# FISH AND SEAFOOD CONSUMPTION AND ITS DETERMINANTS IN INDIA: AN OVERVIEW

# Sajeev M.V. Extension, Information and Statistics division Email: sajeev.mv@icar.gov.in

Fish and seafood offer a much healthier diet than any other terrestrial meat products (Bogard et al., 2015). Being a great source of unsaturated fatty acids, amino acids, vitamins and minerals, coupled with its low-fat content (Yaktine and Nesheim, 2007) fish always tops the list as an important cuisine for people all around the world (Burger et al., 1999; Turan et al., 2006) making any diet sustainable, safe and nutritious. On a global basis, fish is considered as the third major source of dietary protein after cereals and milk (FAO, 2020). In major studies (Brunso, 2003; Gross, 2003), consumers have regarded fish as healthier compared to other non-vegetarian foods. Significant contribution of fisheries sector is evident in the fight to end global hunger, achieve food security, and improve nutrition (Bennet et al., 2021). 20 per cent of the total animal protein intake of 3.1 billion people is met by fish with per capita food fish consumption rising from a mere 9.0 kg in 1961 to 20.5 kg in 2018 (FAO, 2020).

According to National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) report, the monthly per capita fish consumption of urban and rural India is 0.27 kg and 0.25 kg. The ICMR recommendation of fish consumption is 12 kg/year, which is yet to be achieved in India with a predicted per capita fish consumption of 6.6 kg in 2030 by World Bank (Msangi et al., 2013). Government of India had also set a target of 20 MT fish production by the year 2022-23 by laying renewed focus on the sector through a flagship scheme "Blue Revolution" (Shasani et al., 2020) which was not met. Tripura (25.53kg), Chhattisgarh (19.7kg), Manipur (18.25kg), Kerala (17.93kg) and Odisha (16.34kg) are the bigger states reporting highest average annual per capita fish consumption while the Union Territory of Andaman and Nicobar Islands reports the highest percapita fish consumption of 77.84kg/year in 2020-21 (DoF, 2022). Identifying the factors influencing consumption of fish and studying consumption behaviour aids government in alleviating hunger and malnutrition among deprived sections (Sajeev et al; 2021).

Most Indians have a positive attitude towards seafood and consider it as an important part of healthy and balanced diet. The annual per capita consumption of fish for the entire Indian population is estimated at 5-6 kg whereas for the fish-eating population it is found to be 8-9 kg.

Issues of fish adulteration have been widely discussed by media and have created an increased health, safety and quality consciousness among consumers. These issues have created new drivers and barriers to fish consumption with fish consumers changing their fish purchase behaviour and market choice. The article discusses the emerging drivers and barriers to fish consumption wherein, the factors identified as influencing fish consumption were consolidated into a framework of fish consumption.

#### Drivers and barriers to fish consumption: important factors

Empirical evidence shows differences in the use of information sources by consumers depending on the food product, the communicated information about the food product and the potential health or safety risk of the food product (Gutteling and Wiegman, 1996; Jungermann et al., 1996). With respect to fish, consumers mostly use personal sources of information, such as fishmongers and family and friends (Pieniak et al., 2007). Pieniak et al. (2010 a,b) identified knowledge as a relevant determinant of fish consumption. Consumers with a higher level of knowledge about fish were found to eat fish more frequently. Knowledge studies focused mainly on production aspects, whereas consumer information and education campaigns have mainly been focused on the health and nutritional benefits of fish, as well as on convenience issues acting as barriers to consumption (Olsen, 2003; Verbeke and Vackier, 2005). Olsen (2004) identified four salient beliefs reasonable in forming seafood / food consumption attitude as: taste, distaste (negative affect), nutrition (Steptoe et al., 1995) and quality / freshness. After the taste issues the nutritional aspects are the second prominent factor that affect consumer's food attitude, it is directly related to health and healthy eating behaviour (Olsen, 2001). The quality of the fish/seafood freshness is another prime determinate. In this regards, frozen fish are treated as "non-fresh" "bad quality" "tasteless" "watery" "boring" (Olsen, 1998). Olsen in 2004, found price, value for money and household income are not barrier in seafood consumption, while Verbeke & Vackier, in 2005, reported that price negatively affect the fish consumption attitude.

#### Fish consumption: Feedback from consumer behaviour studies

A study on knowledge and perception of fish consumers with respect to health benefits of fish consumption, safety and quality of fish and major drivers and barriers to consumption was done among consumers in Kerala State, India. The state was identified for the study due to its predominantly high fish consuming population having annual per capita fish consumption rates higher than global average. 'Transreg' procedure revealed that for 'price of fish' was the most important driver or barrier in Kerala. When the coastal and non-coastal districts were compared, there was marked difference in the drivers and barriers with 'Source of fish' emerged as the most important driver for consumers of non-coastal districts. For consumers in Ernakulam; 'Source of fish (marine/inland)' was the most important driver while in Kozhikkode

'health benefits from eating fish' acted as the biggest driver. In Palakkad 'place of origin' of fish was the most important driver while 'market accessibility' was the most important driver in Kottayam.

A study on six major tribes of Wayanad, Kerala; in which data were gathered from 200 tribal households covering different socioeconomic backgrounds, identified that Adiyan followed by Vettakuruman tribes had highest per capita fish consumption. While Sardine is the most consumed and preferred fish among Wayanad tribes, the percapita consumption (1.03kg/month) was estimated far below the Kerala average. Price of fish ranked as the most important barrier of tribal fish purchase and consumption while the 12 determinants of fish consumption analyzed were found highly associated with the health values of tribes.

In another study conducted among urban consumers of Kerala, Conjoint analysis revealed that the factors like 'place of origin of fish', '24x7 accessibility' and 'sensory perception' were the most contributing drivers while 'price of fish' and 'availability of favourite fish' were the most important barriers to online fish purchase.

The review of the drivers and barriers to fish consumption using 'Theory of Planned Behaviour' as a base provided a framework for quantity, frequency and characteristics of fish consumed (Sajeev *et. al.*, 2019). Personal factors like values, beliefs, attitudes and demographics had huge influence on fish consumption. Factors like availability, price, market, eating habits, health beliefs, safety and quality concerns and sensory and convenience perception acted as both driver as well as barrier in varying degrees.

t

## Drivers and barriers to fish consumption

Г

Personal factors (values, beliefs, attitudes, demographics), Situational factors and Environmental factors

$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Drivers		Barriers	Other attributes
High	Availability	Low	Place of origin
Low	Price	High	Source (sea/inland)
More	Market	Less	Production method (capture/farm)
Strong	Eating habits	Less	Preservation methods
Strong	Health beliefs	Less	Product information
Low	Safety concerns	High	_
Low	Quality concerns	High	
Low	Convenience perception	High	
Like	Sensory perception	Dislike	
Drivers		Barriers	
↓			

Quantity, frequency and characteristic of fish consumed

Fish consumers mostly use personal sources of information such as fishmongers and family and friends to arrive at a purchase decision. Consumer knowledge is an important determinant of fish consumption. Consumer information and education campaigns have mainly been focused on the health and nutritional benefits of fish. However, convenience issues (such as fish preparation, quality evaluation and fish species) have been found as an important barrier to fish consumption. Other attributes like place of origin (local/outside), source of the fish (marine/inland), production method of fish (capture/farm), preservation methods (frozen/chilled) and product information (information available/not available). All the above factors in combination decide the quantity, frequency and characteristic of fish consumed. Hence the most important drivers and barriers to fish purchase identified among the above studies has to be considered by stakeholders in fishery sector.

## **References:**

- Ajzen, Icek (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179-211.
- Bogard, J. R., Thilsted, S. H., Marks, G. C., Wahab, M. A., Hossain, M. A., Jakobsen, J., & Stangoulis, J. (2015). Nutrient composition of important fish species in Bangladesh and potential contribution to recommended nutrient intakes. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, *42*, 120-133.
- Bonne, Karijn; Vermeir, Iris; Bergeaud-Blackler, Florence; & Verbeke, Wim (2007). Determinants of halal meat consumption in France. British Food Journal, 109(5), 367-386
- Burger, J., Stephens, W. L., Boring, C. S., Kuklinski, M., Gibbons, J. W., & Gochfeld, M. (1999).
  Factors in exposure assessment: ethnic and socioeconomic differences in fishing and consumption of fish caught along the Savannah River. *Risk Analysis*, *19*(3), 427-438.
- FAO. (2020). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020: Sustainability in action. http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/ca9229en
- Gutteling, J.M., Wiegman, O., (1996). The source of risk messages. In: Gutteling, J.M., Wiegman, O. (Eds.), Exploring Risk Communication. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 151–169.
- Jungermann, H., Pfister, H.R., Fischer, K., (1996). Credibility, information preferences, and information interests. Risk Anal. 16, 251–261.
- Lowe TE, Brill RW, Cousins KL. Responses of the red blood cells from two high-energy demand

teleosts, yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) and skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis), to catecholamines. J Comp Physiol [B]. 1998 Aug;168(6):405-418.

- Msangi, S., Kobayashi, M., Batka, M., Vannuccini, S., Dey, M. M., & Anderson, J. L. (2013). Fish to 2030: prospects for fisheries and aquaculture. *World Bank Report*, *83177*(1), 102.
- Department of Fisheries, Government of India (2022) Handbook on Fisheries Statistics 2022. p. 218.
- Olsen, Svein Ottar (1998). Fresh versus frozen seafood as distinct product categories: A qualitative study of Norwegian consumers. Paper presented on the 9th International Conference of the International Institute of Fisheries Economics & Trade. Tromsø, Norway
- Olsen, SveinOttar (2001). Consumer involvement in fish as family meals in Norway: An application of the expectance-value approach. Appetite, 36, 173–186.
- Olsen, SveinOttar (2003). Understanding the relationship between age and seafood consumption: the mediating role of attitude, health involvement and convenience. Food Qual. Prefer. 14, 199–209.
- Olsen, SveinOttar (2004). Antecedents of Seafood Consumption Behaviour: An Overview. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology, 13(3), 79-91.
- Petrovici, Dan A., Ritson, Christopher & Ness, Mitchell (2004). The Theory of Reasoned Action and Food Choice: Insights from a Transitional Economy. Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing, 16(1), 59-87.
- Pieniak, Z., Verbeke, W., Scholderer, J., Brunsø, K., Olsen, S.O., (2007). European consumers' use of and trust in information sources about fish. Food Qual. Prefer. 18, 1050–1063.
- Pieniak, Z., Verbeke, W., Scholderer, J., (2010a). Health-related beliefs and consumer knowledge as determinants of fish consumption. J. Hum. Nutr. Diet. 23, 480–488.
- Pieniak, Z., Verbeke, W., Olsen, S.O., Birch Hansen, K., Brunsø, K., (2010b). Health related attitudes as a basis for segmenting European food consumers. Food Policy 35, 448–455.
- Saba, Anna, & Vassallo, Marco (2002). Consumer attitudes toward the use of gene technology in tomato production. Food Quality and Preference, 13, 13–21.
- Sajeev, M. V., Mohanty, A. K., Sajesh, V. K. and Rejula, K. (2019) A review of drivers and barriers to fish consumption based on theory of planned behaviour. FishTech Report. 5(2): 18
- Sajeev, M. V. (2021) E-marketing of Fish and Fish Products. In: Fishpreneurship: Present Status, Challenges and Opportunities (Ravishankar, C.N., A.K. Mohanty and Sajeev, M.V. (Eds.), pp 323-336, Biotech Books (India), New Delhi
- Sajeev, M. V., Radhakrishnan, A., Mohanty, A. K., Joshy, C. G., Ali, V. A., Gopika, R., ... and Ravishankar, C.N. (2021) Factors Influencing the Fish Consumption Preferences: Understandings from the tribes of Wayanad, Kerala. Indian J. Ext. Educ. 57(4): 23-27

- Sajeev, M. V., Joshy, C. G., Rejula, K., Sajesh, V. K., Suresh, A., Mohanty, A. K., & Ravishankar, C. N. (2021). Drivers and Barriers to Online Fish Purchase in Kerala, India.
- Sajeev, M. V., Aparna Radhakrishnan, Mohanty, A. K., Joshy, C. G., Akber Ali, V. P., Gopika, R. Suseela Mathew & Ravishankar, C. N. (2021) Factors influencing fish consumption preferences: Understandings from the tribes of Wayanad, Kerala. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, *57*(4), 23-27.
- Sajeev, M. V., Mohanty, A. K., Joshy, C. G., Gopika, R., Jabbar, P. A., Mathew, S., & Ravishankar, C. N. (2022). Fresh and Dried Fish Consumption and its Contributory Factors: A Study of Malappuram, Kerala. *Indian Journal of Extension Education, 58*(4), 86-90.
- Steptoe, Andrew, Pollard, Tessa M., & Wardle, Jane (1995). Development of a Measure of the Motives Underlying the Selection of Food: the Food Choice Questionnaire. Appetite, 25, 267–284.
- Turan, D., Kottelat, M., Kirankaya, S. G., & Engin, S. (2006). Capoeta ekmekciae, a new species of cyprinid fish from northeastern Anatolia (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters*, 17(2), 147.
- Verbeke, W., Vackier, I., (2005). Individual determinants of fish consumption: application of the theory of planned behaviour. Appetite 44, 67–82

\*\*\*\*\*