

K. VANITHA
Crop Protection Division
ICAR- Directorate of Cashew
Research, Puttur - 574 202
vanis102@gmail.com

Record of
flower visitors
and the pasturage
for pollinators of

Cashew

Introduction:

Pollination plays an important role in the reproduction and fruit set of flowering plant communities. In nature, only five per cent of the crops are self-pollinated and remaining 95 per cent are cross-pollinated and insects play a key role in pollination of several flowering plants. Cashew is an important tree nut crop. It is andromonoecious and the pollen grains are sticky in nature. Even the longer stamen of the hermaphrodite flower is shorter than style, thus making self pollination difficult. The flowers require external agents for pollen transmission and insects play a key role in pollination. In general, anthesis of cashew

flowers occurs between 9.00 am and 2.00 pm, and the peak period of anther dehiscence is from 9.30 am to 11.30 am.

Several studies showed that fruit set in cashew is mainly influenced by activity of pollinators. Flies (Roubik 1995), moths (Kevan, 1975) and bees (Heard et al., 1990; Freitas and Paxton 1998) have been viewed as the major cashew pollinators world-wide. But very little information is available about the effective pollinators of cashew. It is important to document the diverse pollinators in a locality to understand and address pollination issue.

Flower visitors of cashew at Puttur

Cashew flowers are

visited by diverse group of insects. However, some species visit cashew flowers with less frequency and are not good pollinators. The flower visitors of cashew recorded at Puttur region of Karnataka include 40 insect species belonging to 13 families of three insect orders. The hymenopterans were the major floral visitors comprising of bees (belonging to Apidae and Halictidae), ants and wasps followed by Dipterans (Table 1). The list excludes pests of cashew flowers (several lepidopterans, hemipterans and coleopterans damage cashew flowers), predators (except ants and wasps that visit cashew flowers for nectar from extra floral nectarines (EFN) and floral

Table 1. Flower visitors of cashew at Puttur, Karnataka

Common name		Scientific name	Common name		Scientific name	
Bees	Reed bees	<i>Braunsapis picitarsus</i> (Cameron) *	Butterflies	-	Undetermined sp.	
	Small carpenter bee	<i>Ceratina hieroglyphica</i> Smith *		Flies	Blow fly	<i>Stomorphina</i> sp.
	Small carpenter bee	<i>Ceratina binghami</i> *			-	Undetermined sp.
	Small carpenter bee	<i>Ceratina</i> sp.			-	Undetermined sp.
		<i>Braunsapis</i> sp. *			-	Undetermined sp.
	Sweat bee	<i>Pseudapis oxybeloides</i> Smith *			Hover fly	<i>Paragus</i> sp.
	Sweat bee	<i>Pseudapis</i> sp.			Hover fly	<i>Ischiodon scutellatis</i>
	Sweat bee	<i>Lasioglossum</i> sp. 1*			Hover fly	Undetermined sp.
	Sweat bee	<i>Lasioglossum</i> sp. 2			-	Undetermined sp.
	Sweat bee	<i>Seledonia</i> sp.*			-	Undetermined sp. 1.
	Asian hive bee	<i>Apis cerana indica</i> F.*			-	Undetermined sp. 2.
	Indian little bee	<i>Apis florea</i> L. *			-	Undetermined sp.
	Stingless bee	<i>Tetragonula</i> sp.*			Wasps	-
Carpenter bee	<i>Xylocopa</i> sp.	Potter wasp	<i>Eumenes</i> sp.			
Ants	Carpenter ant	<i>Camponotus compressus</i> F.	-	<i>Antepipona</i> sp.		
	Black golden ant	<i>Camponotus sericius</i> F.				
	-	<i>Prenolepis naoroji</i> Forel				
	Yellow Crazy ant	<i>Anaplolepis gracillipes</i> Smith				
	Weaver ant	<i>Oecophylla smaragdina</i> (F.)				
	Cocktail ant	<i>Crematogaster</i> sp.				
	-	<i>Monomorium</i> sp.				
	Short legged hunchback ant	<i>Myrmecaria brunnea</i> Saunders				
	White footed ghost ant	<i>Technomyrmex albipes</i> Smith				
	Odour ant	<i>Tapinoma melanocephalum</i> F.				
Arboreal bicoloured ant	<i>Tetraponera rufonigra</i> Jerdon					

Table 2. Foraging reward of cashew pollinators

Bee species	Foraging reward	Preferred flower	
		Male/female	Fresh or old
<i>Apis cerana indica</i> F.	Nectar > pollen	♂ & ♀	Fresh > a day old
<i>Apis florea</i> L.	Nectar > pollen	♂ & ♀	Fresh > a day old
<i>Braunsapis picatorus</i>	Pollen > nectar	♂ > ♀	Fresh
<i>Ceratina hieroglyphica</i>	Pollen > nectar	♂	Fresh > a day old
<i>Tetragonula</i> sp.	Pollen > nectar from Extra floral nectarines > nectar	♂ > ♀	Fresh
<i>Lasioglossum</i> sp. 1	Pollen > Nectar > nectar from Extra floral nectarines	♂ > ♀	Fresh
<i>Pseudapis oxybeloides</i>	Pollen > Nectar	♂ > ♀	Fresh
<i>Seledonia</i> sp.	Pollen > Nectar	♂ > ♀	Fresh > a day old



Apis cerana indica



Braunsapis picatorus



Pseudapis oxybeloides

nectar, respectively) and parasitoids of cashew pests which are not pollinators.

Important pollinators of cashew

Among the 40 species recorded as flower visitors of cashew, eight species are considered as important pollinators of cashew belonging to Apidae and Halictidae family (Table 2). Depending on the sunshine, initiations of activities of bee species on cashew flowers were noticed in the morning and the peak activity was between 11.00 and 1.00 pm. Peak foraging period of pollinators coincides with peak anther dehiscence in cashew, which is very



much advantageous for effective pollination in cashew. Certain bees visited mainly for pollen, while, few bees mainly for nectar and extra floral nectarines. For *A. c. indica* and *A. florea*, nectar was the major foraging reward. For *Tetragonula* sp., foraging reward was nectar from extra floral nectarines followed by pollen and nectar. Whereas, pollen was the major foraging reward for *B. picitarsus*, *C. hieroglyphica*, *P. oxybeloides*, *Lasioglossum* sp. and *Seledonia* sp. followed by nectar. Since pollen was the foraging reward for most of the bee species, fresh male flowers were most preferred.

Bee flora

Abundance and occurrence of pollen and nectar sources are important factors for bees survival. During non-flowering period of cashew bees especially, *Apis cerana indica*, *Apis dorsata*, *A. florea*, *Xylocopa* spp., *Ceratina* sp, *Braunsapis* sp., *Lasioglossum* sp., *Tetragonula* sp. foraged on surrounding trees like arecanut, coconut, neem, May flower, acacia, golden showers etc. Weed species visited by bees include *Leucas*

aspera, *Vedalia* sp., *Tridox procumbens*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Melastoma malabathricum*, *Lantana camara*, *Spermacoce hispida*, *Blumea* sp., *Antigonon leptopus*, *Caesalpinia* spp., *Passiflora foetida*, *Alternanthera* sp., *Gompherena* sp., *Ixora* sp., *Terminalia* sp., etc. Among the flora, *A. leptopus* was found to be preferable for *Braunsapis* sp., *Certaina* spp., *A. florea* and few other wild bees. It was also reported by Sundararaju et al., (2011) that during lean period of cashew, halictid bees sustained on *Spermacoce ocymoides* B., *S. stricta*, *M. pudica*, *Caesalpinia mimosoides* *Lindernia antipoda*, *Acacia pennata*, *Rungia repens*, *L. aspera*, *Muntingia calabura* and *Blumea* sp. in cashew plantations of coastal Karnataka.

Conclusion

Pollination of cashew is resulted by many native bee species and the important pollinators include *B. picitarsus*, *P. oxybeloides*, *A. cerana* and *C. hieroglyphica* etc. Peak foraging activity of all the recorded bee species coincides with the peak anthesis and anther dehiscence period of cashew flowers thus ensuring more pollination.

Enhancing bee flora in and around the cashew plantations especially during non-flowering period of cashew will ensure bee survival and conservation.

Reference

Roubik, D.W. (1995). Pollination of cultivated plants in the tropics. FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin 118, FAO, Rome.

Kevan, P.G. (1975). Pollination and environmental conservation. Environmental Conservation 2: 293-298.

Heard, T.A., Vithanage, V. and Chacko, E.K. (1990). Pollination biology of cashew in the northern territory of Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 41: 1101- 1114.

Freitas, B.M. and Paxton, R.J. (1998). A comparison of two pollinators: the introduced honey bee *Apis mellifera* and an indigenous bee *Centris tarsata* on cashew *Anacardium occidentale* in its native range of NE Brazil. Journal of applied Ecology, 35 (1): 109-121.

Sundararaju, D. 2011. Studies on extent of pollination and fruit set in cashew. Journal of plantation crops. 39 (1): 157-160.

RAMA PAL¹
RK DUBEY¹
SK DUBEY¹
DINESH JINGER²

¹Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation,
Research Centre, Chhalesar, Agra, Uttar Pradesh
²Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation,
Research Centre, Vasad, Anand, Gujarat

PHYTOREMEDIATION

A potential remediation technology for heavy metal contaminated sites

Introduction

The contamination of environment with heavy metals started parallel to the dawn of industrialization not only in India but worldwide. These are important environmental pollutants as many of them are toxic even when present in traces. The accumulation of heavy metals in living biota may cause various diseases and disorders due to their toxic nature. Heavy metal accumulation in soil and plants due to anthropogenic activity has been reported from different parts of India (Sachan et al., 2007; Shanker et al., 2005; Deka and Bhattacharyya, 2009; Rajindiran et al., 2015). Excess heavy metals in the soil originate from many sources, which include atmospheric deposition, untreated wastewater discharge on land, sewage irrigation, application of industrial sludge as manure, mining activities and the use of pesticides and fertilizers (Zhang, 2011). Irrespective of their sources in the soil, accumulation of heavy metals can degrade soil physico-chemical and biological

properties, and hence reduce crop yield and the quality of agricultural products which negatively impact the health of human, animals, and the ecosystem (Nagajyoti et al., 2010). The existing heavy metal pollution of soil and water in India requires a special attention for remediation through eco-friendly and cost-effective approach by using plants called phytoremediation.

Phytoremediation

Phytoremediation is

defined as the use of green plants to remove pollutants from the environment or to render them harmless/ immobile/bio-unavailable (Raskin et al., 1994, Sumiahadi and Acar, 2018). It is being considered as a new highly promising and potential technology for the efficient remediation of sites polluted with both organic and inorganic pollutants. Phytoremediation is often also referred as botanical bioremediation or green remediation (Chaney et al.,

