FEASIBILITY AND ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF INTERCROPPING IN FCV TOBACCO UNDER IRRIGATED ALFISOLS IN ANDHRA PRADESH

S. V. KRISHNA REDDY, S. KASTURI KRISHNA, C. CHANDRA SEKHARA RAO AND K. SIVARAJU

ICAR-Central Tobacco Research Institute, Rajahmundry, Andhra Pradesh -533105

(Received on 22th August, 2016 and accepted on 17th December, 2016)

Field experiment was conducted in tobacco based intercropping system at ICAR-CTRI RS, Jeelugumilli, Andhra Pradesh during Rabi season 2005-06 and 2006-2007 in Alfisols under irrigated upland ecosystem The results revealed that tobacco green leaf yield with the intercrops tested was significantly higher than sole tobacco except with radish. Total productivity of tobacco with different intercrops was rather improved as compared to sole tobacco. Garlic and coriander intercrops had complementary effect on base crop tobacco and the cured leaf yield of tobacco with garlic and coriander was higher by 217 (9.36) and 162 kg/ha (6.99%) respectively, as compared to that of sole tobacco (2318 kg/ha). Though there were significant differences in tobacco lamina quality characters due to different intercrops all the values were within the acceptable range. The intercropping system tobacco + amaranthus recorded maximum net returns of Rs 1,26,788/ha and benefit: cost ratio of 1.48 and there was 51.94% percent increase of net returns over sole tobacco. The other remunerative intercropping systems were tobacco + garlic followed by tobacco + fenugreek and tobacco + radish with a net returns of Rs 1,25,658, Rs 1,16,583 and Rs 1,14,700 and benefit: cost ratio of 1.46, 1.43 and 1.43, respectively. All the tobacco based intercropping systems showed net returns of >Rs 1,03,918 and benefit: cost ratio of >1.39 while sole tobacco recorded lower values of net returns (Rs 83,448) and B: C ratio (1.36). It can be concluded that component crops viz., amaranthus, spinach, fenugreek, coriander, carrot, onion, garlic and radish can be grown successfully without much competition to tobacco in between two paired rows of tobacco. Thus, in the long run the farmers in irrigated Alfisols under Northern Light Soils in East and West Godavari (dt) of AP and Khammam (dt) of Telangana may choose a system that gives maximum net returns as per the prevailing market demand taking into consideration the incidence of insect pests and diseases as well as soil health.

Key words : Intercropping, irrigated alfisols, economic viability,

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco is an important commercial crop which is traded for its quality leaves and quoted as golden leaf in the world market (Singh et al., 1998). It contains several alkaloids including nicotine, which can be used in a variety of ways including medical and agricultural pest management. It can be grown under wide range of climatic and soil conditions which play a crucial role in Indian economy in general and Andhra Pradesh in particular. This crop is a remunerative rabi cash crop of irrigated uplands of East Godavari, West Godavari districts of Andhra Pradesh and Khammam district of Telangana. It is cultivated with wider spacing (100 X 60 cm) under irrigated conditions (17,776 ha with a production of 39.54 million kg of semi flavourful leaf per annum) in NLS area (Tobacco Board, 2016-17). During the initial period of tobacco growth the crop canopy is less, allowing sufficient sunlight to reach the soil surface between the rows which facilitates growing short duration intercrops in between the rows of tobacco.

The arable land is one of the precious and scarce resources. So, among the options to increase productivity from unit land area, increasing the cropping intensity and efficient utilization of available resources seems a more feasible proposition rather than increasing area under cultivation. Intercropping is one of the viable agronomic practices for stepping up the productivity of a system from a unit area during the cropping period. The productivity of a cropping system is not only governed by the inputs applied to the crop but also by the harmony between the crops grown in association or in sequence. Hence, there is a need to bring in sustainability both in productivity and in the economy of the Indian farmers. Now-a-days with improved crop management practices and availability of high vielding, short duration, photo and thermo insensitive varieties of different crops there is ample scope for developing tobacco based cropping systems. As there is plasticity of response of these varieties to different populations and planting patterns, in addition to the full yield of the base crop tobacco, some additional yield from the intercrop also can be obtained. Yield increase due to complimentary crops, improvement of soil fertility, maximum utilization of labour over a period of time and minimal damage caused by weeds, insect pests and diseases are the possible benefits of intercropping (Willey, 1979). The intercropping in paired-row planting helps to maintain full population of main crop and harness the maximum yield advantage in intercropping system (Sarkar and Chakraborty, 1999). Since growth pattern of component crops differ, the nutrient and moisture depletion patterns occur at varying soil depths which is an important factor for efficient use of growth resources and increase in yield of each crop. It is possible to introduce and include the short duration varieties in the intercropping to scale up the economic status of tobacco growers in this tract of AP. The present study was taken up with a view to explore the feasibility of growing compatible and remunerative intercrops having less competition with the base crop FCV tobacco cv. Kanchan for enhancing the productivity per unit area thereby increasing the profitability of the tobacco farming community as a whole under irrigated Alfisols (popularly known as Northern Light Soils) conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted for two years during *Rabi* season of 2005-06 and 2006-07 at the ICAR-CTRI Research Station, Jeelugumilli, (17 11' 30" N and 81 07' 50" E at 150 m above mean sea-level), West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh under semi arid tropical climate in northern light soils (alfisols) under irrigated upland ecosystem. The experimental soil is slightly acidic in reaction (pH 6.0 to 6.6) with low soluble salts (0.16 to 0.20 dS/m), chlorides (23 to 26 ppm) and nitrogen (130 to 138 kg/ha), available potassium (72 to 80 kg/ha) and medium with respect to P (20 to 24 kg/ha) in surface layers. The experiment consisted of 9 treatments arranged in randomized block design with four replications. The treatments include sole tobacco in normal planting and 8 intercrops in between two paired rows of FCV tobacco. The intercrops tested were leafy vegetables (spinach, amaranthus, fenugreek and coriander) for culinary purpose, and other tuber crops *viz.*, carrot, radish, onion and garlic.

The gross plot size was 6 X 6 m (60 plants) and net plot size was 4.0 X 4.8m (32 plants). For intercropping treatments, tobacco was planted in paired rows maintaining a spacing of 60 cm within a pair of tobacco rows and 140 cm between two pairs of tobacco rows. Three rows of intercrops (component crops) were sown between two pairs of tobacco rows. FCV tobacco variety Kanchan was used as the base crop. Healthy tobacco seedlings of 60 days old were planted in the first week of October. Intercrops were also sown/ planted along with tobacco. The sole tobacco and tobacco intercropped with other component crops received 115 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 + 120 kg K_2O /ha. The popular and local varieties of intercrops were sown. In intercropping system the component crops received fertilizer proportionate to the plant density as per the recommendations of ANGRAU. Recommended cultural practices were followed for raising the base crop tobacco and different component crops. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected at 45 days after planting between base crop tobacco and inter crop rows and in between the rows of intercrops, and soil enzymes alkaline phosphatase (ig p-nitrophenol/g/soil/h) and dehydrogenase (change in OD at 485 nm) were assessed. Weather parameters including rainfall were almost favourable during both the years and the role of rainfall was negligible as the crop was raised during winter under assured irrigation.

The tobacco crop was topped at 24 leaves at bud stage. Decanol 4% was applied @ 10-15 ml/ plant immediately after topping for preventing sucker growth. The first priming was done 90 days after planting. Mature green leaves were harvested by priming and cured in the barn. The data on tobacco green leaf and cured leaf were recorded and grade index was calculated (Gopalachari, 1984). The cured leaf samples collected from P, X, L and T positions were analysed for chemical quality characters (reducing sugars, nicotine, and chlorides) as per the standard procedures. The data were statistically analysed and results of individual years and pooled analysis were presented. The yield of intercrops was recorded and expressed in kg/ ha. Radish yield was expressed as the number of tubers/ha. The yield of each system was computed and assessed for their relative performance and economic returns were calculated for pooled yield data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Base crop yield: Various intercrops by and large influenced the growth and development of tobacco in different magnitudes (Table 1). Green leaf yield of tobacco showed significant differences between the treatments during 2005-06 and in pooled data. During the first year, green leaf yield of tobacco with intercrops of spinach, fenugreek, coriander, carrot, onion and garlic were significantly higher than sole tobacco. The green leaf yield of tobacco with intercrops of amaranthus and radish were on a par with sole tobacco. In pooled data also tobacco green leaf yield with all the intercrops was significantly higher than sole tobacco except with radish where it was on a par with sole tobacco. There were no significant differences between the treatments with regard to cured leaf yield and grade index. However, the pooled cured leaf yield of tobacco with garlic and coriander was higher by 217 (9.36) and 162 kg/ ha (6.99%) respectively, as compared to that of sole tobacco. Garlic and coriander intercrops had complementary effect on base crop tobacco as their association improved the cured leaf yield of tobacco. The increase in cured leaf yield of tobacco with garlic intercropping was attributed to the lower canopy structure of garlic as compared to other intercrops. Increased tobacco cured leaf yield with garlic intercropping was also reported by Singh et al. (1998), Singh (2010) and Singh et al., (2013). The lowest numerical value of cured leaf and grade index were recorded in tobacco intercropped with radish. Total productivity of tobacco with different intercrops was rather improved as compared to sole tobacco. This might be mainly due to the fact that intercropped plots were given more no. of irrigations at early stages of growth and partly due to the availability of more nutrients from intercrops. The tobacco yields of green leaf, cured leaf and grade index were higher during the first year compared to second year.

Intercrops yield: Among the green leafy vegetables (Table 2), amaranthus performed well and produced relatively higher yields (4706 kg/ha) followed by spinach (4342 kg/ha). Among the tuber crops, radish produced on an average 22539 tubers/ha. Among other tuber crops, carrot recorded higher yields followed by onion and garlic. The yields of different intercrops grown with tobacco were relatively lower as compared to their potential yield as sole crops. The reduction in the yields of intercrops may be attributed to their reduced plant population rather than depression effects expected on account of competition between base crop and intercrop components. Competition among base crop and intercrop components for solar radiation, nutrients and moisture might have also contributed to some extent. However, the intercrops performed well and the yields of intercrops were relatively higher during the second year. Beneficial effects of intercropping have also been reported by Singh et al., (1998), Singh, (2010) and Singh et al., (2013).

Tobacco leaf chemical quality: Tobacco is one of the few crops cultivated for its quality leaves having good taste, aroma and balanced chemical quality parameters. Nicotine, reducing sugars and chlorides in leaf are important quality parameters influenced by different intercrops (Table 3). In general, mean nicotine concentration increased from P to T position, while mean reducing sugars increased from P to L position and thereafter decreased in T position. The increase in nicotine content from P to T position is due to the fact that the nicotine is synthesized in the roots and its rate of synthesis is accelerated after the plants are topped. Nicotine is concentrated in the remaining tissues after the tobacco is topped and desuckered. Thus, the degree of nicotine accumulation is directly related to the duration the leaves remain on the plants after topping. As the FCV tobacco in irrigated Alfisols is topped and complete sucker control is practiced, top leaves at the tip of the plant remain for a longer period on the plant and leading to an increase in the nicotine concentration from P to T position (with increase in stalk position) (Collins and Hawks, 1993, Krishna Reddy et al., 2009).

| NLS) |
|-----------------------|
| Ξ |
| S |
| 20 |
| IĤ |
| |
| ed |
| at |
| je. |
| |
| in irrig |
| 50 |
| ii |
| dd |
| 0 L |
| S |
| te |
| i. |
| based intercro |
| J SC |
| o bâ |
| ced by tobacco |
| ğ |
| ĝ |
| Ĕ |
| d by tobac |
| D |
| ຍິ |
| en |
| Ju |
| E |
| ŝ |
| o as influ |
| acco as influenced by |
| ac |
| 10 |
| ft |
| qo |
| ield |
| : Yield |
| <u>.</u> |
| ble1 |
| . ap |

| Treatments | Green | Green leaf yield (kg/ha) | kg/ha) | Cured le | Cured leaf yield (kg/ha) | g/ha) | Grad | Grade index (kg/ha) | kg/ha) |
|------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
| | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | Pooled | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | Pooled | 2005-06 2006-07 | 2006-07 | Pooled |
| Tobacco + Spinach/ Palak | 20182 | 15269 | 17726 | 2575 | 2125 | 2350 | 1590 | 1248 | 1419 |
| Tobacco + Amaranthus | 18799 | 14169 | 16480 | 2590 | 2145 | 2368 | 1546 | 1212 | 1379 |
| Tobacco + Fenugreek (Menthi) | 19502 | 14639 | 17071 | 2675 | 2105 | 2440 | 1546 | 1205 | 1376 |
| Tobacco + Coriander | 19760 | 14753 | 17256 | 2725 | 2135 | 2480 | 1616 | 1256 | 1436 |
| (for culinary purpose) | | | | | | | | | |
| Tobacco + Carrot | 19187 | 14455 | 16821 | 2579 | 2129 | 2354 | 1554 | 1221 | 1387 |
| Tobacco + Radish | 18052 | 13551 | 15801 | 2525 | 2085 | 2305 | 1535 | 1207 | 1371 |
| Tobacco + Onion | 19334 | 14448 | 16891 | 2700 | 2220 | 2460 | 1585 | 1234 | 1409 |
| Tobacco + Garlic | 19757 | 14721 | 17239 | 2775 | 2275 | 2525 | 1609 | 1252 | 1431 |
| Sole tobacco | 17495 | 13346 | 15420 | 2540 | 2095 | 2318 | 1649 | 1297 | 1473 |
| SEm ± | | 535 | 512 | 370 | 68.84 | 72.43 | 50 | 44.86 | 42.59 |
| 31 | | | | | | | | | |
| CD (P=0.05) | | 1562 | NS | 1026 | SN | NS | SN | NS | NS |
| NS | | | | | | | | | |
| Seasons | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005-06 | | | 19119 | | | 2632 | | | 1581 |
| 2006-07 | | | 14372 | | | 2168 | | | 1237 |
| SEm ± | | | 111 | | | 16 | | | 00 |
| CD (P=0.05) | | | 383 | | | 55 | | | 28 |

KRISHNA REDDY ET AL.,

| Treatments | | Yield (kg/ha) | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | Pooled |
| Tobacco + Spinach/ palak | 3959 | 4724 | 4342 |
| Tobacco + Amaranthus | 5274 | 4137 | 4706 |
| Tobacco + Fenugreek (Menthi) | 2520 | 3267 | 3787 |
| Tobacco + Coriander (for culinary purpose | 3253 | 3157 | 3205 |
| Tobacco + Carrot | 3250 | 4050 | 3650 |
| Tobacco + Radish | 22020* | 23058* | 22539* |
| Tobacco + Onion | 3478 | 3633 | 3556 |
| Tobacco+ Garlic | 6525 | 2289 | 2407 |
| Sole tobacco (cured leaf yield) | 2540 | 2095 | 2318 |
| | *No of radish tuber | ŝ | |

Table 2: Yield of intercrops as influenced by tobacco based inter ropping in irrigated Alfisols

Table 3: Chemical quality characters nicotine, reducing sugars and chlorides as influenced by
tobacco based intercropping in irrigated Alfisols (Pooled)

| Treatments | | Nicot | ine (% | b) |] | Reduci | ng suga | rs | C | hloric | les (% |) |
|------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|---------|-------|------|--------|----------------|------|
| | Р | Х | L | Т | Р | х | L | Т | Р | Х | L | Т |
| Tobacco + Spinach/ palak | 1.81 | 2.12 | 2.50 | 2.73 | 9.29 | 11.80 | 12.64 | 9.26 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.62 |
| Tobacco + Amaranthus | 1.84 | 2.14 | 2.51 | 2.74 | 9.10 | 11.48 | 12.49 | 9.08 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.63 |
| Tobacco + Fenugreek (Menthi) | 1.88 | 2.29 | 2.73 | 2.91 | 9.36 | 11.78 | 12.57 | 9.30 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.64 |
| Tobacco + Coriander | 1.84 | 2.16 | 2.53 | 2.78 | 9.01 | 11.48 | 12.38 | 9.02 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Tobacco + Carrot | 1.73 | 2.12 | 2.42 | 2.68 | 9.38 | 11.83 | 12.64 | 9.32 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.62 |
| Tobacco + Radish | 1.69 | 2.04 | 2.34 | 2.58 | 9.63 | 12.12 | 12.92 | 9.67 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.64 |
| Tobacco + Onion | 1.97 | 2.34 | 2.78 | 3.07 | 8.96 | 11.32 | 12.40 | 8.93 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.60 |
| Tobacco + Garlic | 2.04 | 2.41 | 2.78 | 3.09 | 8.80 | 11.11 | 12.72 | 8.83 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.58 |
| Sole tobacco | 1.69 | 2.03 | 2.31 | 2.55 | 10.01 | 12.46 | 13.20 | 9.91 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.58 |
| SEm ± | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 1.41 | 1.55 | 1.60 | 1.33 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Seasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005-06 | 1.96 | 2.32 | 2.69 | 2.93 | 8.99 | 10.02 | 11.23 | 7.93 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.60 |
| 2006-07 | 1.70 | 2.04 | 2.40 | 2.65 | 9.57 | 13.40 | 14.09 | 10.58 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.62 |
| SEm ± | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.48 | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Higher values of nicotine in tobacco leaf lamina in P, X, L and T positions were recorded with intercrop of garlic followed by onion, fenugreek and amaranthus. Sole tobacco recorded the lowest values of nicotine as compared to tobacco intercropped with other crops. Higher values of reducing sugars were recorded in sole tobacco followed by tobacco intercropped with radish, carrot and fenugreek. Higher values of nicotine are always associated with lower values of reducing sugars. Chlorides are well within the acceptable limits of good quality (<1.5%). Though there were significant differences in lamina quality characters of intercropped tobacco, all the values were within the acceptable range. These results are in conformity with the findings of Krishna Reddy et al., (2009) and Kasturi Krishna et al., (2016).

Rhizosphere enzyme activity: The rhizosphere enzyme activities of alkaline phosphatase (µg pnitrophenol/g soil/h) and dehydrogenase (Change in OD at 485 nm) were found to be higher in fenugreek + tobacco and carrot + tobacco where as low values were observed in spinach + tobacco (Table 4). A significant correlation was noticed between the depletion of organic P and phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere soil of wheat and clover. The dehydrogenase (DHA) is one such enzyme which reflects the total range of oxidative activity of soil microflora and imparts good knowledge about the soil fertility status. It is known to oxidize soil organic matter (SOM) by transferring protons and electrons from substrates to acceptors. These processes form part of respiration pathways of soil microbes and depend on the type of soil and soil air-water conditions (Kandeler *et al.*, 1996 and Sengupta *et al.*, 2016).

Economic analysis: Economics of different tobacco based intercropping systems worked out on the basis of input, output and prevailing market prices reveal wide variation in net monetary return as well as benefit: cost ratio (Table 5). The intercropping system tobacco + amaranthus recorded maximum net returns of Rs 1,26,788/ ha and benefit: cost ratio of 1.48 and the percent

| Treatments | Alkaline phosphatase activity (μg p-nitrophenol/ g soil/h) | Dehydrogenase activity (Change in OD at 485 nm) |
|---|--|---|
| Spinach/ palak | 20.78 | 0.210 |
| Tobacco + Spinach/ palak | 20.78 | 0.224 |
| Amaranthus | 28.26 | 0.266 |
| Tobacco + Amaranthus | 22.86 | 0.205 |
| Fenugreek (Menthi) | 29.73 | 0.312 |
| Tobacco + Fenugreek (Menthi) | 34.34 | 0.368 |
| Coriander (for culinary purpose | 30.43 | 0.402 |
| Tobacco + Coriander (for culinary purpose | 33.47 | 0.412 |
| Carrot | 24.34 | 0.245 |
| Tobacco + Carrot | 35.7 | 0.298 |
| Radish | 28.17 | 0.241 |
| Tobacco + Radish | 24.08 | 0.206 |
| Carrot | 23.54 | 0.241 |
| Tobacco + Carrot | 24.58 | 0.251 |
| Radish | 28.32 | 0.248 |
| Tobacco + Radish | 30.45 | 0.251 |

Table 4: Effect of intercropping on rhizosphere soil enzymes activity as influenced by tobacco based intercropping in irrigated Alfisols (NLS)

Table 5. Economics as influenced by tobacco based inter cropping in irrigated Alfisols (Based on pooled yield data)

| ŝ | Treatments | Yield | Yield (kg/ha) | Cost of c | Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha) | ts/ha) | Gross r | Gross returns (Rs /ha) | /ha) | Net | B:C |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| No. | | | | | | | | | | returns (Rs/ha) | ratio |
| 1 | | Tobacco | Intercrop | Tobacco | Tobacco Intercrop | Total | Tobacco | Tobacco Intercrop | Total | | |
| | | cured leaf | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tobacco + Spinach/ Palak | 2350 | 4342 | 230442 | 36000 | 266442 | 317250 | 65130 | 382380 | 115938 | 1.44 |
| | Tobacco + Amaranthus | 2368 | 4706 | 230982 | 32500 | 263482 | 319680 | 70590 | 390270 | 126788 | 1.48 |
| | Tobacco + Fenugreek | 2440 | 3787 | 233142 | 36500 | 269642 | 329400 | 56805 | 386205 | 116563 | 1.43 |
| | Tobacco + Coriander | 2480 | 3205 | 234342 | 38000 | 272342 | 334800 | 48075 | 382875 | 110533 | 1.41 |
| ы. С | Tobacco + Carrot | 2354 | 3650 | 230562 | 37500 | 268062 | 317790 | 54750 | 372540 | 104478 | 1.39 |
| 6. | Tobacco + Radish | 2305 | 22539^{*} | 229092 | 35000 | 264092 | 311175 | 67617 | 378792 | 114700 | 1.43 |
| | Tobacco + Onion | 2460 | 3556 | 233742 | 30000 | 263742 | 332100 | 35560 | 367660 | 103918 | 1.39 |
| ø. | Tobacco + Garlic | 2525 | 2407 | 235692 | 39000 | 275392 | 340875 | 60175 | 401050 | 125658 | 1.46 |
| 9. | Sole tobacco | 2318 | I | 229482 | | 229482 | 312930 | Ι | 312930 | 83448 | 1.36 |
| | | | *no. of tubers | | | | | | | | |

Note ** CLY used for calculating economic returns; curing cost of FCV tobacco above sole crop yield of 2318 kg/ha is Rs 30/kg; sale price/kg of tobacco = Rs 135/kg; sale price/kg of intercrops - spinach = Rs 15; amaranthus = Rs 15; fenugreek = Rs 15; coriander = Rs 15; carrot = Rs 15; onion = Rs 10 and garlic = Rs 25; radish = Rs 3/one tuber;

increase of net returns over sole tobacco was 51.94%. The other remunerative intercropping systems were tobacco + garlic followed by tobacco + fenugreek and tobacco + radish with a net returns of Rs 1,25,658, Rs 1,16,583 and Rs 1,14,700 and benefit: cost ratio of 1.46, 1.43 and 1.43, respectively. These results corroborate with the findings of Singh *et al.*, (1998), Singh *et al.*, (2000), Singh *et al.*, (2013) and Shivayogi *et al.*, 2015. All the tobacco based intercropping systems showed a net returns of >Rs 1,03,918 and benefit: cost ratio of >1.39 while tobacco recorded net returns of Rs 83,448 and B: C ratio of 1.36.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that component inter crops *viz.*, amaranthus, spinach, fenugreek, coriander, carrot, onion, garlic and radish can be grown successfully as intercrops in between two paired rows of tobacco without much competition to tobacco with enhanced growth and higher yields thereby providing higher monetary returns to the farmers. Thus, in the long run the farmers in irrigated Alfisols under Northern Light Soils in East and West Godavari (dt) of AP and Khammam (dt) of Telangana may choose a system that gives maximum net returns as per the prevailing market demand taking into consideration the incidence of insect pests and diseases as well as soil health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are highly thankful to Drs K. Deo Singh and V. Krishnamurthy (Ex Directors) and Dr D. Damodar Reddy Director ICAR- Central Tobacco Research Institute, Rajahmundry for providing necessary facilities, valuable suggestions and encouragement during the course of investigation.

REFERENCES

- Collins W. K. and Jr. S.N. Hawks. 1993. *Principles* of *Flue cured Tobacco Production*, P 31-33, 116. NC State University, Raleigh, NC USA.
- Gopalachari, N.C. 1984. Grade index for FCV tobacco. **Indian Tob. J.** 16(2): 13.

- Kandeler, E. 1996. Nitrate. In: Schinner F, Öhlinger R, Kandeler E, Margesin R (eds). Methods in Soil Biology. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York. pp. 408-410.
- Kasturi Krishna, S., S. V. Krishna Reddy, V. Krishnamurthy, C. Chandrasekhara Rao, and M. Anuradha. 2016. Effect of N and K levels on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of FCV tobacco cv. Kanchan. Indian J. Agricl. Sciences 86 (5): 692-696.
- Krishna Reddy, S.V., S. Kasturi Krishna, K. Deo Singh, P. Harishu Kumar and V. Krishnamurty. 2009. Integrated nutrient management in FCV tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) in irrigated Alfisols. **Indian J. Agron.** 54(1):74-79.
- Sarkar, R.K. and A. Chakraborty. 1999. Production potential and economic feasibility of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) based intercropping systems under different seeding methods in rice fallow land. **Indian J. Agron.** 44(2): 275-80.
- Sengupta C, R. Saha and R.K. Bhakat. 2016. Study of Dehydrogenase Activity to Select Plant Species for the Perturbed Overburden Soil Environment, Jharia Coalfields. Indian. Acad. J. Environ. Sci. 4(7): 125- 130.
- Shivayogi, D.N., N.R.M. Desai and S.B. Hosamani 2015. Econimic analysis of garlic production in North Karnataka. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 28(1): 116-117.
- Singh, A. K. 2010. Tobacco. Satish Serial Publishing House, New Delhi. pp447
- Singh, A. K., K. A. Singh, R. C. Bharati and N. Chandra. 2013. Response of inter crops and nutrient management on the performance of tobacco based intercropping system and assessment of system sustainability. Bangladesh J. Bot. 42(2):343-348.
- Singh, K. D., S. N. Tripathi and A. K. Pandey. 1998. Studies on feasibility and economic viability of

tobacco based intercropping system in Bihar. **Tob. Res.** 24(2): 70-76.

- Singh, K. D., V. Krishnamurthy and A. K. Pandey. 2000. Studies on soil fertility management in tobacco based sequential cropping under irrigated and rainfed conditions of Bihar. **Tob. Res.** 26(1): 28-36.
- Tobacco Board, 2015-16. Annual Report, 136 pp. Tobacco Board, Govt. of India, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh.
- Willey, R.N. 1979. Intercropping its importance and research needs, Part I. Competition and yield advantages. Field Crop Abstracts 32(1):1-10.