2005-06)

| S.No. | ENTRY | TMV reaction | |
|-------|---------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Cy-156 | R | |
| 2 | Cy-159 | R | |
| 3 | Cy-160 | R | |
| 4 | Cy-161 | R | |
| 5 | Cy-162 | S | |
| 6 | Cy-163 | R | |
| 7 | Cy-164 | R | |
| 8 | Cy-165 | R | |
| 9 | Cy-166 | S | |
| 10 | Hema | S | |
| 11 | VT-1158 | R | |

Figure 1: Performance of superior breeding lines for leaf yields compared to controls

