# Yield response of durum (*Triticum durum*) and bread wheat (*T. aestivum*) varieties to carbon dioxide enrichment

I RAVI', F A KHAN2, POONAM SHARMA-NATU3 and M C GHILDIYAL4

Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012

Received: 13 October 1999

#### ABSTRACT

In an experiment conducted during the winter season of 1996-97 and 1997-98, 2 varieties ('HD 4502' and 'B 4409') of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) and 2 ('Kalyansona' and 'Kundan') of bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L. emend. Fiori & Paol.) were grown in field at atmospheric and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (650 ± 50 ppm) concentrations inside open top chambers to examine yield components at maturity. The CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhanced the grain yield mostly through an increase in the number of ear-bearing tillers. Individual ear weight was also increased in plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Varieties however differed in the manner, the individual ear weight was increased. In 'B 449' and 'Kalyansona', the increase in grain yield of individual ear of plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was due to an increase in individual grain weight. In 'HD 4502', an increase in grain yield of individual ear of plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was due to higher grain number/ear as a result of increase in spikelets/ear. Genotypic differences in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> were observed. Varieties having greater sink capacity in terms of higher grains/ear showed a greater yield response in both tetraploid and hexaploid wheat.

Key words: Wheat species, Yield components, CO2 enrichment

The concentration of atmospheric CO, has risen from preindustrial concentration of 280 ppm to more than 360 ppm and is rising at a rate of over 1.5 ppm/year (Keeling and Whorf 1992, Bowes 1996). Attempts are therefore being made to analyse how crop plants are going to respond to such changes in CO, level (Bowes 1996, Drake et al. 1997). However, a meagre information is available on the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on plant species in a tropical environment (Ziska et al. 1991). CO<sub>2</sub> is a substrate limiting photosynthesis particularly in C, plants in the present atmosphere (Bowes 1993, Drake et al. 1997, Ghildiyal et al. 1998). Photosynthetic productivity is therefore expected to increase under higher CO<sub>2</sub> level. However, long-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> down regulates photosynthesis because of imbalance in the supply and demand of carbohydrates (Bowes 1996, Sharma-Natu et al. 1997). In order to utilize the beneficial effect of high CO, on photosynthesis, sink capacity of the system should be efficient enough to utilize assimilates, otherwise photosynthesis could be limited by sink demand. The yield response to elevated CO, therefore, may depend on capacity of economic sinks to utilize assimilates. There are large

'Scientist, Division of Plant Physiology, Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, Orissa 753 006; <sup>2</sup>Research Associate, <sup>3</sup>Scientist (Senior Scale), <sup>4</sup>Principal Scientist, Division of Plant Physiology variations in economic sink capacity in terms of number of potential grains in wheat varieties. Furthermore, a negative correlation between the grain number and grain size has been frequently observed and it is usually ascribed to a limitation in availability of assimilates per grain (Slafer et al. 1996, Sunita Kumari and Ghildiyal 1997). Hence an experiment was conducted to analyse the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on yield in wheat varieties differing in sink potential.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out during the winter season of 1996–97 and 1997–98 at New Delhi, with 4 varieties of wheat, 2 of durum wheat ('HD 4502' and 'B 449') and 2 of bread wheat ('Kalyansona' and 'Kundan'). 'HD 4502' is a small grain type with higher grains/ear, whereas 'B 449' is of bold grain types with less grains/ear. 'Kalyansona' has smaller grains than 'Kundan' with former having more grains/ear than the latter. These wheat varieties were grown in the field inside open top chambers (200 cm  $\times$  160 cm). The construction of the open top chambers was based on the design of Leadley and Drake (1993). Two chambers having ambient  $CO_2$  level served as the control, whereas  $CO_2$  concentration in other 2 chambers was elevated to 650  $\pm$  50 ppm. The regular monitoring of  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber was done by Infra-red gas analyser (ADC 225 MK 3). Spacing of

Table 1 Yield components in varieties of durum and bread wheat grown under ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concenteration

Character		Durum wheat		Bread wheat	
		'HD 4502'	'B 459'	'Kalyansona'	'Kundan'
Grain yield	CA	6,03	5.03	5.66	5.02
(g/plant)	CE	8.09	5,96	7.08	5.60
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Increase (%)	34.16	18.49	23.09	11.55
Ears/plant	CA	3.17	3,23	2.90	3.40
•	CE	3,40	3.50	3.20	3.75
	Increase (%)	7.25	8.36	10.34	10.29
Grain number	CA	42.84	30.51	58.50	30.00
	CE	52.48	30.95	63.54	30.49
	Increase (%)	23.34	1.44*	8.61	1.63*
1 000-grain	CA	44,50	50.94	33,38	49.25
weight (g)	CE	45.03	55.01	34.84	48.95
worght (g)	Increase (%)	1.19*	7.99	4.37	-0.61*
Total dry-matter	CA	14.72	11.25	11.59	10.77
(g/plant)	CE	19,49	13.77	14.44	12.10
	Increase (%)	32.40	22,40	24.59	12.35
Harvest index	CA	40.96	<b>44.7</b> 1	48.83	46.61
(%)	CE	41.50	43.28	49.03	46.28
	Increase (%)	1.32*	-3.20*	0.41*	-0.71*

<sup>\*</sup>NS; CA, ambient CO,; CE, elevated CO,

the plants was 20 cm between rows and 2.5 cm within a row. Fertilizers were applied @ 120, 60 and 60 kg/ha of N, P and K respectively. Nitrogen was supplied in 2 split doses, at sowing and ear emergence stage whereas P and K were given only at the time of sowing.

Plants were harvested at maturity and total dry-matter and yield components of main shoot and tillers expressed as per plant were determined. The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the grain weight/spikelet as well as growth of grains at different positions (from basal to apical) in a spikelet were examined in the main shoot ear. In each ear, the apical, middle and basal spikelets were excized. In each of these spikelets, the grains at different positions (from basal to apical) were separated and their dry weights were determined. Data were analysed statistically to determine the CD value. Since the response trends were more or less similar, the data of 1996–97 alone are presented.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> showed 11.5-34.16% enhancement in grain yield compared to plants grown under atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Table 1). The maximum enhancement of 34.16% in grain yield was in 'HD 4502' durum wheat and a minimum enhancement of 11.55% in 'Kundan' bread wheat. Within a species, a variety having more grains/ear showed a greater enhancement in grain yield by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> than lesser grain type in both tetraploid and hexaploid

wheats. One of the components that significantly contributed to the enhancement in grain yield is an increase in ears/per plant owing to an increase in ear-bearing tillers, In 'HD 4502' grains/ear were increased significantly under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> by 23-24% compared to plants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. 'B 449' showed an increase in 1 000-grain weight by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. An increase in grain yield was observed in plants along with total dry-matter production in all the genotypes, hence there was no significant effect of this treatment on harvest index.

The increase in grain yield expressed on per plant basis in wheat varieties grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was not entirely owing to an increase in ear-bearing tillers. The effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on yield of main shoot ear was therefore determined. The grain yield of main shoot ear increased in plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> compared with ambient grown plants in all the varieties. The increase however, was not significant in 'Kundan' (Table 2). Varieties differed in the manner in which this increase was brought about. In 'B 449' and 'Kalyansona', the increase in grain yield of main shoot ear was owing to an increase in individual grain weight. In 'HD 4502', the increase in grain yield of main shoot ear of plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> appeared to be due to an increase in grains/ear as a result of higher number of spikelet/ear.

The grain weight/apical, middle and basal spikelets in HD 4502' and 'Kundan' was not significantly affected by elevated

Table 2 Yield components of main shoot ear in varieties of durum and bread wheat grown under ambient and elevated CO,

Character		Durum w	heat	Bread wl	
		'HD 4502'	'B 459'	'Kalyansona'	'Kundan'
Grain yield	CA	2.50	1.80	2.53	2.12
(g/plant)	CE	2.79	2,13	2.89	2.32
	Increase (%)	11.60	18.33	14.23	9.43
Grains/	CA	54.00	30.33	76.00	42.70
ear	CE	60.67	33.00	76.70	46.30
	Increase (%)	12.35	8.80*	0.92*	8.43*
1000-grain	CA	46,26	59.06	33.24	49,76
weight (g)	CE	46.03	64.28	37.65	50.07
	Increase (%)	-0.50*	8.84	13.27	0.62*
Spikelets/	CA	19.50	15.17	19.80	16.60
ear :	CE	23.00	16.33	19.30	17.40
	Increase (%)	17.95	7.65*	-2.52*	4.82
Grains/	CA	2.77	2.01	3.84	2.57
spikelet	CE	2.64	2.02	3.97	2.66
	Increase (%)	-4.69*	0.50*	3.38*	3.50*
Grain weight	CA	128	119	128	128
(mg/spiklet)	CE	121	130	149	133
	Increase (%)	-5.47*	9.24	16.41	3.91*

<sup>\*</sup>NS; CA, ambient CO2; CE, elevated CO2

Table 3 Grain weight (mg/spikelet) of apical, middle and basal spikelets of ear in durum and bread wheat varieties grown under ambient and elevated CO<sub>2a</sub> concentration

Character	Variety	Treatment	Basal	Middle	Apical
Durum wheat	'HD 4502'	CA	106.33	169.97	94.58
		CE	104.50	168,00	93.17
		Increase (%)	-1.72*	-1.16*	1.06*
	'B 449'	CA	121.00	161.16	86,66
· ·		CE	133.99	193.09	89,00
		Increase (%)	10.73*	19.81	2.70*
Bread wheat	'Kalyansona'	CA	119.33	144.67	83,83
		CE	124.67	164.93	91,99
		Increase (%)	4.47*	14,00	9.73
	'Kundan'	CA	115.66	167.99	78,00
		CE	116.99	167.34	81.42
		Increase (%)	0.87*	-0,39*	4.38*

<sup>\*</sup>NS; CA, ambient  $CO_2$ ; CE, elevated  $CO_2$ 

Table 4 Grain weight (mg/grain) of different positions in the apical, middle and basal spikelets of main shoot ear in durum wheat varieties grown under ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>

Wheat	Variety	Treatment	ent Grain position in a spikelet			
			1	2	3	4 5
Durum wheat				Apical s	nikeler	
	'HD 4502'	CA	40.33	39.25	15.00	
4		CE	41.00	38.17	14.00	•
		CE/CA	1.02*	0.97*	0.93*	
				Middle s		
	10 mg	CA	52.00	52.80	44.92	20.25
		CE	53.83	53.42	45.42	15.33
		CE/CA	1.03*	1.01*	1.01*	0.76*
		<b>92</b> C	1,05	Basal s		0.70
		CA	45.83	46.92	13.58	
		CE	45,58	40.92	11.00	
		CE/CA	0.99*	1.02*	0.81*	
		CDCA	0.99	Apical s		
	'B 449'	CA	46.33		pikelet	
	לדד ע	CE		40.33		
		CE/CA	47.67	41.33	**	
		CE/CA	1.03*	1.02*		
		04	50.10	Middle s		
		CA	59.12	62.08	39,96	
	· · · .	CE	68.67	70.42	54.00	
		CE/CA	1.16	1.13	1.35	
		<b>-</b> .		Basal s		
		CA	52.00	55.00	14.00	
		CE	52.66	54.33	27.00	
		CE/CA	1.01*	0,99*	1.93	
Bread wheat						
	•			Apical s		
	'Kalyansona'	CA	31.50	33.33	19.00	
•		CE	33.66	33.33	25.00	
		CE/CA	1.07*	1.00*	1.31	
			and the second	Middle s	pikelet	7
		CA	39.00	39.00	31.67	21.00 14.00
		CE	40.92	41.08	36.83	28.00 18.00
	,	CE/CA	1.05*	1.05*	1.16	1.33 1.28
				Basal s		
		CA	36.00	36.33	29.00	18.00
		CE	36.67	37.00	29.00	22.00
		CE/CA	1.02*	1.02	1.00*	1.22
			·	Apical s		
	'Kundan'	CA	47.75	27.25	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		CA CE	48.17	33,25		
		CE/CA	1.01*	1,22		
		<b>CD C</b>	1.01	Middle s	nikalat	
		CA	59.33	58.66	50.00	
		CE	58.67	62.00		
					46.67	
		CE/CA	0.99*	1.06*	0.93*	
		CA.	50.22	Basal s		
	and the second	CA	50.33	51.00	14.33	
		CE	51.33	46.32	19.33	
		CE/CA	1.02*	0.91*	1.35	

<sup>\*</sup>NS; CA, ambient CO2; CE, elevated CO2

CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 3). The grain weight of middle and basal spikelets in 'B 449' increased in plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> due

to an increase in the weight of individual grains of middle spikelet and third grain of basal spikelet compared with ambient CO<sub>2</sub> grown plants (Tables 3, 4). In 'Kalyansona' grain weight of middle and apical spikelets was significantly higher in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> grown plants than ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration grown plants owing to an increase in weight of 3rd grain from base in apical spikelet 3rd, 4th and 5th grain from base of middle spikelet in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> compared to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> grown plants (Table 3, 4).

The results showed that CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhances grain yield differentially in wheat varieties. The enhancement in yield was mostly through an increase in the number of ear bearing tillers. Individual ear weight was also increased in plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Varieties however differed in the manner, the individual ear weight was increased. In 'B 449' and 'Kalyansona', the increase in grain yield of main shoot ear was owing to an increase in individual grain weight. In 'HD 4502', an increase in grain yield of main shoot ear of plants grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration appeard to be owing to higher grains/ear as a result of increase in spikelets/ear.

CO<sub>2</sub> is a substrate limiting photosynthesis in C<sub>3</sub> plants in the present atmosphere, an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> level therefore, increases photosynthesis (Kimball 1983). This advantage could be sustained by the plants only if there is considerable sink capacity to utilize the assimilates, otherwise, photosynthesis may be limited by sink demand (Sharma-Natu 1993, Ghildiyal and Sirohi 1986, Ghildiyal 1991. A variety having greater sink in terms of higher grain number showed greater response in the present study, is in line with the above concept.

The enhancement in yield under elevated CO, was attributed to a considerable extent to ears/plant because of increase in ear-bearing tillers. Ethylene production enhances under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Grodzinski 1992). The increase in tiller production may therefore, possibly be mediated through ethylene production. This has also been observed in rice (Oryza sativa L.) where tiller number largely accounted for higher productivity under elevated CO, (Baker et al. 1990, Seneweera et al. 1994). Tillering is expressed more in widely spaced plants and ear-bearing tillers decrease in a denser crop stand (Mc Master 1997). It was observed that yield response of field-grown wheat to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was considerably less than the pot-grown plants as ear bearing tillers did not show a proportionate increase in a denser crop stand in field possibly due to a greater inter-and intra-plant competition (Ghildiyal M C unpublished). The tiller associated yield response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> therefore, would vary depending on the density of the stand. The individual car weight however, also increased under elevated CO2. In 'Kalyansona' and 'B 449' there was an increase in individual grain weight, whereas in 'HD 4502' grains/ear was increased owing to greater number of spikelets/ ear. Such a response to elevated CO, would possibly be sustained even under a denser crop stand.

The fact that CO<sub>2</sub> is a greenhouse gas makes high global temperatures an important consideration in the rising CO<sub>2</sub>

debate. It may however be mentioned that the temperature and rainfall prediction are uncertain (Bowes 1993), Nevertheless photosynthetic response to elevated CO. concentration is expected to increase under high temperature, A rise in temperature lowers the ratio of CO<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> in solution, shifts the specificity of Ribulose-1, 5-bisphophate carboxylase-oxygenase (Rubisco) enzyme towards oxygenase and enhances photorespiration. Since elevated CO. concentration would inhibit oxygenase activity of Rubisco, the photosynthesis response to CO, at high temperature would be higher (Bowes 1996). The future-increases in atmospheric CO, and day-temperatures therefore have the potential for positive interactive effect on photosynthesis and vegetative growth of many C<sub>3</sub> species. Rawson (1995) showed that grain yield in C<sub>3</sub> cereals are likely to be substantially increased by rising level of atmospheric CO2 concentration in areas where temperature is moderate. However, in areas where the temperature is already marginal for yield, further increase in temperature will decrease yield because of greatly accelerated crop development and flower abortion. There are however, differences between species and even between genotypes in their response to high CO, at different temperatures (Conroy et al. 1994). The heat tolerant varieties therefore would do better under such conditions (Ahmed et al. 1993).

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The financial assistance received from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged.

# REFERENCES

Ahmed F E, Hall A E and Madore M A. 1993. Interactive effects of high temperature and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp]. Plant Cell and Environment 16: 835–82.

Baker J T, Allen L H (Jr) and Boote K J. 1990. Growth and yield responses of rice to carbon dioxide concentration. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 15: 313–20.

Bowes G. 1993. Facing the inevitable: Plants and increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 44: 309–32.

Bowes G. 1996. Photosynthetic response to changing atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. (in) Photosynthesis and Environment, pp 387-407. Baker N R (Ed). Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.

Conroy J P, Seneweera S, Basra A S, Rogers G and Nissen-Woller B. 1994. Influence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperature on growth, yield and grain quality of cereal crops. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 21: 741–8.

Drake B G, Gonzaleg-Meler M A and Long S P. 1997. More efficient plants: A consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 48: 609-39.

Ghildiyal M C and Sirohi G S. 1986. Photo synthetics and sink efficiency of different species of wheat. *Photo; synthetica* 20: 102-6.

Ghildiyal M C 1991. Diurnl Changes in photosynthesis in wheet

- under different saurce-since relationships. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 166: 210–3.
- Ghildiyal M C, Sharma-Natu, Poonam and Khan F A, 1998. Photosynthetic acclimation elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in relation to saccharides content in wheat and mungbean leaves. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 36: 217-20.
- Grodzinski B. 1992. Plant nutrition and growth regulation by CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Bioscience* 42: 517–25.
- Keeling C A, Whorf T P. 1992. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>-modern record Mauna Loa. (in) TRENDS '91: A Compedium of Data Global Change Highlights, pp 14-7. Boden T A, Sepanski R J, Stoss FW (Eds). Carbon dioxide Information Analysis Centre, Oak Ridge, National Laboratory, Oak Ridge.
- Kimball B A. 1983. Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: An assemblage and analysis of 430 prior observations. *Agronomy Journal* 75: 779–88.
- Leadley P W Drake B G. 1993. Open top chambers for exposing plant canopies to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and for measuring net gas exchange. Vegetatio 104/105: 3-15.
- Mc Master G S. 1997. Phenology development and growth of the wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) shoot apex: A review. *Advances in Agronomy* 59: 63-118.
- Rawson H M. 1995. Yield responses of two wheat genotypes to carbon dioxide and temperature in field studies using temperature gradient tunnels. Australian Journal of Plant

- Physiology 22: 23-32.
- Seneweera S, Nilham P and Canroy J. 1994. Influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and phosphorus nutrition on the growth and yield of a short duration rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Jarrah). Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 21: 281-92.
- Sharma-Natu, Poonam and Ghildiyal M C. 1993. Diurnal changes in photosynthesis in relation to ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity and saccharides content in wheat leaves. *Photosynthetica* 29: 551-6.
- Sharma-Natu, Poonam, Khan F A and Ghildiyal M C. 1997. Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in wheat cultivars. *Photosynthetica* 34: 537-43.
- Slafer G A, Calderini D F, Miralks D J. 1996. Yield components and compensation in wheat: Opportunities for further increasing yield potential. (in) Increasing Yield Potential in Wheat: Breaking the barriers, pp 101-33. Reynolds M P, Rajaram S, Mc Nab A (Eds). International Maize and Wheat Improvement centre (CIMMYT), Mexico.
- Sunita Kumari and Ghildiyal M C. 1997. Availability and utilisation of assimilates in relation to grain growth within the ear of wheat. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 178: 245–9.
- Ziska L H, Hogan K P, Smith AP and Drake B G. 1991. Growth and photosynthetic response of nine tropical species with long term exposure to elevated carbon dioxide. *Oecologia* 86: 383–9.