# DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR MARINE BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS –FUTURE TRENDS & PROSPECTS

### Lekshmi R.G.Kumar., Tejpal C.S., Anas K.K & Renuka V.

ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Kochi-29

#### Introduction

Designing safe and stable delivery system has become an important research arena in various fields such as pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals, cosmetic industry etc. In general, they are being used to encapsulate, protect or to impart controlled/sustained release of the active ingredients. Globally, there is an increased demand for functional foods as the consumers are becoming well aware of their physiological benefits along with its nutritional significance. However, the development of functional foods is not an easier task; it involves several challenges such as loss of physico-chemical stability, solubility, bioavailability, melting point etc. In this context, nutrient based delivery systems are emerging as a prominent research area. Development of suitable delivery systems can be a promising solution for designing nutraceuticals by playing a major role in the encapsulation, protection and sustained release of biomolecules (Lekshmi et al., 2018).

Designing delivery systems for bioactive nutrients is an arduous task as several factors need to be taken into consideration. Some of the aspects that need to be considered are given below:

- 1.Delivery system intended for food application should be fabricated only from biomaterials which are of food-grade quality, safe and biodegradable in nature. It should also have Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) status (Augustin and Hemar 2009).
- 2. The material used for the development of delivery systems should be easy available, economically feasible and the benefits gained out of the encapsulation should outweigh the additional cost incurred in the process (McClements et al. 2007; Gutiérrez 2018).
- 3. The incorporation of the delivery systems should not adversely affect the physical, chemical, textural and sensory quality of the final product. That is, it should be compatible with other ingredients of the food matrix (Joye et al. 2014).
- 4. The delivery system developed should be robust. It should be physically and chemically stable and can offer the biomolecule considerable protection from any sort of degradation processes.

- 5. Ideally, the delivery system should have high loading capacity and retention of the biomolecule. Loading capacity refers to the amount of bioactive substance present per unit mass of the encapsulation material (McClements 2015).
- 6. Delivery system developed should ensure the sustained and targeted release of the biomolecule in response to a specific environmental stimulus such as pH, enzymatic action, temperature or ionic strength (Shegokar and Müller 2010).

# **Types of Delivery Systems**

Delivery systems for functional food formulation can be developed from a variety of food-grade biopolymers such as proteins, lipids or carbohydrates etc. The biopolymers will be used either singly or in combination to increase the functionality. The properties of biomolecule to be encapsulated and the nature of the surrounding food matrix has to be taken into account while selecting biopolymers. Adequate knowledge about the molecular structure of the biopolymer is also essential as it in turn determines the functionality of food systems. The different types of delivery systems used in food industry are discussed below:

# Protein based delivery systems

Proteins are generally preferred for the development of delivery systems owing to their high nutritional value, biodegradability, economical and GRAS nature. They are also reported to have excellent functional properties including emulsification, gelation, water binding capacity, foaming etc. (Elzoghby et al. 2012). Its structural diversity attributed due to the multiple functional groups present in the primary sequence of polypeptides makes it an excellent candidate for the delivery of bioactives over a wide range of platforms such as hydrogels, micro and nano particles, molecular coacervates, emulsion droplet stabilization, films etc. (Chen et al. 2006). Protein based delivery systems are relatively simple to prepare, economical and deliver both hydrophobic and hydrophilic bioactives. Proteins can be obtained from various sources such as bacterial, fungal, plant and animals and among this, the latter two are commonly employed for food applications (Elzoghby et al. 2012). Gelatin, collagen, elastin casein, albumin and whey proteins are some of the commonly used proteins of animal origin for functional food applications.

## **Carbohydrate Based Delivery Systems**

Carbohydrates which accounts for calorific value, sensory and textural properties form a significant component of many food systems. It is considered as suitable carrier for many nutraceuticals owing to its biocompatibility, biodegradability, structural versatility, site digestion properties. The presence of functional groups makes it an excellent candidate for the development of delivery systems as it can interact with a wide range of bioactive compounds of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic nature. Carbohydrate based delivery systems can be categorized into four main groups based on their source such as plant origin (e.g. starch, gum Arabic, guar gum, pectin), animal origin (chitin, chitosan), algal (agar, carrageenan, alginate) and microbial origin (xanthan gum, dextran, cyclodextrin etc.) (Kosaraju 2005). Polysaccharides can be further categorized based on their charges such as neutral, anionic and cationic.

### **Lipid Based Delivery Systems**

Lipid based delivery systems are reported to have better encapsulation efficiency and low toxicity than other delivery systems. In general, four major lipid based delivery systems are being used, namely, nanoemulsions, nanoliposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) and nanostructure lipid carriers (NLC). Among the various types of lipid based delivery system, liposomes are widely being used in the food research industry. They are reported to possess wide range of benefits such as (1) can be produced from materials of natural origin (2) used for production, entrapment, release of compounds having wide range of solubility such as water-soluble, lipid-soluble, and amphiphilic materials (3) deliver and release their load in the target site inside and outside the body. They are widely used in food industry for making formulations of antimicrobials, enzymes, lipophilic vitamins, oils and minerals.

# **Mixed Delivery Systems**

Of late, there is an increasing trend in application of mixtures of polymers instead of individual ones with a view to improve and broaden the application range and functionality. Among the various biopolymer mixture studied so far, protein–polysaccharide complex is considered more advantageous, because of their higher chemical and colloidal protection. The formation and stability of protein–polysaccharide complex is found to be affected by a number of factors such as pH, ionic strength, and biopolymer concentration, charge distribution, molecular weight, temperature, pressure, etc. In such cases, the sequence of biopolymers adsorption onto the interface determines the structure and stabilizing properties of the mixed

protein-polysaccharide complex. Two phenomena can occur during the mixing of proteins and polysaccharides in a liquid medium depending on the pH and isoelectric point (1) the attractive interactions can lead to the formation of soluble and insoluble complexes and (2) the repulsive interactions can separation of the two biopolymers from each other (Weinbreck et al. 2004).

### References

- 1. Augustin, M.A. and Hemar, Y., 2009. Nano-and micro-structured assemblies for encapsulation of food ingredients. Chemical society reviews, 38(4), pp.902-912.
- Chen, L., Remondetto, G.E. and Subirade, M., 2006. Food protein-based materials as nutraceutical delivery systems. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 17(5), pp.272-283.
- 3. Elzoghby, A.O., Samy, W.M. and Elgindy, N.A., 2012. Albumin-based nanoparticles as potential controlled release drug delivery systems. Journal of controlled release, 157(2), pp.168-182.
- 4. Gutiérrez, T.J., 2018. Processing nano-and microcapsules for industrial applications. In Handbook of nanomaterials for industrial applications (pp. 989-1011). Elsevier.
- Joye, I.J., Davidov-Pardo, G. and McClements, D.J., 2014. Nanotechnology for increased micronutrient bioavailability. Trends in food science & technology, 40(2), pp.168-182.
- Kosaraju, S.L., 2005. Colon targeted delivery systems: review of polysaccharides for encapsulation and delivery. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 45(4), pp.251-258.
- 7. Lekshmi R.G.K., Anas, K.K., Tejpal, C.S. and Mathew, S., 2018. Food-grade biopolymers as efficient delivery systems for nutrients: An overview. Polymers for food applications, pp.401-422.
- McClements DJ (2015) Nanoscale nutrient delivery systems for food applications: improving bioactive dispersibility, stability, and bioavailability. J Food Sci 80(7):N1602–N1611
- 9. McClements, D.J., Decker, E.A. and Weiss, J., 2007. Emulsion-based delivery systems for lipophilic bioactive components. Journal of food science, 72(8), pp.R109-R124.
- 10. Shegokar, R. and Müller, R.H., 2010. Nanocrystals: industrially feasible multifunctional formulation technology for poorly soluble actives. International journal of pharmaceutics, 399(1-2), pp.129-139.

11. Weinbreck, F., Nieuwenhuijse, H., Robijn, G.W. and De Kruif, C.G., 2004. Complexation of whey proteins with carrageenan. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 52(11), pp.3550-3555.