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## FOREWORD

Increase in agricultural production is one of the main objectives of our agricultural planning. It is only by the exploitation of scientific methods of agriculture that we can hope to increase our agricultural production to the level needed for maintaining a reasonable standard of living to the country's population. The technical worth of improvement measures is best judged from carefully conducted field experiments. While it is true that a large number of agricultural field experiments are conducted in the country, the results of these experiments have not been brought together in an integrated manner for the use of research workers. The absence of such a unified account has often led to duplication of work and delay in the utilisation of results for practical farming. The Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics has randered a very valuable service by preparing a compendium of agricultural field experiments conducted in the country. The first series of compendium containing the results of all agricultural field experiments during the period 1948-53 have already been published by the Institute.

The present compendium is the second in the series covering the period 1954-59. As in the earlier compendium, the present series also contains critical summaries of results of experiments bearing on important agronomic factors, such as the response of crops to fertilizers and manures, inter-relationship of fertilizers, varieties and cultivation practices and other information of value for giving sound advice to farmers in different regions, Judging from the demand for the first series of the compendium, I am sure that the present series will also prove equally useful.

A Standing Committee consisting of the Agricultural Commissioner with the Government of India, the Director, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, and the Statistical Adviser, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, has been set up to provide general guidance to the work under this scheme. I congratulate the members of this Committee and, in particular, the Statistical Adviser and his associates at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics for bringing out this compendium. The preparation of this compendium has been made possible only by the wholehearted co-operation of the States and other organisations in making available the results of their experimental researches for this purpose. My thanks are due to the officers of the State Departments of Agriculture and other institutions for participating in this work. I hope that the present series will be followed by periodical publications of similar compendia for later years, in order that the availability, in a consolidated form of results of scientific experiments in agriculture in India may be maintained up-to-date.

New Delhi,
March 26, 1965.
A. D. Pandit

Vice-President,
Indian Cauncil of Agricultural Research.

## PREFACE

The present set of volumes form Part II in the series of compendia of: Agricultural Field Experiments being published by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research under the project for National Index of Field Experiments and contains a unified record of experiments conducted at agricultural research stations and institutes all over the country. Volumes in:Part I in this series were published in 1962 and contained rresults of some 7,500 experiments conducted during the period 1948-53. The present set of volumes includes results of experiments conducted during the next period that is 1954-59. After the period, covered by Part I of the series, agricultural research and experimentation has expanded so much that for the period 1954-59, to which the present volumes refer, results of more than 15,000 experiments are available.

The present compendium is prepared on the same pattern as the previous one and is divided into 15 volumes one each for (1) Andhra Pradesh; (2) Assam, Manipur and Tripura, (3) Bihar, (4) Gujarat, (5) Kerala, (6) Madhya Pradesh, (7) Madras, (8) Maharashtra, (9) Mysore, (10) Orissa, (11) Punjab, Jammu and Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh, (12) Rajasthan, (13) Uttar Pradesh (14) West Bengal and (15) All Central Institutes. In each volume, background information of the respective state regarding its division into different soils and agroclimatic regions, rainfall and cropping pattern followed in each region and agricultural production and area under different crops in the State is given. The experiments reported in each volume have been arranged crop-wise for each State. All the experiments belonging to a particular crop at various research stations are Grouped together. For a particular crop, experiments are arranged according to the following classification :

Manurial (M), Cultural (C), Irrigational (I), Diseases, pests and chemicals other than fertilizers (D), Rotational (R), Mixed cropping (X) and combinations of these wherever they occur (e.g. GM as Cultural-cum-Manurial). Experiments in which crop varieties also form a factor are denoted by adding V to their symbol and are grouped together (e.g. MV as Manurial-cum-Varietal).

This publication owes its origin to the guidance and help of Dr. D.J. Finney, F.R.S., Professor of Statistics, Aberdeen University, Scotland, in formulating the project during his stay at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics as an F.A.O. expert in 195\%-53.

At the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics the work under the scheme was carried out under the supervision of Shri. T.P. Abraham, Assistant Statistical Adviser. The actual working of the scheme was conducted by Shri G.A. Kulkarni, Statistician till he left the Institute in July, 1964. The work was subsequently taken over by Shri O.P. Kathuria, Assistant Statistician. Messrs. L.B.S. Somayazulu, P.P. Rao, M.L. Sahni, Harbhajan Singh, A.L. Punhani, M.K. Joshi, N.K. Worrier, H.C. Jain and J.K. Kapoor of the statistical staff of the Institute deserve special mention for careful and painstaking work in editing and scrutiny of the manuscript as well as proofs of the compendium.

The burden of collecting the data from the various research stations and the analysis $o_{\text {a }}$ a large number of experiments once again fell on the regional staff of the Council placed in different States. They deserve to be congratulated for the hard work they have put in.

Thanks are due to the State Departments of Agriculture, the Central Institutes and the Commodity Committees who made the data of the experiments conducted under their jurisdiction readily available to the staff of the Institute. The present publication has become possible only through their unstinted co-operation. The Institute is also thankful to the various

## (vi)

officers in the States who worked as Regional Supervisors for the project from time to time and took keen interest in the working of the Scheme. The list of the names of the regional supervisors and the regional staff of the project is given on the following page.

## V.G. Panse

## New Delfi,

March 25, 1965.

## Statistical Adviser,

Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics (I.C.A.R.).

# REGIONAL SUPERVISORS AND REGIONAL STAFF FOR THE NATIONAL INDEX OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS 

| Region and Headquarter | Statistical staff from the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics. | Regional Supervisors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad) | S.K. Jilani <br> P.R. Yeri | Dr. Mohd. Quadiruddin khan, Joint Director of Agricultural. <br> Late Dr. Syed Waheeduddin. <br> Shri Md. Khasim Adoni, Joint Director of Extension. <br> Shri N.V. Mohana Rao, <br> Joint Director, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar. <br> Shri L. Venkataratnam, <br> Deputy Director of Agriculture (Research). |
| 2. Maharashtra (Poona) | P.D. Mehta <br> B. Raimakrishnan | Shri D.S. Rangarao, Statistician, Department of Agriculture. |
| 3. Gujarat <br> (Ahmedabad) | S.P. Doshi | Dr. D. K. Desai, <br> Deputy Director of Agriculture (Statistics). <br> Shri J.B. Trivedi, <br> Deputy Director of Agriculture (Statistics). |
| 4. Uttar Pradesh (Lucknow) | S.N. Bajpai <br> M.P. Saxena <br> G.N. Bahuguna <br> A.C. Srivastava | Dr. K. Kishen, Joint Director of Agriculture (Statistics). |
| 5. Madhya Pradesh (Bhopal) | $\dot{T}$. Loreswara Rao H.C. Gupta | Shri A.G. Khare, Statistician, Department of Agriculture. |
| 6. Punjab, Jammu \& Kashmir | A.C. Kaistha <br> B.L. Kaistha | Shri Piara Singh Sahota, Director of Crop Insurance. |
| \& Himachal <br> Pradesh <br> (Chandigarh) | M.S. Batra | Shri Mohinder Singh Pannu, Statistician, Department of Agriculture. |
| 7. Bihar (Sabour) | M.K. Joshi .P.C. Kholia | Shri G.P. Singh, Statistician, Department of Agriculture. <br> Shri R.S. Roy, <br> Principal, Agricultural Research Institute, Sabour. |
| 8. Rajasthan (Jaipur) | B.P. Dyundi <br> N.K. Ohri | Shri H.G. Kothari, Statistician, Department of Agriculture, |
| 9. Orissa <br> (Bhubaneswar) | L.B.S. Somayazulu | Shri B. Misra, <br> Deputy Director of Agriculture (Hq.) <br> Shri D. Misra, <br> Principal, Uttakal Krushi Mahavidyalaya, Bhubaneswar. |
| 10. West Bengal (Calutta) | S.N. Nath | Shri S.N. Mukerjee, Statistical Officer, Directorate of Agriculture: |


| 11. Madras (Coimbatore) | P. Prabhakara Rao <br> V. Venkateswara Rao | Late Shri M. Bhavani Sankar Rao, Vice-Principal and Secretary, Research Council, Agricultura! College and Research Institute, Coimbatore. <br> Shri T. Natarajan, Agronomist. <br> Shri A.H. Sarma, <br> Extension Specialist. <br> Shri V. Raman, <br> Secretary, Research Council. <br> Shri K.R. Nagaraja Rao, <br> Secretary, Research Council. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. Assam (Shillong) | T.K. Gupta | Dr. S.R. Barooha, Director of Agriculture, Assam. <br> Shri B.N. Duara, Joint Director of Agriculture, Assam. |
| 13. Mysore <br> (Bangalore) | K.A. Balakrishnan | Shri M.A. Wali, <br> Director of Statistics, <br> Shri B.V.S. Rao, <br> Assistant Director of Statistics. |
| 14. Kerala <br> (Trivandrum) | V.N. Iyer | Shri M. Janardanan nair, Director of Agriculture. <br> Shri N. Shankara Menon Director of Agriculture. <br> Shri P.D. Nair, <br> Director of Agriculture. |

## ABBREVIATIONS COMMON TO EXPERIMENTS ON ANNUAL AND PERENNIAL CROPS AND EXPERIMENTS ON CULTIVATORS' FIELDS.

Crops :- In the top left corner is given the name of the crop on which the experiment is conducted. Within brackets along side the crop is mentioned the season wherever the information is available.

Ref :- Against the sub-title 'reference' is mentioned the name of the State, the year in which the experiment is conducted and the serial number of the experiment for that year given in brackets.

Abbreviations adopted for States are as follows :-

1. A.P.-Andhra Pradesh
2. M.-Madras
3. As-Assam .
4. Mh.-Maharashtra
5. Bh.-Bihar
6. Ms.-Mysore
7. Gj.-Gujarat
8. Or.-Orissa
9. H.P.-Himachal Pradesh
10. Pb.-Punjab
11. J.K.-Jammu and Kashmir
12. Rj.-Rajasthan
13. K.-Kerala
14. U.P.-Uttar Pradesh
15. M.P.-Madhya Pradésh
16. W.B.-West Bangal

For the experiments conducted under the schemes sponsored by the Indian Concil of Agricultural Research like the Model Agronomic Experiments or the Simple Fertilizer Trials scheme no serial numbers have been given at the source as the data of these experiments were collected at the Headquarters (New Delhi). In such cases the abbreviations MAE, SFT or TCM are given in the brackets against the year in which the experiment is conducted.

Site :-Name of the Research Station is mentioned-alongwith the place where it is located, e.g. Agri. Res. Stn. for Agricultural Research Station.

For Central Institutes, the corresponding standard abbreviations have been adopted e.g. I.A.R.I. for the Indian Agricultural Research Institute.

In case of the experiments conducted on cultivators' fields whether under an Indian Council of Agricultural Research scheme or by the State Government, the abbreviation (c.f.) is given along with the site or centre as, for example, Cuttack (c.f.).

Type :- Abbreviations used against this item are one or more than one of the following :-

C-Cultural ; D-Control of Diseases and Pests ; I-Irrigational ; M-Manurial ; RRotational ; V-Varietal and X-Mixed cropping. e.g. CM is to be read as Cultural-cummanurial.

Object :- A statement of the objective of the experiment is given indicating the main crop and type of the experiment. In case of M.A.E., S.F.T. and T.C.M. experiments, the type to which the experiment corresponds is also given, e.g. Type V, Type A or B or $C$ etc.

Results :- Information under this heading should be read against the following items :-
(i) General mean. (ii) S.E. per plot. (iii) Results of test of significance. (iv) Summary table(s) with S.E. of com parison(s).

Other abbreviations used in the text of experiments :

Nitro. Phos.-Nitro. Phosphate
Ammo. Phos.-Ammonium Phosphate
A/S-Ammonium Sulphate
A/S/N.-Ammonium Sulphate Nitrate
C/A/N-Calcium Ammonium Nitrate

A/N-Ammonium Nitrate
A/C-Ammonium Chloride
C/N-Chilean Nitrate
N-Nitrogen
P-Phosphate

| K-Potash | F.M.-Fish Manure |
| :--- | :--- |
| B.M.-Bone meal | G.N.C.--Groundnut cake |
| Mur. Pot.-Muriate of Potash | M.C.-Municipal Compost |
| Pot. Sul.-Potassium Sulphate | T.C.-Town Compost |
| Super-Super Phosphate | lb.-Pounds |
| Zn. Sul.-Zinc Sulphate | Srs.-Seers |
| C/S-Copper Sulphate | B.D.-Basal dressing |
| G.M.-Green Manure | C.L.-Cart load |
| F.Y.M.-Farm Yard Manure | ac.-Acre |
| F.W.C.-Farm Waste Compost | Dical. Phos.-Dicalcium Phosphate |

Under the item (ii) (b) of the sub-heading 'Basal conditions' in the text of the experiment, the respective farm/station at which the experiment was conducted has been referred to for the soil analysis. The soil analysis of the farm, with other details of the research station is given under the background information of each state. The information regarding the details of experimental stations may be obtained under the respective items as given below :

## DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS

## A. General information :

(i) District and the nearest railway station with Latitude, Longitude and Altitude if available. General topography of the experimental area. (ii) Type of tract it represents. (iii) Year of establishment. (iv) Cropping pattern. (v) Programme of research.
B. Normal rainfall :

Average monthly rainfall specifying the period on which the figures are based.

## C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :

(i) (a) Whether available, if so, since when. (b) Type of facilities available. (ii) Whether there is a proper drainage system.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Broad soil type with depth, colour. and structure etc. (ii) Chemical analysis. (iii) Mechanical analysis.
E. No. of experiments:

No. of experiments conducted on different crops that have been included in the compendium.

Information under the following heads is to be read against the respective items as given below.

## BASAL CONDITIONS

## A. For experiments on annual crops:

(i) (a) Crop rotation if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crop. (State amount and kind). (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Date of sowing;planting. (iv) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing/planting. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedlings per hole. (v) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (vi) Variety. (vii) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing/planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season (x) Date of harvest.
B. For experiments on perennial crops :
(i) History of site including manuring and other operations. (ii) (a) Soil type. (b Soil analysis. (iii) Method of propagation of plants. (iv) Variety. (v) Date and method of sowing'planting. (vi) Age of seedlings at the time of planting. (vii) Basal dressing with time and method of application. (viii) Cultural operations during the year. (ix) Inter cropping if any. (x) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (xi) Rainfall during crop season. (xii) Date of harvest.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) (a) Grop rotation, if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crop. (ii) Soil type in general. (iii) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (iv) Variety. (v) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing. (c) Seed-rate.
(d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedlings per hole. (vi) Period of sowing/planting. (vii) Irrigatedg or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing/planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall duriu. crop season. (x) Period of harvesting.

## DESIGN

## A. For experiments on annual crops :

(i) Abbreviations for design : C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design. R.B.D.-Randomised Block Design, L. Sq.-Latin Square, Confd.-Confounded, Fact.-Factorial. (other designs and modifications of the above to be indicated in full.). (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions. (iii) No. of replications. (iv) Plot size. (a) Gross (b) Net. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Whether treatments are randomised (separately in each block).
B. For experiments on perennial crops :
(i) Abbreviations for designs: C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design; R.B.D.Randomised Block Design ; L.Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.-Confounded. (other designs and modifications of the above indicated in full). (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions. (iii) No. of replications. (iv) No. of trees/plot. (v) Border or guard' rows kept. (vi) Are treatments randomised.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) Method of selection of experimental sites. (ii) No. and distribution of experiments.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (iii) Plot size. (a) Gross. } & \text { (b) Net. } & \text { (iv) Whether treatments are randomised. }\end{array}$

## GENERAL

## A. For experiments on annual crops :

(i) Crop conditions during growth with date of lodging, if any. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places (a) names of the places along with reference and (b) reference to combined analysis, if any. (vi) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vii) Any. other important information.
B. For experiments on perennial crops:
(i) Crop condition during the year. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) reference to combined analysis; if any. (v) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vi) Any other important information.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) Crop condition during growth. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years, (a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places names of places alongwith reference. (vi) Abnormal occurrances, like heavy rains, frost, storm "etc., if any. (vii) Any other important information.

## TABLE OF CONVERSIONS TO METRIC UNITS

| 1 foot | $=304.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 acre | $=0.404606$ hectare. |
| 1 gram | $=0.035274$ ounce $=0.085735$ tola $=0.017147$ chatak |
| 1 kg. | $=2.20462$ pounds $=1.07169$ seers. |
| 1 metric tone | $=0.9842$ ton $=26.7923$ maunds. |
| 1 maund | $=0.373242$ quintal $=37.3242 \mathrm{~kg}$. |
| $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | $=1.12085 \mathrm{~kg} . /$ hectare. |
| $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | $=92.23002 \mathrm{~kg} . /$ hectare $=0.9223$ quintal/hectare. |
| 1 ton/ac. | $=2.51071$ metric tones $/$ hectare. |
| 1 gallon (Imp.) | $=4.54596$ litres. |

glossary of vernacular names of crops

| Sl. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical Name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayalam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hindi | Punjabi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Paddy | Oryza sativa L. | Dhan | Dhan | Dhano | Vadlu, Biyyamu | Nel | Nellu | Bhatta | Bhat | Dangar | Dhan, Chawal | Chaul; <br> Dhan |
| 2. | Wheat | Triticum sativum Lamk. ; <br> Triticum gestivum L. | Gaum, Ghehu | Gam | Gaham | Godumalu | Kothumai | Gothambu | Godhi | Gahu | Ghahu | Gehon | Kanak |
| 3. | Barley | Hordeum vulgare L. | Ja'dhan | Joba | Jaba, Barlhi or Jabadhana | Barley | Baarli arisi | Barley | Barley akki | Satu ; Jav | Jav | Jau | Jaun |
| 4. | Potato | Solanum tuberosum L. | Alooguti | Alu | Bilati Alu | Bangaladumpa, Urlagadda | Uruzhai kilangu | Urala kizangu | Alu gedde | Batata | Aloo, Batata | Aaloo | Alu |
| 5. | Brinjal | Solanum melongena L. | Bengena | Begun | Baigan | Vankaya | Katharikai | Vazhuthana | Badade kayi | Vange | Veagan | Baingan | Bengan ; <br> Bataun |
| 6. | Cauliflower | Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis L. | Phool Kabi | Fulkapi | Fula kobi | Poogobi | Gospoovu | Cauliflower | Hukosu | Phul kobi, Fulvar | Fulkobi, Fulvar | Phool Gobhy | Bataun Phul gobhi |
| 7. | Bhindi | $\dot{H}$ ibiscus esculentus; Abelmoschus esculentus Moench. | Bhendi | Dhenrosh | Vendi | Benda | Bendai Kai | Venda | Bende kayi. | Bhendi | Bhida <br> Bhinda | Bhindi | Bhindi ; Tori |
| 8. | Tomato | Lycopersicum esculentum Mill | Bilahi | Bilati begun | Bilati began bapatala ghant | Tomato ; Ramamulaka Seema vankaya | Thakkali | Thakkali | Tomato | Welwangi Tambati | Vilatiwagan Tameta | Tamattar | Tamatar |
| 9. | Onion | Allium cepa L. | Piyaz | Piaj | Peas, Ulli | Ulli | Vengayam ; <br> Erangagam | Ulli | Eerulli | Kanda | Dungli ; <br> Kando | Piaz ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Ganda; Payaz |
| 10. | Cabbage | Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata L . | Bandha Kabi | Bandhakapi | Bandha Kobi | L. Akugobi | Muttaikose | Muttakose | Yele kosu | Kobi | Kobij | Patgobhy | Band gobhi |
| 11. | Pea | Pisum sativam L. | Motor mah | Baramatar | Matar | Bataneelu | Pattani | Pattani | Batani | Matar | Vatana | Muttar | Mattar |
| 12. | Arhar | Cajanus cajan Milsp ; Cajanus indicus sprengl | Arahar | Arahar | Harad | Kandulu | Thuvarai | Thuvaran Payaru | Thogari | Tur | Tuver | Arhar | Harhar ; Arhar |
| 13. | Khesari | Lathyrus sativius L. | Khesar | Khesari | Khesari | Kasari <br> Pappu | Kaesari paruppu | - | Chikkathogari | Lakh | Lang | Chattri Mattar | - |

GLOSSARY OF VERNACULAR NAMES OF CROPS-contd.

| SI. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical Name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayalam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hindi | Punjabl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. | Gram | Cicer arietinum L. | Butmah | Chola | Boot | Sanagalı | Kadalai, Sundal Kadalai | Kadala | Kadale | Harbara | Chana | Chana | Chhole ; Chana |
| 15. | Sugarcane | Saccharum officinarum L. | Kuhiar | Akh | - | Cheruku | Karumbu | Karimbu | Kabbu | Oos | Sherdi | Ganna ; <br> Kamad; <br> Naishakar | Kamad ; <br> Ganna; <br> Eakh |
| 16. | Jute | Corchorus spp. | Marapat | Shada pat : Tosha pat | Jhota | Janumu | Chanapai | Chanambu | Senabu | Joot | Moti | Jute | Patsan |
| 17. | Groundnut | Arachis hypogaea L. | China Badam | Cheena badam | Chinabadam | Nelashanga | Nilakadalai | Nilakkadala | Kadale kayi | Bhuimug | Bhoising Magafali | Mungphali | Mungfali |
| 18. | Til | Sesamum indicum L. | Til | Til | Rasi | Navvulu | Ellu | Ellu | Yellu | Til, Tili | Tal | Til | Til |
| 19. | Linseed | Linum usitatissimum L. | Tisi | Tishi | Peshi | Avise | Alivithai | Cheruchanavithu | Agase | Javas, Alsi | Alsi | Alsi | Alsi |
| 20. | Toria | Brassica campestris var. toria Duth. | Sariah | Tori sarisha | - | Ava | Kadugu | - | - | Saras | Sarsav | Toria | Toria |
| 21. | Mustard | Brassica juncea Coss. | Sariah | Rai Sarisha |  | Avalu | Kadugu | Kaduku | Kempusasive |  | Rai | Rai | Rai |
| 22. | Banana | Musa paradisiaca L. | Kol | Paka kala | Kadali | Arati | Vazhai pazam | Vazha | Bile | Kele | Kela | Kela | Kela |
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## WEST BENGAL

## 1. General :

The State of West Bengal is situated between $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ and $27^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ North Latitudes, $86^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ and $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ East Longitudes. Along the north of the State stand the Himalyan ranges. The Bay of Bengal washes its southern boundary. In the east lie Bhutan, Assam and East Pakistan in the north Sikkim, in the west Nepal and Bihar and in the south-west Orissa State. The total area of the State, as per 'Agricultural Situation in India' is 21,874 thousand acres. The land utilization statistics of the State are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1
Land utilization statistics of West Bengal State (1962-63)

| Classification | Area in ’000 acres. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Net area by professional survey |  | 21874 |
| Forests |  | 2737 |
| Net area available for cultivation |  | 3196 |
| Other uncultivated land |  | 1554 |
| Current fallow |  | 936 |
| Net area sown |  | 13451 |
| Gross area sown | 15792 |  |
| Area sown more than once |  | 2341 |

(Source :- Department of Agriculture, Govt. of West Bengal).

## 2, Topography :

West Bengal can be divided into two natural geographical divisions. They are (1) the great plain of the Ganges and (2) Himalayan West Bengal. The upper limit of the first tract is the northern limit of West Dinajpur. The elevation of this tract increases as one goes farther west. The Bhagirathi acts as the great drain as well as boundary of this tract. To the east of this tract all rivers flow north to south with a south-easterly slant except Jalangi and Churni in Nadia which turn westward into the Bhagirathi. The second natural division, Himalayan West Bengal, is dominated by the mighty Himalayan range in the north wherefrom all rivers take their rise and flow southward with an easterly slant.

## 3. Soils :

The greater part of the plains of West Bengal is covered by alluvium. Laterite is noticed on the west and north from Orissa through Midnapur, Burdwan and Birbhum to the flanks of the Rajmahal hills where, in places, it is as much as 200 feet thick. Thick gneiss of the well foliated type, frequently passing into mica schist, constitutes the greater portion of the Darjeeling Himalayas.

According to the soil types, the State can be divided into two main divisions described as below:

## Himalayan West Bengal Division :

The Himalayan region is made up of the Darjeeling, Jalpaiguri and Cooch Behar districts. The soil is quite heavy and dark coloured, containing high percentage of organic matter and nitrogen. The soils of Darjeeling district appear to be highly weathered. The texture of the soils varies from clay to clay loam. The contents of lime, manganese, potash and phosphate are low perhaps due to heavy leaching. The content of alumina is much higher than ferric oxide. The humid and cold climate is evidently responsible for the accumulation of organic matter. The soils of Western Duars besides being highly deficient
in lime, show lack of phosphate and are mechanically less weathered than the rest of the soils. The soils of Jalpaiguri are of sandy nature, the proportion of sand being considerably greater in proportion to clay. The soils have lost the major amount of lime and have become highly deficient in potash and phosphate but are quite high in nitrogen content.

## West Bengal Plain Division:

Portions of Murshidabad, Bankura and entire Burdwan have the appearance of undulating plateau. It is composed mainly of the old alluvium and the area between the Damodar and the Bhagirathi is interspersed with some basaltic and granitic hills with laterite capping. The western part of this region is said to be occupied by lateritic soils. Probably the red soils are transported soils from the hills of Chhota Nagpur plateau. The soils of the Chhota Nagpur region divide themselves into two groups. To the first group belong the soils of Midnapur, Bankura, Burdwan and Birbhum. The soils of this group are almost similar in their chemical composition and physical properties. The second group of soils from Malda, Murshidabad, Howarh and Hoogly are mostly alluvial. Nadia soils contain calcium carbonate and are alkaline.

Besides the tracts mentioned above rest of Bengal is composed of low levels. The soils of southern most coastal part of the province are impregnated with saline deposits. This region has mostly alluvial soils which vary in texture from sandy to heavy clay. A peculiar feature of the alluvial region is the occurrence of 'bheels'. They are either old river beds or are formed by the gradual raising of river banks. The soils are dark bluish and heavy textured. They however, do not always contain a high percentage of nitrogen.

## 4. Climate \& Rainfall :

An important feature of the climatic conditions of the State is the periodic winds that blow across it. The seasonal winds are known as the monsoon. Two-thirds of the rainfall takes place from middle of March to end of October. The climate is, briefly speaking, tropical, of high humidity and moderately high temperature, with alternate dry and wet seasons. During the other months, temperature is lower and humidity moderate. In the cold season the average temperature is $64^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and during the hot season $83^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The high rainfall in Darjeeling and Jalpaiguri is due to the proximity of the mountains. Cyclonic storms usually prevail over longer periods and affect larger areas. During very hot days the air often remains full of moisture. Thunder storms are not rare happenings in the State. During hot seasons they occur every year and bring much coveted showers after long sultry days.

The season-wise normal rainfall for regions of the State is shown in Table 2.
TABLE 2.

## Season-wise rainfall in mm for different divisions of West Bengal.

| Divisions | June <br> to <br> September | October <br> to <br> December | January <br> to <br> February | March <br> to <br> May | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Himalayan W.B. | 2436 | 177 | 30 | 483 | 3126 |
| Gangetic W.B. | 1085 | 134 | 39 | 178 | 1436 |

(Source : "Monthly and annual normals of rainfall and of rainy days" published by the Director General of Observatory, New Delhi).

## 5. Irrigation :

About 3534 thousand acres of land is under irrigation which accounts for about $22.4 \%$ of the net cropped area. The distribution of the irrigated area according to source of irrigation is given in the Table 3 below :

TABLE 3
Area irrigated and different sources of irrigation 1962-63.

| Source of irrigation | Area in '000 acres | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt. canals | 1269 | 35.91 |
| Private canals | 958 | 27.11 |
| Tanks | 812 | 22.98 |
| Wells | 40 | 1.13 |
| Others | 455 | 12.87 |
|  | * |  |
| Total | 3534 | 100.00 |

(Source : Department of Agriculture, Govt. of West Bengal.)

## 6. Agricultural production and normal cropping pattern :

Paddy is by far the most important crop of the State. It covers a little over $71 \%$ of the total cropped area. Pulse crops account for about $1.9 \%$ of the total cropped area, while oil seed crops account for a little over $2 \%$. The area, total production and mean acre yields of different crops in the State are given in Table 4 below.

TABLE 4
Area, total production and mean acre yields of some important crops in West Bengal (1963-64)

| Name of the crop | Area in ${ }^{\prime} 000$ acres | Total production in '000 tons | Mean yield in lb ./ac. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rice | 11,197 | . 5250 | 1050 |
| Wheat | 136 | 32 | 527 |
| Maize | 135 | 35 | 581 |
| Barley | 98 | 23 | 526 |
| Ragi | 27 | 3 | 249 |
| Jowar | 7 | 2 | 640 |
| Smali millets | 24 | 6 | 560 |
| Gram | 390 | 89 | 511 |
| Tur | 113 | 38 | 753 |
| Other pulses | 1386 | 258 | 417 |
| Potato | 162 | 527 | 3.25@ |
| Tabacco | 37 | 11 | 666 |
| Ginger | 3 | 2 | 1493 |
| Chillies | 19 | 8 | 943 |
| Sugarcane | 81 | 1513 | 18.68@ |
| Jute | 1102 | 3270* | 1187 |
| Mesta | 296 | 710* | 959 |
| Mustard | 215 | 28 | 292 |
| Til | 14 | 2 | 320 |
| Linseed. | 105 | 10 | 213 |
| Other oil seeds | 7 | 2 | 640 |

@Tons/ac.
*Bales of 400 lbs . each.
(Source : Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Food and Agriculture).

## 7. Agricultural Research and Experimental Stations :

Agricultural Research Stations at Chinsurah, Burdwan, Berhampore, Bhanjang, Cooch Behar and Malda are some of the important stations in the State. Paddy is the main crop -on which maximum number of experiments are reported for the period of 1954.59. They
account for about $54.9 \%$ of the total number of experiments Next in importance is potato, which accounts for about $14.5 \%$ of the total number of experiments reported. The present volume contains 339 experiments reported from the State for the period 1954-59. The distribution of these experiments, crop-wise and type-wise, is given in table 5 below. Besides these experiments, a total number of 145 experiments belonging to Coordinated Model Agronomic Experiments Project conducted by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research on cultivator's fields are also included in the compendium.

TABLE 5
Crop-wise and type-wise distribution of Experiments

| Crop | M | MV | C | CV | CM | I | IM | D | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 157 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 11 | - | - | 2 | 186 |
| Wheat | 19 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 24 |
| Barley | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Potato | 27 | - | 6 | - | 7 | - | 1 | 8 | 49 |
| Brinjal | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cauliflower | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Bhindi | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Tomato | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Onion | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cabbage | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Pea | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Arhat | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Khesari | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Gram | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Sugarcane | 7 | - | - | -. | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Jute | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Groundnut | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Til | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Linseed | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Toria | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mustard | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mixed cropping | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Banana | 6 | - | 7 | - | - | 9 | - | - | 23 |
| Total | 227 | 2 | 41 | 25 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 339 |

About $67 \%$ of the experiments are purely manurial type and nearly $22 \%$ of the experiments are of cultural, cultural-cum-varietal and manurial types.

Randomised blocks design is the most commonly adopted design which accounts for about $67.6 \%$ of the total number of experiments. About $21.6 \%$ of the experiments are laid out in split-plot or strip-plot designs. About $9 \%$ are laid out in confounded designs. Plot sizes in all the experiments vary from 23 sq . ft. to 1800 sq . ft. Number of replications adopted in an experiment is as high as 12 and in confounded experiments the minimum number of replications is even $\frac{1}{3}$. Number of plots taken in a block in the case of R.B.D. ranges between 2 and 27, while number of sub-plots per main-plot varies from 2 to 15.

## PARTICULARS OF RESEARCH STATIONS, WEST BENGAL

## 1. State Agricultural Farm, Bankura.

'A. General information :
(i) In Bankura tehsil of Bankura district. Latitude $-23.25^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $-87.10^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude-84 metres. Gently undulating ground. (ii) Lateritic tract. (iii) Established in 1922. (iv) Paddy, cotton, groundnut, jute, maize, soyabean, dhaincha are grown during kharif season and wheat during rabi season. (v) The following experiments are conducted: (a) Varietal trial and performance trial on cotton, groundnut, soyabean, maize and wheat to determine suitable varieties, observe the performances of these crops in this tract. (b) Trial to evolve disease resistant paddy varieties and to find out the effect of G.M. with phosphate on paddy in different situations.
B. "Normal rainfall in cm: .

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}27 & 33 & 31 & 20 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 10 & 143\end{array}$ (Average rainfall data is based on the period 1950-1959).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are available from the tanks by operating irrigation pump. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Laterite, $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ deep and gravel in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis :

Early aman land Low lying aman land High land

| Organic matter | - | - | 2.62 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moisture (air dry) | 2.260 | 2.380 | 1.60 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ andFe $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | - | - | 9.96 |
| CaO | 0.340 | $0.2 \mathrm{Cl}^{2}$ | 0.17 |
| MgO | 0.410 | 0.580 | 0.43 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.025 | 0.034 | 0.034 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.520 | 0.590 | 0.860 |
| pH | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| Nitrogen | 0.040 | 0.055 | 0.028 |

(iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-11, Total $=11$.

## 2. State Agricultural Farm, Berhampore.

## A. General information :

(i) In Murshidabad district. Latitude- $24.08^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude- $88.16^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude- $62^{\prime}$ above mean sea level. Composed of high and low land surrounded by Bheel on all sides with
excellent facilities for irrigation all the year. (ii) Sandy loam (alluvial) (iii) Established in 1921. (iv) Oil seed and pulses (rabi) followed by paddy and other kharif oilseeds and pulses. Sugarcane -fallow-oilseeds-pulses and potato. (v) Manurial, agro nomical and breeding aspects of oilseeds, pulses, paddy, sugarcane, potato and wheat crops.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

C. Irrigation and drainage facilities:
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are available since the establishment of the farm. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Sandy loam and browinsh in colour. (ii) Chemical analysis: pH 6.2 to 8.4, Total nitrogen 0.02 to $0.04 \%$, Total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.04$ to $0.23 \%$, Total $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 0.40$ to $1.33 \%$, Total calcium 0.22 to $2.17 \%$ and Organic matter 0.32 to $0.53 \%$, (iii) Mechanical analysis - N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-12, Potato-4, Arhar-5, Sugarcane-1, Groundnut-6, Til-4, Lin-seed-2, Mixed cropping-3, Total-37.

## 3. State Agricnltural Farm, Bhanjang.

A. General information :
(1) In Ghum, Bhanjang tehsil of Darjeeling district. Altitude-7,200' Elevation is ranging from $6,800^{\prime}$ to $7,200^{\prime}$ from sea level. Situated on the western side of a hillock. Naturally, terrace cultivation is followed. (ii) Hilly tract. (iii) Established to 1957. (iv) Potato (summer only) (v) Research is being done on potato crop.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 99 | 63 | 16 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 3 | 31 | 36 | 312 |

(Average rainfall data is for the year 1938).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are available since 1957. (ii) Proper drinage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Loamy, brown in colour, depth ranging from $3^{\prime}$ to $8^{\prime}$. (ii) Chemical analysis and
(ii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments:

Potato-16, Total-16.

## 4. State Agricultural Farm Burdwan.

A. General information :
(i) In Burdan district. Latitude- $23^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $88^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude- $102^{\prime}$. Plain land consisting of medium high, medium low and low aman paddy lands. (ii) Damodar flat lands. (iii) Established in 1950. (iv) Kharif : Aus paddy, jute, aman paddy. Rabi: Wheat, mustard and pulses. (v) As per decision of the Departmental Expert Committee and I.C.A.R. Schemes as approved by the Director of Agriculture, West Bengal.
B. Normal rainfall in cm.:

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27 & 22 & 47 & 52 & 56 & - & - & - & - & 4 & - & 18\end{array} 226$
(Average rainfall data is for the year 1959-1960.)
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) A part of the field is under assured irrigation by Baluka jheel across the farm, tank and deep tube well since 1954. (iii) Drainage is no problem excepting during innundation of flood water.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Grey in colour and granular in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis: pH 5.2 to 6.3 , Soluble salt 0.25 to 0.70 milli mhos./cm., available nitrogen 171 to $269 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 11$ to 23 lb ./ac. (iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments:

Paddy--36, Wheat-5, Potato -7, Sügarcane -6, Total $=54$.

## 5. State Agricultural Farm, Chinsurah.

## A. General information :

(i) In Chinsurah tehsil of Hooghly district. Latitude- $22^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude- $88^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Altitude-28. The farm is situated in the Gangariverine zorie of West Bengal and the whole farm area is low lying, mainly suitable for paddy cultivation. (ii) Gangetic old alluvial flat low land. (iii) Established in 1908. (iv) Mainly single cropped, partially double and tripple cropping are also practised. (v) To evolve new high yielding strains, optimum doses of fertilizers, cultural operation methods, requirement of water, protective measures on pests and diseases, specially on paddy.

## B. Normal rainfall in cm.:

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec: | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 29 | 30 | 21 | 10 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 148 |

(Average rainfall data is based on the period 1942 to 1952. )
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Inadequate irrigation facilities are available since 1935. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Clayey, $12^{\prime \prime}$ deep, blackish brown in colour and fine in st ucture. (ii) Chemical analysis : $\mathrm{pH} 6.8, \mathrm{~N} 0.08 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.09 \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 0.86 \%$, C.A. $0.84 \%, \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 13.68 \%$, Carbon $0.76 \%$, sesqui oxide $21 \cdot 44 \%$. (iii) Mechanical analysis: Air dry Moisture $7.43 \%$, clay $55.75 \%$, silt 30.0 ) , fine sand $6.53 \%$ and coarse sand $0.79 \%$.
E. No. of experiments:

Paddy-75, Banana-22, Total-97.

## 6. State Agricultural Farm, Cooch Behar.

## A. General information :

(i) In Cooch Behar tehsil of Cooch Behar district. Latitude- $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude$89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude-136'. The land of the farm is uneven (ii) N.A (iii) Established in 1937. (iv) Kharif: Aus paddy, aman paddy, jute, maize, fodder, GM., rabi : wheat, pulse, sugarcane, tobacco, winter vegetables, mustard and potato. (v) Experiments on manurial, varietal and agronomic aspects are conducted in this farm both in rabi and kharif seasons.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccrr}\text { June } & \text { July } & \text { Aug. } & \text { Sept. } & \text { Oct. } & \text { Nov. } & \text { Dec. } & \text { Jan. } & \text { Feb. } & \text { March } & \text { April } & \text { May } & \text { Total } \\ 77 & 50 & 85 & 30 & 20 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 11 & 61 & 342\end{array}$
(Average rainfall data is based on the period 1956 to 1958.)
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and
(b) Irrigation facilities are not available.
(ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Sandy loam, 4' to $6^{\prime}$ deep, light grey to grey in colour, lose in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis and (iii) Mechanical analysis :

| Depth | $0-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $6^{\prime \prime}-20^{\prime \prime}$ | $20^{\prime \prime}$ to $31^{\prime \prime}$ | $31^{\prime \prime}-41^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airdry moisture | 3.32 | 0.52 | 1.34 | 0.26 |
| Loss on ignition | 4.91 | 1.18 | 4.89 | 0.90 |
| Sesquioxide | 10.25 | 12.44 | 15.95 | 9.26 |
| Ca O | 0.27 | 0.56 | 1.56 | 1.16 |
| MgO | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.09 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.46 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.74 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Coarse sand | 1.19 | 1.41 | 0.68 | 24.82 |
| Fine sand | 70.66 | 77.47 | 2872 | 65.72 |
| Silt | 20.73 | 15.58 | 59.79 | 6.01 |
| Clay | 5.8 | 3.31 | 8.36 | 2.60 |
| CaCO | - | 0.25 | 1.00 | 0.50 |
| pH | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Total Base 0.5N | 4.2 | 19.4 | 59.8 | 38.4 |
| acetic acid m.e.\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5N acetic acid soil | 2.4 | 16.8 | 47.6 | 36.8 |
| Ca m.e.\% |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon | 0.453 | 0.139 | 0.194 | 0.08 |
| Nitrogen | 0.062 | $C .014$ | 0.021 | 0.008 |

E. No. of experiments

Paddy-10, Wheat-3, Jute-1, Total $=14$.

## 6. State Seed Multiplication Farm, Fulia

A. General information:
(i) In Santpur tehsil of Nadia district. Latitude- $20^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude- $88^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude $48^{\prime}$ above main sea level. Undulating lands. (iii) Ganga riverine. (iii) Established in 1953. (iv) Kharif-Aus, paddy jute and aman paddy; Rabi-wheat, gram, potato and mustard. (iv) Seed multiplication cotton and root crops.
B. Normal rainfall in cm.:

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 32 | 29 | 35 | 14 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 164 |

(Average rainfall data is based on the period 1956 to 1959).

## C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :'

(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation is done by the deep tube wells since 1953 (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $1_{\frac{1}{2}}$ deep, light brown to dark brown in colour, single grain to crumb structure.
(ii) Chemical analysis :

|  | High land | Low land |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.076 | 0.124 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.72 | 1.46 |
| pH | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| Nitrogen | 0.032 |  |

(iii) Mechanical analysis - N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Wheat-1, Potato-3, Jute-1, Total $=5$.

## 8. State Agriculture Farm, Gosaba.

A. General information :
(i) In Gosaba tehsil of 24 Parganas district. Latitude- $22^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude-- $88^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude-14'M.S.L. (ii) Clay to clay loam. (iii) N.A.(N) Paddy etc. (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Noy. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 26 | 39 | 37 | 23 | 14 | 3 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 154 |

(Average rainfall data is for the year 1956-1957).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation and drainage facilities are not available. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Clay loam, $9^{r}$ deep, grey in colour. (ii) $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 4.98$ to $6.42 \%$, MgO 2.04 to $2.30 \%$, $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 8.44$ to $11.08 \%, \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 0.06$ to $0.11 \%, \mathrm{CaO} 0.50$ to $0.97 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.108$ to $0.113 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 0.18 to $0.52 \%$ pH 7. 30 to 8.13 (iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments:

Paddy-1, Total $=1$.

## 9. State Agricultural Farm, Haringhat.

A. General information to C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :

Information-N.A.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Loam. (ii) Chemical analysis : Nitrogen $0.1 \% \%$, Total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.009 \%$, Available${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.0054 \%$, pH 7.0 (iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experimenis .

Paddy-1, Total-1.

## 10. State Agricultural Farm, (Govt. Farm) Hathwara, (Purulia).

A. General information:
(i) In Hathwara tehsil of Purulia district. Latitude- $23^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $-86^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Altitude-700'. Undulating land. (ii) Laterite. (iii) Established in 1956. (iv) Bhadui millets and pulses, paddy and kulthi. (v) Trials on promising selections of errect spreading groundnut.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation is done by well water. There are six wells in all. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Sandy loam, $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $1^{\prime}$ deep, reddish in colour, gravelly in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis : pH 5.2 , Soluble salt $0.028 \%$, available nitrogen 193 lb ./ac., available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 31 lb. 'ac. (iii) Mechanical analysis : Sandy loam, chips and pieces in all the samples.
E. No. of experiments :

$$
\text { Paddy }-8, \text { Wheat-1, Potato }-1 \text {, Total }=10 .
$$

## 11. State Agricultural Farm, Kalimpong.

A. General information:
(i) $\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{n}}$ Dongra block tehsil of Kalimpong district. Latitude $-27^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $-89^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude- $3200^{\prime}$ to $3800^{\prime}$. Gently slopping with terraces. (ii) Eastern Himalayan tract :Darjeeling Hill). (iii) Established in 1907. (iv) Kharif-Maize, paddy and vegetables. Rabi : Mustard, wheat and vegetables. (v) N.A.
B. N'crmal rainfall in cm.:

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | 70 | 50 | 33 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 8 | 10 | 234 |

(Average rainfall data is based on the period 1949-1958).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Town Sewage in about 10 acres of land. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Sandy, clay loam, $1^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ deep, red and dark brown in colour. (ii) Chemical analysis : pH 6.0 , nitrogen $0.14 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.007 \%$, potash $0.007 \%$. (iii) Mechanical analysis -N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-1, Wheat-1, Cauliflower-3, Tomato-2, Ónion-1, Cabbage-2, Pea-1, Total $=11$.

## 12. State Agricultural Farm, Kalyani.

A. General information to $D$. soil type and soil analysis :

Information-N.A.
E. No. of experiments:

Wheat-4, Potato-4, Mustard-2, Totai $=10$.

## 13. Horticultural Research Station, Krishnagar.

A. General information.
(i) In Krishnagar Sadar tehsil of Nadia district. Latitude- $23^{\circ}$. $24^{\prime}$, Longitude$88^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ and Altitude $48^{\prime}$ above M.S.L. Flat and plain land. (ii) Non alluvium tract. (iii) Established in 1934. (iv) Mainly fruits and vegetables. (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm.:

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 146 |
| (Average rainfall data is based on 20 years). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C. Irrigation and drainage facilities:
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation is done by $2^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ " tube well since 1934 and 1958 respectively. (ii) Only surface drainage is practised.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Loam to silty loam, light to dark grey in colour and granular in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis : pH 6.1 to 6.8 , available nitrogen 193.2 to 277.2 lb ./ac., available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 16.0 to $131.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Banana-1, Total=1.

## 14. State Agricultural Farm, Krishnagar.

A. General information to D. Soil type and soil analysis :

Information-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Wheat-1, Brinjal-1, Cauliflower-2, Bhindi-1, Tomato-3, Cabbage-1, Total=9.

## 15. Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar.

A. Generál information :
(i) In Krishnagar tehsil of Nadia district. (ii) N.A. (iii) Established in 1952. (iv) Jute, mustard, gram, wheat, aus paddy. (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}23 & 35 & 44 & 32 & 5 & - & - & 4 & - & - & 1 & 35 & 179\end{array}$
(Average rainfall data is for the year 1965-1966).
C. Irrigation and drainagè facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are available since 1954. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Clay loam, grey in colour. (ii) Chemical analysis and (iii) Mechanical analysisNA.
E. No. of experiments:

Potato-6, Jute-1, Total=7.

## 16. State Seed Multiplication Farm, Majhian.

A. General information:
(i) In West Dinajpur district. Lalitude- $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude- $88^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. (ii) Sandy loam. (iii) to (iv) N.A. (v) Reserch is being done on aman paddy and rabi crops.
B. Normal rain fall in cm.
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccr}\text { June } & \text { July } & \text { Aug. } & \text { Sept. } & \text { Oct. } & \text { Nov. } & \text { Dec. } & \text { Jan. } & \text { Feb. } & \text { March } & \text { April } & \text { May } & \text { Total } \\ 30 & 35 & 34 & 29 & 10 & 1 & - & 8 & - & 1 & - & - & 148\end{array}$
(Average rain fall data is for the year 1956-1957).

## C. Irrigation and drainogo facilities :

(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are not available. (ii) No proper drainage system exists:
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Sandy loam, $6^{\prime \prime}$ deep, loam and clay loam. (ii) Chemical analysis: $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 2.21 \%$ $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 015 \%, \mathrm{CaO} 0.15 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.61 \%, \mathrm{pH} 5.8 \mathrm{C} 5.8 \%, \mathrm{~N} 0.08 \%$ (iii) Mechanical analysis: Coarse sand $6.2 \%$ fine sand $43.65 \%$, silt $32.05 \%$ and clay $16.75 \%$
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-3, Total $=3$.

## 17. State Agricultural Farm, Malda.

A. General information:
(i) In Meheshpur tehsil of Malda district. Soil becomes hard during rabi season. Quality of soil is good, levelling of land is also satisfactory but not up to the desired standard. (ii) Clay lnam (stiff.). (iii) Established in 1926. (iv) Kharif-Paddy, jute, jowar, cotton etc., rabi : mustard, wheat, lentil, til etc. (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total

| 36 | 42 | 23 | 13 | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 9 | 131 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Average rainfall is for the year 1965-1966).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation is done by deep tube well and tank since 1952. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Clay loam and sandy loam. (ii) Chemical analysis and (iii) Mechanical analysis -N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-1, Wheat-4, Barley-1, Potato-6, Toria-2, Total=14.

## 18. State Agricultural Farm, Maynaguri.

A. General information :
(i) In Madhya Khagrahari tehsil of Jalpaiguri. Latitude- $22^{\circ}<5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude$87^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude-272' G.T.S. In general plots are gradually slopping towards both east and south. (ii) Tista riverine tract. (iii) Established in 1926. (iv) Kharif-Aman paddy, jute, sugarcane, maize, jowar and vegetable, rabi: wheat-mustard-tobacco-maize and vegetable. (v) To carry out field experiments suggested by different experts.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total

| 92 | 120 | 91 | 33 | 14 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 11 | 41 | 407 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Average rainfall data is based on the period 1954-58).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation done by perennial river flowing just by the side of the farm. (ii) Provided with a sluice gate at the deeper point of the farm for exit of excess of accumulated rain water.

## D. Soil type and soil analysis:

(i) Clay, grey black soil. (ii) Chemical analysis : Average pH value of medium plots is $5 \cdot 6$. In general the high land plots are low in organic content like potash and lime. Other information are not available. (iii) Mechanical analysis. The high land plots are in general of sandy loam type and low land plots are of clay loam type. Other information is not available.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy -5, Total $=5$.

## 19. State Agricultural Farm, Midnapore.

A. General information:
(i) In Faringdanga tehsil of Midnapore district. Latitude- $22^{\circ} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude$87^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, and Altitude-149' above M.S.L. High land. (ii) Red laterite zone. (iii) Established in 1937. (iv) Paddy (aus and aman), groundnut, sugarcane, jowar, maize, mustard, potato and wheat etc. (v) Mainly manurial and varietal trials are conducted at this farm.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 13 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 152 |
| (Period-N.A.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C. Irrigation and drainage facilities .
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are partly available since 1959. (ii) Drainage system is sound and good.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Sandy loam, $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ deep, red in colour and crumb in structure. (ii) Chemical analysis : pH 6.1 , loss on ignition $2.75 \%, \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 1.95 \%, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 3.99 \%$, $\mathrm{CaO} 0.22 \%$, $\mathrm{MgO} 0.20 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.05 \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 0.27 \%$ and $\mathrm{N} 0.05 \%$. (iii) $\cdot$ Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-6, Potato-1, Total=7.

## 20. State Seed Multiplication Farm, Nalhati.

A. General information :
(i) In Nalhati, Gopalpur tehsil of Birbhum district. The lay out of the farm is not yet done. The plots are irregular in shape. The lands are undulating and thereby terrace cultivation is practised. (ii) Laterite tract. (iii) Established in 1955. (iv) Paddy, sugarcane, wheat, pulses and orchard. (v) Complex manurial trial, radio phosphate on paddy and varietal trials on sugarcane, manurial and varietal trial on wheat are conducted.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 22 | 39 | 24 | 7 | 3 | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | 10 | 138 |

(Average rainfall data is for the year 1966).

## C. Irrigation and drainage facilities:

(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation is done by canal, tanks and jheel since 1963. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Loamy, clayey and clay loam, $2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ deep, brown, black and ash in colour. (ii) Chemical analysis.

| Particulars | PH | Soluble Salt | Organic | Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | milli mobs/cm. | Carbon \% | phosphate lb./ac. |
| Block A High | 5.2 (A) | 0.25 (N) | 0.48 (L) | 18.4 (L) |
| Medium | 5.6 (A) | 0.22 (N) | 0.54 (M) | 19.6 (L) |
| Low | 6.1 (A) | 0.15 (N) | 0.30 (L) | 25.0 (M) |
| Block B High | 6.4 (N) | 0.35 (N) | 0.48 (L) | 30.4 (M) |
| Medium | 7.4 (N) | 0.30 (N) | 0.51 (M) | 15.6 (L) |
| Low | 6.8 (N) | 0.35 (N) | 0.39 (L) | 15.6 (L) |
| Block C High | 6.1 (N) | 0.26 (N) | 0.51 (M) | 38.4 (M) |
| Medium | 5.7 (A) | 0.10 (N) | 0.39 (L) | 23.0 (M) |
| Low | 5.9 (A) | 0.15 (N) | 0.39 (L) | 23.0 (M) |
| Block D High | 5.9 (A) | 0.25 (N) | 0.36 (L) | 19.2 (L) |
| Medium | 5.0 (A) | 0.18 (N) | 0.30 (L) | 260 (M) |
| Low | 5.6 (A) | 0.35 (N) | 0.57 (M) | 136 (L) |
| Block E High | 6.7 (N) | 0.25 (N) | 0.36 (L) | 11.2 (L) |
| Medium | 6.0 (N) | 0.27 (N) | 0.33 (L) | 12.0 (L) |
| Low | 5.1 (A) | 0.16 (N) | 0.54 (M) | 12.0 (L) |
| Block F High | 6.6 (N) | 0.40 (N) | 0.54 (M) | 26.4 (M) |
| Medium | 6.0 (N) | 0.25 (N) | 0.63 (M) | 16.4 (L) |
| Low | 5.2 (A) | 0.19 (N) | 0.54 (M) | 22.0 (M) |
| Block G High | 5.7 (A) | 0.23 (N) | 0.39 (L) | 22.0 (M) |
| Medium | 5.5 (A) | 0.35 (N) | 0.30 (L) | 18.0 (L) |
| Low | 5.7 (A) | 0.19 (N) | 0.30 (L) | 33.0 (M) |
| Block H Low | 5.2 (A) | 0.20 (N) | 0.42 (L) | 18.0 (L) |
| Orchord Block A. | 5.6 (A) | 0.20 ( N$)$ | 0.32 (L) | 22.0 (M) |
| Low block | 5.3 (A) | 0.10 (N) | 0.57 (M) | 18.0 (L) |

A-acid, N -normal, $\mathrm{L}-$ low and M -medium.
(iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-2, Khesari-1, Total $=3$.

## 21. State Agricultural Farm, Rangball.

A. General information to $D$. Soil type and soil analysis :

Information-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Potato-1, Total $=1$.

## 22. State Agricultural Farm, Sriniketan.

A. General information:
(i) In Sural Mauza tehsil of Birbhum district. Latitude- $23^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $-87^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude-191' above M.S.L. The area in which the experiments are being conducted was a danges land. The experiments started after proper levelling with a slight slope to the western side for proper drainage. (ii) Old alluvial tract. (iii) Established in 1924. (iv) N.A. (v) To conduct experiments under the guidance of Government of West Bengal on paddy and manure.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. March April May Total $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11 & 25 & 27 & 31 & 10 & - & - & 3 & - & - & 3 & 10 & 120\end{array}$
(Average rainfall data is for the year 1958-1959).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities:
(i) (a) and (b) Irriagtion is done by tank water since 1930. (ii) Proper drainage system. exits.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Old alluvium upto a depth of $3^{\prime}$, murram beneath $3^{\prime}$, straw colour upto 3'. Granular up to the depth of $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$. (ii) Chemical analysis, $\mathrm{N} 0.04 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 0.002 \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $0.034 \% \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 2.925 \%, \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 0.025 \%, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 4.627 \%, \mathrm{CaO} 0.116 \%$. (iii) Mechanical. analysis : Moisture $2.56 \%$, coarse sand $46.27 \%$, fine sand $21.85 \%$, total sand $59.91 \%$, silt $10.00 \%$, clay $12.75 \%$ and pH 5.6 .
E. No. of experiments :

Paddy-2, Total $=2$

## 23. State Agricultural Farm, Suri.

A. General information :
(i) In Suri tehsil of Birbhum district, 2 miles from Suri Railway Station. Latitude $23^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $-87^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ and Altitude $219^{\prime}$ M.S.L. (ii) Lateritic tract. (iii) Established in 1922. (iv) Paddy and rabi crops (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}\text { June } & \text { July } & \text { Aug. } & \text { Sept. } & \text { Oct. } & \text { Nov. } & \text { Dec. } & \text { Jan. } & \text { Feb. } & \text { March } & \text { April } & \text { May } & \text { Total } \\ 26 & 33 & 32 & 41 & 9 & 1 & - & 9 & 2 & 2 & - & - & 131\end{array}$
(Average rain fall data is for the year 1956-1957).
C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are not available. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis .
(i) Lateritic sandy . loam, $6^{\prime \prime}$ deep (ii) Chemical analysis : $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{3}} 4.08 \%, \mathrm{CaO}$ $0.21 \%$. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 0.22 \%, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 5.45 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.05 \%, \quad \mathrm{C} 0.54 \%, \mathrm{~N} 0.06 \%$ Ex. Ca. m.e. $4.24 \%$, pH 5.60 (iii) Mechanical analysis-N.A.

IE. No. of experiments :
Paddy-12, Total $=12$.

## 24. State Agricultural Farm, Tollyganj.

A. General information :
(i) In 24 Parganas district. Latitude $22^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude-88.23'E. (ii) Gangetic high land. (iii) Established in 1950. (iv) N.A. (v) Experiments are conducted on wheat, cotton. and paddy.
E. Normal rainfall in cm. :
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}\text { June } & \text { July } & \text { Aug. } & \text { Sept. } & \text { Oct. } & \text { Nov. } & \text { Dec. } & \text { Jan. } & \text { Feb. } & \text { March } & \text { April } & \text { May } & \text { Total } \\ 13 & 32 & 24 & 31 & 12 & 2 & - & 5 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 137\end{array}$
(Average rainfall data is for the year 1958-1959).

## C. Irrigation and drainage fucilities:

(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are not available. (ii) No proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis :
(i) Gangatic alluvial, 0 to $9^{\prime \prime}$ deep, light grey and weak crumb structure. (ii) Chemical analysis : $\mathrm{CaO} 0.67 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.21 \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 0.35 \%$. Ex. basis 0.5 , A.c. m.e. $13.75 \%$, Ex. $\mathrm{Ca} 12.25 \%$, $\mathrm{C} 0.93 \%$ and $\mathrm{N} \quad 0.07 \%$ (iii). Mechanical analysis-N.A.
E. No. of experiments :

Gram-1, Total=1.
2.5. State Agricultural Research Institute (College Farm), Tollyganj.
A. General information:
(i) In 24 Parganas district. Latitude- $22^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Longitude $88^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and Altitude $21^{\prime}$ M.S.L. (ii) Gangatic high land. (iii) N.A. (iv) Wheat, cotton and paddy. (v) N.A.
B. Normal rainfall in cm. :

| June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 32 | 24 | 31 | 12 | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average rainfall data is for the year | $1958-1959)$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C. Irrigation and drainage facilities :
(i) (a) and (b) Irrigation facilities are not avilable. (ii) Proper drainage system exists.
D. Soil type and soil analysis:
(i) Gangatic alluvial, $9^{\prime \prime}$ deep. Light grey, weak crumb structure. (ii) Chemical analysis: $\mathrm{CaO} 0.67 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.21 \%, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 0.35 \%$, Ex. bases O.5. A.C. me $13.75 \%$, Ex. Ca $12.75 \%$, $\mathrm{C} 0.93 \%, \mathrm{~N} 0.07 \%, \mathrm{pH} 6.77$ to 6.82 (iii) Mechanical analysis -N.A.
E. No. of experiments:

Wheat-1, Mixed cropping-3, Total $=7$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Ref:- W.B. 54(58).
Type :- \(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{m}}\).
```

Object :-To study the effect of different seed rates of dhaincha on the following crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Dhaincha. (b) As per.treatments. (c) 10 bb/ac. of N as A/S and $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $18.6 \cdot 1954 / 2988.1954$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Ajan-254 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) I weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) 25.29". (x) 9.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

9 seed rates of dhaincha $: R_{0}=0, R_{1}=5, R_{2}=10, R_{3}=15, R_{4}=20, R_{5}=25, R_{6}=30, R_{7}=35$ and $R_{8}=$ $40 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Dhaincha was sown on 18.6.1954, germinated on 22.6.1954, harvested and ploughed in on 7.8.1954.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) $9 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 20 \frac{1}{3}^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 19 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A
(vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1981 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $171.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment (ifferences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{7}} \cdot$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1498 | 1916 | 1898 | 2129 | 2102 | $\mathbf{1 9 6 6}$ | 2084 | 2002 | 2234 |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | $85.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

> Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref:- W.B. 55(53).<br>Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}{ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of different seed rates of dhaincha on the following crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Dhaincha. (b) As per treatments. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Latєrite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $26.6 .1955 / 22.8 .1955$ : (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Ajan-246 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $15.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.12 .1955 .
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same a's in expt, no. 54(58) above:
Dhaincha was sown on 26.6.1955, harvested and ploughed in on 13.8.1955.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1384 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $317.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 853 | 1126 | 1398 | 1507 | 1539 | 1694 | 1712 | 1149 | 1467 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 56(9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different seed rates of dhaincha on the following crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Dhaincha. (b) As per treatments. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $30.6 .1956 / 23.8 .1956$. (iv) (a) 3 plougbings and 2 laddering. (b) Transplanted. (c) $10 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Ajan-246 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $42.50^{\circ}$. (x) 14.12 .1956 .
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54;58) on page 1.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2332 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 232.4 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. 'iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2161 | 2288 | 2129 | 2356 | 2388 | $\mathbf{2 2 2 5}$ | 2366 | 2697 | 2379 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | $116.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
```

Ref :- W.B. 54(21).

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of ap slication of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(1) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil (kamali land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $16.6 .1954 / 24.8$. 1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bankura-25 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 39.09". (x) 8.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +control.
(1) 2 leveis of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Top dressing and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Layering.

N applied after 4 weeks of transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iy) (a) $27.75^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 17.25^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a Bankura on shole land. (b) No. (vi) Due to inadequate rainfall in July and August, the work of transplanting was hampered and due to lack of rain in Novemter (milky stage), the crop got a set back. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2113 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. (ii) $150.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Control vs. fertilizers and M effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1327 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2099 | 2139 | 2119 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 2397 | 2602 | 2500 |
| Mean | 2248 | 2370 | 2309 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=75.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Ref :- W.B. 55(18).
Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow... (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil (kamali land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $25.6 .1955 / 8.9 .1955$. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} . / a c$. (d) $9^{7 \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bankura-25 (medium). (vii) 2 weedings. (ix) $36.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.12 .1955 ,
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(21) on page 2.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield, (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Bankura on shole land. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1781 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $196.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Control vs. fertilizers and M effects are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1567 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1936 | 1923 | 1930 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1514 | 1964 | 1739 |
| Mean | 1724 | 1944 | 1834 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=69.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=98.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref:- W.B. 56(57).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object : - To study the effect of different methods of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Ni. (ii) (a) Laterite, (Kamali land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 22.6.1956/1.8.1956. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bankura-25 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing at the time of manuring. (ix) $42.5^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN

Same as in expt. no. 54(21) on page 2.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Bankura on shole land. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1900 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 202.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Only 'control $v s$. others' effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=71.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=101.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(22). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura. | Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil (shole land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $16.6 .1954 / 30.8 .1954$. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi' Bhasamanik (Chinsuruh type 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 39.09". (x) 14.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(21) on page 2.

## G ENERAL:

(i) Normal. No lodging. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Bankura on Kamali land. (b) No. (vi) Due to inadequate rainfall in July and August, the work of transplanting was hampered and due to lack of rains in the month of November (milky stage), the crop sot set back. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2035 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $121.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ and 'control vs. fertilizers' are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ is significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1649 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =43.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}, \\ \text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =60.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri: Farm, Bankura.

Ref :- W.B. 55(19).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of application of A/S on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil (shole land). (b) Refer soil adalysis, Bankura, (iii) 25.6.1955/16.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) - $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (chinsurah type 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings (ix) $36.18^{\circ \prime}$. (x) 5.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(21) on page 2.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No mal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Bankura on Kamali land. (b) No. (vi) aad (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTTS :

(i) $2045 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 224.5 ib ./ac. (iii) 'Control vs. others' effect is highly significant and main effect of N is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Conitrol }=1689 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1883 | 2157 | 2020 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2064 | 2433 | 2248 |
| Mean | 1974 | 2295 | 2134 |
| S.E. of any merginal mean $\quad=79.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean $=112.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref:- W.B. $56(8)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura. | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime} .{ }^{\text {. }}$ |

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow., (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite (shole land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) $22.6 .1956 / 2.8 .1956$; (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (late), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing at the time of manuring. (ix) $42.50^{\circ}$. (x) 13.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(21) on page 2.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Bankura on Kamali land. (b) No. (vi), and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2337 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $164.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control $\boldsymbol{\nu s}$. others' effect is highly significant. M effect and interaction $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.
Control $=$
$2019 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 2188 | 2385 | 2286 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2496 | 2595 | 2546 |
| Mean | 2342 | 2490 | 2416 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=57.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=82.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 57(9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankara. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different levels and method of application of A/S on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite (shole land). (b) Refer soil analysis Bankura. (iii) $6.7 .1957 / 19.8 .1957$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting, (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) I weeding and 1 hoeing at the time of manuring. (ix) 34.08. (x) 9.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS:-

All combinations of (1) and (2) + control.
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Top dressing and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ By layering.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{s}$ applied after one month of transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27 \frac{3}{4}^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 17 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

4 GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A.
(iii) Yieid of grain and straw.
(iv) 1957-1958.
(b) Yes. (c) No. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 3060 lb ./ac. (ii) 261.3 lb ./ac. (iii) N and "control $v s$. others' effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2391 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ 2706 3258 3189 <br> $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ 2746 3450 3677 <br> Mean 2726 3354 3433 <br>    3051 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=92.4 . \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $M$ marginal mean | $=75.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=130.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 58(5).
Site ': State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Type :- ' \({ }^{\mathbf{M}}\) '.
```

Object :- To study the effect of different levels and methods of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite (shole land). (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura.
(iii) $29.6 .1958 / 28.8 .1958$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and laddering. ' (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A." (d) $9^{6} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$.
(e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing at the time of manuring. (ix) $34.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.12.195s.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 57(9) on page 6.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was applied on 29.9.1958.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1957-1958. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULIS :
(i) $2083 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 165.2 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control vs. others' effect is highly significant. N effect is significant (iv) Av. yield of graio in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control $=1547 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1996 | 2205 | 2141 | 2114 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2048 | 2263 | 2380 | 2230 |
| Mean | 2022 | 2234 | 2260 | 2172 |


| .S.E. of N marginal mean | $=58.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=47.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=82.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of different combinations of N, P and F.Y.M. on the yield of grain and straw.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Lentil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampur. (iii) 7 to 186.1954 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings laddering and hand hoeing. (b) Broadcast. (c) 1 md./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v). Nil. (vi) Dharial. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $34.53^{\text {g }}$, ( x ) . 29.91954 to 3.101954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combination of (1) and (2).
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$.
B.M. and F.Y.M. applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S brodcasted after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $35^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. Plots with higher doses of N lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of plants, straw and grain yield of paddy. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1087 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 410.7 lb ./ac. (b) 235.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and F are highly significant. Interaction $\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yiled of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 472 | S20 | 1595 | 1490 | 1157 | 1127 | 968 | 1285 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 435 | 1147 | 1129 | 1426 | 1552 | 1138 | 930 | 1346 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 372 | 923 | 1112 | 1289 | 1282 | 996 | 926 | 1066 |
| Mean | 426 | 997 | 1279 | 1402 | 1330 | 1087 | 941 | 1232 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 306 | 796 | 1141 | 1279 | 1183 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 546 | 1197 | 1417 | 1524 | 1478 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=118.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=193.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P$ marginal means $\quad=91.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .6 . \mathrm{F}$ means at the same level of $P=71.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. F marginal means $\quad=43.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .7$. $P$ means at the same level of $F=149.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $F$ means at the same level of $N=96.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table $=145.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Paddy (Aus). | Ref :- W.B. 55(95). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of N, P and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampur. (iii) 1st week of June, 1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering (b) Broadcast. (c) to (e) N.A. (v, N.A. (vi) Dharial (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1 to 15.10.1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54 ; 56 ) on page 7.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1778 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $359.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $332.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of N and F are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1025 | 1611 | 2114 | 2094 | 2124 | 1794 | 1670 | 1918 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1201 | 1861 | 2162 | 1839 | 2237 | 1860 | 1703 | 2017 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1102 | 1563 | 1741 | 1937 | 2053 | 1679 | 1471 | 1886 |
| Mean | 1109 | 1678 | 2006 | 1957 | 2138 | 1778 | 1615 | 1940 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 903 | 1541 | 1889 | 1779 | 1963 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1316 | 1816 | 2122 | 2134 | 2313 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=103.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=141.2 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=80.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. F means at the same level of $P={ }^{\circ} 105.1 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. F marginal means $\quad=60.7 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. 7. P means at the same level of $F=109.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. F means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=135.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table. $=127.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 57(64).
Type :~ ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of N, P and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangả riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Last week of June 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Middie of October 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(56) on page 7.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment conducted during the year 1956 failed.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1420 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $238.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $174.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of N and F are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 912 | 1308 | 1503 | 1750 | 1794 | 1453 | 1327 | 1580 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\perp}$ | 880 | 1486 | 1634 | 1514 | 1717 . | 1446 | 1297 | 1596 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 719 | 1199 | 1550 | 1642 | 1692 | 1360 | 1254 | 1466 |
| Mean | 837 | 1331. | 1562 | 1635 | 1734 | 1420 | 1293 | 1547 |
| $F_{0}$ | 702 | 1179 | 1457 | 1533 | 1593 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | $973{ }^{\prime}$ | 1483 | 1668 | 1737 | 1876 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $68.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. | 5. N means at the same level of F | c. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P marginal means | $53.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. | 6. F means at the same level of $P$ | $=55.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. F marginal means | $31.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 7. P means at the same level of $F$ | $65.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of N | $71.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. | S.E. of body of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | 84.2 lb. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 58(56).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of continucus application of N, P and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Ist week of July, 1958. (iv) (a) Ploughing. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (medium). (ii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of October, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(56) on page 7.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yeld of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949- contd. (b) Yes. (c, N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Surı. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $694 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 261.9 lb ./ac. (b) $167.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect is significant and $F$ effect is bighly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 444 | 702 | 698 | 752 | 653 | 650 | 608 | 692 |
| $P_{1}$ | 680 | 797 | 708 | 663 | 782 | 726 | 622 | 830 |
| $P_{2}$ | 516 | 654 | 965 | 721 | 673 | 706 | 640 | 772 |
| Mean | 547 | 718 | 790 | 712 | 703 | 694 | 623 | 765 |
| $F_{0}$ | 465 | 612 | 770 | 649 | 620 |  |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 628 | 824 | 810 | 775 | 786 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=75.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=89.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. P marginal means | $=58.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | $6 . \mathrm{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=530 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 3. F marginal means | $=30.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. | 7. P means at the same level of $F=69.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 4. F means at the same level of N | $=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=92.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aus). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(59). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. } & \text { Type :- ‘'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of N, P and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1st week of July, 1959. (iv) (a) Ploughing. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no 54(56) on page 7.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $807 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 293.8 lb ./ac. (b; $250.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect is significant and F effect is highly signjficant. (iv) Av. ytid of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means

| $84.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ | 5. N means at the same level of F | $111.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 6. $F$ means at the same level of $P$ | $79.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| $=45.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ | 7. $P$ means at the same level of $F$ | 86.4 lb./ac. |
| 102.3 lb./ac. | S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $103.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

2. P marginal means
$=65.7 \mathrm{lb}$
$=111.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. F marginal means

- $45.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

7. $P$ means at the same level of $F=86.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
8. F means at the same level of $N=102.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $\quad: \quad=103.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 54(53).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. ',(b) Lentil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. . (b) Refer soil azalysis, Berhampore. (iii) 7 to 186.1954 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 to 3 hocings. (ix) 34.53". (x) 29.9.1954 to 3.10.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60$ and $N_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication; 12 sub-plots/main-piot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $23.5^{\circ} \times 20.5^{\prime}$ and $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.0^{\prime}$ (in 2 replications each). (b) $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}$ and $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.0^{\prime}$ (in 2 replications each). (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Plots with higher doses of N lodged. (ii) Nil. " (iii) Tillering and`height of plants. Grain and straw yield. (iv).(a).1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1022 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $451.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\quad$ (b) $308.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 389 | 906 | 1237 | 1356 | 974 | - 976 | 935 | 1012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 409 | 1076 | 1387 | 1440 | 1078 | 1052 | 1096 | 1086 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 361 | 850 | 1394 | 1447 | . 1013 | 933 | 1106 | 1001 |
| Mean | 389 | 944 | 1339. | 1414 | 1022 | 987 | 1046 | 1033 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 444 | 915 | 1311 | 1277 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 401 | 994 | 1353 | 1434 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 323 | 923 | 1354 | 1532 | . |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 1. } \mathbf{P} \text { marginal means } & =92.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . & \text { 5. } \mathrm{P} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{N}=142.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. } \mathrm{N} \text { marginal means } & =72.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . & 6 . \mathrm{L} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{P}=109.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. } L \text { marginal means } & =63.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . & \text { 7. } \mathrm{P} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{L}=128.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
3. L marginal means $=63.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $N \times L$ table $=89.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Ref :- W.B. 55(96).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Object:-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Last week of May to 1 st week of June, 195s. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcasting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Dharial (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings. (ix) and ( $x$ ) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Treatments in one direction :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60$ and $N_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of lime: $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0 . \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
A/S and B.M. applied 4 weeks after tracsplanting. Lime applied once in 4 years.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip-plot. (ii) (a) 12 strips in one direction and 3 in orthogonal direction. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $23 .:^{\prime} \times 20.5^{\prime}$ and $23.5^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ (in 2 replications each). (b) $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}$ and $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.0^{\prime}$ (in 2 replications each). (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL;
(i) Gocd. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri. (b) N A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Experiment conducted during 1956 failed and the layout design is modified in 1955.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1222 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (i) $368.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for NL. 467.3 lb ./ac. for P 301.2 lb ./ac. for $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{NL}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly siguificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | 1 | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | ! | 673 | 1318 | 1581 | 1335 | 1227 | 1245 | 1224 | 1211 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | 807 | 1551 | 1401 | 1351 | 1277 | 1235 | 1301 | 1296 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1 | 619 | 1179 | 1454 | 1399 | 1163 | 1095 | 1204 | 1189 |
| Mean |  | 700 | 1349 | 1479 | 1362 | 1222 | 1192 | 1243 | 1232 |
| Lo |  | 725 | 1224 | 1488 | 1330 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ |  | 738 | 1432 | 1468 | 1334 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |  | 636 | 1391 | 1480 | 1422 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of diference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=72.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=124.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $L$ marginal means $\quad=63.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. $P$ means at the same level of $L=129.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=95.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. L means at the same level of $P=107.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=143.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Barhampore.
Ref :- W.B. 57(65).
Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime applied individually and ina combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis;. Barhampore. (iii) Ist week of August 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of October 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(96) on page 12.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Chinsurah. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1246 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $167.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for NL. $\quad 304.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. for P . $211.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{NL}$. (iii) N effect E highly significant and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 473 | 1418 | 1517 | 1562 | 1243 | 1239 | 1238 | 1251 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 632 | 1388 | 1662 | 1657 | 1335 | 1295 | 1370 | 1340 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 566 | 1060 | 1455 | 1555 | 1159 | 1122 | 1167 | 1189 |
| Mean | 557 | 1289 | 1545 | 1591 | 1246 | 1219 | 1258 | 1260 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $581$ | 1270 | 1469 | 1554 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 561 | 1283 | 1572 | 1616 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 529 | 1315 | 1593 | 1604 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means . $\quad=39.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same level of $P=80.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac:
2. L marginal means $\quad=34.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .6$. $P$ means at the same level of $L=87.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$
3. P marginal means $\quad=62.1 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. 7. L means at the same level of $P=70.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$
4. P means at the same level of $N=97.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=48.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Barhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 58(57)
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis Barhampore. (iii) Last week of July to 1st week of August 1958. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (by) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Dular. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of October 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 55 (96) on page 12.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor.
and Chinsurah. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A
5. RESULTS :
(i) 37 lb ./ac. (ii) 161.2 lb .;ac. for $\mathrm{NL}, 286.1 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. for P an 1563.3 lb ./ac. for $\mathrm{NL} \times \mathrm{P}$. (iii) Only N effect is bighly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{N}$ marginal means $\quad=38.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=191.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. L minginal means $\quad=329 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. $P$ means at the same level of $L=172.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $P$ marginal means $\quad=53.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .7$. L mans at the same level of $P=165.9 \mathrm{lt} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=207.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a} 2$. S.E. of boiy of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=46.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Ref :- W.B. 59(60).
Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}^{\prime}\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and limz on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Be-hampore. (iii) Middle week of July 1959. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) to (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of Ottober 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same is ta expt. no. 55,96 ) on page 12.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $739 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $273.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. for NL, 294.9 lb ./ac. for P and 259.0 lb ./ac. for ( NL ) $\times \mathrm{P}$. (iii) P effect is sigaificant, N effect is highly significant. Other effects are not sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1 | 386 | 699 | 875 | 883 | 711 | 627 | 671 | 834 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | 547 | 908 | 965 | 1000 | 855 | 753 | 887 | 924 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |  | 427 | 548 | 843 | 787 | 651 | 655 | 665 | 634 |
| Mean |  | 453 | 718 | 894 | 890 | 739 | 678 | 741 | 797 |
| $L_{0}$ | + | 468 | 674 | 851 | 720 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ |  | 420 | 723 | 921 | 900 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1 | 471 | 738 | 911 | 1050 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
$=64.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5 . \mathrm{N}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=107.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $L$ marginal means
$=55.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .6 . \mathrm{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{L}=96.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. P marginal means
$=60.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ 7. L means at the same level of P
$=93.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=i 09.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=78.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm., Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 54(35).
Type :- ${ }^{〔} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the eflect of Super and molybdenum on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 27.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Parnai-23.(Chinsurah 7, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and spading each once. (ix) $37.97^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 methods of applications of $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Control (oo $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Super ploughed in and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ ploughed in and $\frac{1}{2}$ broadcast 4 weeks after transplanting.
(2) 2 levels of sod. molybdate : $\mathrm{S}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}=4 \mathrm{ozs} / \mathrm{ac}$. sprayed as water solution 4 weeks after transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) $(\mathrm{a}) 6$.
(b) N.A
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
$\cdot$ (i) Good. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain ard straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3112 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $295.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only M effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{i j}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{0}$ | 2755 | 3165 | 3356 | 3092 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2726 | 3072 | 3601 | 3133 |
| Mean | 2740 | 3118 | 3478 | 3112 |


| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=104.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=85.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=147.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 55(77).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:--To study the effect of Super and molybdenum on the yield of Paddy.

## 2. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loam and clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 2 nd week of July, 1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and spading. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) 80 to 100 mds lac. of cowdung. (vi) Patnai (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 liarrcwings. (ix) 32.37". (x) 2nd to 3rd week of December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(35) on page 15.

## 5 RESULTS :

(i) $322 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $538.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{zc}$. (iii) Nore of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site:- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
```

Ref :- W.B. 56(57).
Type: ' ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of Super and molybdenum on the yield of Paddy.
E. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) Middle of July, 1956. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. i(c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) N.A. (vi) Patnai (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.

2 TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:
Same as in expt. no. $54(35)$ on page 15.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yeld of grain. (iv) (a) $1954-1959$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v; to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(I) $2069 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 230.9 lb .'ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 1865 | 2047 | 2090 | 2001 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2146 | 2117 | 2146 | 2136 |
| Mean | 2006 | 2082 | 2118 | 2069 |


| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=81.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=66.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=115.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop := Paddy (Aman).
    Ref:-W.B. 57(14).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- 'M'M
```

Object :-To study the effect of Super and, molybdenum, on, the yield of Paddy;

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i). (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii), (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./5.8.1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughinge and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nill. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Irrigated; (viii) Weeding and spading once. (ix) 40.67". (x) 11.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(35) on page 15.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3336 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $476.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in: $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 3244 | 3368 | 3077 | 3230 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 3298 | 3586 | 3446 | 3443 |
| Mean | 3271 | 3477 | 3261 | 3336 |


| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=168.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=137.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of the table | $=238.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy ( $A \operatorname{man}$ ). | Ref:- W.B. 58(63). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of. Super and molybdenum on the yield Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 168.1958 . "(iv) (a) Ploughing (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi), Raghusail (medium) (vii) N.A. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $54(35)$ on page 15.
4., GENPRAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 195.4-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1762 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 206.5 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iy) Ay. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 59(32).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Obiect :-To study the effect of Super and Sodium molybdate on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) 'a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 24.8.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $492^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(35) on page 15.
Super applied on 23.8.1959 and 23.10.1959. Sodium molybdate on 25.9.1959.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1954-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1403 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $202.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 1521 | 1354 | 1388 | 1421 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1361 | 1420 | 1373 | 1385 |
| -1441 | 1387 | 1380 | 1403 |  |


| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=71.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=58.4 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=101.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 58(39).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M} \text { '. }}$

Object :- To study the effect of basic sli.g, Super and organic matter oa the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan, (iii) 14 to 16.8 .1958 . (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 12 to $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 2 . 1 9 5 8 .}$
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 sources of 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}=$ Basic slag and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 4 types of G.M. : $G_{0}=$ No G.M., $G_{1}=5$ tors/ac. of paddy straw, $G_{2}=5$ tons/ac. of cowdung +5 tens/ac. of wheat straw and $G_{3}=10$ tons/ac. of water hyacinth.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$, (b) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1958-1960. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2714 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 260.4 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of $G$ alone is highly signifcant. (jv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2266 | 2597 | 3010 | 2724 | 2649 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 2681 | 2579 | 3111 | 2752 | 2781 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2483 | 2441 | 3189 | 2740 | 2713 |
| Mean | 2477 | 2539 | 3103 | 2739 | 2714 |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of G marginal mean | $=61.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\quad$ | $=106.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref:- W.B. 59(31).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan,
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of basic slag, Super and organic matter on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 6 and 7.8.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplantation. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) NiI. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, (ix) 49.2". (x) 12.12 .1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super ${ }_{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=4 \mathrm{Jlb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as basic slag.
(2) 4 levels of G.M. : $G_{0}=$ No G.M., $G_{1}=5$ tons/ac. of paddy straw. $G_{2}=5$ tons/ac. of cowdung and $G_{5}=5$ tons/ac. of water hyacinth.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1958-1960. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Midnapore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2508 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $351.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of $G$ alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 2079 | 2267 | 2501 | 2534 | 2445 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 2211 | 2622 | 2658 | 2472 | 2491 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 2315 | 2682 | 2929 | 2430 | 2589 |
| Mean | 2202 | 2524 | 2829 | 2477 | 2508 |

S.E. of $S$ marginal mean $\quad=71.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of G marginal mean $\quad=82.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$S$ E. of body of table $=143.7 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

| Crop :- Paddy (Aus). | Ref :- W.B. 54(47). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:- To study the effect of bulky organic manure and A/S on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) 200 li ./ac. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of Super. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./17.6.1954. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and laddering by country method. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Dular (early). (vii) 2 weedings by Japanese weeder. (ix) $35.41^{\circ}$. ( x ) 10.9 .1954 .
2. TREATMENTS :

All ccmbinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no manure)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 sources of 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{C}_{\text {., }} \mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Village compost, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Water hyacinth compost and $S_{6}=$ Sewage sludge.
Manures applied at the time of pudding.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 11 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) Yes. (c)'N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2431 lb ./ac. (ii) 281.3 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control ps. others' effect alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| S.E. of $\mathbf{S}$ marginal mean | $=89.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $\mathbf{P}$ marginal mean | $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=125.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 57(7).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of B.M., Super and basic slag on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Sugarcane. (b) Sugarcane. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan,
(iii) 3.8.1957. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$.
(e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $13.90^{\circ}$. (x) 9.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 sources of $P_{2} O_{5}: S_{1}=B . M ., S_{2}=$ Super and $S_{4}=$ Basic slag.
Sub-plot treatments :
4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2792 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $403.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 370.0 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 2912 | 3192 | 2984 | 3029 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 2689 | 2793 | 2744 | 2742 |
| $\cdots{ }^{-} \mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 2633 | 2689 | 2857 | 2726 |
| Mean | 2670 | 2745 | 2891 | 2862 | - |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=134.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P$ marginal means
$=123.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{S}$
$=213.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$

$$
=220.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 57(24).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of different doses and sources of N on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) As per-treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam.' (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./7.6.1957. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Sown in lines. (c) 251030 srs./ac. (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 hoeing. (ix) N.A. (x) 16.9.1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 5
(iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}: \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of stem-borer. " (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESUETS :
(i) $2966 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.' (ii) 397.2 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Çontrol vs. others' effect alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2938 2999 <br> Mean 3474 | 3484 | 3969 |
| 3206 | 3242 | 3224 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=125.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=177.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aus). | Ref :- W.B. 59(27). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- To find out the effect of different doses and sources of N on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Paddy. (b) Wheat. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwac. (iii) 16.6 .1959 . (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Broadcasting. (c) 30 srs./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding . (ix) 47.6". (x) 27 and 29.9.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 57(24) on page 21.
Mauures applied on 207.1959.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2345 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 222.4 lb /ac. (iii) N effect alone is highly significant. (iv) A v. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | Control $=1702 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2472 | 2354 | 2413 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2488 | 2709 | 2598 |
| Mean | 2480 | 2531 | 2506 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | 70.31 |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | 99.51 |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(34).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}{ }^{\mathbf{M}}$.

Object :- To study the effect of A/S and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./23.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 to $12 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\text {² }}$. (c) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai 23 (Chinsurah 7, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) 37.97".
(x) 8.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$. /ac.

Lime was applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S broadcasted on 24.8.1954.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9
(b) N.A. (iii) $6 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2778 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 240.2 lb ./ac. (iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{0}$ | 2362 | 2756 | 3114 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 2616 | 2807 | 3046 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 2883 | 2743 | 2675 |
| Mean | 2744 |  |  |
| 2823 |  |  |  |
| 2620 | 2769 | 2945 | 2767 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=56.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=98.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 55(78).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of A/S and lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loam and clay loam. -(b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) Last week of Julv, 1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) 30.37". (x) 15 to 2812.1955 .
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(34) on page 22.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952 -1955. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Cooch Bihar. (b) $\dot{N}$.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3163 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $428.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 3260 | 3176 | 3183 | 3206 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 2935 | 3067 | 3300 | 3101 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | 3134 | 3116 | 3295 | 3182 |
| Mean | 3110 | 3120 | 3259 | 3163 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=100.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the body of table | $=174.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(36). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- To study the effect of different levels and methods of application of A/S on the yield of Paddy.

## - BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./29.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughıngs and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai 23 (Chinsurah 7, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and spading each once. (ix) $37.97^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.12.1954.

## - TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30$ and $N_{3}=45 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application : $M_{1}=$ Spread on surface and $M_{2}=$ Thrust in.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A (v) (a) Chinsurab. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2889 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 142.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Only Neffect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2539 | 2831 | 3080 | 3046 | 2874 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2713 | 2964 | 2888 | 3046 | 2903 |
| Mean | 2626 | 2898 | 2984 | 3046 | 2889 |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=44.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $M$ marginal mean | $=31.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=63.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Ref :- W.B. 55(55).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) R-ghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(b) $36^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Midnapore, Cooch Behar and Purulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2404 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 123.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant. Interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2128 | 2402 | 2265 | 2238 | 2292 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2506 | 2576 | 2541 | 2613 | 24¢8 |
| Mean | 2317 | 2489 | 2403 | 2426 | 2380 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2340 | 2510 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2293 | 2467 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =30.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of bcdy of any table } & =43.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 56(5).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii)

N A. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $\varsigma^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v)
N.A. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GंENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(55) on page 24.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2132 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $142.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of any table
$=35.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=50.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 57(22).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./29.7.1957. (iv) (a) $; 3$ to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading once. (ix) $13.90^{\circ}$. (x) 12.12 .1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(55)$ on page 24.
Source of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is Mur. Pot. instead of Pot. Sul. Mur. Pot. and Super applied on 28.7.1954 and A/S applied on 29.8.1957.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Midnapore, Cooch Behar and Purulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2338 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 258.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Only K effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2137 | 2428 | 2282 | 2159 | 2406 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2376 | 2412 | 2394 | 2320 | 2468 |
| Mean | 2256 | 2420 | 2338 | :240 | 2437 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2195 | 2284 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2318 | 2557 |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=64.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of any table $\quad=91.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 58(12). Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy - Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdiwan. (iii) N.A./14.8.1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading once. (ix) 18.75". (x) 12.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 5S(55) on page 24.
Source of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is Mur. Pot. instead of Pot. Sul.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Midnapore, Cooch Behar and Purulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1772 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $283.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}^{\prime}$ | Mean | $K_{0}$ | $K_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1518 | 1834 | 1676 | 1587 | 1765 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1849 | 1889 | 1869 | 1791 | 1947 |
| Mean | 1683 | 1861 | 1772 | 1689 | 1856 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 1589 | 1789 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 1777 | 1935 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of boby of any table $\quad, \quad$ | $=72.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae}$. |
| $=102.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 59(23).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./21 and 22.8.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrıgated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 spading. ( jx ) $30.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12.1959 to 16.12 .1959 .
2. TREATMENTS and "3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(55)$ on page 24.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Midnapore, Cooch Behar and Purulia. (b) N.A. (vi) Due to overflooding the effect of fertilizer is not marked this year. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1106 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $179.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) P effect is highly significant while N effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 935 | 1107 | 1021 | 1059 | 983 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1097 | 1288 | 1192 | 1185 | 1199 |
| Mean | 1016 | 1197 | 1106 | 1122 | 1091 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1026 | 1218 | - |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1006 | 1176 |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of any table
$=44.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=63.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 5E(36).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat-Paddy. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) Middle of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings aad spading twice. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Dhariul (medıum). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

5 sources of 40 lb ./ac of $N: S_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $S_{1}=A / S_{1} S_{2}=$ Urea, $S_{3}=A / C$ and $S_{4}=C / N$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) C Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1957-1960$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2255 lb ./ac. (ii) 275.3 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment difference; are highly significant. (iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. y.eld | 1907 | 2444 | 1972 | 2491 | 2468 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E.jmean | $=$ | $123.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref:- W.B. 54(31).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. Type :- ‘M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of trace elements mixture on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIJNS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./1.8.1954. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (Chinsurah 7, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and spading once. (ix) $37.97^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12 .1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

4 manurial treatments: $M_{J}=$ Control, $M_{1}=$ Trace elements mixture (consisting of zink, boron, copper, marganese and molybdenum in traces), $\mathrm{M}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ trace elements and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Super.
Water solution of trace elements sprayed 4 weeks after transplantation. Super (quantity-N.A.) ploughed in at the time of gensral preparation of land and $A / S$ broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $2953-1954$. (b) Yes, (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2605 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $127.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ., ac .

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 2335 | 2602 | 2809 |  |
|  |  |  | 2672 |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=57.2$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
```


## Ref :- W.B. 54(33). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :-
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./17.7.i954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (Chinsurah'7, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and spading once each. (ix) $37.29^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 seed rates of G.M. crops : $\mathrm{R}_{0}=$ No G.M., $\mathrm{R}_{1}=12, \mathrm{R}_{2}=16, \mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of dhaincha and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of sannhemp.
G.M. ploughed in at the time of flowering stage.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4
(iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$.
(b) $25^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951--1954. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
s. :RESULTS:
(i) $2451 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 84.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 1995 | 2512 | 2661 | 2167 | 2920 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $42.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

```
Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 55(79).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdiwan.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different levels and sources of N on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refèr soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A./29.7.1955. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) 80 to 100 mds /ac. of cowdung. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.12 .1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + control ( 3 plots)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30$ and $N_{3}=45 \mathrm{lb}$./ac:
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Urea.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1955 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 3563 lb ./ac. (ii) 392.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Only 'control vs. rest' effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain n lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=3226 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$



Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. $56(39)$.
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{M '}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To stady the effect of different levels and sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) Last week of July, 1956. (iv) (a) Ploughings (3 to 4). (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Patnai (mediun). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) Weding (2 to 3) and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of Jannary, 1957.
2. TREATMEV[y and 3. DESIGV:

Same as in expt. no. 55(79) on page 29.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) NIL. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1955-$ ontd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) NaA. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2399 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $287.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control $\nu s$. rest' and main effect of N are highly significant. (iv) $\mathbf{A v}$. yield of grain in to /ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2048 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2356 | 2220 | 2210 | 2262 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2619 | 2436 | 2427 | 2494 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2935 | 2685 | 2752 | 2791 |
| Mean | 2637 | 2447 | 2463 | 2516 |

S.E. of any marginal or control mean S.E. of body of table
$=82.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=143.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman). . Ref :- W.B. 57(25).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. Type :- `M'.
```

Object:-To study the effect of different levels and scurces of $\mathbf{N}$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallcw. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil aralysis, Burdwan. (iii) 31.7.1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs . ac . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{* *}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 spading. (ix) $13.90^{\circ}$. (x) 9:12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(79)$ on page 29.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3451 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $436.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Nंcne of the fffcts is s gnificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=3586 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | -3432 | 3318 | 3288 | 3346 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3485 | 3394 | 3577 | 3485 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 3371 | 3425 | $3364$ | 3387 |
| Mean | 3429 | 3379 | 3410 | 3406 |

S E. of any marginal or control mean $\quad=87.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=195.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman). \ Ref:- W.B. 58(14).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. . Type :- 'M`.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different levels and sources of $\mathbf{N}$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwar. (iii) 8.8.1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs ./ac. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9$. (e) 2 to 3.' (v) Nal. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 spading. (iv) $18.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11.12 .1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55 (79) on paje 29.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Na (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2787 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $253.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N and 'control vs. others' effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. Yield of grain id lb./ac.

Control $=2335 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2618 | 2709 | 2762 | 2696 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2987 | 2850 | 3166 | 3001 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 3120 | 2945 | 3208 | 3091 |
| Mean | 2908 | 2835 | 3045 | 2929 |
| S.E. of any marginal or control mean |  |  | $=50.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. | of table |  | $=113.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 59(29).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses and sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 17.8 .1959 and 18.8.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplantation. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 49.2". (x) 13.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(79) on page 29.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chinsurah. (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) A/N was not applied as it was not available in time.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1988 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 232.6 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control $v s$. Others' effect is highly significant. N and S effects are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=1774 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2093 | 1804 | 2142 | 2013 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2161 | 1830 | 2070 | 2020 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2207 | 2078 | 2157 | 2147 |
| Mean | 2154 | 1904 | 2123 | 2060 |
| S.E. of any marginal or control mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=$ $=$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ 104.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{array}$ |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(32).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To find out the optimum requirements of $N$ and $P$ and their combination for increasing the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy lỏan: (b) Refer soillanalysis; Burdwán. (iii) 8, 108.1954 . (ivi (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 siss/ac:. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 seedlings. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra (Chinsurah 5, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $37.97^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45^{5}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 25 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (ivi) (a) $38^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime}$ alround.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes: (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Haringhata, Majnaguri, Cooch-Behar and 7 cultivators' fields. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1992 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 351.5 lb ./ac. (iii) N effect alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 1635 | 1725 | 1236 | 1298 | 1344 | 1448 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $1614^{\prime}$ | 1642 | 1876 | 1661 | 1664 | 1691 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 1997 | 2251 | 1991 | 2163 | 1875 | $2055^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $2212^{\circ}$ | 2175 | 2396 | 2471 | 2184 | 2288 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 2416 | 2334 | 2588 | 2302 | 2765 | 2480 |
| Mean | 1975 | 2025 | 2017 | 1979 | 1966 | 1992 |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 55(20). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type: : $\mathbf{6 M}^{\prime} \cdot$ |

Object :- To find out the optimum requirement of N and P under different soil climatic conditions:alone: and in combination.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy - Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 31.7.1955 to 2.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 sts.fac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 seedlings. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and one hoeing. (ix) $50.77^{\prime \prime}$. ( (x) 11 to 14.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(32) on page 32.
Super applied at the time of general preparation of land on 30.7.1955 and A/S' brodcast on 5.9.1955.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) CoochBehar, Chinsurah, Majnaguri, Midnapur and on 7 cultivators' fields. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2444 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. (ii) 280.1 lb ./ac. (iii) P effect is highly significant and N effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1734 | 2091 | 2323 | 2493 | 2760 | 2280 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2051 | 2084 | 2488 | 2719 | 2791 | 2427 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1968 | 2531 | 2384 | 2569 | 2782 | 2447 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 2068 | 2428 | 2632 | 2759 | 2773 | 2532 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 2176 | 2284 | 2634 | 2888 | 2688 | 2534 |
| Mean | 1999 | 2284 | 2492 | 2686 | 2759 | 2444 |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

## Ref :- W.B.54(45). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Objest :-To see the effect of Super and molybdenum along with G.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 28.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings land laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. sown in the nursery bed. (d) $9^{n} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 spading. (ix) $19.53^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of sodium molybdate : $S_{0}=0$ and $S_{1}=4 \mathrm{oz}$./ac.

Super broadcast during general preparation of land. Water solution of sodium molybdate sprayed 4 weeks after transplanting. Dhanicha seeds were sown at $16 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. to all plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $4645 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $586.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{0}$ | $S_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 4403 | 4504 | 4453 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 4791 | 4884 | 4837 |
| Mean | 4597 | 4694 | 4645 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of table'
$=207.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=293.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

## Ref :- W.B. 55(54).

Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effect of Super and Molybdenum along with G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 1st week of August, 1955. (iv) (a) Land was prepared by 2 ploughings, 1 laddering and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading once. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of Sodium Molybdate: $\mathrm{S}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}=4 \mathrm{ozs}$./ac.

Fertilizers were applied at the time of general preparation of land. Super was broadcast and Sodium molybdate sprayed in the form of water sclution. Dhaincha was sown at 16 srs ./ac. to all plots.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Gcod. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954 -1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3348 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $180.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| . | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 3265 | 3380 | 3322 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3357 | 3388 | 3373 |
| Mean | 3311 | 3384 | 3348 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =64.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E of }\end{array}$
S.E. of body of table $\quad=90.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Faddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 56(4).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of Super and Molybdenum along with G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow.
(b) Fallow.
(c) Nil.
(ii) (a) Sandy loam.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan.
(iii) 1st week of August, 1956. (iv) (a) Land was prepared by 2 ploughings, 1 laddering and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading once. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 55(54) above.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3128 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $377.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | So | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2707 | 3168 | 2938 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3260 | 3376 | 3318 |
| Mean | 2984 | 3272 | 3128 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | $=133.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=188.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |


| Crop:- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 57(15). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- ' 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of applying Super and Molybdenum along with Dhaincha on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 11.8.1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding twice and spading once. (ix) $40.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(54) on page 35.
Dhaincha (seed at $16 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in all plots) turned in.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3191 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 177.0 lb ./ac (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2932 | 3204 | 3068 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3204 | 3423 | 3314 |
| Mean | 3068 | 3314 | 3191 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  | $=62.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=88.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy ( $A m a n$ ).
Ref :- W.B. 58(13).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of Super and Molybdenum along with G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 12.8.1958. (iv) (a) Land was prepared by 2 ploughings, 1 laddering and pudding. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{n \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 spading. (ix) N.A. (x) 10.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(54) on page 35.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2980 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 355.0 lb . ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $S_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2816 | 2927 | 2872 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2946 | 3231 | 3088 |
| Mean | 2881 | 3079 | 2980 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | 25.5 lb |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | 7.5 lb |

Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 59(30).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Super and Molybdenum along with Dhaincha as G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 22.8.1959. (iv)
(a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplantation. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{a} \times 9^{r}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil.
(vi) Raghusail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $49.2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.12 .1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(54) on page 35.
Date of sowing of dhaincha seed at 15 srs./ac. is 14.6.1959.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1954-1959. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1983 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $295.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{0}$ | $S_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1949 | 2054 | 2002 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2108 | 1821 | 1964 |
| Mean | 2028 | 1938 | 1983 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=104.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table . $=147.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 55(59), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- © ${ }^{\prime}$ '. |

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) N.A.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2 . (v) N.A. (vi) Patnai
(Gosaba-23) (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $N: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch Behar, Burdwan, Midnapore and Hatwara. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2229 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 110.1 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant. Interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2066 | 2173 | 2120 | 2132 | 2107 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2183 | 2494 | 2338 | 2249 | 2428 |
| Mean | 2174 | 2333 | 2229 | 2190 | 2268 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2062 | 2318 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2287 | 2348 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=27.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=38.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 56(26).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ ’.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 . (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4 GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(59) on page 37.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2573 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 308.9 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


> S.E. of any marginal mean
> S.E. of body of any table

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 57(21).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M '}^{\text {. }}$
Object :--To study the effect of N, P and K applied individually and in combinations on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 plouhings. (b) Transplanting in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2:$ (v) N.A. (vi) Patnai (Gosaba-23) (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no $55(59)$ on page 37.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5587 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $325.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop :- Paddy (Aman). $\quad, \quad$ Ref :- W.B. 58(21).
Site :- State-Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. $\quad$. Type :- $\mathbf{C M}^{\mathbf{M}}$.
Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil.
(b) Paddy.
(c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gengetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 14.8.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai (Gosaba-23) (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) (x) 3.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 55(59) on page 37.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1599 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 235.9 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =59.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =83.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 59(40). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ${ }^{〔} \mathbf{M}$ ’. |

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil ; neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $55.51^{*}$. (x) 1.12 .1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(59) on page 37.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Midnapore, Cooch Behar, Burdwan and Hatwara. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2437 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 115.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2320 | 2374 | 2347 | 2307 | 2388 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2493 | 2560 | 2527 | 2536 | 2517 |
| Mean | 2407 | 2467 | 2437 | 2421 | 2453 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2398 | 2444 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2415 | 2490 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=28.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=40.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :- W.B. 55(76).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of G.M. as dhaincha at different stages of age on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDIIIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluviu'm. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of July. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedirgs and interculture. (ix) $35.05^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of December.
2. TREATMENTS :

6 ages of dhaincha crop when ploughed in : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=3, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4, \mathrm{R}_{3}=5 ; \mathrm{R}_{4}=6, \mathrm{R}_{5}=7$ and $\mathrm{R}_{6}=8$ weeks. Dhaincha sown at 20 srs./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$, (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1955-$ contd. (b) Ycs. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2187 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $356.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Trcatment differences are nct significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. $56(35)$. Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of July. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and spading. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $34.85^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Middle of December.
2. TREATMENTS ${ }^{*}$ and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 55(76) above.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 195s-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2594 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $228.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Àv. yield | 2500 | 2573 | 2605 | 2511 | 2615 | 2760 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 114.3 lb ./ac. |  |  |  |

# Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref:- W.B. 57(37). 

bject :-To study the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy—Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Ganga low land (alluvium). (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and interculture. (ix) 20.77". (x) 15th December 1957 to 1st week of January, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(76) on page 41.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $263.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2266 | 2185 | 2275 | 1894 | 2074 | 2266 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $131.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 58(22).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type:- ‘M'.
Ob;et :-To study the effect of G.M. as Dhaincha at different stages of age on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDI IIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 31.7.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 5.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GEVERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 55(76) on paze 41.
Sowing dates of dhaincha are 17.5.1958, 24.5.1958, 31.5.1958, 7.6.1958, 14.6.1958 and 21.6.1958. Date of ploughing down dhaincha is 15.7.1953.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2086 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 249.8 1b.'2c. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1588 | 1519 | 1680 | 2023 | 2052 | 1566 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E $/$ mear: | $=$ | $124.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref:- W.B. 59(38). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- To study the esicual e....: of G.M. (dhaincha) on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer scil analysis, Chinsurah, (iii) 5.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v)

Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (me صium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 55.51". (x) 6.1.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 55(76) on page 41.
Residual effect of treatments applied during the previous year studied.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. ' (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1958 (1959-residual effect is studied). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2158 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 149.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2036 | 2011 | 2353 | 2207 | 2029 | 2312 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop:- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(14). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of $N$ and $P$ on the yield of Paddy under different soil and climatic conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) $11 /$ 12.8.1954. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai-23 (C.H. 7, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 14/15.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as A/S: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955.
(b) No.
(c) Nil.
(v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2594 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $381.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\cdots \mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2577 | 2881 | 2712 | 2352 | 2476 | 2600 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2573 | 2804 | 2919 | 2863 | 2088 | 2649 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2517 | 2628 | 2472 | 2766 | 2568 | 2590 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2600 | 2699 | 2860 | 2471 | 2402 | 2606 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 2639 | 2831 | 2458 | 2293 | 2408 | 2526 |
| Mean | 2581 | 2769 | 2684 | 2549 | 2388 | 2594 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=76.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table

$$
=170.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 55(72). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type ${ }^{--}{ }^{〔}$ M’. |

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of $N$ and $P$ on the yield oi raddy under different soil and climatic conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurab. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1955. (iv) (a) Ploughings ( 3 to 4) and spading. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) 80 mds.fac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Patnai (Gesabon-23). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and harrowing. (ix) 35.05". (x) Last week of December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(14) on page 43.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mainagari, Cooch Behar, Haringhata, Midnapur, Burdwan and Malda. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1833 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $346.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant and that of P is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | 1500 | 1656 | 1799 | 1739 | 1676 | 1674 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1552 | 1786 | 1911 | 1808 | 1804 | 1772 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1581 | 1858 | 2058 | 1969 | 1959 | 1885 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1677 | 1934 | 2390 | 2080 | 1907 | 1998 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 1630 | 1842 | 1877 | 1963 | 1857 | 1834 |
| Mean | 1588 | 1815 | 2007 | 1912 | 1841 | 1833 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =69.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =155.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Ref :- W.B. 54(12).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-To find out the responses to continuous application of lime and N alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow.
(b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii)
(i) (a) Clay.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 19.7.1953. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs /ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 22/23.12.1954.

## 2. 'TREATMENTS':

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40^{\circ} \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

A/S applied by broadcast after 4 weeks of transplantation. Lime applied once after every 4 years.
3, DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1945^{-}$contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2671 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $134.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2457 | 2546 | 2548 | 2517 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2587 | 2727 | 2748 | 2687 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2782 | 2784 | 2862 | 2809 |
| Mean | 2609 | 2686 | 2719 | 2671 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=38.8 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Ref :- W.B. 55(69).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.<br>Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of $A / S$ alone and in combination with lime on the yield of Paddy.
a. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 24.7.195). (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $35.05^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(12) on page 44.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2353 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $327.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 2269 | 2357 | 2511 | 2379 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 2390 | 2191 | 2782 | 2354 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | 2379 | 2142 | 2459 | 2327 |
| Mean | 2346 | 2230 | 2484 | 2353 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of table $\quad=163.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Ref :- W.B. 56(28).
Type :- ‘ $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone and in combination with linz on the yield of Paddy.
I. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August, 1956. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 2 puddings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs /ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nii. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $3494^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of December to 1st week of January, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54,12 ) on page 44.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii/ Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 210 ? lb ./ac. (ii) 277.8 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 2038 | 2212 | 2157 | 2136 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 2096 | 2021 | 2070 | 2062 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | 2254 | 1917 | 2152 | 2108 |
| Mean | 2129 | $20 \leq 0$ | 2126 | 2102 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=80.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=138.9 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 57(35). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsarah. } & \text { Type :- ‘M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone and in combination with lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 23.7.1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (c) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $20.77^{\prime \prime}$ ( (x) 29.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(12) on page 44.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2389 \mathrm{db} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 200.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 2154 | 2433 | 2506 | 2364 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 2028 | 2488 | 2374 | 2297 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | 2261 | 2640 | 2620 | 2507 |
| Mean | 2148 | 2520 | 2500 | 2389 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=75.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=130.0 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Ref:- W.B. 58(20).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the response to conti nuous application of lime and N alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 27.7.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamahik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 21.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(12) on page 44.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil.
(iii) Yield of grain and straw.
(iv) (a) 1945-contd.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1705 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $216.7 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is higbly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 1568 | 1723 | 1953 | 1748 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 1568 | 1460 | 2019 | 1827 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 1563 | 1669 | 1682 |  |
| Mean | 1566 | 1617 | 1933 | 1705 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =62.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =108.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 59(39). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the response to continuous application of lime and N alone and in combination on the yield of. Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 11.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 weedings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $55.51^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.1.1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(12) on page 44.
A/S applied on 11.8.1959 and lime applied in 1957.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2413 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $179.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(10). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the residual effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 11.7.1954. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 2 to 3 (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chınsurah 3-medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 6 and 712.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

4 doses of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as B.M.: $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40$ and $P_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
No B M. was applied during this year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(i) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(v) $1.5^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1944 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3296 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $177.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 3209 | 3317 | 3354 | 3305 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $56.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 55(70).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL COVDITIONS:
(i) (a) Padjy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 13.7.1955. (iv) (a) 2 plou hings and 1 harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2 . \quad$ (v) Nil. (ii) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $35.05^{\prime}$. (x) 29.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

4 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ appled as B.M. at the time of general preparation of land.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. '(b) N.A. (iii) 10 .' (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. '(v) $1.5^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yès.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1954 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (ivi) No. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2048 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $293.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $P_{n}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $P_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1925 | 1954 | 2032 | 2282 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E $/$ mean | $=$ | $92.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 56(29). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :-'M': |

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of July, 1956. (iv) (b) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) 44.63". (x) Last week of December, to 1st week of January, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(70)$ on page 48.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B M. applied on 20.7.1956.
4. GENERȦL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2448 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $226.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 2343 | 2379 | 2638 | 2433 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $7178 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |


| Crop :- Paday (Aman). |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :--To study the effect of the continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a)Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) NA. (ii) (a) Clay (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 6 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) 20.77". (x) 1st week of January, 1958.

TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :
Same as in expt. no $55(70)$ on page 48.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) NiI. (iii) Yjeld of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A• (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3217 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $347.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | $\mathbf{2 9 8 9}$ | 3069 | $\mathbf{3 3 4 4}$ | 3468 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $109.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 58(19).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object : - To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 18.7.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplatted in lines. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 5.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. $55(70)$ on page 48.
Details of application are not available.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1944 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULIS:
(i) $2356 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $276.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2316 | 2544 | 2403 | 2362 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $87.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 59(41).
Type :- $\varsigma^{\mathbf{M}}{ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 67.1959 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhsamanik (medium). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.1.1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. 55(70) on page 48.
Fertilizer applied on 28.6.1957.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2800 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $173.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2604 | 2796 | 2875 | 2925 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $54.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Boro).
Site :- State Agri, Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Ref :- W.B. 58(61).
Type :- $\cdot{ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of organic and inorganic manures on the growth and yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15.11.1958/1.1.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 1 laddering, (b) Transplanted. (c) 25 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) Chinsurah Boro-I. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $2.6^{\circ}$. (x) Last week of April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 sources of $100 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Mustard cake, $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Mustard cake $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
Manures applied during final puddling of the fieid.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $42^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime} \times 23^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1958-1960. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2901 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $419.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2457 | 3132 | 2867 | 3146 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $209.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Grop :- Paddy (Boro). | Ref :- W.B. 59(61). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. $\quad$ Type :- 'M'. |  |

Object :-To study the effect of organic and inorganic manutes on the growth and yield of Paddy.
d. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16.11.1959/1.1.1960.
(iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 3 ladderings. (b) Transplanted. (c) $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) N.A.
(vi) Chinsurah Boro-I. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 to 4 weedings. (ix) $3.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 58(61) above.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2991 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $299.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii, Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2722 | 3122 | 2963 | 3156 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $149.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 5\%(49). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'M' $^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To find out the effect of G.M. and Super on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Middie of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (c) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1957.

2 TREATMENTS:
Main-plot treatments :
3 types of cropping: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Dhaincha followed by Aman-Paddy, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Dhaincha with Aman paddy and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Aman paddy alone.
Sat-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1956-1958$. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2569 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 598.1 lb /ac. (b) 241.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of P is highly significant. Main effect of T and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{T}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2742 | 2204 | 2376 | 2440 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 3248 | 2604 | 2242 | 2698 |
| Mean | 2995 | 2404 | 2309 | 2569 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. T marginal means
$=244.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P$ marginal means
3. P means at the same level of $\mathbf{T}$
$=80.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{T}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
$=139.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=263.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 58(17).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of G.M. and Super on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddv. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Referjsoil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3.8.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \cdot$ (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 19.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 57 (49) on page 52.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1210 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $203.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $208.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only T effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | T1 | T2 | T3 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1425 | 1092 | 962 | 1160 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1492 | 1195 | 1094 | 1260 |
| Mean | 1458 | 1144 | 1028 | 1210 |

S.E. of the difference of two

| 1. T marginal means | $=83.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means | $=69.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $P$ means at the same level of $T$ |  |
| 4. $T$ means at the same level of $P$ |  |
|  | $=119.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref :- W.B. 55(58).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of different levels and sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy—Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 14.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d), $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $45.04^{\circ}$. (x) 17, 19.1.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + control ( 3 plots)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=45 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(i) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Urea.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1500 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $185.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect and intraction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1343 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1522 | 1492 | 1504 | - |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1561 | 1351 | 1802 | 1506 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 1706 | 1548 | 1494 | 1571 |
|  |  | 1596 | 1464 | 1600 |
| Mean | 1596 | 1553 |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal or control mean | $=53.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=92.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Grop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :. W-B. 57(45). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurab. | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object : -To study the effect of different levels and sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land, clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (c) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 thinoings. (ix) N.A. (x) 22 to 24.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $55(58)$ on page 53.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2039 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $260.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=2022 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1985 | 1903 | 2176 | 2021 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2029 | 1979 | 2141 | 2050 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 2144 | 1992 | 2054 | 2063 |
| Mean | 2053 | 1958 | 2124 | 2045 |
| S.E. of any marginal or control mean |  | $=$ | $75.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of the body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref :- W.B. 58(24).
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effect of different levels and sources of $N$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangrtic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) $17.8 .19 \$ 8$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 7.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :
me as in expt. no. $55(58)$ on page 53.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7387 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 176.3 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N , 'control vs. others' and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are highly significant and main effect of S is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Control $=6484 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref :- W.B. 59(42).
Type :- 'M.
```

Object :- To study the effect of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$ and Urea on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 18.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (vi) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 19.1.1960.
2. TREATMENIS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. $55(58)$ on page 53.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1606 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $186.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significañt. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Control $=1482 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1574 | 1579 | 1704 | $1619^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1648 | 1704 | 1711 | 1688 |
| $\dot{N}_{3}$ | 1674 | 1719 | 1507 | 1633 |
| Mean | 1632 | 1667 | 1641 | 1647 |
| S.E. of any marginal or control maen |  |  |  | $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | 0 lb .(ac. |



Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 59(46).
Type : $=\mathbf{~ ' ~} \mathbf{M}$ '。

Object:-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16.7 .1959 . (iv) (a) 10 ploughings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ (c) 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 55.2". (x) 17.12.1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( $1,0(2)$ and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=30, N_{2}=90$ and $N_{3}=180 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super applied on 14.7.1959 while Mur. Pot and A/S applied on 17.8.1958.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ lodged. Fair growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1959contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch Behar. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 276.3 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the cffects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2220 | 1832 | 1918 | 1990 | 2025 | 1955 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2396 | 2056 | 2180 | 2211 | 2310 | 2111 |
| Mean | 2308 | 1944 | 2049 | 2100 | 2168 | 2033 |
| K。 | 2382 | 2024 | 2097 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2234 | 1864 | 2002 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=79.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ or $K$ marginal mean | $=65.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=112.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=92.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref :- W.B. 54(13).

Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the suitable method of application of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 20 and 21.7.1954. (iv) (a) The filed was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (b) Transplantation. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 15 and 16.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasted on surface and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Thrust in.

A/S applied on 3.9.1954.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6
(iv) (a)
a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2652 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $332.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 2676 | 2820 | 2583 | 2444 | 2631 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 2702 | 2742 | 2662 | 2589 | 2674 |
| Mean | 2689 | 2781 | 2622 | 2516 | 2652 |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=96.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=67.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=135.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(11). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chimsurah. | Type :- $\mathbf{' M}^{\prime}$. |

Object:- To find the effect of N and F.Y.M. applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nit. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 17.7.1954.
(iv) (a) The filed was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik-(Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 24 to 26.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 doses of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1} \doteq 100$ mds./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
5 doses of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
F Y.M. applied at the time of general preparation of land on 7.6 .1954 and $A / S$ broadcasted .4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :

- (i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/replication and 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1945-$ contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 2194 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) $312.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $225.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ <br> $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ | Mean     <br> 2042 2286 2157 2255 2149 <br> 2437 2564 2240 2134 1681 | 2178 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | 2425 | 2198 | 2194 | 1915 | 2194 |  |

## S.E. of the difference of two

| 1. $\quad$ F marginal means | $=98.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\quad \mathrm{N}$ marginal means | $=112.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N means at the ssme level of F | $=159.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of N |  |
|  | $=172.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}\) '.
Ref :- W.B. 55(68).
```

Object :- To study the effect of $N$ and F.Y.M. aione and in combinatifn on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iin) 1st week of August 1955. (iv) a) Ploughing 3 to 4 times and puddling 1 to 2. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d, $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unırrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (IX) $22.07^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of De_emzer, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. $5 f_{i} 11$ ) on page 57 .
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1591 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii; (a) $475.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $445.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yieid of grain in lb, 'ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1937 | 2107 | 1835 | 1433 | 1468 | 1756 |
| $F_{1}$ | 1981 | 2119 | 1510 | 823 | 701 | 1427 |
| Mean | 1929 | 2113 | 1672 | 112ð | 1084 | 1591 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. F mârginal means $\quad=150.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ marginal means $\quad=222.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{N}$ means at the same level of $F \quad=315.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. F means at the same level of $N=318.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 56(27).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of N and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 23.7.1956. (iv) (a) Ploughing 3 to 4 times and pudding. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 to 4 weedings. (ix) 44.63". (x) 15.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no 54(11) on page 57.

### 4.1 GENERAL:

(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (v) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1602 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $488.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $184.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant and interaction $N \times F$ is significant. Main effect of $F$ is not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ | 1988 2103 1800 1778 1464 <br> 1913 1504 1218 1228 1022 | 1827 <br> 1377 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1950 | 1804 | 1509 | 1503 | 1243 | 1602 |

S.E of difference of two

| 1. F marginal means | $=154.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. N marginal means | $=92.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N means at the same level of F | $=120.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of N. | $=194.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref :- W.B. 57(34).
Type :- ${ }^{〔} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effect of N and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay: (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 29.7.1957. (iv) (a) Ploughing 2 to 3 and puddling 3 times. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $s^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 wet dings. (ix) 20.77". (x) 26.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54 (11) cn page 57.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good: (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2031 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $352.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $392.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Ncne of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ | 2220 | 2491 | 2099 | 2113 | 2079 | 2200 |
| Mèan | 2158 | 2310 | 2022 | 1888 | 1779 | 1862 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. | F marginal means | $\ddots$ | $=111.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2. | N marginal means |  | $=196.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. | N means at the same level cf F. |  | $=277.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. | F means at the same level of N |  | $=272.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref :- W.B. 58(18).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and F.Y.M. alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 27.7.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 pudding. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi, Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 21.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(11) on page 57.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of paddy grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1556 lb /ac. (ii) (a) $341.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $292.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}=$. (iii) Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $F_{0}$ | 1359 | 1446 | 1687 | 1839 | 1493 | 1565 |
| $F_{1}$ | 1660 | 1820 | 1675 | 1456 | 1127 | 1548 |
| Mean | 1510 | 1633 | 1681 | 1648 | 1310 | 1556 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. F marginal means | $=107.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. N marginal means | $=146.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N means at the same level of F | $=206.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of N | $=214.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 59(37).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ‘M’.

Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and F.Y.M. alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 13.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 55.51". (x) 5.1.1960.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(11) on page 57.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. No lodging. (ii) N I. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1873 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) 215.7 lb ./ac. (b) 163.2 lb ./ac.'(iii) Main effects of F and N alone are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | 61. |  |  |  |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1872 | 2234 | 2163 | 2167 | 2002 | 2087 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1699 | 1912 | 1709 | 1559 | 1416 | 1659 |
| Mean | 1786 | 2073. | 1936 | 1863 | 1709. | 1873 |

S:E. of difference of two

1. F marginal means $=68.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N marginal means
$=81.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N means at the same level of F
$=115.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of N
$=123.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref :- W.B. 55(56). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ 。

. " * "
Object :-To compare the effects of A/S and Urea on Paddy when applied at different times.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay, (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii)

3rd week of July, 1955. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d)
$9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $45.04^{\prime \prime}$. ( $(\mathrm{x}) 2$ nd week.
of January, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
2 sources of 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Urea and $S_{2}=A / S$.
Sub-plot treatments :
5 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Full dose at the pudding time, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Full dose 4 weeks after transplantation, $T_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose at the puddling time and $\frac{1}{2}$ dose 4 weeks after transplantation, $\mathrm{T}_{4}=\frac{1}{3}$ dose each at puddling time, 4 weeks after transplantation and before flowering and $\mathrm{T}_{5}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose 4 weeks after transplantation and $\frac{1}{2}$ dose before flowering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/replication; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { (b) } 36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} & \text { (v) } 1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} & \text { (vi) Yes. }\end{array}$
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw.
(iv) (a) 1955-1959.
(b) Yes. (c) No.
(v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1587 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $280.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 168.8 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | $T_{4}$ | $T_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 1580 1562 1639 1372 1351 <br> $S_{2}$ 1769 1671 1713 1583 | 1629 | 1501 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1674 | 1616 | 1676 | 1478 | 1490 | 1587. |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $=88.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $T$ marginal means $\quad=84.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. T means at the same level of $S \quad=119.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of $T$. $\quad=, 138.8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Ref:- W.B. 56(22).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object:-To compare the effects of A/S and Urea on Paddy when applied at different times.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic allaviun soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1956. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $61.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2 rd week of January, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENIS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $55(56)$ on page 61.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1516 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 172.0 lb ., ac. (b) $123.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1434 | 1571 | 1492 | 1595 | 1488 | 1516 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1357 | 1511 | 1608 | 1610 | 1491 | 1516 |
| Mean | 1396 | 1541 | 1550 | 1503 | 1490 | 1516 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=54.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. T marginal means
$=61.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. T means at the same level of $S$
$=87.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $T$
$=94.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :- W.B. 57(17).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To compare the effects of A/S and Urea on Paddy when applied at different times.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neatral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and I pudiling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e; 2. , v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Uarrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ixj 47.94". (x) 2nd week of January, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(56) on page 61.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2267 \mathrm{lb} ., \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $401.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $198.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2156 | 2015 | 2252 | 1919 | 2068 | 2082 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 2486 | 2531 | 2353 | 2378 | 2517 | 2453 |
| Mean | 2321 | 2273 | 2302 | 2148 | 2292 | 2267 |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means
$=127.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. T marginal means $\quad=99.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. T means at the same level of $\mathbf{S} \cdot \quad=140.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $T \quad=178.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri, Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref:- W.B. $58(15)$. <br> Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{M}$.

Object :-To compare the effects of $A / S$ and Urea on Paddy when applied at different times.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, reutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 pudding. (b) Transplented in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 2nd week of January, 1959.
2. TREATMENIS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 55(56) on page 61 .
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2083 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $392.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $159.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{cc}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb /ac.

|  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | T3 | T4 | $T_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2033 | 2083 | 1936 | 2001 | 2138 | 2038 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2222 | 2144 | 2104 | 2063 | 2109 | 2128 |
| Mean | 2127 | 2114 | 2020 | 2032 | 2124 | 2083 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
=124.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

2. 'T marginal means $\quad=79.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. T means at the same level of $S \quad=112.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of $T \quad=159.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Faddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 59(35).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\text {M }}$.

Object:-To compare the effects of A/S and Uiea on Paddy when applied at different times.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 20.7.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 pucdling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 55.51". (x) 13.1.1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $55(56)$ on page 61.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1422 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 203.9 lb /ac. (b) 214.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Only S effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=64.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. T marginal means
$=107.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. T means at the same level of $S$
$=151.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $T$
$=150.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 54(65).
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Objeat : - To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August, 1954. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Transplanted. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d. $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Jhingasail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (X) Middle of December to last week of December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-piot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 level, of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
B M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and $A_{i} S 4$ weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plois/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants got lodged during flowering stage. Details-N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1954contd. (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Slightly effected due to storm and rain. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1840 lb ;ac. (ii) (a) 376.1 lb ./ac. (b) $292.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of N and F are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2130 | 2257 | 2088 | 1678 | 1384 | 1907 | 1956 | 1871 | 1895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1936 | 2119 | 1912 | 1552 | 1344 | 1773 | 1798 | 1731 | 1789 |
| Mean | 2033 | 2188 | 2000 | 1615 | 1364 | 1840 | 1877 | 1801 | 1842 |
| $P_{0}$ | 2160 | 2301 | 2084 | 1575 | 1264 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1910 | 2077 | 1861 | 1614 | 1543 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2030 | 2186 | 2055 | 1656 | 1285 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
$=88.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{F}$
$=112.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means
$=68.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad 6$. $F$ means at the same level of $P$
$=75.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{F}$ marginal means
$=43.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .7$. P means at the same level of $F=86.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. F means at the same level of N
$=97.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table
$=108.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref :- W.B. 55(N.A.). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S; B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) August, 1955. (iv) (a) Ploughing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $54(66)$ on page 64.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield _of grain and straw, (iv) (a) 1948-contd: (b) Yes: (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1910 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $352.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 327.0 lb ./ac. (iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. Feffect is significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{0}$ | 1725 | 2483 | 2325 | 1866 | 1448 | 1969 | 1877 | 2011 | 2030 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1629 | 2824 | 2349 | 1344 | 1108 | 1851 | 1862 | 1829 | 1861 |
| Mean | 1677 | 2654 | 2337 | 1605 | 1278 | 1910 | 1870 | 1915 | 1946 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1786 | 2572 | 2248 | 1559 | 1184 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1602 | 263) | 2366 | 1670 | 1298 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1642 | 2750 | 2398 | 1587 | 1351 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of différence of two

1. N marginal means
$=83.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad 5 . \mathrm{N}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=113.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=64.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .6 . \mathrm{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=84.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $F$ marginal means $\quad=48.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .7$. $P$ means at the same levels of $F=87.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. F means at the same level of $N=-109.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=101.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 56(48).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.
a. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy.
(b) Fallow.
(c) Nil.
(ii) (a) Clay.
(b) Refer soil analysis. Chinsurah. '(iii) Middle of August 1956. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) N.A. (vi), Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December 1956.

## 2. TRE ATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(66) on page 64.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32.5^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop suffered due to severe flood followed by terrific storm. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1849 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $322,6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $274.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=76.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=99.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P$ marginal means $\quad=58.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. $F$ means at the same level of $P=70.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. F marginal means $\quad=40.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 7. $P$ means at the same level of $F=77.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. F means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=91.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=93.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 57(62).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :- To study the effect of continuous applications of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 to 7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\circ \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viiii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(66) on page 64.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication and 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32.5^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (b) N.A. (iii) $\mathbb{1}$ Yisld of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore . (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

[^0]|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{0}$ | 2074 | 2183 | 1992 | 1437 | 1478 | 1833 | 1859 | 1788 | 1851 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2330 | 2193 | 1768 | 1478 | 1289 | 1812 | 1870 | 1772 | 1785 |
| Mean | 2202 | 2188 | 1880 | 1458 | 1383 | 1822 | 1869 | 1780 | 1818 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2171 | 2252 | 1982 | 1492 | 1448 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2150 | 1948 | 1934 | 1421 | 1408 |  |  |  |  |
| - $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2246 | 2365 | 1725 | 1460 | 1294 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $=107.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=83.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=49.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=110.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. F marginal means
3. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=132.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. F means at the same level of $P=85.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $P$ means at the same level of $F=102.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
S.E. of the body of $N \times P$ table $=131.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 58(54).
Type :- $\mathbf{' M}^{\mathbf{M}}$.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Middle of August 1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December 1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(66) on page 64.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1567 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $378.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $233.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1816 | 1716 | 1664 | 1460 | 1332 | 1598 | 1687 | 1538 | 1568 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2016 | 1740 | 1385 | 1347 | 1199 | 1537 | 1574 | 1530 | 1508 |
| Mean | 1916 | 1728 | 1525 | 1403 | 1265 | 1567 | 1630 | 1534 | 1538 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1886 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1748 | 1754 | 1438 | 1326 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1837 | 1733 | 1444 | 1332 | 1322 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2025 | 1703 | 1376 | 1439 | 1147 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=89.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=104.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. $P$ marginal means | $=69.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 6. F means at the same level of $P=60.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. F marginal means | $=34.8 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. | 7. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=81.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of N | $=77.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | S.E. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=109.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(43).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ‘M’.

Object :- To study the effect of N, P and F.Y.M. applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 8.8.1959. (iv) ( (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 10.12.1959 to 13.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(66) on page 64.
F.Y.M. applied on 22.6.1959, B.M. applied on 6.6 .1959 and while A/S on 7.9.1:59.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots;main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $32 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $301^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Gocd. Plants in $N_{2}, N_{3}$ and $N_{4}$ plots were lodged due to rain and storm. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore and Suri. (b) Nil. (vi) and (viii) N.1.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2078 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $578.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $679.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect is highly significant. F effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are signicant while all other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

| S. $N$ marginal means | $=136.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 5. N means at the same level of $F=210.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. $P$ marginal means | $=105.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 6. F means at the same level of $P=175.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. F marginal means | $=101.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 7. $P$ means at the same level of $F=162.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. F means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=226.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=167.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 54(67). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ‘M’. |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and lime applied individually and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Middle week of August, 1954. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanted. (c) 15 to 17 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) N.A. (vi) Jhingha sail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Treatments in one direction:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. '
(2) 3 levels of lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
A/S and B.M. broadcast 6 weeks after transplantation. Lime applied once in 4 years.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip-plot. (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction; 3 strips in orthogonal direction. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv)
(a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Plants lodged during flowering stages due to rains. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Trop slightly effected due to rain and storm. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1817 lb ./ac. (ii) 366.1 lb ./ac. for NL 344.8 lb ./ac. for P .210 .3 lb ./ac. for NLP. (iii) Main effect of N and interaction $N \times P$ are highly significant while main effect of $P$ and interaction $L \times P$ are significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| . | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1910 | 2126 | 2068 | 1893 | 1494 | 1898 | 1880 | 1839. | 1974 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1952 | 2196 | 1884 | 1687 | 1388 | 1821 , | 1735 | 1901 | 1828 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1971 | 2123 | 1794 | 1532 | 1240 | 1732 | 1672 | 1746 | 1779 |
| Mean | 1944 | 2148 | 1915 | 1704 | 1374 | 1817 | 1762 | 1829 | 1860 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 1914 | 2031 | 1902 | 1669 | 1296 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1893 | 2202 | 1997 | 1651 | 1401 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 2026 | 2212 | 1847 | 1791 | . 1425 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=70.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
2. $L$ margin $c 1$ means $\quad=54.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
3. N means at the same level of $P=90.8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. P marginal means $\quad=51.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
5. P means at the same level of $L=67.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=80.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
7. L means at the same level of $P=703 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of bcdy of $N \times L$ table $=86.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 55 (N.A.).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous ápplication of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and lime applied individually and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis,' Chin surah. (iii) Middle of August, 1955. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A.
$9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(67) on page 68.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2084 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $432.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{NL}, 285.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{P}, 341.8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. for NLP (iii) Main effects of N and P alone are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2027 | 2481 | 2331 | 1620 | 1400 | 1972 | 1932 | 2001 | 1983 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2145 | 2639 | 2389 | 1805 | 1566 | 2109 | 2048 | 2116 | 2162 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2344 | 2713 | 2289 | 1884 | 1621 | 2170 | 2116 | 2234 | 2160 |
| Mean | 2172 | 2611 | 2336 | 1770 | 1529 | 2084 | 2032 | 2117 | 2102 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 2158 | 2561 | 2287 | 1695 | 1460 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 2162 | 2632 | 2387 | 1792 | 1610 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 2196 | 2640 | 2334 | 1822 | 1517 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=83.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same ievel of $\mathrm{L}=124.8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
2. $L$ marginal means $\quad=64.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{L}=83.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $P$ marginal means $\quad=42.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 7. $L$ means at the same level of $P=96.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $N=110.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=101.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```


## Ref :- W.B. 56(49). <br> ,Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st to 2 nd week of August, 1956. (iv) (a; Ploughing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 16 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 3rd week of December, 1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(67) on page 68.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip-plot. (ii) (a) 15 stips in one direction; 3 strips in orthogonal direction. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 15.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop suffered due to floods followed by storm. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1638 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 28.8 lb ./ac. for NL , (b) 246.9 lb ./ac. for P , (c) 181.0 lb ./ac. for $\mathrm{NL} \times \mathrm{P}$. (iii) Main effect of $N$ is highly significant and interaction $N \times P$ is significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | - 1786 | 1780 | 1661 | 1533 | 1541 | 1660 | 1654 | 1636 | 1690 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1713 | 1639 | 1482 | 1607 | 1505 | 1589 | 1554 | 1588 | 1626 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1823 | 1682 | 1545 | 1625 | 1653 | 1666 | 1758 | 1624 | 1615 |
| Mean | 1774 | 1700 | 1563 | 1588 | 1566 | 1638 | 1655 | 1616 | 1644 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | . 1848 | 1683 | 1595 | 1605 | 1546 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1716 | 1732 | 1582 | 1535 | 1513 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1759 | 1685 | 1511 | 1624 | 1639 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=44.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=66.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $L$ marginal means $\quad=34.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. $P$ means at the same level of $L=53.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=36.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 7. L means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=51.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $N=65.3 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=53.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Grop:- Paddy (Anan). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 57(63).

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of July to 1 st week of August, 1957. (iv) (a) Ploughing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of December, 1957.

## TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. io. 54(67) on page 68.
3. DE SIGN :
(i) Strip-plot. (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction; 3 strips in orthogonal direction. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 15.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENE RAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1874 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $552.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{NL}, 525.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{P}, 363.1 \% \mathrm{lb}$./ac. for $\mathrm{NL} \times \mathrm{P}$ (iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yseld of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 1683 | 1962 | 2080 | 1802 | 1587 | 1823 | 1877 | 1805 | 1786 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1850 | 2181 | 2180 | 1913 | 1441 | 1933 | 1904 | 2003 | 1891 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1980 | 2177 | 2115 | 1574 | 1490 | 1867 | 1865 | 1807 | 1930 |
| Mean | 1838 | 2140 | 2125 | 1763 | 1506 | 1874 | 1882 | 1872 | 1869 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 1858 | 2207 | 2103. | 1807 | 1434 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1790 | 2136 | 2099 | 1694 | 1641 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1866 | 2077 | 2172 | 1788 | 1443 |  |  |  |  |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=105.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same level of $P=145.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. L marginal means $\quad=\quad 82.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. $P$ means at the same level of $L=109.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $P$ marginal means $\quad=54.1 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac} .7$. L means at the same level of $P=112.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}=133.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=130.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Grop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 58(55). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | TyFe :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of contiouous application of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Last week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of January, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54;67) on page 68.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yeld of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore (on Aus paddy and from 1949-1950). (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1555 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 409.9 lb ./ac. for $\mathrm{NL}, 600.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{P}, 286.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for $\mathrm{NL} \times \mathrm{P}$. (iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=78.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. 5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=111.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. L marginal means
$=61.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .6 . \mathrm{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{L}=108.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $P$ marginal means
$=89.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .7$. $L$ means at the same level of $P=86.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $N=123.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=96.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 59(36). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- '© ${ }^{\prime}$ '. |

Otject :-To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and lime applied individually and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Fallow-Pacdy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic ailuvium soil, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1.8 .1959 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $55.51^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 to 20.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of Lime: $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Lime applied once in 4 years on 23.5.1956, B.M. applied on 27.6.1959 and A/S applied on 1.9.1959.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/block ; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $32 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{} \times 17 \frac{1}{\ell^{\prime}}$. (b) $30 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 15 \frac{2^{\prime}}{\prime}$, (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. Plants receiving 60 lb ./ac: or more N were lodged due to rain and storm. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. I(c) No. (v) (a) Suri and Berhampore. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2190 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $579.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 539.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of $N$ is highly significant, $L$ and $P$ effects are significant. Interactions $N \times P$ and $N \times L \times P$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 2255 | 2405 | 2344 | 2117 | 2176 | 2259 | 2160 | 2290 | 2328 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2407 | 2346 | 2152 | 1946 | 1860 | 2142 | 2096 | 2184 | 2146 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2469 | 2470 | 2147 | 1897 | 1861 | 2169 | 2166 | 2163 | 2177 |
| Mèan | 2377 | 2407 | 2214 | 1987 | 1966 | 2190 | 2141 | 2212 | 2217 |
| $L_{0}$ | 2373 | 2417 | 2100 | 1871 | 1942 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 2398 | 2382 | 2320 | 1983 | 1979 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 2360 | 2422 | 2222 | 2106 | 1976 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=111.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .5$. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=184.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
2. $L$ marginal means $\quad=86.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. 6. P means at the same level of $L=139.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=80.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .7$. $L$ means at the same level of $P .=142.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=179.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of bcdy of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $=136.6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

## Ref:- W.B. 54(44).

Type :- ' ${ }^{( }$'.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $N$ and $\mathbf{P}$ for yield of Paddy under different soil climatic conditions for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Single cropping. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 6 and 7.8.1954. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 to 4. (v) Nil. (vi) Inarasail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $125.71^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 30.121955 and 2.1.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 5 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40, N_{3}=60$ and $N_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Super ploughed in on 6.8.1954 while A/S broadcasted on 16 and 17.9.1954.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah Burdwan, Midnapore, Miynagari, Malda and Haringhata. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment conducted during the year 1955 tailed.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1793 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $125.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effeat of N is highly significant while P effect is highly significant (iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1780 | 1612 | 1599 | 1617 | 1689 | 1659 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $: 698$ | 1743 | 1799 | 1767 | 1879 | 1777 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1929 | 1630 | 2103 | 1916 | 2035 | 1923 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1804 | 1617 | 2091 | 1729 | 1829 | 1814 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 1804 | 1842 | 1885 | 1711 | 1729 | 1794 |
| Mean | 1803 | 1689 | 1895 | 1748 | 1832 | 1793 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=25.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.<br>Ref:- W.B. 57(8)<br>Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line sowing. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) to (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27.5^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (b) $25.5^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan, Midnapore, Chinsurah and Purulia. (b) N.A. (vi) ajd (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1070 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (i.) $245.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is signincant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1027 | 1037 | 1032 | 1054 | 1010 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1011 | 1203 | 1107 | 1190 | 1024 |
| Mean | 1019 | 1120 | 1070 | 1122 | 1017 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 990 | 1254 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1048 | 986 | . |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=61.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table
$=86.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref :- W.B. 58(11).
Type :- ‘M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line sowing. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2.
(v) N.A. (vi) Dudsar (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.12.1958 to 20.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $57(8)$ on page 74.
N and K applied on 22.9.1958 while P applied on 17.7.1958.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1798 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 207.8 lb ./ac. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | ${ }^{K}{ }_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1694 | 1678 | 1686 | 1703 | 1670 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1937 | 1884 | 1909 | 1920 | 1898 |
| Mean | 1814 | 1781 | 1798 | 1811 | 1784 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1852 | 1770 |  |  |  |
| $K_{1}$ | 1776 | 1792 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=52.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=73.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

[^1]Ref :- W.B. 59(21).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 8 and 98.1959 . (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughing and ladderings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 sts ./ac. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Dudsar (medium), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 11 and 12.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 57(8) on page 74.
Sources of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is Mur. Pst. while Super applied on 8.8.1959.
5. RESU!TS:
(i) $2344 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $246.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ are highly significant. P effect is significant while all other effects are not significant. (iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =61.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S E. of body of any table } & =87.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(22). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar. } & \text { Type :- ‘M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To stuity the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ on the yield of Padsy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Silty and fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soll analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 13 and 14.8 .1 ,s9. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nıl. (vi) Dudsar (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 17.12.1959.
2. TREATMEN IS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=30, N_{2}=90$ and $N_{3}=180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super applied on 13.8.1959 and top dressed on 25.9.1959.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nul. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) NA. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2402 lb ./ac. (ii 212.4 lb ./ac. (iii) N and P effects and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ are highly significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$. | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2359 | 2684 | 2518 | 2520 | 2577 | 2464 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2268 | 2502 | 2084 | 2285 | 2300 | 2269 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mean | 2313 | 2593 | 2301 | 2402 | 2438 | 2366 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2329 | 2546 | 2441 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2298 | 2640 | 2161 |  |  |  |


| S.E. cf N marginal mean | $=61.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $\mathbf{P}$ or K marginal mean | $=50.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=86.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=70.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref :- W.B. 56(2).
Type :- ‘M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of lime and $P$ applied to dhaincha on the following Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Single cropping. (b) Dhaincha sown on 18.5.1956. and uprooted on 3.8.1956. (c) As per treatments.
(ii) (a) Sandy loam.' (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 3.8.1956. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting, (c) N.A. (d) $9^{n} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 3 to 4. (v) A/S top dressed at $1 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. on 5.9.1956, and 4.10.1956. in $\frac{1}{2}$ doses. (vi) Latisail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding on 29.5.1956. (ix) N.A. (x) 3.1.1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $L_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ ton/ac.
(2) 3 levels of Super : $P_{1}=100, P_{2}=200$ and $P_{3}=300 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Lime broadcast on 14.4.1956 and Super broadcast on 27.4.1956 to the previous dhaincha crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) $9 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

- (i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1057. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS :
(i) $855 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $137.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only P effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{0}$ | 601 | 921 | 993 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 762 | 832 | 962 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 805 | 840 | 978 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=39.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $=68.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 57(6).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar. Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study theresidual effect of lime and $P$ applied to dhain sha on the following Padjy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Single cropping. (b) Dhaincha sown on 24.5.1957 and turned in on 30.7.1957. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 1.9.1957. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and lactering. (b, Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 to 4. (v) Nil. (vi) Latisail. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) 24 and 25.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $56(2)$ on page 77.
Data of application-N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $931 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $300.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{0}$ | 866 | 1225 | 881 | 991 |
| $L_{1}$ | 984 | 1065 | 952 | 989 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 678 | 890 | 875 | 814 |
| Mean | 831 | 1060 | 903 | 931 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  |  | $=86$. |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $=150$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (. $\mathrm{f} m a n$ ). | Ref:- W.B. 55(75). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Haringhat. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 rd week of July, 1955. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Tranヶplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) 80 to $100 \mathrm{mds} . / a c$. of F.Y.M. (vi) Patnai. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December to 1 st week of January, 1956.
2. TREA TMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super was ploughed in before transplauting and $A / S$ was applied as top dressing 4 weeks after transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) $5 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mayanaguri, Cooch Behar, Chinsurah, Burdwan, Malda and Midnapore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 213 : $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $245.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) All the effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2514 | 2501 | 2377 | 1867 | 2153. | 2282 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2240 | 2203 | 1954 | 1929 | 1805 | 2026 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2589 | 2141 | 2414 | 1780 | 2066 | 2198 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1892 | 2551 | 2103 | 2066 | 1966 | 2116 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 2327 | 2116 | 1867 | 1904 | 1966 | 2036 |
| Mean | 2312 | 2302 | 2143 | 1909 | 1991 | 2132 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean . | $=49.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of bcdy of table | $=109.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Purulia).

Ref :- W.B. 55(61).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To test the effect of T.C. on soil fertility and Paddy yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 36 mds . of F.Y.M. +42 lb . of Super and A/S each. (ii) (a) Sandy, acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) 13.6.1955/31.8.1955. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings by desi plough. (b) Sowing in nursery bed by Local method and transplanting by Japanese method. (c) $20 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6 . (v) Nil. (vi) B.K. -141 (improved, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once. (ix) $28.34^{4 \prime}$. (x) 13.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

6 manurial treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Compost $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Compost $+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Compost $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{M}_{5}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5.
(iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $55.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$
(v) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Unsatisfactory growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain and straw, no. of tillers and height. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Heavy drought.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $549 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $63.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Av. yield | 407 | .452 | 473 | $4 \subsetneq 6$ | 461 | 1004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $28.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

[^2]Ref :- W.B. 55(62).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :-To study the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS.

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 40 mds. of F.Y.M. +45 lb . of Super and A/S each. (ii) (a) Saedy, acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) $14.6 .1955 / 25.8 .19$ j5. (iv) (a) Ploughing by desi plough 5 times, puddling and noeing. (b) Sowing in nursery bed by Local method and transplanting by Japanese method. (c) $20 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6 . (v) Nil. (vi) $498-2 \mathrm{~A}$ (improved, late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $28.34^{*}$. ( x ) 2.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 manural treatments : $M_{0}=$ No G.M., $M_{1}=$ G.M. with kalai, $M_{2}=$ G.M. with moong, $M_{3}=$ G.M. with sanai and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ G.M. with dhaincha.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $42.5^{\prime} \times 23.5^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growih satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of straw and grain, no. of tillers, height at an interval of one month and also at harvest. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Crop suffered due to heavy drought. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1148 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}=$. (ii) $255.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differeaces are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | S 62 | 1283 | 1079 | 1232 | 1183 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 132.9 | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Purulia).

Ref:- W.B. 55(63).
Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ 。

Object:-To study the effect of legume crop in improving soil fertility and Super on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 100 mds. of F Y.M. +82 jb. of Super and A/S each. (ii) (a) Sandy, acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) $14.6 .1955 / 29.8 .1955$. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings by desi plough, puddiin; and hoeing. (b) and (c) Sowing in nursery bed by Local method and transplanting by Japanese method. (d) $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6. (v) Nil. (vi) B.K. 36 (improved, late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) weeding twice. (ix) $28.31^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 30.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 manurial treatments: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No G.M., $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ No G.M. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super., $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ G.M. with sanai, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. with sanai +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super at the time of sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $55 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 17 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(!) Growth satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of straw and grain, no. of tillers and height. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Heavy drought, G.M. added was not satisfactory. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $786 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 160.9 lb /ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yıeld | 756 | 773 | 685 | 929 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $71.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Sité :- State Agri, Färimi, Hathwara (Pựưlia): <br> > Ref :- W. B. $55(60)$. > Typè :- ‘'M?. <br> <br> Ref :- W. B. 55(60). <br> <br> Ref :- W. B. 55(60). <br> <br> Typë :-‘M’.

 <br> <br> Typë :-‘M’.}Object :-To study the effect of liming on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 50 mds. of F.Y.M. +55 Ib . of Super and $A / S$ each: (ii) (a) Sandy, acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) 24.6.1955/26.8.1955. (iv) (a) Puddling and hoeing. Ploughing by desi plough. (b) and (c) In nursery bed, local method at séed rate 20 srs ./ac. and transplanting by Japanese method. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6. (v) No. (vi) Kanke-II (Improved, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $28.34^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 24.11.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

6 manurial treatments : $M_{0}=$ Control, $M_{1}=800 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of lime, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=1600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of lime, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=2400 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$. of lime, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=40 \mathrm{ib}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. and $M_{5}=M_{3}+M_{4}$.
The liming was done one month before transplanting. It was applied on the leveli.e. on soil surface and then ploughed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $55.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$.
(v) $\dot{9}^{n} \times 9^{b}$
(vi) Y'Ys.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth satisfactory for $1 \frac{1}{2}$ month but onwards suffered due to lack of irrigation. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain and stráw, no. of tillers, height of the plants' each at an interval of one month and also at harvest. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Heavy drought and noñ-ávailability of suitable irrigational facilities. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $808 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 268.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 549 | 789 | 678 | 609 | 1213 | 1008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=$ | $120.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy. } & \text { Ref :- W.B. } 54(\mathbf{5 9 )} . \\
\text { Site :- State Agri, Farm, Hathwara'(Puruliä). } & \text { Typè :- ‘M’. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy—Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 60 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. $+801 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Sandy, acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) $5.6 .1954 / 7.9 .1954$. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings by desi plough. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 srs./ac. (d) $9^{0} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 4 to 5 . (v) $40 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. to whole of the experimental area. (vi) B.K.-141 early (improved). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $18.16^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

5 manurial treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{O}}_{5}$ as Super +40 lb ./ac. of ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $34^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A: (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain. (iv) (a) $1954-1955$ (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1046 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 87.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. vield | 710 | 1040 | 1132 | 1091 | 1256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $43.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Purulia).

Ref :- W.B. 55(61).
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{M}$ :

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 49 mds. of F.Y.M. +47 lb . of Super and A/S each. (ii) (a) Sandy acidic. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) $24.6 .1955 / 28.8 .1955$. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings by desi plough. (b) and (c) In nursery bed, local method at seed at 20 srs./ac. and transplanting by Japanese method. (d) $12^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6 . (v) Nil. (vi) Kanke-II (improved, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 28.34*. (x) 24.11.195s.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(59) on page 81.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $55.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{* \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERRL :
(i) Crop suffered due to drought. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain and straw, no. of tillers and height each at an interval of one month and also at harvest. (iv) (a) 1954-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Heavy drought and non availability of suitable irrigational facilities caused the poor growth. After a week of transplanting, it was observed that except the control plot all other treatm nts showed marked difference. Leaving control plots, all others were erect and they were dark green. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $887 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $149.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 631 | 951 | 974 | 871 | 1009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 58(45). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Purulia). | Type :- © $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy clay loam and clay loam with concretion. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara.
(iii) Last week of July, 1958 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and spading. (b) Transplanting. (c) 33.1 to 39.7 lb ./ac.
(d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} /$ /ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Badkalamkati (medium). (vii) Unirrigated.
(viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 thinnings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Y ield of grain. (iv) (a) 1957-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Experiment conducted during the year 1957 failed.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2420 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $731.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only main effect of N is significant. (iv) Av.'yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 2196 | 2 C43 | 2119 | 2180 | 2058 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2526 | 2917 | 2722 | 2828 | 2615 |
| Mean | 2361 | 2480 | 2420 | 2504 | 2336 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2470 | 2539 | , |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | . 2252 | 2421 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =182.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =258.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Puruila).

Ref :- W.B. 59(2).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object: - To study the effect of N, P and K applied individually and in combinations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Hathwara. (iii) 11.8.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 36.7". (x) 13.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 58(45) on page 82.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1937-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) Chinsurah, Burdwan and Midnapore. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1202 lb ./ac. (ii) $132.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | K | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1056 | 1098 | 1077 | 1087 | 1067 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1274 | 1380 | 1327 | 1399 | 1255 |
| Mean | 1165 | 1239 | 1202 | 1443 | 1161 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1221 | 1265 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1109 | 1213 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =33.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =46.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.

Ref:- W.B. 58(43).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effect of A/S and Super on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Maize-Paddy. (b) Maize. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) 15th July to 1st week of August, 1958 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $33.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1^{\prime}$ apart. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (vi) Local. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40$. $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $8^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) Nil. (v) (a) No (b) Nil. 量 (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2990 lb./ac. (ii) $217.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Except P, all effects are higaly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

Control $=3290 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of table or control mean
$=77.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=108.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.
```

Ref :- W.B. 58(37).
Type :- © $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object : - To study the effect of different doses and sources of N on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Wheat-Paddy. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and silty clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 22.5.1958. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Line sowing (broadcast). (c) N.A. (d) 9? between lines. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (yi) Bharial-(medium). (vii). Unirrigated: (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.9.1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 sources of N : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Fertilizer applied after sowing and on 26.7.1958.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1768 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $242.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 311.7 lb ./ac. (iii) All the effects are bighly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Ccntrol }=1100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 2270 1310 <br> 2577 1271 2602 Mean <br> Mean 2424 1290 2594 | 2027 |  |  |
| 2178 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
=80.8^{\circ} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

2. $N$ marginal means
$=103.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=180.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of N

$$
=168.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Ref:- W.B. $57(47)$.
Type:- $6 \mathbb{M}$ '.

Objeat :- To study the effect of different doses and sources of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 1st to 2nd week of August, 1957. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) Nil. (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) 80 to 100 mds /ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Bhasamanik (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 thinings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (no manure)

- (1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{2}=20^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
; (2) 4 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Urea and $\mathrm{S}_{4}=$ Nitro-chalk.

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$.
(b) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1954-1958$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Experiment conducted during the years 1954 and 1955 failed.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1411 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 279.5 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control $v s$. other' effect is highly significant. (iv Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=815 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1551 | 1426 | 1432 | 1339 | 1437 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1409 | 1607 | 1397 | 1726 | 1535 |
| Mean | 1480 | 1516 | 1414 | 1532 | 1486 |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=93.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=69.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=139.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 58(44).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.
Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different doses and sources of N on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Tista riverice. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) Last week of July, 1958.
(iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +control (no manure)
(1) 2 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A_{1}^{\prime} S / N, S_{3}=$ Urea and $S_{4}=C / A / N$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$.
(b) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1954-1958. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(1) $2212 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $268.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1922 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2320 | 2206 | 2319 | 2272 | 2229 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ <br>  <br> Mean |
| 2410 | 2129 | 2151 | 2179 | 2217 |  |


| S.E. of $S$ merginal mean | $=94.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=67.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or cont rol mean | $=134.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

## Ref :- W.B. 54(49).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of N and P applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Single cropping. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 9 to 19.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Iadrasail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding twice. (ix) $104.32^{\circ}$. ( x ) 31.12.1954 to 3.1.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super applied on 8.7.1954 and A/S applied on 8.8.1954.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch Behar and Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil. .
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1703 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 269.6 lb ./ac. (iii) P effect is significant and N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1546 | 1658 | 1972 | 1710 | 1860 | 1749 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1247 | 1710 | 1875 | 1561 | 2024 | 1683 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1427 | 1860 | 1919 | 1725 | 1561 | 1698 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 1382 | 1785 | 1587 | 1587 | 1501 | 1568 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 1710 | 1718 | 1815 | 2002 | 1830 | 1815 |
| Mean | 1462 | 1746 | 1834 | 1717 | 1755 | 1703 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=53.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of body of table | $=120.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.
Ref :- W.B. 55(3).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N and P applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Single cropping. (b) Dhaincha sown on 9.5.1954 and turned down on 22.6.1955. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 21 to 26.7.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) NA. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Indrasail (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding twice on 5.9.1958 and 27.9.1958. (ix) 132.65". (x) 4 to 7.1.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(49) on page 87.
Super applied on 23, 29.6.1955 and A/S applied on 2.9.1955.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch Behar, and Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1345 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $329.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only P effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | ! | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1231 | 1255 | 1240 | 1408 | 1204 | , | 1268 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1225 | 1605 | 1195 | 1154 | 1344 |  | 1305 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1277 | 1475 | 1509 | 1546 | 1501 |  | 1462 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1545 | 1441 | 1136 | 1382 | 1385 |  | 1378 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 1370 | 1191 | 1232 | 1475 | 1283 |  | 1311 |
| Mean | 1330 | 1394 | 1262 | 1393 | 1344 |  | 1345 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =65.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =147.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 55(73), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri. | Type := ' $\mathbf{M}$ ’. |

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (i) (a) Tista riverine. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 2nd week of July, 1955. (iv) (a) 1 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{*}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and interculture. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December to 1 st week of January, 1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super was ploughed in before transplanting and $A / S$ was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 -contd. (b) Yes, (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cocch Behar, Burdwan, Chinsurah, Haringhata, Midnapur and Ma'da. (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1117 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 273.8 ib ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.


## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref:- W.B. 55(74).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{M}$.

Object :- To find out the optimum requirment of $A / S$ and Super for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Pore laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) Last week of July to 1st week of August, 1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to .3. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) Last week of December, 1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(73)$ conducted at Maynaguri on page 88 .
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch Behar, Chinsurah, Haringhata, Malda, Maynaguri and Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment was not conducted during the year 1957.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2223 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $221.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2070 | 2394 | 2344 | 2291 | 2000 | 2220 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2070 | 2224 | 2189 | 2168 | 2089 | 2150 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1986 | 2467 | 2189 | 2269 | 2235 | 2229 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2240 | 2279 | 2383 | 2452 | 2280 | 2327 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 1997 | 2098 | 2320 | 2406 | 2126 | 2189 |
| Mean | 2073 | 2292 | 2285 | 2317 | 2148 | 2223 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E: of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =44.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =99.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midmapore.

Ref :- W.B. 57(46).
'Type:- ' $M$ '.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 1st week of August, 1957. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings, 2 spadings, laddering and 2 harrowings. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (vi) Baldar (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of ( $1,(2)$ and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.: $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $31^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yieli of grain. (iv) (a) $1957-1960$. (b) Yes. (c) NA. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Burdwan, Coosh Behar and Hathwara. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $834 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $127.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of $P$ is higbly significant, while that of $K$ is significant. iv) Av. yreid of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{0}$ | 698 | 921 | 810 | 730 | 890 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 839 | 879 | 859 | 823 | 895 |
| N!ean | $7 \times 8$ | 900 | 834 | 776 | 892 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 677 | 876 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 860 | 925 |  |  |  |


| S E. of any marginal mean | $=31.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=45.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapur. Type :- 'M'.
Ref :- W.B. 58(31).
```

Object :- To study the effect of diferent levels of $N, P$ an 1 K on the yield of Padiy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to ' $c$ ' N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 1st week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (d) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (vi) Bald ir (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 thinings. (ix) N.A. (x/1st to 2nd week of Decemher, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $57(46)$ on page 89.
5. RESULTS :
(i) (a) $13+2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii $307.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{az}$. (iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yieid of gre n in lb.jac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1048 | 1081 | 1064 | 1005 | 1123 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1580 | 1658 | 1619 | 1478 | 1760 |
| Mean | 1314 | 1370 | 1342 | 1242 | $1442^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1179 | 1304 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1448 | 1435 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=76.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=108.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 59(7). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore. | Type :- ‘M. |

Object : - To study the effcet of N, P and K applied alone and in co mbinations cn the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 8.8.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (b) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Boder Aman (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, (ix) N.A. (x) 3 to 6.12 .1959 .
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 57(46) on page 89.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Burdwan, Cooch Behar and Hathwara. (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1868 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 265.7 lb ,/ac. (iii) Only N effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1622 | 1880 | 1751 | 1616 | 1886 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1998 | 1973 | 1986 | 1928 | 2044 |
| Mean | 1810 | 1927 | 1868 | 1772 | 1965 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1654 | 1890 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1966 | 1964 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =66.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =93.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- W.B. 58(29).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of basic slag, Super and organic matter on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIJNS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) 'al Sandy loam clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) Middle of August, 1938. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 plougaings and 2 harrowngs. (o) Transplating. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Badicalam'cati (nedun). (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 1 interculture. (ix) $2^{7.76^{*}}$. (x) Last week of Dicember, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All comb:jatio ss of (1) and ,2)
(1) 3-0 urces of 4) is as of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}=$ Basic slag and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 4 types of basal dsessiag at $2 y$ tons'ac. : $B_{j}=$ No G.M., $B_{1}=$ Paddy straw, $B_{2}=$ Town compost and $B_{3}=$ Water hyacintts.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b' N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii, Yeld of grain. (iv, (a) 1953-195). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 691 lb . ac. (ii) $197.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of B , is highly significant and intera.tion $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{B}$ is significant. (iv) Av yield of graia in lo./ac.


```
Nrop:- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 59(6).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.
TyFe :- 'M'.
```

Objest :- To stuly the e feat of basic slag, Super and orgiaic mitter on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL C JNDITIONS:
i) (a) Nil. (b, Paddy. c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loan. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mínapore. (iii) 11.6.19j9. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 piosghines and harrowings. (b) Line trasplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs./ac. (d) $9^{* 1} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (v.) Badkal.an'zati. (viil Uarrigated. (vii) 2 wedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 25 to 28.11.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIG.N :

Same as 10 expt. no. 5329 , on pige 91 .
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii, Nil. (hii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) lysb-zontd. (b, Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) $a d_{1} v_{n i}, N_{2} l$.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1535 lb ac. (.i, $213.1 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only main effect of B ishishly signifizant. (Iv) Av. yeld of grain in ib./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 1094 | 1654 | 1574 | 1561 | 1471 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1534 | 1630 | 1709 | 1501 | 1594 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1405 | 1768 | 1474 | 1522 | 1542 |
| Mean | 1344 | 1 t84 | 1586 | 1528 | 1535 |


| S.E. of $B$ marginal mean | $=51.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=44: 5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=89.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Sriniketan.
```

Ref :- W.B. 54(38).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of applying N, P and F.Y.M. alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil, (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) $16.6 .1954 /$ 22 to 25.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati-65 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $33.04^{n}$. (x) 14 to 19.11.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=\theta, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=601 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
No manure applied during the year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot: (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a). 1948-1955; (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) The drought conditions prevailed throughout the crop season and distribution of rain was uneven. Irrigation was done from time to time.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $751 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $375.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 160.0 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 709 | 993 | 7,0 | 821 | 752 | 889 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 623 | 823 | 811 | 752 | 760 | 745 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 597 | 784 | 657 | 679 | 647 | 711 |
| Mean | 643 | 867 | 743 | 751 | 720 | $782^{-x}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 613 | 853 | 693 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 673 | 880 | 792 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad \mathrm{N}$ or P marginal means | $=108.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. F marginal means | $=37.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. F means at the same level of N or P | $=65.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. N or P means at the same level of F | $=117.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=132.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 55(24).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Sriniketan.
Type :- ‘M'.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) 26.6.1955/27.7.1955 to 1.8.1955. (iv) (a) : 3 to 4 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati-65 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $33.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20 to 25.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(33) on page 93
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1206 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 289.6 lb. ac. (b) $161.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) F effest is highly sizaifiant and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1103 | 1223 | 1410 | 1245 | 1124 | 1366 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1021 | 1214 | 1362 | 1199 | 1046 | 1353 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1326 | 1140 | 1055 | 1174 | 1076 | 1271 |
| Mean | 1150 | 1192 | 1276 | 1206 | 1082 | 1330 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1073 | 1008 | 1166 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1228 | 1377 | 1385 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $N$ or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means | $=83.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\mathbf{F}$ marginal means | $=38.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of N or $\mathbf{P}$ | $=66.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $N$ or $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $F$ | $=95.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ table | $=102.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref :- W.B, 54(17).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri.
(iii) August, 1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3.
(v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik, Chinsurah-3 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $36.84^{\prime \prime}$.
( x ) December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S broadcasted 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ partially confd. Confounding partiailly NP and NPF. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 6 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. Plants in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ plots were lodged. (ii) Slight attack of heleminthosporium. (iii) Height of plants and no. of tillers/plant. Grain and stra wyield. (iv)•(a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2460 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. (ii) $370.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) F effect and interaction $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ are highly significant. N effect is sigaificant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) $\mathbf{A} v$. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}=$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2340 | 2286 | 2211. | 2279 | 1796 | 2762 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2417 | 2443 | 2824 | 2561 | 2227 | 2895 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2504 | 2533 | 2582 | 2540 | 2420 | 2659 |
| Mean | 2420 | 2421 | 2539 | 2460 | 2148 | 2772 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2047 | 2162 | 2236 | . |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2794 | 2679 | 2843 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=75.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $\mathbf{F}$ marginal mean | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=140.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=106.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 55(110).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Padey-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August, 1955. (iv) (a) Ploughing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(17) on page 94.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. '(iv) (a) 1948-contd.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore and Chinsurah. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2556 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $373.3 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interaction NF alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2366 | 2511 | 2644 | 2507 | 2265 | 2750 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2775 | 2786 | 2455 | 2672 | 2589 | 2754 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2469 | 2479 | 2521 | 2490 | 2567 | 2414 |
| Mean | 2537 | 2592 | 2540 | 2556 | 2474 | 2639 |
| $F_{0}$ | 2521 | 2481 | 2419 | . |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2553 | 2704 | 2661 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=76.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $F$ marginal mean | $=62.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ table | $=141.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ or $P \times F$ table | $=107.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

> Ref :- W.B. $56(50)$.
> Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of $N, P$ and lime applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 1st week of July, 1956. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (mediua). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 15 th tolast week of December, 1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $54(17)$ on page 94
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop effected by flood and strom. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2693 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 267.1 lb ./ac. (iii) F effect and interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.


| S.E. of $N$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=54.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $F$ marginal mean | $=44.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ or $P \times F$ table | $=77.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=101.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Grop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref:- W.B. 57(60).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of N, P and F.Y.M. applied alone and :n combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) Middle of August, 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(17) on page 94.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3019 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $430.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of F is highly significant and main effect of N is significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2728 | 2745 | 2915 | 2796 | 2570 | 3022 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3005 | 3175 | 3148 | 3109 | 2933 | 3285 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2962 | 3275 | 3218 | 3152 | 3103 | 3200 |
| Mean | 2898 | 3065 | 3094 | 3019 | 2869 | 3169 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2800 | 2917 | 2890 | , |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\perp}$ | 2997 | 3213 | 3297 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of N or P marginal mean | $=87.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=71.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=162.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=124.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref :- W.B. 58(52)..
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy loam. (b) Refer soil abalysis, Suri.
(iii) Last week of July to 1 st week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanted. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. '(v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) N.A. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100$ mds./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ fact. partially confd. $4 \mathrm{df} . \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $4 \mathrm{df} . \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ have been partially confd. (ii) (a) 6 plots/ block; $\mathbf{3}$ blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESLLTS :
(i) $2228 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 140.7 lb ./ac. (iii) All the effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{0}$ | 1756 | 1981 | 2342 | 2026 | 1781 | 2271 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2341 | 2376 | 2408 | 2375 | 2204 | 2546 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2287 | 2142 | 2418 | 2282 | 2296 | 2268 |
| Mean | 2128 | 2166 | 2389 | 2228 | 2094 | 2362 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | 1896 | 2076 | 2309 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 2360 | 2257 | 2469 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=28.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=23.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times F$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=40.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy (. Iman ).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Ref :- W.B. 59(57).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fa!low-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri, (ai, 13.8.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 to 3. (v, Nil. (vi; Bhasamanik ( $\mathrm{CH}-3$ medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1t.12.i9)9 and 17.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=0, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 ievels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=10$ mds./ac.

Date of manuring : B.M.-4.7.1959, F.Y.M. -9.7 .1959 and A/S-8.9.1959. B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S was applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESION :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ fact. partially confd. in randomised incomplete blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i Good. (ii) Slight attack of helmintbosporium. Draining out water from the effected plots. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Draught and occational heavy rainfall followed by flood slightly effected the crop. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2588 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 261.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and F are highly significant and interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ and $N \times P \times F$ are significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) $A v$. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2335 | 2559 | 2733 | 2542 | 2302 | 2782. |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2814 | 2775 | 2667 | 2752 | 2650 | 2854 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2472 | 2320 | 2615 | 2469 | 2385 | 2553 |
| Mean | 2540 | 2551 | 2672 | 2588 | 2446 | 2730 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2384 | 2370 | 2583 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 2696 | 2732 | 2761 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of N or P marginal mean | $=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=43.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=75.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=98.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Ref:- W.B. 54(18).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object : - To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, red soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri.
(iii) August, 1954. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH 3). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $36.84^{\prime \prime}$. (x) December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60$ and $N_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of lime: $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0 \quad \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=6 \mathrm{cwt}$. ac.
B.M. is added at the general preparation of land and A/S broadcasted 4 weeks after transplantation. Liming is done once in 4 years at least 6 weeks before transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ partially confd. confounding interactions $P \times L$ and $N \times P \times L$. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 12 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. Plants receiving $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ doses were lodged. (ii) Slight attack of helminthosporium. (iii) Height of plants and no. of tillers per plant. Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Some decrease in pH value was observed due to continuous application of $A / S$ but supplementing B.M. and lime restored pH value to a certain extent.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 1661 lb . ac. (ii) $272.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N and P effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | 1499 | 1615 | 1416 | 1434 | 1491 | 1415 | 1566 | 1491 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1683 | 2036 | 1602 | 1602 | 1730 | 1841 | 1560 | 1790 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1742 | 1988 | 1594 | 1725 | 1762 | 1851 | 1893 | 1542 |
| Mean ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1641 | 1880 | 1537 | 1586 | 1661 | 1702 | 1673 | 1608 |
| $L_{0}$ | 1656 | 1886 | 1590 | 1677 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1653 | 1971 | 1612 | 1457 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1615 | 1783 | 1409 | 1625 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=64.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $L$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=55.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $L \times P$ table | $=103.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times L$ or $N \times P$ table | $=111.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref :- W.B. 55(111).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a)Sandy loam, red soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August, 1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 5 (N.A.) on page 95.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore and Chinsurah. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2174 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $295.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=69.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of L or P margival mean | $=60.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{L} \times P$ table | $=111.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times P$ table | $=120.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Grop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Ref :- W.B. 56(51).
Type :- ‘M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of conti nuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDI TIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 1st August, 1956. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Transplanted. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(18) on page 99.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948--contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop suffered due to flood and storm. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2133 lb ./ac. (ii) 290.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Only $P$ effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1978 | 1937 | 2037 | 1940 | $1973{ }^{\circ}$ | 2222 | 1820 | 1877 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2020 | 2177 | 2139 | 2273 | 2152 | 2142 | 2083 | 2232 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2078 | 2300 | 2393 | 2321 | 2273 | 2237 | 2253 | 2330 |
| Mean | 2025 | 2138 | 2190 | 2178 | 2133 | 2200 | 2052 | 2416 |
| $L_{0}$ | 2126 | 2091 | 2349 | 2334 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1944 | 2218 | 2164 | 1882 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 2006 | 2105 | 2156 | 2317 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=68.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of L or P marginal mean | $=59.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=118.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=109.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Grop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 57(61). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri. | Type :- $\mathbf{~}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{\prime}$ |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August, 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah-3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(18) on page 99.
4. GENERAL : -
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 - contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2482 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 351.4 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of $P$ alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1983 | 2260 | 2313 | 2290 | 2212 | 2298 | 2195 | 2143 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2413 | 2833 | 2647 | 2567 | 2615 | 2575 | 2712 | 2558 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2433 | 2667 | 2807 | 2567 | 2618 | 2378 | 2775 | 2702 |
| Mean | 2276 | 2587 | 2589 | 2475 | 2482 | 2417 | 2561 | 2468 |
| $L_{0}$ | 2163 | 2467 | 2560 | 2477 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 2413 | 2777 | 2673 | 2380 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 2253 | 2517 | 2533 | 2567 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=82.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of $P$ or $L$ marginal mean | $=71.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ or $N \times L$ table | $=143.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times L$ table | $=132.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 58(53). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri, Farm, Suri. | Type :- ©M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateritic sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 1st week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanted. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) N.A. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(18) on page 99.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1787 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $220.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ is significant. Other effects are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | Le | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1310 | 1743 | 1740 | 1757 | 1638 | 1751 | 1572 | 1590 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1495 | 1893 | 1703 | 1993 | 1771 | 1745 | 1760 | 1808 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 1663 | 2050 | 2144 | 1957 | 1954 | 2016 | 1837 | 2008 |
| Mean | 1489 | 1895 | 1862 | 1902 | 1787 | 1837 | 1723 | 1802 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{0}$ | 1520 | 1927 | 1803 | 2097 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1520 | 1896 | 1866 | 1610 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1428 | 1863 | 1917 | 2000 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=52.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ or $L$ marginal mean | $=45.0 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ or $N \times L$ table | $=90.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of tody of $P \times L$ table | $=83.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Paddy' (Aman $).$
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ref :- W.B. } 59(58) . \\
& \text { Type :- } \mathbf{c M}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Lateretic sandy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 14.8.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 25 to 27.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(18) on page 99.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease during early stage. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1948 - contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop was slightly effected by drcught and occasional heavy rainfall. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1784 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 430.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of P and L alone are significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | . $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1627 | 1727 | 1456 | 1462 | 1568 | 1300 | 1715 | 1689 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2005 | 1806 | 1593 | 1909 | 1828 | 1802 | 1797 | 1885 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1936 | 2122 | 1806 | 1964 | 1957 | 2091 | 2101 | 1679 |
| Mean | 1856 | 1885 | 1618 | 1778 | 1784 | 1731 | 1871 | 1751 |
| $L_{0}$ | 1929 | 1795 | 15,38 | 1662 | ` |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1854 | - 2212 | 1668 | 1751 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1785 | 1648 | 1648 | 1922 |  |  |  |  |

| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=101.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ or L marginal mean | $=87.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ or $N \times L$ table | $=175.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S. E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=162.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- ©M'. |

Object :- Type II-To study the effect of N, P, K and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4th week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{a}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 tó 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1 st and 2 nd week of December, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(4) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) ${ }^{33} \times 2$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 6 tlocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $43^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2730 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 358.8 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2632 | 2747 | 2703 | 2714 | 2588 | 2780 | 2729 | 2763 | 2590 | 2694 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2686 | 2771 | 2841 | 2858 | 2850 | 2591 | 2773 | 2733 | 2792 | 2766 |
| Mean | 2659 | 2759 | 2772 | 2786 | 2719 | 2686 | 2751 | 2748 | 2691 | 2730 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2756 | 2696 | 2801 | 2652 | 2851 | 2751 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2556 | 2783 | 2905 | 2924 | 2609 | 2712 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2664 | 2799 | 2610 | 2781 | 2697 | 2595 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2718 | 2759 | 2776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2637 | 1884 | 2723 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2622 | 2634 | 2817 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ or K marginal mean | $=84.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=119.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ tabie | $=146.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.<br>Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 58(MAE).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type II-To study the effect of N, P, K and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1st to 3rd week of August, 1959. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $37^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st and 2nd week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(MAE) type II on page 103.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3} \times 2$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 6 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $31.5^{\prime \prime} \times 13.5^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b N.A. (vi) Attack of rats. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2311 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 399.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Interaction $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ and $\mathrm{F} \times \mathbf{P}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


| S.E. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ or K marginal mean | $=94.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=76.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=133.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=162.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy.<br>Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type II-To study the effect of N, P, K and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./July to August, 1959 . (iv) (a) 4 plcughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanted. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra (4 to 5 months). (vil) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd and 3rd uetk of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(MAE) type II on page 103.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3} \times 2$ fact. confd.
ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 6 blocks/replication.
(b) N.A. (iii) $1 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda
(b) Nil. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2316 \mathrm{lb} /$ ac. (ii) $184.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is bighly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{0}$ | 2098 | 2246 | 2370 | 2271 | 2255 | 2188 | 2230 | 2296 | 2188 | 2238 |
| $F_{1}$ | 2374 | 2246 | 2560 | 2397 | 2397 | 2388 | 2390 | 2444 | 2348 | 2394 |
| Mean | 2236 | 2246 | 2466 | 2334 | 2326 | 2288 | 2310 | 2370 | 2268 | 236 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2296 | 2222 | 2411 | 2419 | 2255 | 2256 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ | 2222 | 2205 | 2683 | 2337 | 2403 | 2370 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 2189 | 2312 | 2304 | 2246 | 2320 | 2238 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2296 | 2148 | 2558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2255 | 2312 | 2411 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2157 | 2278 | 2429 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of } N, P \text { or } K \text { marginal mean } & =43.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of } F \text { marginal mean } & =35.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of } N \times F, P \times F \text { or } K \times F \text { table } & =61.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of } N \times P, N \times K \text { or } P \times K \text { table } & =75.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

```
Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.
```

Ref :- W.E. 57(MAE).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type IV-To study the direct and indirect methods of application of manures to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a: N A. (b) Legume. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4b week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and pudding. (b) Transplanjng. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $32^{*}$. (x) 1st and 2nd week of December, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Main-plot treatments
4 levels of $P_{3} O_{5}$ to previous legume crop: $P_{0}=$ No legume and no $P_{2} O_{5}, P_{1}=$ Legarie only, $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. to legume and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{3}}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. to legume.

Sub-plot treatments:
3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-pl-t. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $315^{\prime} \times 13.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N:I. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2409 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 192.4 lb .'ac. (b) 140.4 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N alone is high ly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 2116 | 2370 | 2403 | 2189 | 2270 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2450 | 2378 | 2501 | 2493 | 2455 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2511 | 2584 | 2419 | 2493 | 2502 |
| Mean | 2359 | 2444 | 2441 | 2392 | 2409 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. ${ }^{-}$P marginal means
$=90.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N marginal weans
$=.57 .3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{N}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
$=114.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of N
$=92.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Grop :- Paddy. | Ref:- W.B. 58(MAE). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan. $\quad$ Type :- ‘M'. |  |

Object :- Type IV-To study the direct and indirect methods of application of manures to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Legume. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1st to 3rd week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{*}=$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigatcd. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $37^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st. and 2nd week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 57 (MAE) type IV on page 106.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2220 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) $445.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 223.7 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (ivy Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1767 | 2027 | 1788 | 2163 | 1936 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2047 | 2279 | 2017 | 2324 | 2167 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2610 | 2511 | 2317 | 2791 | 2557 |
| Mean | 2141 | 2272 | 2041 | 2426 | 2220 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $P$ marginal means
$=210.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N marginal means
$=91.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
$=182.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means' at the same level of N
$=257.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Grop:- Paddy.
Ref :- W.B. 56 (MAE).
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Object :- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./12.8.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 to 15 srs./ac. in nursery. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Thingasail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 23.10.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS;

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Urea and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=A / S$.
(2) 7 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Before planting, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ At planting, $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ At tillering, $\mathrm{T}_{4}=\frac{1}{2}$ before planting and $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ at planting, $\mathrm{T}_{5}=\frac{1}{2}$ at planting and $\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering, $\mathrm{T}_{6}=$ $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ before planting, $\frac{1}{2}$ at planting and $\frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}}$ a week belore flowering and $\mathrm{T}_{7}=\frac{1}{2}$ at planting, $\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering and $\frac{1}{3}$ a week before flowering.
N applied at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. $\quad$ (b) $43^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Un form. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) Flood occurred due to spate of Banka and Damodar rivers but no damage caused. Crop was damaged by wild animals and rats. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2435 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $385.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control $v s$. others' alone is sginificant. (iv) Av. yield of giain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=2032 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | T 1 | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | T4 | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 2253 | 2817 | 2461 | 2436 | 2509 | 2522 | 2306 | 2472 |
| $S_{2}$ | 2172 | 2306 | 2331 | 2544 | 2734 | 2349 | 2748 | 2455 |
| Mcan | 2212 | 2562 | 2396 | 2490 | 2622 | 2436 | 2527 | 2464 |
|  | S.E. of $S$ marginal mean <br> S.E. of $T$ marginal mean <br> S E. of body of table or control mian |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =84.0 \mathrm{lb} \cdot \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =\quad 157.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =222.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Bardwan.

Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4th week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) 20 lb./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $32^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 1 st and 2 nd week of December, 1957.
2. TREATMEATS :

Same as in expi. no. 56(MAE) type $V$ on page 107.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R B D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $31^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$. (v; N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd
(b) N.A.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil

## : RESULTS:

(i) 2504 Ib ./ac. (ii) 218.3 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2318 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T 2 | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | T4 | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 2326 | 2600. | 2539 | 2396 | 2432 | 2529 | 2476 | 2471 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2423 | 2476 | 2631 | 2472 | 2446 | 2599 | 2867 | 2562 |
| Mean | 2374 | 2538 | 2595 | 2434 | 2439 | 2564 | 2672 | 2517 |


| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=47.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $T$ marginal mean | $=89.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=126.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 58(MAE). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1st to 3rd week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 noeing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $37^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1 st and 2nd week of December, 1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56 (MAE) type $V$ on page 107.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 102.4$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil
(iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd
(b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v)
(v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) Attack of rats. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2153 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $698.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Nore of the effects is significart. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=2034 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2246 | 2223 | 1786 | 2355 | 2168 | 2280 | 2191 | 2178 |
| 2223 | 2065 | 1997 | 2328 | 1874 | 2191 | 2345 | 2146 |  |
| Mean | 2234 | 2144 | 1892 | 2342 | 2021 | 2235 | 2268 | 2162 |


| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=152.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of T marginal mean | $=285.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=403.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE). <br> Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ',

Object:- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayay. (b) NA. iii) N.A/July and August, 1959. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanted. (c) 23 to $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{lac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (vi) Nagra (4 to 5 months). (vii) Uairrizated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd and 3rd week of December, 1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type $V$ on page 107.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 15.5^{\prime}$. (b) $31^{\prime} \times 14.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) S.tisfactory. (i,) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 19 ;6-zontd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nul. (vi) Io late September all the plots ware flosded de to heivy rains and flosi in the river Banka. There were con,tant heavy rains in the 1 st foitaight oi 0 tober, 1959 . O. 27 th abl 29 ch Uitoser there was cyclonic weather causing damage to the crop just after flowering. Fertilizer application before flowering was dぇlayed since there was standiag water. (vii) Nul.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2298 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $219.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of T and 'control $v s$. others' a re sig.ificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1989 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | T5 | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | T7 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 2543 | 2362 | 2238 | 2567 | 2320 | 2296 | 2255 | 2369 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 250 | 2526 | 2082 | 2082 | 2279 | 2460 | 1967 | 2272 |
| Mean | 2526 | 2444 | 2160 | 2324 | 2299 | 2378 | 2111 | 2320 |


| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=47.9 \mathrm{lb} .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of I marginal mean | $=89.7 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |
|  | $=126.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Ref :- W B. 56! MAE).
Site :- M.A E. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- '~'.

Object: - Type VI-To determine the source and method of placement of P for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii' (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./21.8.1956. (iv) a) N A. (b, Trinspianting. (c) 10 to 15 srs., ac. in nursery. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e, N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nasra. (wi; Clar.gited. (vin) and (ix) N.A. . $x$ ) 14.12.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)+a control in eaca b،ock
(1) 3 sourses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=A \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Dical. Phos.
(2) 2 leveis of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcast at pudding, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Dipping the set dirgs in mudslush mixed with fiftilizers before trasplanting and $M_{8}=$ Placed in the form of pellets near the roots of plants at planting.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{2} \times 2+3$ fact confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 7 plots/block including cne control plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $43^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Uniform. (ii) Nil. (iii) Crain yield. (iv) (a) 195 (-cortd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Markhanda. (b Nil. (vi) Minor damage caused by rats. (vii) $S \times M$ table is not adjusted for block effects.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2175 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $255.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, (iii) Nore of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. .


| S.E. of $S$ or M marginal meản | $=52.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ marginal mean | $=42.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ or $M \times P$ table | $=73.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times M$ table | $=90.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 57 (MAE).<br>Type :- ‘M'.

Object :- Type VI-To determine the source and met $\varepsilon$ od of placement of P for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Cla'ey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A:/4th week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1 st and 2 nd weck of December, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VI on page 110.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{2} \times 2+3$ fact. confd.
(ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 7 plots/kleck ircludirg cne control plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $31.5^{\prime} \times 13.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b). Nil. (vi) Slight attack of rats. (vii) Mears in the body of $S \times M$ table are a djusted for block effects.
5. RESULTS:
'(i) 2327 lb ./ac. (ii) $263.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control vs. others' alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain.
in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=2098 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2287 | 2328 | 2463 | 2359 | 2432 | 2357 | 2288 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2337 | 2388 | 2389 | 2371 | 2310 | 2377 | 2428 |
| Mean | 2312 | 2358 | 2426 | 2365 | 2371 | 2367 | 2358 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2386 | 2366 | 2362 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2337 | 2255 | 2510 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 2213 | 2452 | 2407 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of } S \text { or } M \text { marginal mean } & =53.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of } P \text { marginal mean } & =440 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of } S \times P \text { or } M \times P \text { table } & =76.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of } M \times S \text { table } & =99.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 58(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- Type VI-To determine the source and method of placement of P for Pacdy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1st to 3rd week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughirgs and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nıl. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days;. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weedıng. (ix) $37^{\circ}$. (x) 1 st and 2 ad week of December, 1058.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VI on page 110.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{2} \times 2+3$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 7 plcts;block including one control plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 102.4 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) Attack of rats. (vii) $S \times M$ table is not adjusted for block effects.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1984 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $191.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control vs. others’ alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. $y$ eld of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1712 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2050 | 2037 | 1951 | 2013 | 2003 | 2031 | 2004 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2068 | 2043 | 2023 | 2045 | 2071 | 2060 | 2004 |
| Mean | 2059 | 2040 | 1987 | 2029 | 2037 | 2046 | 2004 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2090 | 2001 | 2020 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2063 | 2070 | 2004 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 2024 | 2049 | 1938 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $S$ or $M$ marginal mean | $=39.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ marginal mean | $=31.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ or $M \times P$ table | $=55.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times M$ table | $=67.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE).
Type :- 'M'.

Object : - Type VI-Tc determine the source and method of placement of P for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) ia) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./July-August., 1959. '(iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 4 to 5 months). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 1 weeding. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd and 3rd week of December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) + a contro
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Brodcast at puddling, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Dipping seedlings in mud-slush mixed with fertilizers and $M_{3}=$ Placed as pellets near the roots of plants at planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D.
(ii) (a) 13.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3.
(iv) (a) $33^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$.
(b) $31^{\prime} \times 14.5^{\prime}$
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda.
(b) Nil. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :


Grop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref : - W.B. 56(MAE).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type VI (TCM) - To study the direct, residual and cumu lative effect of $P$ on the yield of Paddy.

## I. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1.8.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 to 15 srs./ac. in nursery. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. '(x) 5.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st year | o | c | c | c | c | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |
| 2nd year | o | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | c | c | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |
| 3rd year | o | c | c | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |

Plots under treatments 1 do not receive any manure. Plots under treatments 2 to 12 receive a basal application. (c) of 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} . \quad \mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}=10, \mathrm{p}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{p}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(a) 12
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4
(iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(b) $43^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Uniform. (ii) Nil. $\quad$ (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (lst year). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop damaged by wild animals and rats. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2463 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 371.1 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE). <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object : - Type VI (TCM)-To study the direct, residual and cumulative effect of $\mathbf{P}$ on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4th week of July, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra. (vii) Unirrigated [ (viii) N.A. (ix) $32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st and 2 nd week of December, 1957.
2.- TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VI (TCM) on page 113.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (2ad year). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2474 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 213.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences "are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | 1 | $(2,3,6,7)$ | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2303 | 2550 | 2342 | 2579 | 2526 | 2255 | 2439 | 2471 | 2576 |
|  |  | S.E./mean except $(2,3,6,7)$ | $=$ | 106.5 lb ./ac. and S.E. of $(2,3,6,7)$ mean | $=$ | $53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 58(MAE).
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{~}}{ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '.
Object :- Type II-To study the effect of N, P, K and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N:A. (iii) N.A./4th week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings.
(b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kalan kathi ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2 nd week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(MAE) type II conducted at Burdwan on page 103.
3. DESIGN :
(i) ${ }^{33} \times 2$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block ; 6 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 1/100 ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Over flooding due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2316 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 278.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant.' (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1808 | 2506 | 2573 | 2061 | 2380 | 2446 | 2107 | 2500 | 2280 | 2296 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1755 | 2553 | 2699 | 2101 | 2300 | 2606 | 2367 | 2327 | 2313 | 2336 |
| Mean | 1782 | 2529 | 2636 | 2081 | 2340 | 2526 | 2237 | 2413 | 2297 | 2316 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1755 | 2423 | 2533 | 1885 | 2234 | 2593 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1915 | 2692 | 2632 | 2254 | 2373 | 2612 ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1675 | 2473 | 2742 |  | 2413 | 2373 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1636 | 2204 | 2403 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1715 | 2652 | 2652 |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1994 | 2732 | 2852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ or K marginal mean | $=65.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=53.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=92.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=113.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-Type Il-To study the effect of N, P, K and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2nd and 3rd week of August, 1959. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Basmati ( 4 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$ : (x) November and December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(MAE) type II conducted at Burdwan on page 103.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3} \times 2$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 6 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) I. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2 \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. Crop lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1346 lb ./ac. (ii) 137.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{0}$ | 790 | 1366 | 1572 | 996 | 1349 | 1384 | 1193 | 1284 | 1252 | 1243 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 998 | 1564 | 1786 | 1264 | 1487 | 1595 | 1495 | 1443 | 1408 | 1449 |
| Mean | 894 | 1465 | 1679 | 1130 | 1418 | 1489 | 1344 | 1363 | 1330 | 1346 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1012 | 1391 | 1629 | 1037 | 1498 | 1497 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 848 | 1547 | 1695 | 1275 | 1283 | 1531 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 823 | 1456 | 1712 | 1078 | 1473 | 1439 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 790 | 1234 | 1367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 913 | 1555 | 1786 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 979 | 1606 | 1883 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ or K marginal mean | $=32.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of F marginal mean | $=26.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=46.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy.

Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.
Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object:-Type IV -To study the direct and indirect methods of application of manures on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Dhaincha (G.M.). (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August and September, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $17^{*}$. ( $x$ ) November and December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 57 (MAE) type IV conducted at Burdwan on page 106.
Dhaincha used as legume was ploughed in 2 months before planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A.". (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 1/80 ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ was broadcast to check the weeds. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop suffered due to draught conditions. (vii) Nil.
5. REsULTS :
(i) $271 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $175.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $151.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 171 | 156 | 247. | 239 |  | 203 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 264 | 263 | 304 | 362 |  | 298 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 196 | 239 | 346 | 469 |  | 312 |
| Mean | 210 | 219 | 299 | 357 |  | 271 |
| S.E. of difference of two |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. $P$ marginal means |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |
| 2. N marginal means |  |  |  | $=$ | 43.8 |  |
| 3. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  |  | $=$ | 123.8 |  |
| 4. P means at the same level of N |  |  |  | = | 130.7 |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.
Ref :- W:B. 58(MAE).
Type : \(-\mathbf{C}\) M.
```

Object :-Type IV-To study the direct and indirect methods of applications of manures to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Legume. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4th week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kalankathi ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, (ix) $40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. $57(\mathrm{MAE})$ type IV conducted at Burdwan on page 106.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Over flooding due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2463 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $185.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $438.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Main effect of $P$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. P marginal means | $=87.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. N marginal means | $=126.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 3. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=357.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 4. $P$ means at the same level of N |  | $=305.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref:- W.B. 56(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-Type IV-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2 and 3.8.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 8 to 10 srs./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$ between plants and rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Patina ( 4 months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 17.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type V conducted at Burdwan on page 107.
N applied at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. The entire crop lodged due to heavy rains and high velocity of winds. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop damaged by cyclone. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2014 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $269.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2107 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1703 | 1777 | 2181 | 1991 | 2156 | 1703 | 2090 | 1943 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2156 | 1942 | 1934 | 1991 | 2156 | 2304 | 2008 | 2070 |
| Mean | 1930 | 1859 | 2058 | 1991 | 2156 | 2003 | 2049 | 2007 |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=58.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of $T$ marginal mean | $=110.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=155.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda. | Type :- $\mathbf{~ © ~ M ~}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August and September, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) November and December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type V conducted at Burdwan on page 107.
N applied at 40 lb . ac .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a)
(a) N.A.
(b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Poor.
(ii) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ broadcast to check the weeds.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1956-contd.
(b) N.A. (c)
Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop suffered due to draught conditions. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 522 lb ./ac. (ii) 208.6 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is sigaificant. - (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=439 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 403 | 387 | 609 | 584 | 453 | 411 | 584 | 490 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 617 | 667 | 617 | 428 | 436 | 535 | 667 |
| Mean | 510 | 527 | 613 | 506 | 444 | 473 | 626 | 567 |


| S.E. of $T$ marginal mean | $=85.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=45.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=120.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Grop :- Paddy. <br> Ref :- W.B. 58(MAE). <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda. <br> Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :- Type V-To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4th week of August, 1958. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings.
(b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v.) Nil. (vi) Kalankathi ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Unirrigated: (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2 nd week of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type V conducted at Burdwan on page 107.
N applied at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 120 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. ' (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Over flooding due to heavy rains. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1868 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 283.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{S}$ and 'control vs. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Control $=1396 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | T1 | T2 | T3 | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | T5 | T ${ }_{6}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1675 | 1675 | 1875 | 1715 | 2034 | 1715 | 1675 | 1766 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $2034$ | 2393 | 1835 | -1915 | 2274 | 1875 | 1935 | 2037 |
| Mean | 1854 | 2034 | 1855 | 1815 | 2154 | 1795 | 1805 | 1902 |

[^3]
## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda. <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE). <br> Type:- ‘M'.

Object :- Type V—To study the effect of different sources and times of application of N on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2nd and 3rd week of Auşust, 1959. (iv) (a)

3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb .;ac. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (vi) N.A. ,vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Novenber-December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type V conducted at Burdwan on page 107.
N applied at 40 lb ./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. Crop lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain y ield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (vi (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) In September due to heavy rains and high tide in the river Hoogly the entire area was flooded but the crop was not submerged. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 917 lb ./ac. (ii) $132.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}$ and 'control vs. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=477 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T2 | T3 | T4 | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | T ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 971 | 938 | 699 | 954 | 790 | 823 | 872 | 864 |
| $S_{2}$ | 1086 | 1119 | 691 | 1119 | 1070 | 1053 | 1094 | 1033 |
| Mean | 1028 | 1028 | 695 | 1036 | 930 | 938 | 983 | 948 |
|  | S.E. of S marginal mean |  |  |  |  | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
|  | S.E. of T marginal mean |  |  |  |  | $=54.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
|  | S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |  |  |  | $=76.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda. <br> Ref :- W.B. 56(MAE). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :-Type VI-To determine the source and method of placement of P for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1 and 2.8 .1956 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 8 to 10 srs./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and plants. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai ( 4 months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 17.12.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) typs VI conducted at Burdwan on page 110.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3=\times 2+3$ fact. confd. (ii) (a) 3 blosks/replication; 7 plots/block including one control plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. The entire crop lodged due to heavy rains and high velocity of wind. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop damaged due to cyclone. (vii) Means in $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{M}$ table are adjusted f.r t.cck effects. Control plot was dropped from analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2211 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 168.2 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$ and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{M}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $S_{\text {I }}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2252 | 2199 | 1860 | 2104 | 1920 | 2056 | 2336 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2432 | 2359 | 2164 | 2318 | 2220 | 2182 | 2551 |
| Mean | 2342 | 2279 | 2012 | 2211 | 2070 | 2119 | 2443 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 2390 | 2106 | . 1715 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2305 | 2160 | 1893 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 2332 | 2571 | 2427 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $S$ or M marginal mean | $=34.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of $P$ marginal mean | $=28.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ or $M \times P$ table | $=48.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times M$ table | $=63.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy.

Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

## Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE).

Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$.

Object :-Type VI-To determine the source and method of placement of $P$ for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2nd and 3rd week of August, 1959. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Basmati ( 4 to$4 \frac{1}{2}$ months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) November and December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 59 (MAE) type VI conducted at Burdwan on page 113.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 13.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3 .
(iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$
(b) $29^{\prime} \times 14.5^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. Crop lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vii) There was water logging in the experimental area.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 877 lb ./ac. (ii) $128.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control vs. others' is highly significant. Main effects of $S$ and $P$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=272 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


| S.E. of $S$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=30.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S . E$. of $M$ marginal mean | $=37.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times M$ or $P \times M$ table | $=52.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table | $=42.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy.

Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 56(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-Type VI (TCM)-To study the direct, residual and cumulative effest of $P$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./4.8.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and plants. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai ( 4 months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 23.12.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VI (TCM) conducted at Burdwan on page 113.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Goud. The entire crop was partially lodged due to heavy rains and high velocity of winds. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv: (a) 1956 -contd. (lst year). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop damaged due to cyclone. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1654 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $244.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | 0 | c | P1 | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1449 | 1576 | 1852 | 1883 | 1665 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy.
Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-Type VI (TCM) - To study the direct, residual and cumulative effect of P on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) 'a) Heary clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August and September, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 pluughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix, 17". (x) November and December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. S6(MAE) type VI (TCM) conducted at Burdwan on page 113.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D.
(ii) (a) 12.
(b) N.A.
iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ broadeast to check the weeds. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) Yes, (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop suffered due to draught conditions. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $837 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 232.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yjeld of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 1 | $(2,3,6,7)$ | 4. | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 699 | 872 | 889 | 848 | 954 | 708 | 782 | 790 | 889 |
|  | S.E. of mean except ( $2,3,6,7$ ) |  |  | $134.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and S.E. of $(2,3,6,7)$ mean |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy. <br> Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda

## Ref :- W.B. 59(MAE). <br> Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '.

Object :-Type VI (TCM)-To study the direct, residual and cumalative effect of $P$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2nd and 3rd week of August, 1959. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting., (c) 20 to 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Basmati ( 4 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ months). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) November and December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VI (TCM) conducted at Burdwan on page 113.
3: DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$.
(b) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. Crop lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv). (a) 1959-contd. (new series). (b) and (c) Yes. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $986 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $196.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences and 'control vs. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Céntre :- Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. $54(\mathrm{TCM})$.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:- Type I (a)-To study the effect of $P$ and different sources and levels of $N$ on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium—clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./12.8.1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) NiI. (vi) Kalma. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 16.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) +3 extra treatments
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2). 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as triple Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Extra treatments: $\mathrm{E}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\mathrm{E}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{E}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Fertilizers applied before puddling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) ${ }^{3}$ confd. with 3 extra plots/block. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1: (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nir.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3121 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $216.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=3584 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{E}_{2}=3513 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $\mathrm{E}_{3}=3619 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2929 | 3213 | 3102 | 3081 | 3442 | 2992 | 2810 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2581 | 3047 | 3418 | 3015 | 2992 | 3055 | 2999 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2487 | 2850 | 3102 | 2813 | 2786 | 2534 | 3118 |
| Mean | 2666 | 3037 | 3207 | 2970 | 3073 | 2860 | 2976 |
| $S_{1}$ | - | 3371 | 3221 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 2882 | 2984 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 2858 | 3417 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =72.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of any table or } \mathrm{E} \text { mean } & =125.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Grop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan.
Ref:- W.B. 55(TCM).
Type :- ‘M'.

Object : - Type I (a)-To study the effect of P and different sources and levels of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) Nıl. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey, (b) N.A. (iii) 'N.A./31.7.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kalma. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 15.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54 (TCM) type $I$ (a) on page 123.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3013 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (i $3333 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\mathrm{E}_{1}=3589 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{E}_{2}=3074 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{E}_{3}=2877 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S, | $S_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2754 | 2778 | 3129 | 2887 | 2673 | 3039 | 2952 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2841 | 2817 | 2956 | 2871 | 2719 | 2994 | 2900 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3037 | 3036 | 3268 | 3114 | 3194 | 2980 | 3167 |
| Mean | 2s77 | 2877 | 3118 | 2957 | 2862 | 3004 | 3006 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 3114 | 3142 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 2585 | 3263 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 2933 | 2948 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=111.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table or E mean | $=192.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). <br> Centre :- Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).

Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :- Type II-To study the effect of different times of application of N on the yield of Paddy:

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1954. (v) (a N.A:
(b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) andn •
(ix) N.A. (x) December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + control
(1) 2 sources of $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Urea.
(2) 7 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Before planting, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ At planting, $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ At tillering, $\mathrm{T}_{4}=$ Half before planting + half as tillering, $T_{5}=$ Half at planting + half at tillering, $T_{8}=$ $\frac{1}{3}$ before planting $+\frac{1}{3}$ at tillering $+\frac{5}{3}$ a week before flowering and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{z}}=$ $\frac{1}{3}$ at planting $+\frac{1}{3}$ at tillering $+\frac{1}{3}$ a week before flowering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Yield of grain.
(iv) (a) 1954-1955.
(b) No. (c) Nil.
(v) to (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3353 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) 326.5 lb ./ac.
(iii) 'Control vs. others' alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of graite in lb./ac.


## Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).

Centre :- Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 55(TCM).Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type II-To study the effect of different times of application of N on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A: (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./28.7.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (bl) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A., (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix)s N.A. (x) 10.12.1555.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type II above.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3225 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $168.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / 2=$.


Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-Type III-To study the residual effect of minor elements and $K$ applied to previous Paddy crop

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii' (a) Recent alluvium-clayey, (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./8.8.1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 13.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

A set of 32 out of 256 treatment combinations formed of 8 factors each at two levels
(A) Magnesium as $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{SO}_{4}: \mathrm{a}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{a}_{1}=2 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
(B) Iron as $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}: \mathrm{t}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}=100 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
(C) Manganese as $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}: \mathrm{c}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{c}_{1}=20 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
(D) Z inc as $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}: \mathrm{d}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{d}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(E) Copper as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{e}_{3}=0$ and $\mathrm{e}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(F) Bordx as granuiated Borax : $\mathrm{f}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{t}_{1}=10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(G) Molybdenum as Sod. Molybdate : $\mathrm{g}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{g}_{1}=20 \mathrm{oz} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(H) Pota $h$ as Pot. Sul. : $k_{0}=0$ and $k_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Treatments applied to previous paddy crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fractional replicate (1/8th of $2^{3}$ Fact. set up). (ii) (a) 8 plots/block and 4 blocks. (b) N.A. (iii) -. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (D) $22^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime} \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) Yes. (residual effect studied in 1954). (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3238 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 368.4 lb. ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Mean response of grain in lb./ac.

| $*$ | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean response | -207.4 | -151.0 | -17.7 | 97.8 | 76.9 | 186.4 | -55.4 | 77.1 | S.E. of mean response $=130.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Grop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-Type VI-To study the direct, residual and cumulative effects of phosph?te application to Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./1.8.1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 9 and 10.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

| Treatment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First year | o | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | c | c | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |  |
| Second year | o | c | c | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | c | c | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |  |
| Third year | o | c | c | c | c | c | . | c | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |

Treatments are three year rotations with 11 distinct treatments. Plots under treatment 1 do not receive any fertilizer. Other plots received a basal dose of 20 lb ./ac. of N . Treatments 2 and 3 (viz.c) are identical and serve as control ( 2 plots/replication). Various symbols denote : $\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{2}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., $p_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathbf{p}_{2}=40$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$.
(b) $22^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3298 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $211.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | 1 | (2,3,8 and 9) | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 3315 | 3211 | 3582 | 3221 | 3274 | 3298 | 3138 | 3505 | 3393 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.E. } / \text { mean (except } 2,3,8 \text { and } 9)=105.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & \text { S.E. of }(2,3,8 \text { and } 9) \text { mean } \end{aligned}=52.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ~ \$$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Centre :- Burdwan.<br>Ref:- W.B. 55(TCM).<br>Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-Type VI -To study the direct: res:dual and cumulative effects of phosphate application to Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./26.7.1955, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingosail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 6.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type VI conducted at Burdwan above.
5. REŚSULTS :
(i) $2879 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $186.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain
in lb./ac.

| Treatment | 1 | $(2,3)$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8. | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2630 | 2803 | 3065 | 2929 | 2812 | 2896 | 2997 | 2847 | 2812 | 3161 | 2794 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean (except 2, 3) | $=$ | $93.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. of $(2,3)$ mean |  | $=$ | $65.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Faddy (Kharif).

Centre :- Burdwan.

## Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type XI-To study the effect of different levels of N, P and K on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./12.8.1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b)

Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kalma, (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 15.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{6} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times l^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nıl. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2737 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $452.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2629 | 3119 | 3079 | 2942 | 2985 | 2921 | 2921 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2399 | 2597 | 2897 | 2631 | 2684 | 2660 | 2550 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2645 | 2344 | 2929 | 2639 | 2423 | 2786 | 2707 |
| Mean | 2558 | 2687 | 2968 | 2737 | 2697 | 2789 | 27.6 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2408 | 2550 | 3134 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2566 | 2850 | 2952 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2700 | 2660 | 2818 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =150.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =261.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 55(TCM).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type XI-To study the effect of difierent levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on Paddy.
a. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./2.8.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e’ N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kalma. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 17.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type XI conducted at Burdwan above.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2915 lb . ac. (ii) $186.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2630 | 3138 | 2838 | 2869 | 2731 | 2913 | 2962 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2853 | 2932 | 2980 | 2922 | 2881 | - 2806 | + 3078 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2735 | 3098 | - 3029 | -2954 | . 2636 | , 3252 | 2974 |
| Mean | 2739 | 3056 | 2949 | 2915 | 2749 | 2990 | 3005 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2474 | 2849 | 2924 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2759 | 3292 | 2921 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2985 | 3027 | 3001 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =62.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =107.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Centre :- Mankhanda.<br>Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).<br>Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type I(a)-To study the effect of Pand different sources and levels of $\mathbf{N}$ on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Basmati. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

- Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type I(a) conducted at Burdwan on page 123.

Fertilizers top dressed 4 weeks after transplanting.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2163 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $321.1 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant and E effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 lb /ac.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{1}=3182 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}=2343 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and } \mathrm{E}_{3}=2616 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | . $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | . $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | S ${ }_{1}$ | . $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1262 | 1946 | 2278 | 1829 | 1772 | 1811 | 1903 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1565 | 2244 | 2486 | 2098 | 2163 | 1860 | 2272 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1473 | 2227 | 2332 | 2011 | 1818 | 2185 | 2030 |
| Mean | 1433 | 2139 | 2365 | 1979 | 1918 | 1952 | 2068. |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 1974 | 2360 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 2098 | : 2370 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 2345 | 2366 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=107.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table or E mean | $=185.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif),
Centre :- Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 55(TCM).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- Type $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{a})$-To stady the effect of P and different sources and levels of N on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) 'a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./12.8.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c' to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Basmati. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 1 to 3.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type I(a) conducted at Burdwan on page 123.
Fertilizers top dressed 4 weeks after transplanting.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1325 lb ./ac. (ii) 205.8 lb /ac. (iii) Main effect of N and ' $\mathrm{E} v$ s. others' are highly significant. P effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb/ac.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{1}=1707 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{E}_{8}=1350 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and } \mathrm{E}_{3}=1598 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 1221 | 1413 | 1317 | 1320 | 1264 | 1367 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 1077 | 1480 | 1278 | 1071 | 1231 | 1533 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 1158 | 1496 | 1327 | 1163 | 1273 | 1545 |
| Mean | 1133 | 1152 | 1463 | - | 1119 | 1224 | 1405 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 987 | 1099 | 1271 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1160 | 1004 | 1508 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1251 | 1354 | 1610 |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. of $N$ or $P$ marginal mean $=68.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> $S . E$. of $S$ marginal mean $=84.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> S.E. of body of $N \times P$ or $S \times N$ table or $E$ mean $=118.8 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table $=145.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref:- W.B. $\mathbf{5 4}$ (TCM). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Mankhanda. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type III-To study the residual effect of minor elements and $K$ applied to previous paddy on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Malepati. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

3. RESULTS:
(i) 1636 lb ./ac. (ii) $114.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Mean response of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Factor | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean response | 27.71 | 61.22 | -31.38 | 15.16 | 33.75 | 38.13 | 12.43 | 74.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean response | $=$ | $40.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).
Centre :- Mankhanda.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object:- Type VI-To study the direct, residual and cumulative effects of phosphate application to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting.' (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Moul. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type VI conducted at Burdwan on page 12.7.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1696 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $244.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | 1. | 8 and 9) | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1508 | 1717 | , 1772 | 1764 | 1560 | 1594 | 1916 | 1714 | 1659 |
|  | S.E./mean (except 2, 3, 8 and 9) $=122.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- W.B. 55(TCM).
Centre :- Mankhanda.
Type := 'M'.
```

Object :- Type X-To study the effect of different levels and sources of N on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1955 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} /$ ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as triple Super. (vi) Basmati. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)+control (2 plots)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / S / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Nitro chalk.

N broadcast 4 weeks after transplanting.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $43^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain, (iv) (a) $1955-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1216 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 364.7 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (v) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1118 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1217 | 1137 | 1191 | 1182 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1012 . | 1421 | 1519 | 1317 |
| Mean | 1114 | 1279 | 1355 | 1249 |

S.E. of N marginal mean
$=105.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of $S$ marginal or control mean
$=128.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of tatle
$=182.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Mankhanda.

Ref:- W.B. 54(TGM).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- Type XI-To study the effect of diferent levels of N, P and K on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./August, 1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Vasakalam. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type XI conducted at Burdwan on page 128.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1983 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $236.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1904 | 1988 | 2056 | 1983 | 1756 | 2055 | 2138 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1620 | 1709 | 2368 | 1899 | 1715 | 2046 | 1936 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1768 | 2037 | 2394 | 2066 | 2025 | 2224 | 1950 |
| Mean | 1764 | 1911 | 2273 | 1983 | 1832 | 2108 | 2008 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1623 | 1788 | 2084 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1912 | 2059 | 2354 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1757 | 1887 | 2380 |  |  |  |  |
| S E. of any marginal mean $=78.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> S.E. of body of any table $=136.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 55(TCM). Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '.

Object :- Type XI-To study the effect of different levels of N, P and K on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./10.8.1955. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Vasakalam. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A.
(x) 6.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type XI conducted at Burdwan on page 128.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1572 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $360.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.iac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1523 | 1081 | 1689 | - 1431 | 1397 | 1413 | 1484 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1444 | 1717 | 1587 | 1583 | 1662 | 1555 | 1531 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1784 | 1792 | 1531 | 1702 | 1697 | 1863 | 1547 |
| Mean | 1584 | 1530 | 1602 | 1572 | 1585 | 1610 | 1521 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1421 | 1677 | 1658 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1705 | 1444 | 1681 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1626 | 1468 | 1468 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=1203 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of any table $\quad=208.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman):
Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).
```

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- :- ‘M’.

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations,

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Laterite and red. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) July-August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A.
(x) Decemter, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

0 = Control (no manure).
$n \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{p} \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{np}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{k} \quad=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$\mathrm{nk} \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$\mathrm{pk}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\S} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
npk $=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{Super}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ thana is charged once in two years within the same zone Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rabi cereal, 8 on cash creps, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type A and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type $C$. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones át the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1959-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per design. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of grain.in lb./ac. | 206 | 99 | 41 | 18.1 | -16 |  | -8* | -123 | 17.3 |
|  | Control yield $=1942 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Grop :- Paddy.
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Burdwan (c.f.).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K , applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Old avd new alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959, Aman : July, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus: September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. ${ }^{59}$ (SFT) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 41 | 25 | 74 | 42.0 | -49 | -16 | 74 | -91 | 40.3 |
| Control yield $=2189 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=11$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Aman season

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | sk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. | 82 | 41 | 58 | 32.1 | 25 | -8 | -16 | -58 | 23.0 |
|  | Control yield $=2543 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=11$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Hooghly (c.f.). | Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathrm{M}$ '. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of N, P and K, applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959 and Aman : July-August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman: December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pl | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb,/ac. | 91 | 99 | -16 | 36.2 | -82 | 33 | 4 | -82 | 28.0 |
| Control yield $=2049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=12$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb //ac. | 25 | 107 | -8 | 18.9 | -66 | -8 | 7 | -66 | 13.2 |

Control yield $=2164 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=9$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Howrah (c.f.).
```


## Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).

```
Type :- ‘M’.
```

Object :- Type A - To study the response of ${ }_{i}$ Paddy to levels of $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and K , applied individually and in combinations.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) July-August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFI) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Mindnapore (c.f.). | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $N, P$ and $K$, applied rindividually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red soil and saline. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959 and Aman : August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect n | p. | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 247 | 165 | 230 | 32.9 | -25 | -49 | 33 | 197 | 33.7 |

$$
\text { Control yield }=1415 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no. of trials }=9 .
$$

| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect n | p | k | S.E. | np | tk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 156 | 58 | 74 | 28.8 | -25 | 0 | 49 | -74 | 30.4 |
| Con | Control yield $=1851 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=14$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy. } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). } \\
\text { Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.). } & \text { Type }:=\subset \mathbf{M} \text {. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $N, P$ and $K$, applied individually and in combinations

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959 and Aman : July-August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect $n$ | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 239 | 123 | 74 | 16.5 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 18.9 |

$$
\text { Control yield }=1358 \mathrm{lb} . / a \mathrm{c} . \text { and no, trials }=3
$$

| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb/ac. 230 | 49 | $-33$ | 20.6 | -8 | -33 | 16 | -58 | 14.8 |

$$
\text { Cortrol yield }=1432 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no. of trials }=19
$$

```
Crop :- Paddy.
Centre :- Nadia (c.f.),
Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $N, P$ and $K$, applied individually and in combinations.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman: December, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus:,September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59 SFT) type A on page 133 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | $\square$ | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. | 247 | 91 | 33 | 60.1 | 8 | -49 | 16 | -16 | 46.9 |
| Control yield $=1835 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. | 214 | 115 | 41 | 41.1 | -25 | 25 | -8 | 16 | 44.4 |
|  | Control yield | $=$ | $1876 \mathrm{lb} . ; \mathrm{ac}$. | and no. of trials | $=10$. |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- $\mathbf{2 4}$ Parganas (c.f.). | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{\prime} .}$ |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Paddy to levels of $N, P$ and $K$, applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959 and Aman: July-August, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 133 conduced at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. | 288 | 99 | 115 | 51.0 | 16 | -41 | 99 | 33 | 41.1 |
| Control yield $=1975 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=8$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. | 280 | . 99 | 91 | 34.6 | -16 | -8 | 58 | 8 | 20.6 |
| Control yield $=1884 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=8$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).
```

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers [at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Laterite and red. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) July, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control (no manure).
$n_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle thana is changed once in two years within the some zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rubi cereal, 8 on a cash crop, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type $C$. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomaly located fields in randomaly selected villages in each. of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1959-$ contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS : ,

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1736 | 2246 | 2534 | 2337 | 2584 | 2172 | 2312 |



Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May, 1959 and Aman : June-July, 1959. (vii). to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B above conducted at Birbhum.

## 5. RESULTS :

## Aus season

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{ma}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 1917 | 2181 | 2403 | 2263 | 2403 | 2246 | 2320 |
|  | G.M | 22 | b./ac., | E./me | $=$ | lb./ac. | no. |

Aman season

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 2477 | 2864 | 3053 | 2806 | 2987 | 2847 | 3045 |


| Crop:- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Hoogly (c.f.). | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :- Type B -To investigate the relative effiziency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Ais : Miy, 1957 and Aman: June-July, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September, 1959 and Aman : December, 1959.

2 TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:
Same as in expt. no. 59. SFF) type B on page 137 condueted at B rbhum.
5. RESULTS:


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref:- W.B. } 5 \\
\text { Centre :- Howrah (c.f.). } & \text { Type :- ‘M’. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Jure-July, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 137 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb/ac. | 1218 | 1415 | 1563 | 1481 | 1670 | 1399 | 1555 |

```
Grop :- Paddy.
Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).
```

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May 1959 and Aman: June-July 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September 1959 and Aman : December 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B conducted at Bürdwan on page 137.
5. RESULTS :

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1399 | 1786 | 1893 | 1827 | 2016 | 1720 | 1744: |
| - | G.M. | 1769 | /ac., S | mean | 70.4 lb | d no. | trials |
| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1333 | 1646 | 1835 | 1703 | 1843 | 1637 | 1736 |


| Grop :- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre: $:-$ Murshidabad (c.f.). | Type :- ‘M’. |

Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : May 1959 and Aman : June-July 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September 1959 and Aman: December 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B conducted at Birbhum on page 137.
5. RESULTS :

| us season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 1415 | 1687 | 1934 | 1522 | 1670 | 1670 | 1843 |
|  | G.M. | 1677 | S. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | ./ac. | of trid | 3. |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1226 | 1391 | 1621 | 1440 | 1596 | 1358 | 1481. |
| G.M. $=1445 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E. $/$ mean $=32.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=18$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy. $\quad$ Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT)
Centre :- Nadia (c.f.). $\quad$ Type :- ‘M’.

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different: doses.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Aus : Devenber 1959 and Aman : June-July 1959.
(vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) Aus : September 1959 and Aman : Desember 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B conducted at Birbhum on page 137.

## 5. RESULTS:

| Aus season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. | 2131 | 2304 | 2559 | 2370 | 2460 | 2320 | 2633 |
|  | G.M. $=2397 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{az}$, S.E. $/$ mean $=101.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=10$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1802 | 2041 | 2123 | 2000 | 1967 | 1950 | 2238 |
|  | G.M. $=2017 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} . /$ mean $=50.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=10$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop:- Paddy. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- 24 Parganas (c.f.). | Type :- © $\mathbf{M}$ '. |

Obje:t :- Type B - To investigate the relative effisienzy of different nitrogenous ferilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to 'v) V.A. (vi) Aus : May 1959 and Aman : June-July 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x: Aus: September 1959 and Aman : Dzeember, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no 59(SFT) type B condusted at Birbhum on page 137.
5. RESULT3: :

Aus season

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. y:eld of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 22 ¢ 3 | 2419 | 24.4 | 2699 | 2444 | 2246 | 2271 |


|  | Aman season |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of srain in lb , ac. | 1695 | 1876 | 2032 | 1868 | 1777 | 1851 | 1983 |

G.M. $=1869 \mathrm{lb}$;ac., S.E. $/$ mean $=30.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=10$.
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Joypur (Bankura, c.f.).

## Ref :- W.B. 54(25).

Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of applization of $N$ and $P$ applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) N.1. (ii) Lonmy sa nd. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) Seed at 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. sown in the nursery. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of June 1st week of Augisi, 19j4. (vi!) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. ( $x$ ) Middle of December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30 ; N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Super thrust in at the time of general preparation of land and $A / S$ applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 agricultural farms' and 7 cultivators' fields in the vicinity of farms. (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1953-1955$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1833 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $374.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1493 | 1524 | 1784 | 1959 | 1936 | + 1739 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1477 | 1916 | 1565 | 1875 | 1896 | 1746 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1547 | 1636 | 2190 | 2231 | 2104 | 1942 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1273 | 2106 | 1760 | 1829 | 1831 | 1760 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | 1670 | 1708 | 1967 | 2193 | 2352 | 1978 |
| Mean | 1492 | 1778 | 1853 | 2017. | 2024 | 1833 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S:E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =83.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =187.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Mandhia (Bankura, c.f.).
Ref :- W.BT54 (26):
Type:- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of application of $\mathbf{N}$ and $P$ applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Loamy sand. (iii) Nil. (iv) Bhasamanik (improved, Ch. 3, medium). (y) (á) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs ./ac. sown in nursery. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of June/1st week of August, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A.
(x) Middle of December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. $54(25)$ on page 140
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. wiih 4 replications. (ii) 5 agricultural farms and 7 cultivators' fields in the vicinity of farms. (iii) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 1960 lb ./ac. (ii) 207.3 lb ./ac. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1529 | 1904 | 2053 | 1980 | 2134 | 1920 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1779 | 1863 | 2007 | 2220 | 2071 | 1988 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1819 | 1813 | 2113 | 1994 | 2184 | 1985 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1560 | 1824 | 2129 | 2197 | 1971 | 1936 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 1645 | 1938 | 2064 | 2244 | 1971 | 1972 |
| Mean | 1666 | 1868 | 2073 | 2:27 | 2066 | 1960 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =46.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =103.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref:- W.B. 54(23). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Kanaimatsal (Burdwan, c.f.). | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Faliow. (c) Nil. (ii) Sandy loam. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local (nagra). (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Traasplantiag. (c) Seed at 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) 1 st week of August, 1954. (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $43.50^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd week of December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54 ;25) on page 140 .
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 state agricultural farms and 7 cultivators' fields in the vicinity of each farm. (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\circ}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2155 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $308.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1839 | 1916 | 2219 | 2334 | 2644 | 2150 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1262 | 1988 | 2182 | 2365 | 2383 | 2036 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1565 | 1952 | 2381 | 2503 | 2370 | 2:54 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1413 | 1867 | 2311 | 2299 | 2644 | 2107 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 1826 | 2059 | 2304 | 2331 | 2914 | 2287 |
| Mean | 1581 | 1956 | 2279 | 2366 | 2591 | 2155 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =\quad 154.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Kanaimatsal (Burdwan, c.f.).

Ref : W W.B. 55(21).
Type: ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ applied alore and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Sandy loam. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local (ncgra). (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) Seed at $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\mu}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) 1.8 .1955 . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $50.77^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(25) on page 140.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 state agricultural farms and 7 cultivator's fields in the vicinity of each farm. (iii) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain ard stri.w yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3133 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 338.1 lb ./ac. (jii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2910 | 3301 | 3529 | 3431 | 2841 | 3202 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2913 | 3314 | 3432 | 3202 | $26 ¢ 0$ | 3110 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2997 | 3463 | 3340 | 3015 | 2787 | 3120 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2813 | 3417 | 3298 | 3211 | 2727 | 3093 |
| P4 | 3120 | 3175 | 3307 | 3248 | 2853 | 3141 |
| Mean | 2951 | 3334 | 3381 | . 3225 | 2780 | 3133 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 75.6 \mathrm{lb} \\ 169.0 \mathrm{Jb} \end{gathered}$ |  |


| Crop:- Paddy (Aman). | Ref:- W.B. 54(27). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Jagyamaraynur (Cooch Behar, c.f.). | Type :- $\mathbf{' M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- To study the effect of application of $N$ and $\dot{P}$ applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil, (ii) (a) Silty loam. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) Seed at 12 to 15 srs./ac. (sown' in the nursery). (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of June 11 st week of August, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(25) on page 140.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 state agricultural farms and 7 cultivators' felds generally in the vicinity of each farm. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A
(iii) Grain and straw yield.
(iv) (a) 1953-1955.
(b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :



|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2084 | 2617 | 2163 | 2303 | 2350 | 2303 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2075 | 2559 | 2194 | 2637 | 2536 | 2400 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1804 | 1929 | 2158 | 2361 | 2668 | 2184 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1960 | 2350 | 1898 | 2433 | 2979 | 2324 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 2232 | 2303 | 2511 | 2528 | 2303 | 2375 |
| Mean | 2031 | 2352 | 2185 | 2452 | 2567 | 2317 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of the table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =104.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =233.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Centre :- Bulbul Chandi (Malda, c.f.). <br> Ref :- W.B. 54(24). <br> Type :- ‘M'.

Object :- To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ applied alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fal'ow. (c) Nil. (ii) Loam. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to 15 srs ./ac. sown in the nursery. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of June/lst week of August, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(25) on page 140.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 experimental farms and 7 cu'tivators' fields genera'ly in the vicinity of the farms. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield.
(iv) (a) 1953-1S55.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil.
(v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1118 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 237.2 lb ./ac. (iii) $\mathbf{N}$ effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 762 | 996 | 1230 | 1074 | 917 | 996 |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 840 | 1034 | 1268 | 1299 | 1054 | 1099 |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 980 | 1043 | 1100 | 1416 | 1331 | 1174 |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 925 | 1200 | 1376 | 1159 | 1385 | 1209 |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 747 | 1004 | 1144 | 1400 | 1268 | 1113 |  |
| Mean | 851 | 1055 | 1224 | 1270 | 1191 | 1118 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=\quad 53.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of the table | $=\quad 118.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Ref:- W.B. $54(28)$.
'Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ 。

Object :- To study the response due to the application of N and P applied alone and in combiñảtións oñ the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Loamy sand. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. sown in nursery. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of Juné/ist week of August, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. $54(25)$ on page 140.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) 5 agricultural farms año 7 cultivators field generally in the vicinity of farms. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Ǵfàin and straw yield. } & \text { (iv) (a) } 1953-1955 . & \text { (b) Yes. } & \text { (c) N.A. (v) }\end{array}$ to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1206 lb ./ac. (ii) 225.1 lb ./ac. (iii) N and P effects are highly significant and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 444 | '; | 1159 | 824 | 1004 | 803 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 724 | 903 | 1093 | 1556 | 1443 | 1144 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 879 | 1056 | 1431 | 1751 | 1669 | 1365 |
| $\dot{P}_{3}$ | 809 | 1128 | 1183 | 1676 | 1929 | 1345 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 712 | 1186 | $1350^{\circ}$ | 1785 | 1836 | 1374 |
| Mean | 714 | 979 | 1243 | 1518 | 1576 | 1206 |

> S.E. of any marginal mean
> S.E. of body of table
$=50.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
=112.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman) . | Ref:- W.B. 54(29): |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centre :- Hatgobindapur (Nadia, c.f.). | Typè: ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ M ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Object :- To study the response due to N and P applied alone and in combinations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Loam. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 'to 15 srs ./ac. sown in the nursery. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of June/1st week of August, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. $54(25)$ on page 140.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact in R.B.D. with 4 replications.
(ii) 5 agricultural farms and 7 fiélds in thê vicinity ơf farms. (iii)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (a) } 38^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} & \text { (b) } 36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} \text {. (iv) Y̌̌ès. }\end{array}$
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2589 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 279.0 lb ./ac. (iii) P effect is significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2324 | 2743 | 2875 | 2672 | 2666 | 2656 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2714 | 2501 | 2867 | 2584 | 2523 | 2638 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2458 | 2290 | 2538 | 2718 | 2484 | 2498 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2327 | 2573 | 2564 | 2370 | 2495 | 2466 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 2584 | 2493 | 2753 | 2787 | 2815 | 2686 |
| Mean | 2481 | 2520 | 2719 | 2626 | 2597 | 2589 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =62.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =139.5 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Dighirpar (24-Parganas, c.f.).
```

Ref:- W.B. 54(30).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of gypsum on Paddy growing in salt affected soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Saline soil. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) Middle of June/Last week of July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A (x) Middle of December, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 levels of gypsum : $\mathrm{G}_{0}=0, \mathrm{G}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{G}_{2}=1.0$ and $\mathrm{G}_{3}=2.0$ tons/ac.
Gypsum was applied by broadcast method in 3rd week of July.
3. DESIGN :
(1) R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $31^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) No. of tillers and their height. Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i; $1212 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $416.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1333 | 1191 | 1270 | 1055 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $208.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Dighirpar (24-Parganas, c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 55(22).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of gypsum on Paddy growing in salt affected soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Saline soil. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) Middle of June/Last week of July 1955. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December 1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4 , GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. $54(30)$ on page 146.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2822 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $169.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 2771 | 2837 | 2684 | 2998 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. } 56(10) . \\
\text { Centre :- Dighirpar (24-Parganas, c.f.). } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of gypsum on Paddy growing in salt affected soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) F.Y.M. details N.A. (ii) Silty loam. (iii) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied afcer 4 weeks of transplantation. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 1 weeding in the month of September. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d)' $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (vi) Last week of July 1956. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December 1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 levels of gypsum : $\mathrm{G}_{0}=0, \mathrm{G}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{G}_{2}=1$ and $\mathrm{G}_{3}=2$ tons/ac.
Doses of gypsum broadcast during 3rd week of July.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. with 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) No. of tillers, height of tillers, depth of water standing in field and soil sample analysis. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Grain yield
(i) $2656 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $229.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 2663 | 2695 | 2737 | 2530 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 114.8 | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Straw yield
(i) $4700 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$." (ii) $433.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are nct significant. (iv) Av. yield of gráin in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 4704 | 4649 | 4686 | 4759 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $216.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lac}$ |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B, 57(10).
Centre :- Dighirpar (21-Parganas, c.f.).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of gypsum on Paddy growing in salt affected soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i: (a) No. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Saline soil. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local. (v) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) Middle of June/Last week of July 1957. (vii), Unirrigated (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 56(10) on page 147.
Due to drought after transplantation, some plants were watered from the ditch nearby.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1936 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 345.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $G_{0}$ | $G_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ | $G_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1967 | 2023 | 1850 | 1903 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $173.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Dighirpar (24-Parganas, c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 58(6).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :- Гo study the effect of gypsum on Paddy growing in salt affected soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Silty soil. (iii) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied 4 weeks after transplantation. (iv) Local. ( $\mathbf{v}^{\circ}$ (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) End of July 1958. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $5 \iota^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Middle of December, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $56(10)$ on page 147.
5. RESULTS :


Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Dihgirpar (24-Parganas, c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 55(23).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the response of bulky organic manures and an equivalent amount of $A / S$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Heterogenous tracts. (ii) N.A. (iv) Local (improved). (v) (a)
3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 seedlings (about
30 days old). (vi) August $1955 . ~(v i i)$ Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (d) December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

4 manurial treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ No manure (control), $\mathrm{M}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C. and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=15 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+15 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as T.C.
T.C. in $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ of $A / S$ in $M_{2}$ were applied at the time of puddling. The remainder $\frac{1}{2}$ of $A / S$ in $M_{2}$ and $M_{4}$ were applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) 23 fields scattered in 9 different districts of West Bengal where T.C. was available. (ii) Single replication/ village. (iii) (a) Varying sizes of plot. (b) N.A. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Delay in the onset of monsoon in certain areas obviously delayed transplanting slightly beyond usual times. Temporary drought prevailed in the middle of growing season. (ii) Slightly affected by rice bug and helminthosporium. (iii) Grain and straw . yield. (iv) (a) 1955-1956. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2358 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $256.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | $\mathbf{1 8 6 0}$ | 2460 | 2534 | 2576 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $53.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy }(A m a n) . & \text { Ref :- W.B. } 58(7) . \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. } & \text { Type :- }{ }^{6} \mathbf{M V}{ }^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the most resistant variety of Paddy towards N under Chinsurah soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) (a) N.A.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah.
(iii) 6.8 .1958 .
(iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) 12, 14 to 16.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

3 doses of $N$ as $A / S: \quad N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{ib}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

8 varieties: $\quad \mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Raghusail, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Patnai-298, $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Bhisamanik, $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ Latisail, $\mathrm{V}_{5}=$ Kailma-222, $\mathrm{V}_{6}=$ Jhingasail $\mathrm{V}_{7}=$ Kalamkati-147 and $\mathrm{V}_{8}=$ Nagra 41/14.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block ; 8 sub-plot/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $56^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1958-N.A.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) '(a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1303 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $2183.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 516.7 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of V and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{V}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac:

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1414 | 1295 | 1352 | 1206 | 1014 | 920 | 1174 | 1091 | 1183 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1621 | 1408 | 1710 | 1421 | 1231 | 1305 | 1394 | 1335 | 1428 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1413 | 1142 | 1551 | 1499 | 1326 | 981 | 1298 | 1169 | 1297 |
| Mean | 1483 | 1283 | 1538 | 1375 | 1190 | 1069 | 1289 | 1198 | 1303 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
$=488.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. V marginal means
$=188.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $N$
$=326.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{V} \quad=576.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 59(47) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'MV'. |

Object :-To find out the most resistant variety of Paddy towards N under Chinsurah soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 25.7.1959.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 pudding. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) Nil. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $552^{r}$. (x) 4, 13, 15. 16 and 19.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 doses of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Suk-plot treatments :
8 varieties: $\quad \mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Kalma-222, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Patnai-13, $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Bhasamanik, $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ Nagrasail, $\mathrm{V}_{5}=$ Rupsail, $\mathrm{V}_{6}=$ Indrasail, $\mathrm{V}_{7}=$ Raghusail and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Sitasail-199.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block ; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nill. (iii) Graia and straw yield. (iv) ${ }^{2}$ (a) 1956 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nill. (v) (a) and (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2030 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $373.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $214.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of N and V are highly significant ard interaction $N \times V$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | $V_{4}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{7}$ | $V_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{*}$ | 2035 | 2245 | 2168 | 2165 | 2001 | 1932 | 2398 | 1890 | 2104 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2074 | 2241 | 2474 | 2290 | 2133 | 2010 | 2457 | 1924 | 2200 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1765 | 1844 | 2067 | 1940 | 1425 | 1807 | 1958 | 1492 | 1787 |
| Mcan | 1958 | 2110 | 2236 | 2132 | 1853 | 1916 | 2271 | 1769 | 2030 |

S.E. of differences of two

1. N marginal means
$=83.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. V marginal means
$=78.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N} \quad=135.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{V} \quad=152.21 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). <br> Centre :- Burdwan (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 54(TCM).
Type:- 'MV'.

Object :-Type VIIt-To study the effect of different levels of $N$ and $P$ on different vazieties of Padd\%.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./6.8.1954. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplating. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 12.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Kalma, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Nagra and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Jhingasail.

Fertilizers applied before transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 3 blocks/replicarion. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1965. (b) No, (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3364 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $401.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only main effect of N is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =133.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =231.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Grop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan.
Ref:- W.B. 5: (TCM).
Type -- ‘MV'.

Object :-Type VIII-To study the effect of different levels of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ on different varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Recent alluvium-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./30.7.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 13.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4 GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54 (T.C.M.) type VIII conducted at Burdwan on page 150.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3061 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $303.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2847 | 3240 | 2960 | 3016 | 2865 | 3547 | 2636 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3138 | 2909 | 3296 | 3114 | 3051 | 3138 | 3153 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3402 | 2896 | 2861 | 3053 | 2869 | 3232 | 3059 |
| Mean | 3129 | 3015 | 3039 | 3061 | 2928 | 3306 | 2949 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 2988 | 2873 | 2924 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 3439 | 3240 | 3236 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 2960 | 2932 | 2956 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=101.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=175.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Centre :- Mankhanda.

Ref:- W.B. 55(TCM).
Type :- 'MV'.

Object :- Type VIII-To study the effect of different levels of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ on different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic', and saline-clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A./8 and 9.8.1955, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A.
(x) 27.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(TCM) type VIII conducted at Burduwan on page 150.
The varieties are : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Chamalomani, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Jhingasail and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Moul.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1937 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 367.5 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1835 | 1725 | 1792 | 1784 | 1741 | 1871 | 1740 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1581 | 2305 | 2092 | 1992 | 1910 | 2360 | 1707 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2439 | 1626 | 2037 | 2034 | 2029 | 1918 | 2155 |
| Mean | 1952 | 1885 | 1974 | 1937 | 1893 | 2050 | 1867 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 2036 | 1922 | 1721 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 2005 | 1894 | 2250 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 1813 | 1839 | 1950 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any margina: mean } & =122.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =212.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 56(13). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. | Type :- ©. |

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Leguminous crop-Paddy. (b) Gram-Lentil. (c) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 7.6 .1956 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 150 mds ./ac. of cowdung, 1 md .35 srs ./ac. of Supe +1 md .8 srs./ac. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied in two doses. $\frac{1}{2}$ at the time of sowing and other $\frac{1}{2}, 4$ weeks after sowing. (vi) Dharial. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and mulching tbrice each and thining once. (ix) $33.84^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 to 22.9.1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

6 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ (single line), $\mathrm{S}_{2}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ (single line), $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Broadcast, $\mathrm{S}_{4}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ (double !ine), $S_{5}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ (double line) and $S_{6}=15^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ (double line).
3. DESIGN :
R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) and (b) $42^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (i) and (vii) Nul.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $988 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $191.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differer ces are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield iof graid in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1189 | 1133 | 1055 | 852 | 844 | 852 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $78.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 57(11).
Type :- ${ }^{6}$ C'.

Object:- To find out the optimum spacing for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Leguminous crop-Paddy. (b) Gram—Lentil. (c) Cowdung at $150 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15.6.1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) $150 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung, 1 md .35 srs ./ac. of Super by broadcast +1 mu. 8 srs./ac. of A/S applied in two doses. $\frac{1}{2}$ at the time of soving and other half four weeks after sowing. (vi) Dular. (vii) Unirigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and mulching thrice each and 'thining once. (ix) 47.4". (x) 4 to 7.10.1957.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 56(13) on page 152.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $859 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $146.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | .$S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield. | 1004 | 924 | 853 | 815 | 905 | 654 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 54(52).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.l. (b) Pulse. (c) Nil. ''ii) (a) Alluvial clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsursh. (iij) 18.8.1954. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} . \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 . (v; 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and T.C. and $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (vi) Bhasamanik. (medium). (vii) Nil. (vui) 2 weedings. (ix) $39.76^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.2.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

10 spacings: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{i}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{7}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$, $\mathrm{S}_{8}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{9}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{10}=15^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $15^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Attack of helminthosporium in the nursery and at early stages of growth but did not prevail. (iii) Grain and straw yield (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (rii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $830 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 114.2 lh . ac . (iii) Treatment differeaces are highly signifizant. (iv) Av. yieid of grain in lb/ac.:


## Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref:- W.R. 54(50).

Type:- ' ${ }^{\text {C }}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of differeat spacings on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluviun, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chincurah. (iii) 8.6.1954/ 18.8.1954. (iv) (a) 2 ploushiags and 1 pudiling. (b) Tranplanted. (c) N.A. (d) Asper treatments. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik m:dunn. (v.i) U ifrig tted. (vii) 1 weeding. (ix) $49.94^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9.12 .1954 .
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(52) on page 153.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil, (ii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1954-1957$. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $763 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $87.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are bigbly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1125 | $\mathrm{S04}$ | 895 | 634 | 807 | 693 | 666 | 735 | 630 | 493 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | $43.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.3. 55(23).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To study the efect of different spacings on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay (sweet alluvial). (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 14.6.1955/11.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. sown in nursery bed. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1 . (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\frac{1}{2}$ of N and full of phosphate broadcast at the time of puddling, $\frac{1}{4}$ of N apphed 1 month after transplanting and remaining $\frac{1}{4}$ dose 15 days tefcre flowering. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $45.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 23.12 .1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(52) on page 153.
4. GÈNERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain ard straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1932 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $268.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differectes are significant. (iv) Av. yie'd of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | . $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2218 | 2054 | 1985 | 1794 | 1855 | 1540 | 2124 | 1700 | 2006 | 2045 |
| S.E/mean $=134.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$=\quad$ Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 56(19).
Type :- ' C '.

Object:- To study the effect of different spacings on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Padcy-Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (i1) (a) Cley (sweet alluvial). (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15.6.1956/21.7.1956. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings ard haricwirg. (b) Transplanting. (c) Seed sown in nursery at $15 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1 . (v) $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and T.C: and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\frac{1}{2}$ of N and . $u$ ull of phosphate brcadcast at the time of pudding, $\frac{1}{4}$ of N applied 4 weeks after transplanting and remaining $\frac{1}{4}$ dose 15 days before flcwering. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $61.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12 .1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(52) on page 153.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Negligible attack of stem borer.
(iii) Grain and straw yie'd
(iv) (a) 19:4-i957.
(b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1949 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $161.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Tiealment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{8}$ | $S_{9}$ | $S_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2069 | 2015 | 1866 | 1951 | 2051 | 1882 | 2157 | 1978 | 1712 | 1809 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | 80.9 lb./ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Grop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Reî :- W.B. 57(16).
Type: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ’.

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay (sweet alluvial). (b) Refer soil analysis, Chirsurah. (iii) 66.1957 i25.7.1957. (iv) (a) 3 ts 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. c) Seed sown in nursery bed at 15 srs./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1 . (v) 30 lb ./ac. of N as A/S and T.C. and 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\frac{1}{2}$ of N and full of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ are broadcast at the time of pudding, $\frac{1}{2}$ of N broadcast 4 weeks after transplanting and remaining $\ddagger$ of N broadcast 15 days before flowering. (vi) Bhasamanik (Chinsurah 3, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $4794^{\circ \prime}$ (x) 10.12.1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54:52) on page 153.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Negligible attack of stem borar. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2629 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $265.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yie d of grain in lb. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2796 | 2414 | 2916 | 2593 | 2620 | 2880 | 2504 | 2692 | 2383 | 2493 |
|  | $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} /$ mean $=132.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. $5 \varepsilon(23)$.
Type :- ' $C$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of antilodging operation on Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Ni'. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 17.8.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 pudding. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 8.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 antilodging opera:ions: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=$ Control, $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Chpping and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Tieing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of paddy grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1955-1958. (b, Yes. (c) Nil. (v) a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1786 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 122.6 lb . ac . (iii) 「reatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yie!d of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $T_{0}$ | $T_{1}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1662 | 1747 | $19 j 0$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=61.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref:- W.B. 57(6)). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ‘C'. |

Object :-To study the effect of intercultural operation with or without field weeder on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 29.7.1957. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 3 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 12 to $15 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) 30 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Mur. Pot. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vlii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) N.A. (x) 14.12.1957.
2. TREA.TMENTS :

4 cultural treatments: $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{0}}=$ No weeding (control), $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Weeding on both sides (Jap. weeder), $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Weeding one side (Jap. weeding) and $T_{3}=$ Hand weeding.
1st weeding one month after transplantation on 28.8.1957.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) $26^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1956-1958$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Experiment conducted during 1956 was with one replication and hence not included in the compendium.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $30.55 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $115.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{T}_{u}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 3037 | 2980 | 3153 | 3050 |  |$\quad . \quad$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Ref :- W.B.B. 58(58), <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- © C .

Object :- To study the effect of intercultural operation with or without weeder on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) NA. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) $24.6 .1958 /$ 13.8.1958. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $13 \mathrm{~kg} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $A / S$ as Super and Mur. Pot., $\frac{1}{2}$ of the cose applied during puddling, $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the remaining applied 1 month after transplanting and the rest applied 15 days before flowering. (vi) Bhasamanik '(medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) N.A. (x) 31.12.1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

4 cultural treatments: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=$ No weeding (control), $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Weeeding deeper by Japanese paddy weeder, $T_{2}=$ Weeding superfical by Japanese paddy weeder, and $T_{3}=$ Hand weeding (no weeder).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 . (iv)
iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v), $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}:$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of grain.
(jv) (a) 1956-1958.
(b) No.
(c) Nil. (v) (a) No.
(b) Nil.
(vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2259 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $482.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of.grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | T $_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 2424 | 2119 | 26 | 1966 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $241.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Grop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 58(60).
Type :- 'CV',

Object :- To study the effect of mixed cropping under transplanted condition on the yie:d of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii), (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) As per treatrents. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 3 ladderings. (b) Transplanted. (c) 12 to 15 kg ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super. (vi) Dular (early) and Bhasamanik (medium). vii) Irrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.10.1958 to 16.10 .1958 for piddy aus and 28.12 .1958 for paddy aman.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

5 cultural treatments: $C_{1}=$ Transplanting of $V_{1}$ on 23.7 .1958 with $10^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing, $C_{2}=$ Transplantir; of $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ on 7.8 .1958 with $10^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Interplanting of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in alternate rows on 23.7.1958, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Inter planting of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in alternate rows on 78..958 and 23.7.i958 respectively and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Inter planting of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in alternate row, on 23.7.1958 and 7.8.1958 respectively.
$\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Dular (aus paddy) and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Bhasamanik (aman paddy).
Spacing adopted in $C=$ to $C_{i}$ is $7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a $32^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1958-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nıl. (vi) N.A. (vii) Crop failed in 1959 due to flood and storm.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1495 lb. ac. (ii) $2049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant, 'iv) Av. yield of gan in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 1066 | 1471 | 1543 | 1613 | 1781 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E $/$ mean | $=$ | $102.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.<br>Ref :- W.B. 5A(39).<br>Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To find out the optimum late transplanting period for late varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fal'ow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) 'a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (i..) 1, 10, 20, 30.7.1554 and 9.8.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) 15 to 3. srs./ac. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e; 3. (v) 30 lb ./ac. of N as A/S and T.C. 30 lb , ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. : of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{fu}$. fl cf $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied at the time and puddling of other $\frac{1}{2}$ of N broadcast 4 weeks after transpianting. (vi) As per treatments (late varieties). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $=0.20^{*}$. (x) 4.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 tran:planting dates: $D_{1}=10.8 .1954, D_{2}=20.8 .1954, D_{3}=30.8 .19: 4, D_{4}=9.9 .1954$ and $D_{5}=19.9 .1954$. Sub-plot treatments :

3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Kumargore, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Achra and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Tilakkachari.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plats/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. RESULTS :
(i) Gocd. (ii) Negligib:e. (iii) Grain and straw yie!d. (iv) (a) 1953-1957 (modified on this farm). (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment conducted during the year 1956 spci'ed by floods.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1562 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $403.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\quad$ (b) $403.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) D effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 1613 | 1765 | 1571 | 1344 | 890 | 1437 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 1579 | 1916 | 2034 | 1669 | 1058 | 1651 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 1907 | 1680 | 1680 | 1546 | 1176 | 1598 |
| Mean | 1700 | 1787 | 1762 | 1520 | 1041 | 1562 |

S.E. of the difference of two


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 55(25). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'CV'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum late transplat ting pericd for the late varieties of Paddy

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1, 10, 20,30.7.1955 and 9.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) Seed at 15 to $20 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 seedlings. (v) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and T.C. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\cdot \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\frac{1}{2}$ of N and full $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied at the time of pudding and other $\frac{1}{2}$ of N broadcast 4 weeks after transplantations. (vi) As per treatments (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once (ix) $45.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.1.1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

5 transplacting dates : $D_{1}=10.8 .1 \subset 55, D_{2}=20.8 .1955, D_{3}=30.8 .1955, D_{4}=9.9 .1955$ and $D_{5}=19.9 .1955$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ K:Imargone, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Achra $108 / 1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Tikkachri.
3. DESIGN and 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(39) on page 158.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4121 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $602.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(b) $465.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) D effect is highly significant, V effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 5159 | 4815 | 4288 | 4134 | 3445 | 4364 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 4546 | 4352 | 4084 | 3563 | 3462 | 4001 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 4697 | 4688 | 3571 | 3596 | 3437 | 3998 |
| Mean | 4801 | 4618 | 3974 | 3764 | 3448 | 4121 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=245.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2. V marginal means | $=147.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. V means at the same level of $D$ | $=328.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. D means at the same level of $V$ | $=364.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 57(12).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object:-To find out the optimum date of transplanting for late varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1, 10, 20, 307.1957 and $9.8 .19,7$. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplantiog. (c) Seed at 15 to 20 srs .;ac. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\circ}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and T.C. $30 \mathrm{lt} . / \mathrm{acc}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\frac{1}{2}$ of N and full of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ are applied at the time of puddling and other $\frac{1}{2}$ of N broadcast 4 weeks after transplanting. (vi) As per treatments (late varieties). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $47.94^{\prime}$. ( $x$ ) 1 st week of January, 1958.
2. TREATMENIS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 transplanting dates: $D_{1}=10.8 .1957, D_{2}=20.8 .1957, D_{3}=30.8 .1957, D_{4}=9.9 .1957$ and $D_{5}=19.9 .1957$. Sub-plot treatments:

3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Kumargone, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Achra $108 / 1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Tilakachry.
3. DESIGN and 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(39) un page 150.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1014 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 256.0 lb ./ac. (b) 254.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Only D effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. D marginal means } & =104.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. V marginal means } & =80.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. V means at the same level of } \mathrm{D} & =180.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. D means at the same level of V } & =180.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. $\mathbf{5 7 ( 5 0 )}^{(1)}$
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

> Type :- ‘CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $N$ and crop rotation on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Khesari-Paddy-Khesari. (b) Khesari. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga low land clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 20 to 26.7 .1957 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weeding and thmning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plet treatments :
2 rotations : $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Paddy followed by khesari and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Paddy alone.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 sources of $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$.
3. DESIGN :
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (i) Split-plot. } & \text { (ii) (a) } 2 \text { main-plots/block and } 3 \text { sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) } 4 . \quad \text { (iv) (a) } 34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime} .\end{array}$
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime} . \quad\left(v^{\prime}, 1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}\right.$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A: (v) (a) Nalhati, Majhian and Kályani. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The experiment was conducted at Chinsurah instead of Kalyani,
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3130 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $461.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 420.4 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av: yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3027 | 3180 | 3070 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2956 | 3145 | 3404 |
| Mean | 2992 | 3162 | $\cdot$ |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means
$=188.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=210.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$
$=\cdot 297.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=307.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Grop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 58(16). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsarah. | Type := ‘CM'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $N$ and crop rotations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Khesari. (b) Khesari. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetić alluvium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 19.7.1958. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted in rows. (c) 25 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{r}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $57(50)$ on page 160 ,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Nalhati. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2380 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $282.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $158.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant: (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean <br> $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2151 | 2656 | 2598 | 2468 |  |
| Mean | 2197 | 2387 | 2291 | 2292 |
| 2174 | 2522 | 2444 | 2380 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means | $=115.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means |  |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ |  |
| 4. $R$ means at the same leveliof $S$ |  |
|  | $=112.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |
|  | $=147.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref :- W.B. 59(45). <br> Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N and crop rotations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Khesari. (b) Khesari. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, neutral clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 11.7.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplantation. (c) 5 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 3.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 57(50) on page 160.
A/S top dressed on 11.8.1959.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nalhati and Majhian. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2740 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) 259.4 lb ./ac. (b) $120.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only S effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2636 | 2814 | 2847 | 2766 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 2452 | 2909 | 2781 | 2714 |
| Mean | 2544 | 2862 | 2814 | 2740 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad$ R marginal means | $=105.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=60.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=85.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. R means at the same level of S | $=126.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref :- W.B. 55(2).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{CM}$ '.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate and fertilizer for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Pulses. (b) Pulses. (c) Nit. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 28.4.1955. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Broadcast. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $107.80^{* \prime}$. (x) 167.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 doses of fertilizers : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+45 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(2) 3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=30, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=45$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $I^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and iii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $863 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $183.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M ${ }_{1}$ | 882 | . 726 | 949 | 852 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1061 | 877 | 733 | 890 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 845 | 953 | 746 | 848 |
| Mean | 929 | 852 | 809 | 863 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =52.9 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =91.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

[^4]Object:-To find out the optimum seed rate and fertilizers for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy—Pulses. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. '(b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar.. (iii) 25 4.1956. . (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Broadcast. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A! (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 1.6.1956. (ix) 103.18". (x) 24.7.1956 to 26.7.1956.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $55(2)$ on page 162.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1475 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $194.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effests is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ :

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$, | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1412 | 1436 | 1308 | 1386 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1476 | 1580 | 1372 | 1476 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1548 | 1541 | 1596 | 1562 |
| Mean | 1479 | 1519 | 1426 | 1475 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table | $=56.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref :- W.B. 57(3).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of seed rate and fertilizer for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Pulses. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 3.5.1957. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Broadcast. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at $50 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. at $1.25 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed on 28.6 .1957 and Super on 3.5.1957. (vi) Dharial. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding on 9 and 11.6.1957. (ix) N.A. (x) 12 and 13.8.1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $55(2)$ on page 162.
Fertilizers were broadcast.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $842 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $166.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R 2}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 906 | 934 | 806 | 882 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1006 | 815 | 690 | 837 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 925 | 655 | 838 | 806 |
| Mean | 946 | 801 | 778 | 842 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =48.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =83.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Majhian.

Ref:- W.B. 57(51).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $\mathbf{N}$ and crop rotations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BA $A^{\prime} A L$ CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Khesari-Paddy. (b) Khesari. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Majhian. (iii) Middle of August, 1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and spading. (b) Transplanting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2 to 3. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1 st week of December, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. $57(50)$ on page 160.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Nalhati. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2636 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $574.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $675.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2453 | 2258 | 2675 | 2462 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 2446 | 2499 | 3487 | 2811 |
| Mean | 2450 | 2378 | 3081 | 2636 |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means
$=234.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=337.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $R \quad=477.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S \quad=454.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Majhian.
Ref :- W.B. 58(46). Type:- ‘GM’.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N and crop rotations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Khesari-Paddy. (b) Khesari. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Majhian. (iii) 10.8 .1958 . (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (b) $33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) 2 to 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Nagra (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 14.12.1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(50) on page 160.
Fertilizer applied on 10.9.1958.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A. (iii) Yiald of grain.
(iv) (a) 1957-1959. (b) Yes
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Nalhati. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2892 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $622.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $193.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only S effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 2338 | 3166 | 2900 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2516 | 3397 | 3036 |
| Mean | 2427 | 3282 | 2968 |
| 2801 |  |  |  |
| 2983 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $R$ marginal means | $=254.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=96.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ |  |
| 4. $R$ means at the same leval of $S$ | $=136.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | $=277.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Ref:- W.B. ${ }^{59(1)}$.

Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Majhian.
Type :- ' ${ }^{6}$ M'.
Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N and crop rotations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Khesari. (b) Khesari.
(c) Nil. (ii) (a) Ganga'riverine.
(b) N.A. (iii) 28.7.1959. (iv)
(a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line method of transplanting. (c) 5 to $12 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.12.1959 and 12.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 57(50) on page 160.
Fertilizer applied of 29.8.1959.
3. DESIGN :

[^5]
## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Nalhati. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2386 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) 273.0 lb ./ac. (b) 322.5 lb ./ac. (iii) R effect is significant while S effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means
$=111.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=361.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$
$=228.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=217.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Nalhati.
```


## Ref:- W.B. 58(47). <br> Type :- 'CM'.

Object :- To study the effect of different sources of N and crop rotations on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Khesari-Paddy. (b) Khesari. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nalhati. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{~kg} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) 100 mds ./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Patnai (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 thinnings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN

Same as in expt. no. $57(50)$ on page 160.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yjeld cf grain. (iv) (a) 1956-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Majhian. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1880 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $411.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $532.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only S effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1295 | 2219 | 2048 | 1854 |
| Mean | 1425 | 2100 | 2190 | 1905 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. R marginal means
$=168.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=266.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$
$=376.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=350.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 59(14).
Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Nalhati.
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{CM}$ '.
Object :- To study the effect of different sources of $N$ and crop rotations on the yield of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Khesari-Paddy. (b) Khesari. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nalhati. (iii) 6.8.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line transplanting. (c) 12 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 6.1.1960.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. $57(50)$ on page 160.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw, (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Majhian:-r (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) NiJ.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2179 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $415.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 306.6 lb ./ac. (iii) S effect alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean <br> $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1856 | 2133 | 2378 | 2122 |  |
| 1776 | 2502 | 2428 | 2235 |  |
| Mean | 1816 | 2318 | 2403 | 2179 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means $\quad=169.6 \mu \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means $=153.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $\frac{R}{i} R$
$=216.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\dot{R}$ means at the same level of $S$
$=245.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Object:-Type VII-To study the effect of cultural and manurial treatments on Paddy.
5. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 10 to 15 srs./ac. in nursury. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. . (x) 4.1.1957.
6. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=17.7 .1956, D_{2}=2.8 .1936$ and $D_{3}=15.8 .1956$.
(2) 3 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 seed rates: $R_{1}=2, R_{2}=4$ and $R_{3}=6$ plants/hole.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 main-plots/block and 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $16.5^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$. (b) $14.5^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Uniform. (ii) Crop damaged by wild animals and rats. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda. (b) N.l. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESLLTS:

(i) $2389 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $421.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 205.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{N}$ are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{B} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | S 1 | S2 | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 2368 | 2307 | 2345 | 23:1 | 2327 | 2342 | 2144 | 2536 | 2326 | 2354 | 2340 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 2356 | 2381 | 2496 | 2367 | 2407 | 2459 | 2241 | 2581 | 2368 | 2454 | 2411 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 2515 | 2380 | 2353 | 2474 | 2272 | 2502 | 2140 | 2691 | 2435 | 2397 | 2416 |
| Mean | 2413 | 2356 | 2398 | 2397 | 2335 | 2434 | 2175 | 2603 | 2376 | 2402 | 2389 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2262 | 2208 | 2658 | 2266 | 2168 | 2694 | 1947 | 2805 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2564 | 2504 | 2138 | 2528 | 2502 | 2175 | 2403 | 2401 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2186 | 2121 | 2218 | 2130 | 2139 | 2256 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2640 | 2591 | 2578 | 2664 | 2531 | 2613 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2443 | 2430 | 2318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2389 | 2244 | 2372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_{3}$ | 2406 | 2393 | 2503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D, S or $R$ marginal means $\quad=70.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means $=28.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $D, S$ or $R$
$=48.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{D}, \mathrm{S}$ or R means at the same level of N or $\mathrm{P}=78.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{R}$ or $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{R}$ table $\quad=86.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table
$=28.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy.

Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 57(MAE).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-Type VII-To study the effect of cultural and manurial treatments on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. : (iv) (a) 4 ploughings, cross ploughings and pudding. (b) Transplanted. (c) 20 to $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra ( 120 to 150 days). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 32". (x) Ist and 2nd week of December, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(MAE) type VIIfon page 167.
Dates of sowing are : $D_{1}=18.7 .1957, D_{2}=4.8 .1957$ and $D_{3}=18.8$.1957.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot confd. (ii)
(ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 main-plots/block and 4 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 1. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $39.5^{\prime} \times 10.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankharda. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2455 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $517.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) 266.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant and main effect of $S$ and interaction $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 2695 | 2773 | 2452 | 2032 | 2940 | 2947 | 2656 | 2624 | 2612 | 2668 | 2640 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 2489 | 2469 | 2419 | 2320 | 2673 | 2383 | 2410 | 2508 | 2411 | 2507 | -2459 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 2321 | 2082 | 2395 | 2297 | 2376 | 2126 | 2086 | 2446 | 2195 | 2337 | 2266 |
| Mean | 2502 | 2441 | $24!2$ | 2216 | 2663 | 2485 | 2384 | 2526 | 2406 | 2504 | 2455 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2418 | 2400 | 2400 | 2191 | 2646 | 2381 | 2305 | 2507 |  | * . |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2586 | 2482 | 2444 | 2241 | 2680 | 2590 | 2463 | 2545 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2402 | 2427 | 2323 | 2153 | 2645 | 2354 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2602 | 2455 | 2521 | 2279 | 2682 | 2616 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2176 | 2327 | 2146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 2728 | 2595 | 2666 |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | . |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 2602 | 2400 | 2454 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $D, S$ or $R$ marginal means | $=121.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means | $=51.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $D, S$ or $R$ | $=88.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $D, S$ or $R$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$ | $=137.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{c}$. |
| S.E. of body of $D \times S ; D \times R$ or $S \times R$ table | $=149.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=51.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy.

Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 59 (MAE).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object : -Type VII - To study the effect of cult ural and marurial treatments on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 1 hoeing. (b) Transplanted. (c) 20 to $30^{\circ} 1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) $5000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. to all plots except control plots. (vi) Nagra ( 4 to 5 months). (vii) Unirtigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $\leq 8^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 2nd and 3rd week of December, 1959.
2. TREATMENIS:

Same as in expt. no. $56(\mathrm{MAE})$ type VII on page 167.
Dates of sowing are : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=17.7 .1959, \mathrm{D}_{2}=2$ to 4.8.1959 and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=16$ and 17.8.1959.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 main-plots/blcck and 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mankhanda.
(b) N.A. (vi) Crop flooded due to heavy rains. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2170 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $234.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $238.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Interaction $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{N}$ is highly significant. Main effect of $D$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

S.E. of diference of two

| 1. $D, S$ or $R$ marginal means | $=55.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means | $=45.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $D, S$ or $R$ | $=79.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $D, S$ or $R$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$ | $=78.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $D \times S, D \times R$ or $S \times R$ table | $=67.6 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=45.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Paddy.

## Site :- M.A.E. Farm, Mankhanda.

Ref :- W.B. 56(MAE).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-Type VII-To study the effect of cultural and manurial treatments on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Trarsplanting. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (J) and (e' A; per treatmeats. (v) Nil. (vi) Khakhiria (4 mJaths). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 25.12.1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 56'MAE) type VII conducted at Burdwan on page 167.
Dates of sowing are : $D_{1}=1.8 .1956, D_{2}=7.8 .1956$ and $D_{3}=15.8 .1956$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocksireplication; 9 main-plots/block and 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. ,iii) 1. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $31^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. The entire crop lodsed due to heavy rains and high velocity of wind. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) Bardwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop damaged due to cyclone. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2222 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $200.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $175.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of R and N are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 2131 | 2329 | 2288 | 2172 | 2263 | 2312 | 2041 | 2457 | 2255 | 2243 | 2249 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 2082 | 2271 | 2288 | 2205 | 2238 | 2199 | 2057 | 2371 | 2181 | 2247 | 2214 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 2041 | 2312 | 2255 | 2181 | 2148 | 2280 | 2090 | 2316 | 2082 | 2324 | 2203 |
| Mean | 2085 | 2304 | 2277 | 2186 | 2216 | 2264 | 2063 | 2381 | 2173 | 2271 | 2222 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2032 | 2296 | 2191 | 2131 | 2271 | 2117 | 2000 | 2346 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2138 | 2312 | 2363 | 2241 | 2161 | 2411 | 2126 | 2416 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1925 | 2115 | 2149 | 2008 | 2032 | 2149 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2245 | 2493. | 2405 | 2364 | 2400 | 2379 |  | " |  |  |  |
| $S_{1}$ | 2049 | 2296 | 2213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 2107 | 2263 | 2278 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 2099 | 2,53 | 2340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{S}$ or R marginal means $\quad=47.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means $=33.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $D, S$ or $R$. $\quad=58.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $D, S$ or $R$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P=62.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $D \times S, D \times K$ or $S \times R$ table $\quad=81.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=33.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:-W.B. 54(41).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Object :-To study the effect of different insecticides against Paddy stem borer.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16.6.1954/30.7.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Ch 3, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and hoeing. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.11.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

9 insecticidal treatments: $\mathrm{D}_{0}=$ Control, $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{DDT}$ ( $5 \%$ dust), $\mathrm{D}_{2}=\mathrm{BHC}$ ( $5 \%$ dust), $\mathrm{D}_{3}=\mathrm{DDT}$ ( $5 \%$ wettable) spray with $0.1 \%$ concentration, $D_{4}=B H C$ ( $50 \%$ wettable spray) with $0.1 \%$ concentration, $\mathrm{D}_{5}=$ Folidol $\mathrm{E}-605$ ( $5 \%$ dust), $\mathrm{D}_{6}=$ Folidol E-605 spray with $0.4 \%$ concentration, $D_{7}=$ Toxaphane ( $5 \%$ dust) and $D_{8}=$ Toxaphane ( $25 \%$ ) spray with $0.1 \%$ concentration.
There were 4 applications of each treatment at an interval of 15 days beginning from 15:8.1954.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 12. (2 trials of 6 replications each at 2 different sites at the same farm). (iv) (a) and (b) $16.5^{\prime} \times 825^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem borer, control measures as per treatments. (iil) Percentage of stem borer damaged tillers/plot were taken at the time of harvest. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $0.87 \%$. (ii) $0.50 \%$. (iii) ‘Control vs. others’ effect is highly significant. (iv) Mean percentage of stem borer damaged tillers.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean \% | 4.32 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Gosaba.

Ref :- W.B. 55(1).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :- To study the effext of diferent insecticides against S. incertalas on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Padjy. (c) Nıl. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Go aba. (iii) 21.6.1955/ 8.8.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) $40 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) R gghusail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

7 insecticidal treatments : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=$ Cuntrol (2 plots/block), $\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ Parathion $1.0 \%, \mathrm{D}_{2}=$ Parathion $0.5 \%, \mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Parathicn $0.25 \%, \mathrm{D}_{4}=$ Endrin-5 c.c. per gallon of water, $\mathrm{D}_{5}=$ Endrin-7ce. par gallon of water and $D_{6}=$ Endrin-10 c.c. per gallon of water.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Damiged by S. incertalas and stink bug. (iii) Percentage of damage done by $S$. incertalas. Average earhsads/plants; total no. of earheads/plot ; number of damaged earheads and grain y eld'plot. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2718 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 478.0 lb ., ac. (iii) 'Control vs. treated' effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2373 | 2743 | 3046 | 3004 | 2792 | 2534 | 2775 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean for control | $=$ | $169.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean for others | $=$ | $239.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref:- W.B. $\mathbf{5 6 ( 3 0 )}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bardwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Objest :-To study the efeat of $A / S$ and $A^{\prime} C$ in different doses on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CO NDITIONS :
(i) (a, Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loan. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 3rd week of November, 1956. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 pudjlings, 2 puddidgs and planking. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) N.A. (vi) N.P. -710 . (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 2 weedings and 3 intarcultures. (ix) 2.61". (x) Last Week of March, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

All conbinations of (1) and $(2)+$ a control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b; N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a: $34^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$, (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times i^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Pest attack ; Control measure-N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 195t-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Krishnagar. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2404 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 137.8 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N and 'control $v s$. others' are highy significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1418 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\dot{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2547 2496 <br> 2813 2745 | 2521 <br> 2779 |  |
| Mean | 2680 | 2620 | 2650. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =43.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =61.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

```
Grop:- Wheat (Rabi). Ref:- W.B. 57(36).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of $A / S$ and $A / C$ in different doses on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15 to 28.11.1957. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and puddling (b) and (c) N.A.. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures and 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $3.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of March to 1st week of April, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 56(30) on page 172.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1609 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $236.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control vs. others' effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=905 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $171 i$ | 1855 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1934 | 1638 |
| Mean | 1822 | 1746 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=74.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table or control mean

$$
=105.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 58(35).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ ’.

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N on the yield of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 7 to 15.11 .1953 . (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 66 to $77 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{*}$ between rows (e) N.A. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung, (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 sources of $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ No application of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{U}$ rea, $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) $5 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1955-60 (modified in the year 1933 oaly). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1659 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $210.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatmeant differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1085 | 1727 | 1878 | 1762 | 1843 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $93.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 59(28).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :- To study the effects of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bardwan. (iii) 911.1959 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughing s and ladderings. (b) Line sowing by seed drill. (ci 30 srs./ac. (d) $9^{* \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $003^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19.3.1 760 to 21.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no 56,30 ) on pize 172.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1400 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $216.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly sigaideant. (iv) Av. yiels of grain in lb./ac.

| Control $=1295 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1284 | 1319 | 1301 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1718 | 1386 | 1552 |
| Mean | 1501 | 1352 | 1426 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{av}$.
S.E. of body of table or control mean $=96.8 / \mathrm{t} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(33). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 14 11.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line sowing by seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between lines. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.—798. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 16.3.1960 to 19.3.1960.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(i) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 14.11.1959; N and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied on 22.12.1959.

3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 27.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$.
(b) $31^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1959-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Malda and Kalyani. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 846 lb /ac. (ii) 232.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


## Crop:- Wheat.

Site :- State Agri. Farm; Cooch Behar.

Ref:- W.B. $57(5)$.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effects of $A / S$ and $A / C$ on Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat-Jute. (b) Jute. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy laom. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 14.11.1957. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) N.P. 710 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 hand weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 9.4.1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Fertilizers were broadcast on 17.12.1957.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. sq.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1957 - $20 n t d . \quad$ (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2016 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 204.2 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N is significant and 'control vs. others' is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=1669 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2252 | 2165 | 2208 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1908 | 2089 | 1998 |
| Mean | 2080 | 2127 | 2103 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | 64.61 |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |  | 91.31 |

## Crop:- Wheat.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref:- W.B. 58(10).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '.

Object :-To study the effects of $A / S$ and $A / C$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Wheat-Jute. (b) Jute. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 18.11.1958. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and laddering;. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. broadcast on 12.11 .1958 . (vi) N.P. 710 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $9.85^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22 and 23.4.1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 57,5) on page 175.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1689 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 113.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and S and 'control vs. others' are high'y significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb,/ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1291 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1596 | 1718 | 1657 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| Mean | 1815 | 2026 | 1920 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of table or control mean
$=35.7 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=50.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref :- W.B. 59(20).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object: :-To study the effects of A/S and A/C on Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jute-Wheat. (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Silty and fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar: (iii) 18.11.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Broadcast. (c) 1 md ./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.-710 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.4.1960 and 5.4.1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $57(5)$ on page 175.
Fertilizers applied on 15.11.1959 and top dressing done on 20.12.1959.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1810 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $95.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N and 'control $v s$. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1479 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{1}$ <br> $N_{2}$ | 1759 <br> 2001 | 2033 | 1769 |
| Mean | 1880 | 1906 | 1893. |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=30.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=42.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Fulia.

Ref:- W.B. $58(8)$.
bject :-To study the effects of $A / S$ and $A / C$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jute-Wheat. (b) Jute. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Fulia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcast. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P. -710 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 57(5) on page 175.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1887 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 218.6 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of S is significant and 'control ws. others' is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1463 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Meari |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1826 | 1997 | 1911 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1894 | 2257 | 2075 |
| Mean | 1860 | 2127 | 1993 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table or control mean

## Crop :- Wheat.

Site :- Govt. Farm, Hathwara.

> Ref :- W.B, $55(66)$.
> Type :- ‘M'.

Object :- To test the effect of using kharif G.M. as mulches on the yield of Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) $30 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Sandy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Ha-hwara. (iii) 4.11 .1955 . 'iv' (a) 2 ploughings by desi plough. (b) Sowing behind the plough. (c) 40 srs./ac. (d) Row to row 1'. (c) N.A. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $40 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Sucer. (vi) N.P.- 52 (imporved late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 3.59". (x) 8.3.1956 and 9.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 G.M. treatments: $\mathrm{G}_{0}=$ No G.M., $\mathrm{G}_{1}=$ Sowing sanai in 1st week of July and turning it in the middle of August, $\mathrm{G}_{2}=$ Same as $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ but cutting and spreading as mulch in the middle of August and $G_{3}=$ Same as in $G_{1}$ but turning it in the last week of September.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $55.5^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Attack of termites, the plot were dusted with geuserol 405 against termites. (iii) No. ol tillers and height at the time of harvest and yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) $1955-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $220 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 64.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb/ac.

| Treatment | $G_{0}$ | $G_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ | $G_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 228 | 197 | 228 | 228 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $28.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

```
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.
Ref:- W.B. 56(33).
Type :- \({ }^{6}\) M'.
```

Object :- To study the effect of $A / S$ and $C / N$ on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) and (b) N.A. (iii) Middle of November, 1956. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) $82 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between plant. (e) N.A. (v) 80 to $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) N.P.-710 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of March, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
'i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1956-1958. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Malda. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1054 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $192.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) ;'Control $v s$, others’ alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=716 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$    <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 1089 1050 1069 <br> 1231 1184 1207  <br> Mean 1160 1117 1138. |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =60.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =86.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.

Ref :- W.B. 57(44).
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 1st to 2nd week of November, 1957. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 66 to 77 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) $150 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) N.P. -710 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of March to lst week of Aprii, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 56(33) on page 178.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1279 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $107.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N and 'Control $v s$, others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=783 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1358 | 1270 | 1314 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1493 | 1492 | 1492 |
| Mean | 1425 | 1381 | 1403 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =33.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =48.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac.}\end{array}$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.

Ref :- W.B. 58(27).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on the yield of Wheat.

## a. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 10.11 .1958 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 66 to $77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between lines. (e) N.A. (v) 150 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.3.1959 to 23.3.1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt, no 56(33) on page 178.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$.
(b) $38^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.!
4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 56(33) on page 178.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1947 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $205.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of S'and 'control $v s$. others' are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1714 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2150 | 1898 | 2024 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2105 | 1869 | 1987 |
| Mean | 2127 | 1883 | 2005 |


| S.E. of any marginal m $\geq a n$ | $=65.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=91.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(13). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Kalyani. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object : - To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jute-Wheat. (b) Jute. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) and (b) N.A. (iii) 21 and 22.11.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcasting. (c) N.A. (d) and (e) Nil. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.-710 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 28.3.1960.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=40$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super and Mur. Pot. applied as basal dressing on 20 and 21.11.1959 and $A / S$ as top dressing on 30.12.1959.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. (NPK ${ }^{2}$ and NPK are confd.). (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan and Malda. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1704 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 86.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{K}$ and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$, are highly significant. and P effect is significant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}{ }^{\text {. }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | - $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1} \because$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1269 | 1766 | 1940 | 1658 | 1483 | 1738 | 1753 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1408 | 1988 | 1839 | 1745 | 1538 | 1812 | $1885{ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1444 | 1786 | 1890 | 1707 | 1616 | 1717 | 1788 |
| Mean | 1374 | 1847 | 1890 | 1704. | 1546 | 1756 | 1809 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1341 | 1556 | 1740 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1496 | 1835 | 1935 | , |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1283 | 2149. | 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =20.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ \text { S.E. of body of any table } & =35.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.
Ref:- W.B. 56(31).
Type:- \({ }^{6} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}\).
```

Object :-To study the effect of $A / S$ and $A / C$ at different levels on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jute-Wheat.' (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) Last week of November, 1956. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and puddlings. (b) Planting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 to 5 weedings. (ix) $6.29^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3rd week of March, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
.3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1956-$ N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 1830 lb ./ac. (ii) $457.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Control $=1388 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1826 | 1773 | 1799 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2123 | 2042 | 2082 |
| Mean | 1974 | 1907 | 1940 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=144.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=204.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 58(38).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different levels and sources of N on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and silty clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 3.11.1958. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Line sowing. (c) 66 to 77 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{*}$ between rows (e) N.A. (v) 100 to 150 mds. ac. of cowdung. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) N.A. (x) 27 to 30.3.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=A / C$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good.
(vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1586 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $324.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $487.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{0}$ | - | - | - | 1409 |
| $N_{1}$ | 1764 | 1338 | 1891 | 1664 |
| $N_{2}$ | 1846 | 1706 | 1503 | 1685 |
| Mean | 1805 | 1522 | 1697 | - |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=93.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. N marginal means | $=162.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{S}$ | $=281.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| + S means $a$ the same level of $N$ | $=253.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.
```

Ref :- W.B. 59(16).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M '}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of Nitrogenous fertilizers on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 20.11.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line sowing by seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $4.45^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9.41960 and 11.4.1960.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control
(1 5 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=C / N, S_{3}=A / C, S_{4}=A / S / N$ and $S_{5}=$ Urea.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac.

Manures top dressed on 22.12.1959.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1959-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1436.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $185.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N and 'control $v s$. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=699 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | $\dot{S}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1399 | 1558 | 1227 | - 1461 | 1307 | 1390 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1592 | 1712 | 1635 | 1649 | 1562 | 1630 |
| $\^{\text {Mean }}$ | 1495 | 1635 | 1431 | 1555 | 1434 | 1510 |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=65.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=41.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=92.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri, Farm, Malda.

Ref :- W.B. 59(17).
Type :- ‘M'.

Object :-To study the effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Wheat.
4. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Gangetic alluvium, netural clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 25.11.1959. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and 4 ladderings. (b) Line sowing by seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) Spacing between lines $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P. -799 , (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 4.5". (x) 6 to 8.4.1960.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P$ : $P_{n}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $K: K_{0}=0, K_{1}=20$ and $K_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 24.11.1959 and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ applied on 6.1.1960.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
-4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1959 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan and Kalyani. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1109 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 172.2 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effest of N alone is highly sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 981 | 1166 | 1220 | 1122 | 831 | 1258 | 1278 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1050 | 1239 | 1149 | 1146 | 883 | 1214 | 1342 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1058 | 1000 | 1121 | 1060 | 834 | 1089 | 1256 |
| Mean | 1030 | 1135 | 1163 | 1109 | 849 | 1187 | 1292 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ | 746 | 924 | 877 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1112 | 1198 | 1251 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1232 | 1283 | 1362 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=40.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=70.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref:- W.B. 57(48).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $C / N, A / S$ and $A / C$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 22.11.1957. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 66 to 77 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between lines. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.P.-710. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 8.4.1958 to 10.4.1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) + a control ( 3 plots).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=A / C$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a)
$25^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$
(b) $23^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1955-1958 (failed in 1956). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1674 lb ./ac. (ii) 188.3 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control vs. rest' alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1385 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1804 | 1727 | 1774 | 1768 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| Mean | 1729 | 1883 | 1995 | 1869 |
| 1766 | 1805 | 1884 | 1818 |  |

S.E. of N marginal mean or control mean $\quad=44.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of $S$ marginal mean
$=54.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of the table
$=76.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). \(\quad\) Ref :- W;B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).
Type :- ' \({ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}\) '.
```

Object:-Type A-To study the response of Wheat to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Laterite and red. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

0 = Control (no manure).
$n \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{p}=\hat{2} 0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{np}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$k \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$\mathrm{nk}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A}_{i} \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. .
$\mathrm{pk}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +20 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$n p k=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant posted in eact zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circie or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 triais in a year, 8 on kharif cereal. 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3. on a leguminous crop. Half the number cf trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects oi phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randombly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of grain.
(iv) (a) 1958-contd.
(b) No. (c) N.A.
(v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Av. response of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} 247$. | 91 | 16 | 50.2 | 0 | -8 | 25 | 16 | 39.5 |  |

$$
\text { Control yield }=848 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no. of trials }=16 .
$$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT).
Type :- ‘ $M$ '.
Object :-Type A-To study the response of Wheat to levels of N, P and K applied individually and in combinations.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1958. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) above conducted at Birhum
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.v. response of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .107$ | 74 | 49 | 55.1 | 41 | 16 | 74 | -33 | 42.8 |  |

$$
\text { No. of trials }=5 .
$$

```
Grop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-Type A-To study the response of Waeat to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) As per treatments. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) on page 185 condusted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | nek | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 280 | 49 | 33 | 14.0 | 0 | 16 | 41 | 25 | 16.5 |
| Control yield $=848 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. and no. of trials $=6$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unirrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effect n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 239 | 123 | -8 | 33.7 | 16 | $-74$ | 82 | -16 | 25.5 |
| Control yield $=1036 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=8$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Nadia` (c.f.). Type :- M'.
```

Object :-Type $A$-To study the response of Wheat to level; of $N, P$ and $K$ app'ied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) on page 185 conducted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 49 | 16 | 16 | 5.8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 6.6 |  |

$$
\text { Control yield }=379 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no. of trials }=12
$$

```
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-Type A-To study the response of of Wheat to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applie 1 individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Allavial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Novenber, 1955. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) Apri!, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) on page 185 conducted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of grain in lb./ac. 255 | 49 | 33 | 14.8 | 0 | 0 | 25 | -8 | 11.5 |

Control yield $=642 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ ard no. of trials $=7$.
Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizes at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Laterite and red. (iii): Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) Irrigated.
(viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control (no manure).
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zone and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the some zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a jear, 8 cn kharif cereal, 8 on rebi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other balf of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of ore experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. 823 | 1004 | 1078 | 987 | 1127 | 938 | 1020 |  |

$$
\text { G.M. }=997 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ; \text { S.E. } / \text { mean }=19.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \text {, and no. of trials }=13 .
$$

## Grop :- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:- Type B-To investigate the relative ffficien of different nitrcgencus fertilizers at different doses.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial.
(iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Novemter. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

0 = Control (no manure).
$\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathrm{o}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
$n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as C/A/N.
3. DESIGN and 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. S9(SFT) on page 187 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. 1257 | 1358 | 1580 | 1415 | 1514 | 1399 | 1481 |  |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative eff siency of different nitrogenous iertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) As per treatments. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS:

0 =Control (no manure).
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN and 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) on page 187 conducted at Birbhus.
5. RESULTS :

|  | Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. 897 | 1275 | 1531 | 1045 | 1349 | 955 | $11!9$ |  |

G.M. $=1167 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E./mean $=29.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=$.

Unirrigated

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. 1051 | 1168 | 1300 | 1136 | 1152 | 1119 | 1201 |  |

G.M. $=1162 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E. $/$ mean $=47.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=T_{\text {. }}$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Centre :- Nadia (c.f.).
Object:- Type B-To invetigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different dcses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. . (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. $i$ (vi) November, 1959 . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
-2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:
Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) on page 187 conducted at Birbhum.
2. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 387 | 436 | 494 | 420 | 461 | 461 | 477 |

Crop :- Wheat (Rábi).
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogencus fertilizers at different doses.

1. EASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) Nil. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) Irrigated. (viii), and (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59. SFT) on page 187 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Trreatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.v. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 675 | 839 | 930 | 815 | 913 | 815 | 922 |


| Crop :- Wheat $(R a b i)$. | Ref :- W.B. $56(47)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm. Kalimpong. | Type :-' $\mathbf{C V}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- To find out the best date of sowing cf different varieties of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam: (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Line sowing. (c) 20 srs./ac. (d) $1^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 8.3.1957 to 8.5.1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ N.P. -781 and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ N.P. -809 .
(2) 10 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=20.9 .1956, D_{2}=5.10 .1956, D_{3}=20.10 .1956, D_{4}=4.11 .1956, D_{5}=19.11 .1956$,

$$
D_{6}=3.12 .1956, D_{7}=18.12 .1956, D_{8}=2.1 .1957, D_{9}=17.1 .1957 \text { and } D_{10}=4.2 .1957
$$

3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 20.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2.
(iv) (a) $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (b) $4^{\prime} \times 5 \frac{3}{3}^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) to (vi) N.A. (vii) Yield in the treatments $\mathrm{D}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{10}$ with $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ were very low, hence rejected for analysis.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2488 lb ./ac. (ii) 746.2 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of D alone is significant. (iv) Av, yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 3655 | 3115 | 2509 | $19+1$ | 3276 | 2926 | 2538 | 2462 | 2803 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 3324 | 3693 | 1259 | 2348 | 2386 | 2613 | 748 | 1013 | 2173 |
| Mean | 3489 | 3404 | 1884 | 2144 | 2831 | 2769 | 1643 | 1737 | 2488 |
|  |  | S.E. of V marginal mean S.E. of D marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =186.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =373.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =527.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Res. Instt., Tollygunj.

Ref :- W.B. 54(65).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To find out the best method of sowing for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Wheat. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tollygunj. (iii) 26.11.1954. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) to (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Thinning and weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 15 to 24.3.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties of wheat: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gangajali and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ N.P. -499 .
(2) 2 methods of sowing: $M_{1}=$ Broadcasting at $1 \mathrm{mj} / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Line sowing by seed drill at 20 srs./ac. with $1^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) $70^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}$. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1957. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 295.0 lb ./ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1396 | 1467 | 1431 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1302 | 1632 | 1467 |
| Mean | 1349 | 1549 | 1449 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=104.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=147.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 55(87).
Site :- State Agri. Res. Iustt., Tollyyenj.
Type:- 'CV'.
Object :-To find out the best method of sowing for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soif annalysis, Tollygunj. (iii) 10.11.1955. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) to (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (iii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 6 to 20.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. $54(65)$ on page 190.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1c53-1957. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 795 lb ./ac. (ii) 155.1 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of V atd interaction $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{V}$ are significant. (iv) Av , yield of grain in lo /ac.

|  | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 820 | 578 | 699 |
| $V_{2}$ | 789 | 995 | 892 |
| Mean | 804 | 786 | 795 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=548 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=77.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 55(58).
Site :- State Agri. Res. Instt., Tollygunj.
Type in "CV'.

Object :-To find out the best date of sowing for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yollygunj. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Live sowing. (c) 20 srs./ac. (d) $1^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As par treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 6.3.1956 to 20.4.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties of wheat : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gangajali and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{W} \sim 245$.
(2) II dates of sowing : $D_{1}=8.10 .1955, D_{2}=18.10 .1955, D_{3}=28.10 .1955, D_{4}=8.11 .1955, D_{6}=18.11 .1955$, $D_{6}=28.11 .1955, \quad D_{7}=7.12 .1955, \quad D_{8}=17.12 .1955, \quad D_{9}=27.12 .1955, \quad D_{10}=$ 6.10.1956 and $D_{11}=16.1 .1956$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22. (b) $110^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Effected by helminthosporium and stem borer. Control measure-N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 195s-N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $578 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $318.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of V and D arefsignificant. (iv) Av . yield of grain in lb./ac.


## Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). <br> Ref :- W.B. 56(46). <br> Site :- State Agri. Res. Instt., Tollygunj. <br> Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To find out the best date of sowing of different varieties of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ciay loan. (b) Rafer ssil analysis, Tollygaij. (iii) A; per treatmants. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Line sowing by drill. (c) 23 srs./ac. (d) $1^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (ivi) As per treatments. (vii) Uaitrigated. (viii) Wasding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.3.1957 to 16.4.1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combination of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties of wheat : $V_{1}=$ Gangajali and $V_{2}=$ N.P. -799 .
(2) 6 dates of sowing: $D_{1}=21.11 .1956, D_{2}=1.12 .19 j 6, D_{3}=11.12 .1955, D_{4}=21.12 .1936, D_{5}=31.12 .1956$ and $D_{6}=10.1$.1957.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $10^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $8^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A.
(b) No.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $670 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $245.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of V and D are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | $D_{4}$ | $D_{5}$ | $D_{6}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 784 | 567 | 455 | 238 | 161 | 137 | 390 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 1288 | 1309 | 1155 | 875 | 676 | 392 | 949 |
| Mean | 1036 | 938 | 805 | 556 | 418 | 264 | 670 |


| S.E. of $V$ marginal mean | $=70.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| S.E. of $D$ marginal mean | $=122.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=173.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Barley (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :- W.B. 57(38).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of boron over a basal dressing of $N$ and $P$ on the yield of Barley.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 18.12.1957. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings.
(b) Line sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ and 25 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (vi) Local.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning and weeding. (ix) 4.45". (x) 9.4.1958.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 levels of boron : $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=5, B_{2}=10, B_{3}=20$ and $B_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Boron applied on 17.1.1958.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. '(b) $20^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1445 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $334.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1478 | 1330 | 1264 | 1531 | 1622 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $167.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri, Farm, Berhampore. <br> Ref:- W.B. 58(3). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-To find out the optimum phosphate requirement for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (ii) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=40, P_{2}=80, P_{3}=120$ and $P_{4}=160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 7.80 tons./ac. (ii) 0.62 tons./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yieid of tuber in tons./ac.

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 7.05 | 7.46 | 7.57 | 7.79 | 9.11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.31 tons./ac. |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 59(II).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{N}$ '.
Object:-To find out the optimum phosphate requirement for Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) to (c) N.A. (v) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac, of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 58(3) on page 193.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 11.39 tons./ac. (ii) 1.19 tons./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons./ac.

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 11.49 | 11.52 | 11.00 | 11.68 | 11.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.60 tons./ac. |  |  |

Crop :- Potato.<br>Ref :- W.B. 56(18).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.<br>Type :- ‘ $\mathbf{M}$ ’.

Object :- To find out the most suitable dose of fertilizers for increasing the yield of Potato in the hills.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cabbage. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowjung $+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 8.1.1956. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) 54.73". (y) 24.7.1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

4 manurial treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Control, $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=$ 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+$ $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, Super and Mur. Pot. respectively.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $27.75^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(v) $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) About $60 \%$ plants affected with blight disease. Five sprayings were given with 4 lb . Perenox and 2 lb . of $50 \%$ water dispensible DDT per 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of tuber. iv)
(a) 1955-contd.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1.20 tons/ac. (ii) 0.37 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tułer in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 0.43 | 1.26 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 1.17 |
|  | S.E.jmean | $=$ | 0.15 tons/ac. |  |  |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref:- W.B. 57(1).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$.

Object :- To find out the effect of application of lime on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Potato. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 30.11.1957. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v).N.A. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $98.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 7.10.1958.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 levels of lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=5, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=10, \mathrm{~L}_{3}=15$ and $\mathrm{L}_{4}=20 \mathrm{mds}$./ac.
Lime applied as brodcast on 30.11.1957.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D.
(ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i, Moderate. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil:
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.10 tons/ac. (ii) 0.20 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment. | $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1.69 | 2.45 | 2.05 | 2.02 | 2.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.10 tons/ac. |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref:- W.B. $58(4)$.
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- To find out the optimum'requirement of potash level for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N A. (b) Potato. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjāng. (iii) 3.1.1958. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$, (e) N.A. (v) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ví) Up-to-date. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $84.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4.9.1958.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=40, \mathrm{~K}_{3}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4
4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Medium to fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1.92 tons/ac. (ii) 0.22 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1.92 | 1.94 | 1.87 | 1.81 | 2.08 |
|  | S.E. | $=$ | ons/a |  |  |

Crop:- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref :- W.B. 59(9).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
Object :- To find out the optimum requirement of potash for the Potato crop in hills.

## 1. BASAL CODITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Borwn forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v; $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) Ackersegen (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $8310^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=\mathbf{0}, \mathrm{K}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{2}}=40, \mathrm{~K}_{3}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.08 tons/ac. (ii) 1.12 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2.60 | 2.45 | 2.24 | 1.35 | 1.76 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.65 tons/ac. |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 59(8). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang. | Type :- 'M'. |

Orject:-To find our the possibilities of G.M. on the potato crop in the hills with a view to increase the production.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Hill and forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 plonghings and ladderings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $83.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

7 manurial treatments : $M_{0}=$ Control ( 30 mds /ac. of mustard oil cake), $M_{1}=G . M$. (normal', $M_{2}=G . M$. with 4 weeks old plants, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. with 6 weeks old plants, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{2}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{5}=\mathrm{M}_{3}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{6}=100 \mathrm{mds}$./ac. of F.Y.M. +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+80$ $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
Lupin was used as G.M. crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 100$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yjeld of tuber. (iv) (a) 1959-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 0.59 tons/ac. (ii) 0.50 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.55 |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- Seed Multiplication Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 54(46).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 tractor ploughings followed by harrowing and 1 country ploughing followed by laddering. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Irrigated. (vii) 2 earthings followed by top dressing. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3}$ balanced partial confd. (ii) $\{$ (a) 4 plots/block and 2 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $39^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A: (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.96 tons/ac. (ii) 0.09 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $N, K$ and interactions $N \times K$ and $P \times K$ are highly significant. Interaction $N \times P \times K$ is significant. (iv) Av, yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 3.50 | 3.56 | 3.53 | 3.38 | 3.68 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4.39 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.13 | 4.64 |
| Mean | 3.94 | 3.97 | 3.96 | 3.75 | 4.16 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 3.66 | 3.85 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.22 | 4.10 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.02$ tons. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=0.03$ tons. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- Seed Multiplication Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 56(3).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and $K$ on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jute. (c) 15 mds./ac. of T.C. (ii) (a) Sandy loám. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 16 and 17.11.1956. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and laddering. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R.K.M. (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) 8 to 10,3.1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(46) above.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$.
(b) $37^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attacked with late blight. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) $1954-1956$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 4.92 tons/ac. (ii) 0.61 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $N$ and $K$ are bighly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 4.17 | 4.44 | 4.30 | 3.92 | 4.69 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 5.36 | 5.70 | 5.53 | 5.18 | 5.88 |
| Mean | 4.76 | 5.07 | 4.92 | 4.55 | 5.28 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 4.24 | 4.86 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 5.29 | 5.28 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.15$ tons./ae. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=0.22$ tons./ac. |

Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 57(43).
Type :- 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K alone and in combination on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 2nd week of October, 1957. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) 100 mds /ac. of cowdung. (vi) Red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of March, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=40, N_{2}=80$ and $N_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{1}=40, \mathrm{P}_{2}=80$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. Confounding NPK effect. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-1960. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.14 tons/ac. (ii) 0.71 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of $P$ alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2.85 | 2.78 | 3.44 | 3.02 | 3.01 | 3.20 | 2.86 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3.16 | 3.33 | 2.95 | 3.15 | 2.98 | 2.93 | 3.54 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2.73 | 2.85 | 4.14 | 3.24 | 3.05 | 3.03 | 3.64 |
| Mean | 2.91 | 2.99 | 3.51 | 3.14 | 3.01 | 3.05 | 3.35 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 3.09 | 2.86 | 3.08 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.79 | 2.87 | 3.48 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 2.86 | 3.23 | 3.96 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.17$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S E. of body of table | $:=0.29$ tons/ac. |

Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 58(42).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 29 and 30.11.1958. (iv) (a) 8 to 10 ploughings and 2 spacings. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to 17 mds ./ac. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) 100 to 120 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrizated. (viii) 2 weedings and earthing. (ix) N.A. (x) 11 to 13.3.1959.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 57(43) on page 198.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-1960
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Kalyani, Malda and Fulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2.86 tons/ac. (ii) 1.13 tons/ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av . yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2.54 | 2.64 | 2.94 | 2.71 | 2.75 | 2.46 | 3.02 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2.82 | 3.21 | 2.93 | 2.99 | 2.91 | 2.69 | 3.36 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2.72 | 2.89 . | 3.07 | 2.89 | 2.49 | 2.78 | 3.39 |
| Mean | 2.69 | 2.91 | 2.98 | 2.86 | 2.68 | 2.64 | 3.26 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2.56 | 3.03 | 2.46 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.55 | 2.63 | 2.75 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 2.97 | 3.07 | 3.74 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=0.27$ tons/ac.
S.E. of body of table . $=0.46$ tons/ac.

## Grop:- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(34). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 23 and 24.11.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) 15 to 18 mds ./ac. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R.K.M. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings. (ix) $0.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5 to 14.3.1960.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $57(43)$ on page 198.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil, (iii) Yield of tubers. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kalyani. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 1.88 tons/ac. (ii) 0.27 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant. Main effect of $P$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | Mean | 1 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1.78 | 1.69 | 1.40 | 1.62 | 1 | 1.51 | 1.72 | 1.64 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1.64 | 1.86 | 2.02 | 1.84 | , | 1.72 | 2.06 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2.04 | 2.54 | 1.93 | 2.17 |  | 2.25 | 2.16 | 2.10 |
| Mean | 1.82 | 2.03 | 1.78 | 1.88 | I | 1.83 | 1.98 | 1.82 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1.72 | 1.96 | 1.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 1.90 | 2.08 | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| K3 | 1.85 | 2.04 | 1.58 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =0.06 \text { tons/ac. } \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =0.11 \text { tons/ac. }
\end{array}
$$

```
Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Fulia.
```

Ref:- W.B. 57(26).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$,

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and $K$ alone and in combination on the yield of Potato

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Fulia. (iii) 1 st week of November, 1957. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Sprouts placed in furrows $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times y^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Royal kidney (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 eatthings and 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 3rd week of March, 1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=80$ and $N_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ partially confd.
(ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication.
(b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) 1/100 ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 6.15 tons/ac. (ii) 1.12 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $N$ and $P$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons!ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2.99 | . 4.78 | 6.43 | 4.73 | 4.59 | 4.80 | 4.81 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 5.14 | 7.17 | 7.92 | 6.74 | 5.24 | 7.57 | 7.42 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 5.48 | 7.85 | 7.61 | 6.98 | 6.94 | 6.91 | 7.09 |
| Mean | 4.54 | 6.60 | 7.32 | 6.15 | 5.59 | 6.43 | 6.44 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 3.92 | 6.14 | 6.70 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 5.13 | 7.18 | 6.98 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 4.57 | 6.48 | 8.28 |  |  |  |  |

[^6]
## Crop :- Potato (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Fulia. <br> Ref:- W.B. 58;9). <br> Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ alone and in combination on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Fulia. (iii) Last week. of November, 1958. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 4 harrowings accompanied by laddering. (b) Planted in rows.
(c) $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) $1(0 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung about a month before planting. (vi) Royal kidney (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of: March, $19: 9$.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. $57(26)$ on page 200.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber.
(iv) (a) 1957-contd.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nils.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 5.90 tons/ac. (ii) 0.94 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is highly significant and interaction $N \times K$ is signjficant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=0.22$ tons/ac.
S.E. of body of any table
$=0.38$ tons/ac.
Crop:- Potato.
Ref:- W.B. 53(4).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Fulia.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Ni. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Fulia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling Red round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 57(26) on page 200.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil. -
5. RESULTS :
(i) 4.30 tons'ac. (ii) 0.45 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of $N$ and interaction $N \times P \times K$ are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in toas/az.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 3.02 | 3.01 | 4.29 | 3.44 | 3.09 | 3.27 | 3.97 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4.89 | 5.39 | 5.13 | 5.14 | 4.69 | 5.99 | 4.73 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 4.63 | 471 | 3.60 | 4.31 | 4.22 | 4.19 | 4.53 |
| Mean | 4.18 | 437 | 4.34 | 4.30 | 4.00 | 448 | 4.41 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 3.99 | 3.89 | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.70 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 4.22 | 4.80 | 4.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  |  | $=0.11$ tons/ac. |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. $56(34)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani. | Type :- $\mathbf{J M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effest of differeat sources of N on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 1st week of October, 1956. (iv; (a) 6 to 8 ploughings. (b) Planting. (c) $15 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e. 1 . (v) 100 to 120 mds./ac. of cowdung. , vi) R. -29 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and interculture. (ix) N.A. ( x ) Last week of Feb., 1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (I) and :2 + control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A_{1}^{\prime} S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b; N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. (i) N 1. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) $1956-$ N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Malda. (d) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.75 tons/ac. (ii) 0.41 tons/ac. (iii) Only 'control is. others' is highly significant. (iv) Av' yield of tuber in tons/ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1.97 \text { tons/ac. }
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2.93 | 2.80 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 3.11 | 2.95 |
| Mean | 3.02 | 2.88 |
| 286 |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=0.13$ tons/ac.
S.E. of body of table or control mean
$=0.18$ tons/ac.

## Crop:- Potato (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani. <br> Ref: : W.B. $\mathbf{5 7 ( 5 2 ) .}$ <br> Type :- ${ }^{(M}$.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on the yield of Potato.

## I. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 1st week of November, 1957. (iv) (a) 7 to 8 ploughings and spadings. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of each of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 3 thinnings and 3 earthings: (ix) N.A. (x) Last weẹk of February, 1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=40, N_{2}=80$ and $N_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.,
(2) 3 levels of $P$ as Super : $P_{1}=40, P_{2}=80$ and $P_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. $\mathrm{K}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.,
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Malda, Fulia and Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 4.87 tons/ac. (ii) 0.48 tons./ac. (iii) None of the effects iş significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=0.16$ tons/ac.
S.E. of body of any table
$=0.28$ tons/ac.

```
Grop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.
Ref :- W.B. 58(48;.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object:- To study the effect of $N, P$ and $K$ alone and in combination on the yield of Putato.

1. BASAL CONDITIOVS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 21 and 22.11.1958. (iv) (a) 6 to 7 ploughings. (b) Planting. (c) $15 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$ (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) Royal kidney (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 earchings. (ix) N.A. (x) 5 and 63.19:9.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Same as in expt. no. 57(52) on page 203.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plotshlozk and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yteld of taber. (iv) (a) 1957-1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Ma!da Fulia and Burdwan. (b) N.A, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 6.47 tons/ac. (ii) 0.73 tons/ac. (iii) Interactions NP ${ }^{2} K$ and $N P K^{2}$ are highy significant. Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons'ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 6.26 | 5.63 | 7.04 | 6.31 | 5.83 | 6.72 | 6.39 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 6.84 | 6.67 | 6.52 | 6.68 | 6.89 | 6.16 | 698 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 5.92 | 6.34 | 6.99 | 6.42 | 6.34 | 6.28 | 6.63 |
| Mean | 6.34 | 621 | 6.85 | 6.47 | 6.35 | 6.39 | 6.67 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 5.91 | 5.90 | 7.25 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 6.70 | 6.18 | 6.28 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 6.41 | 6.56 | 7.03 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.17$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=0.30$ tons/ac. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, K rishnagar.

Ref:- W.B. 58(2).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To find out whether G.M. is better than the normal cultivators practices for the cultivation of Potato and also to find out the optimun time for planting uader G.M. crop.
2. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Alluvial. (h) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) and (c; N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthinss and 4 weeding. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

7 manurial treatments : $M_{0}=$ Control ( $30 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of mustard oil cake), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. (normal), $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. with 4 weeks old, $M_{3}=$ G.M. with 6 weeks old, $M_{4}=G$. M. with 4 weeks old +4 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{tM} \mathrm{M}_{5}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. w!h 6 weeks old0 +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{6}=100 \mathrm{mds}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung +80 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
Dhaincha was used as G.M. crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 100$ ac.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
'
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Malda. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 3.20 tons/ac. (ii) 0.60 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.


## Crop :- Potato. $\quad$ Ref :- W.B. 59(3). <br> Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To find out whether G.M. is better than the normal cultivation practices for cultivation of Potato and to find out the optimum time for ploughing under G.M. crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil.
(b) Dhaincha
(c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) N.A.
(iv) (a) 4 ploughimgs and ladderings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling red round. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 58(2) on page 204.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Malda. (b) Nil.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 6.09 tons/ac. (ii) 0.90 tons/ac. (iii) Treatn:cnt differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 7.13 | 5.29 | 4.19 | 5.55 | 7.13 | 7.24 | 6.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Grop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri Farm, Malda.

Ref: - W.B. 55(8).
Type :- ‘M'.
Object:-To find out the most suitable manurial dose for Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) $30 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 11 and 12.11 .1955 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Up-to-date (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. ( x ) 11 and 12.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

14 manurial treatments : $M_{0}=$ Control, $M_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=10 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+40 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{5}=\mathrm{M}_{2}+50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{6}=\mathrm{M}_{2}+$ 200 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{7}=\mathrm{M}_{3}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{8}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~J}_{5}+$ $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. oi $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{9}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{10}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+$ $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{11}=\mathrm{M}_{5}+50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{12}=\mathrm{M}_{8}$ $+50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{13}=\mathrm{M}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$\therefore, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were applied in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, Supar and Mur. Pot. respectively.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) The crop was very slightly infected with lacterial wilt. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1955-1957.
(b) Yes. (c) N.l. (v; to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 7.61 tons./dc. (ii, 1.40 tons./az. (iii) Treatm2nt differences are highly signifizant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 4.03 | 7.93 | 7.23 | 7.63 | 6.45 | 8.47 | 6.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ |
| Av. yield | 6.29 | 8.63 | 8.81 | 8.60 | 7.41 | 9.47 | 9.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S E.,'mean | $=0.70$ toas/ac. |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :- W.B. 56(16).
Type :- $\mathbf{6 M}^{\mathbf{M}}$.
Object :-To find out the suitable dose of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jute. (c) 2 tons./ac. of cowdung +20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 1.12 .1956 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Planted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) Up-to-date (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 2 weedings. (ix) $4.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 183.1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 55 8) above.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(i) (a) 14.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $3 J^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $27^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{8}^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Ahout $10 \%$ plants affected by blight. Three sprayings with 4 lb Perenox per 100 gallons of water along with 2 lbs of $50 \%$ water dispensible DDT to pests and insects. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 4.55 tons/ac. (ii) 0.96 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1.78 | 4.06 | 3.51 | 4.86 | 4.02 | 4.89 | 3.29 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$. | $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ |
| Av. yield | 4.87 | 6.21 | 4.35 | 5.86 | 5.27 | 5.34 | 5.40 |
|  | S.E./mean ${ }^{+}=0.48$ tons/ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

## Ref :- W.B. 57(27). <br> Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To find out the most suitable dose of $N, F$ and $K$ for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) Last week of October to middle of November, 1957. (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to 18 mds./ac. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) 150 mds ./ac. of cowdung. (vii) Royal kidney (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) $0.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of February to micdle of March, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. $55(8)$ on page 206.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 14 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) $3 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Crop effected due to drought. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 4.27 tons./ac. (ii) 0.69 tons./ac. (iii) Trearment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons /ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 2.13 | 3.74 | 3.51 | 4.17 | 3.61 | 4.17 | 3.64 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ |
| Av. yield | 4.83 | 4.69 | 5.46 | 5.75 | 4.67 | 4.47 | 4.93 |
| S.E./mean $=0.40$ tons./ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop:- Potato (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :- W.B. 56(32).

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loam and silty clay locm. "(b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) Last week of October to 1st week of November, 1956. (iv) (a) 6 to 7 plc ughings and spading. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to 17 mós /ac. '(d) $2^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) R-9 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $6.94^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18 to 21.3.1957.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=4 / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $38^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (c) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kalyani. (b) N.A. (vi) an d (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

ii) 3.04 tons/ac. (ii) 0.71 tons/aie. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac. Control $=3.14$ tons/ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3.09 | 3.11 | 3.10 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2.95 | 2.90 | 2.92 |
| Mean | 3.02 | 3 CO | 3.01 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | $=0.2$ |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |  | $=0.3$ |

## Crop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref:- W.B. $\mathbf{5 8 ( 1 ) .}$
Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object:-To find out whether G.M. is better than the normal cuitivator's practices for the cultivation of Potato and also to find out the optinun tim $\geqslant$ for ploughing under G. M. crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c; N.l. (ii) (a) Gaga riveriȧ. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a' 4 ploaghings and ladderings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) N.A. (v) N.l. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $84.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

7 manurial treatments: $\quad M_{0}=$ Control ( $30 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of mustard oil cake), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ G.M. (normat), $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ G.M. with 4 weeks old, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ G.M. with 6 weeks old, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ G.M. with 4 weeks old + $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}+8 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{ib} . j \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}_{5}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$. with 6 weeds olda+ $4 \mathrm{Jlb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}+8 \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of K and $\mathrm{M}_{8}=100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung $+80 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+8 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{icc}$. of $\mathrm{P}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of K .
Dhaincha was used as the G.M. crup.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1: 100 \mathrm{ac}$. (v, N.A. (v) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nıl. (iii) Yıeld of tavar. (iv) (a; 1953-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Krishnagar. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.94 tons;ac. (i) 0.93 toas as. (iii) Treatment differeaces are significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

> Ref :- W.B. $59(18)$.
> Type :- ‘M'.

Object:-To find out whether G.M. is better than the normal cultivation practices for cultivation of Potato and to find out the optimum time for ploughing under G.M. crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Dhaincha. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) Darjeeling red round. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $4.45^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. $58(1)$ on page 208.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Krishnagar. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 5.85 tons./ac. (ii) 1.37 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 6.47 | 4.45 | 4.04 | 6.03 | 5.84 | 7.09 | 7.02 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.68 tons/ac. |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara, Purulia.

Ref :- W.B. 55(65).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To test the effect of $K$ on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane—Paddy—Potato. (b) Paddy. (c) $89 \frac{7}{8} \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+108 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super. (ii) (a) Sandy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Purulia. (iii) 21.11.1955. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings by desi plough. (b) N.A. (c) $6 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling improved (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing and earthing. (ix) 3.59". (x) 2.3.1956.
2. TRIEATMENTS :

5 manurial treatments: $M_{0}=$ Control, $M_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$ as $A / S, M_{2}=M_{1}+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $52^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of aphids; spraying with:perenox, soda and for the late blight, roseign. (iii) Yield of tuber, average height, no. of affected plants, no. of dead plants, no. of total plants and no. of tubers above $1^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.49 tons/ac. (ii) 0.35 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1.11 | 2.62 | 2.67 | 2.77 | 3.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | 0.17 tons/ac. |  |  |

Crop:- Potat .
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centra:- Birbhim (c.f.).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (i) Laterite and red. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
$0=$ Control (no manure).
$\mathrm{n}=50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
p $=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{np}=50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{k}=50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur . Pot.
$n \mathrm{k}=50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+50 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
pk $=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur . Pot.
$\mathrm{npk}=50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has teen divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the some zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed cropand 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type C trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1958 —cond. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | $\mathrm{S.E}$. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. 0.37 | 0.06 | -0.61 | 0.006 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.004 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control yield | $=$ | 3.52 tons/ac. and no. of trials | $=6$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Bardwan (c.f). | Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) above conducted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | $\mathbf{k}$ | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.116 | -0.20 | -0.04 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.162 |

No. of trials $=5$.
Crop :- Potato. $\quad$ Ref :- W.B. 59(SF T).
Centre :- Howrah (c.f.). $\quad$ Type :- 'M'

Object :- Type A-To stucy the response of Potato to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (x) NA.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. $59(\mathrm{SFT})$ on page 210 conducted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. |  | 1.40 | 0.15 | 0.002 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.003 |
|  | Control yield $=1.56$ tons/ac. and no. of trial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A
(ii) Red and saline. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $59(\mathrm{SFT})$ on page 210 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. | 0.74 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.004 | 0.12 | 008 | 0.03 | -0.06 | 0.003 |


| Crop:- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre:- Murshidabad (c f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A., (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) on page 210 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Effect | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. 0.51 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.006 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.004 |

$$
\text { Control yield }=2.89 \text { tons/ac. and no. of trials }=7
$$

Crop :- Potato.
Centre :- 24-Parganas.

Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Otject :- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) (a) Alluvial.
(iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt, no. 59(SFT) on page 210 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. 1.11 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.195 | 0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | 0.21 | 0.120 |  |

Number of trials $=7$.

## Crop :- Potato.

Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Potato to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) on page 210 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | $\mathbf{n}$ | p | $\mathbf{k}$ | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of potato in tons/ac. 0.89 | -0.04 | 0.37 | 0.007 | -0.04 | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.16 | 0.008 |  |

$$
\text { Control yield }=2.47 \text { tons/ac. and no. of trials }=4
$$

Crop :- Potato.
Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{M}$.
Object :-Type B-To investigate the relative efficiencies of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Laterite. (iii) to (x; N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

0 =Control (no manure).
$n_{1}=50 \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$n_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}=50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as C/A/N.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogenous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of potato in tons/ac. | 3.02 | 3.90 | 3.96 | 4.74 | 4.26 | 3.60 | 3.68 |

G.M. $=3.88$ tons/ac ; S.E. $/$ mean $=0.011$ tons/ac. and no. of trials $=6$.

| Crop :- Potato. | Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Howrah (c.f.). | Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertili zers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Alluvial.
(iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59, SFT) type B on page 212 conducted at Birbhum.

## 5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of potato in tons/ac. | 212 | 2.74 | 2.92 | 2.31 | 2.65 | 2.85 | 2.66 |

G.M. $=2.61$ tons/ac. ; S.E. $/$ mean $=0.072$ tons/ac. and no. of trials $=14$.

```
Crop:- Potato.
Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).
Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}^{\prime}\).
```

Object :-Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Red. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 212 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of potato in tons/ac. | 3.28 | 4.21 | 4.44 | 4.10 | 4.36 | 4.04 | 4.32 |
|  | G.M. $=4.11$ tons/ac. $;$ S.E./mean $=0.143$ | tons/ac. and no. of trials $=9$. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato. <br> Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ‘M'.
Object :-Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Allav a
(iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) type B on page 212 conducted at Birbhum.
RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of potato in toas,'ac. | 2.82 | 2.64 | 3.12 | 2.56 | 2.61 | 2.45 | 2.35 |
|  | G.M. $=2.65$ tons/ac. ; S.E./mean | $=0.091$ | tons/ac. and no. of trials $=5$. |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Potato. } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). } \\
\text { Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.). } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-Type B-To investigate the relative effisiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 212 conducted in Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of potato in tons/ac. | 2.70 | 3.44 | 3.96 | 3.42 | 3.48 | 3.42 | 3.57 |

$$
\text { G.M. }=3.43 \text { tons/ac } ; \text { S.E./mean }=0.170 \text { tons/ac. and no. of trials }=5 .
$$

## Crop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 56(11).
Type:- ' $C$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of different sizes on the yield of tuber.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) G.M. (Sunnhemp). (c) 30 lb /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 30.10 .1956 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing with , tractor followed by 4 times harrowing and ladderirg. (b) Planted in rows. (c) $18 \mathrm{mds} . \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung applied a month before planting. 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. $\frac{1}{2}$ of the fertilizers are applied in trenches at the time of planting and other $\frac{1}{8}$ after about a month at the time of 1 st earthing. (vi) Rungbull-9. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 1 weeding. (ix) 3.42". (x) 24.2.1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 sizes of tuber for planting: $S_{1}=$ Whole tuber, $S_{2}=$ Whole tuber cut into 2 pieces and $S_{3}=$ Whole tuber cut into 4 pieces.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) (a) 3 sprayings with 4 lbs . of perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water dispensible DDT per 100 gallons of water were given to control blight disease. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-1957. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 10.85 tons/ac. (ii) 1.08 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 11.40 | 10.94 | 10.22 |
|  |  |  | $\vdots$ |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.54 tons/ac. |

> Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Ref :- W.B. 57(28).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different sizes on the yield of tuber.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Last week of October, 1957. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Planting. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Rungbull-9 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of February, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 56(11) on page 214.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Slight drought. . (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 5.74 tons/ac. (ii) 0.60 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 6.06 | 5.86 | 5.30 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | 0.30 tons'ac. |  |

Crop:- Potato.<br>Ref :- W.B. 56(14).'<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.<br>Type :- ' $C$ '.

Object :-To find out the most suitable method of planting Potato in hilly tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Potato-Potato. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung $+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 7.1.1956. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) to (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung applied a month before planting. 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Half of the fertilizer applied in trenches at the time of planting and other half 2 months later at the time of first earthing. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $54.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.7.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

4 methods of planting : $M_{1}=$ Ridge and furrow method, $M_{2}=2$ rows in 1 ridge, $M_{3}=$ Dibbled in the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ Flat bed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6.
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) About $50 \%$ of plants were affected by blight disease, 5 sprayings were given with 4 lbs . rerenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water dispensible DDT per 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.67 tons/ac. (ii) 0.29 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 3.05 | 2.92 | 2.76 | 1.95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | 0.12 tons/ac. |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 57(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of planting $P$ otato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Potato. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 11.12.1957. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $98.40^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 3.11 .1958 .
2. TREATMENTS :

4 methods of planting: $M_{1}=$ Ridge and furrows method (single row), $M_{2}=$ Planting in flat bed (single row), $M_{3}=2$ rows in 1 ridge and $M_{4}=3$ rows in 1 ridge ( $3^{*}$ to $4^{*}$ high).
3. DESIGN:
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 4
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5.
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.30 tons/ac. (ii) 0.27 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 3.64 | 2.42 | 3.55 | 3.59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | 0.12 tons/ac. |  |

## Crop :- Potato.

Ref :- W.B. 56(12).
Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. Type :- 'C'.
Object:- To find out the suitable depth for planting Potato tubers.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) 2 tons/ac. of cowdung $+30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) 14.11.1956. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing with tractor followed by harrowing and laddering 4 times. (b) Planted in rows. (c) $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung applied a month before planting. 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Half of the fertilizers were applied in trenches at the time of planting and other half after about a month later at the time of 1st earthing. (vi) Royal kidney. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 2 weedings. (ix) $3.21^{\circ}$. (x) 13.3.1957.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 depths of planting tubers : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=2^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=4^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=6^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 2 heights for raising soil cover : $=\mathrm{H}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $27.75^{\prime} \times 1.6^{\prime}$. (v) $11_{8}^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) About $2.5 \%$ of plants were affected by blight disease. Three sprayings with 4 lbs . of perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water dispensible DDT per 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-1957 (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 825 tons/ac. (ii) 1.18 tons/ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in tons/ac.

|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | 7.87 | 7.92 | 7.37 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 8.33 | 8.99 | 9.04 |
| Mean | 8.10 | 8.46 | 8.20 |
| 8.72 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of H marginal means | $=0.34$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of D marginal means | $=0.72$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.59$ tons/ac. |

## Crop :- Potato (Rabi). <br> Ref :- W.B. 57(29). <br> Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. <br> Type :- ‘C’.

Object :- To find out the suitable depth for planting Potato tubers.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) Last week of Octoter, to middle of November, 1957. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 15 to 18 mds ./ac. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Royal kidney (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 earthings. (ix) Nil. (x) Last week of February to middle of March, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(12) on page 216.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A.
ii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuter. (iv) (a) 1956-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 9.24 tons/ac. (ii) 1.27 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of D alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | D ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | D ${ }_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | 8.25 | 9.98 | 8.90 | 9.04 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 10.08 | 10.42 | 7.80 | 9.43 |
| Mean | 9.16 | 10.20 | 8.35 | 9.24 |


| S.E. of H marginal mean | $=0.37$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of D marginl amean | $=0.45$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.64$ tons/ac. |

## Crop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

> Ref :- W.B. $51(1)$. Type :- 'CM'.

Object :- To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Potato. (c) 160 mds ./ac. of cowdung and 80 lb ./ac. of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super and 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the form of Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 15 to 17.1 .1954 . (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung at the time of preparation of land (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii Unirrigated. (viii) 5 weedings and 2 earthings. Roging of virus infected plants was done $t$ wice. (ix) 123.5'. (x) 14 and 16.9.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 inter-row distances: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=30^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 9 inter-tuber distances: $\mathrm{P}_{1}=6 \frac{3}{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=13 \frac{3}{3}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{3}=20^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{4}=5^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{5}=10^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{6}=15^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{7}=4^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{8}=8^{\prime \prime}$ and $P_{9}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 tuber sizes: $T_{1}="^{\prime \prime}, T_{2}=1^{\prime \prime}$ and $T_{3}=1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$.
(4; 3 manuria. treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160$ $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lo}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were applied in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, Super and Mur. Pot respectively.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $9 \times 3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots'blocks and 9 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) $\frac{1}{3}$. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 145.2$ ac. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was folnd to be infected by blight disease and viruses. The crop was sprayed five times with a mixture of 4 lbs . of perenox ard 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water dispesnible DDT in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Tuter yield. 'iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan and Krishnagar. (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Two way tables are not available in the records.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.59 tons/ac.
(ii) 1.98 tons/ac.
(iii) Main effect of T alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | T1 | T2 | Ts | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yie!d | 3.60 | 361 | 3.56 | 2.39 | 3.74 | 4.64 | 3.85 | 3.36 | 3.55 |
| Treatmert | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | P9 |
| Av. yie:d | 4.34 | 3.56 | 2.85 | 4.09 | 5.09 | 3.67 | 4.58 | 3.16 | 2.93 |

S.E. cf R,T or M marginal mean $\quad=0.38$ tons/ac.
S.E. of $P$ marginal mean $=0.66$ tons/ac.

Crop :- Potato.
Ref :- W.B. 55(7).
Site :- State Agri، Farm, Bhanjang.
Type:- 'CM'.
Object :- To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different macurial levels on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung and $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super and 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the form of Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang, (iii) 17 and 18.1.1955. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 100 mds /ac. cowdung at the time of preparation of land. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 weedings and 2 earthings. Roguing of virus infected plants was done twice. (ix) $114.3^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24 and 26.9.1955.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54(1) on page 218.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 3.17 tons/ac. (ii) 0.43 tens/ac. (iii) Main effects of $P, T$ and $M$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | T | T ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | M | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 3.24 | 3.17 | 3.10 | 2.68 | 3.28 | 3.54 | 2.82 | 3.30 | 3.38 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{9}$ |
| Av. yield | 3.62 | 3.16 | 2.93 | 3.76 | 3.05 | 2.70 | 3.29 | 3.45 | 2.56 |
|  | S.E. of $R, T$ or M marginal mean $=0.08$ tons/ac. <br> S.E. of $P$ marginal mean $=0.14$ tons/ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.
Ref:- W.B. 57(30).
Type:- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{CM}$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 1 st week of December, 1957. (iv) (a) 6 to 8 ploughings and harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2. (v) 100 mds . ac. of cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling (red-round early). (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) $84.76^{\prime \prime}$, (x) Last week of August, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(1) on page 218.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $9 \times 3^{3}$ confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block : 9 blocks/replication.
(b) N.A. (iii) $\frac{1}{3} . \quad$ (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$,
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan and Krishnagar. (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Confounded effects aud other two way tables are not available.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 5.19 tons/ac. (ii) 0.35 tons./ac. (iii) Main effects of $M$ and $T$ are highly significant and main effect of $\mathbf{R}$ is significant, (iv) Av yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 4.45 | 4.87 | 4.87 | 4.73 | 4.48 | 4.72 | 4.99 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | 5.03 | 5.35 | 5.20 | 5.19 | 4.85 | 5.90 | 4.83 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 4.75 | 5.90 | 6.28 | 5.64 | 5.46 | 5.71 | 5.76 |
| Mean | 4.74 | 5.37 | 5.45 | 5.19 | 4.93 | 5.44 | 5.19 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 4.20 | 5.23 | 5.37 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 5.10 | 5.74 | 5.48 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 4.93 | 5.15 | 5.50 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=007$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=0.12$ tons/ac. |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 54(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object :-To study the effect of spacing an ised size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) :a; Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 6 and 7.11 .1954 . (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 2 earthings, (ix) 1.18". (x) 10 and 11.3.1955.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(1) on page 218.
N was applied in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in the form of Super, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the form of Mur. Pot. $\frac{2}{3}$ of fertilizer mixture applied at the time of plinting in trenches and $\frac{1}{3}$ at the time of first earthing up.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) The crop was infested by mosaic. Spray ${ }^{\text {d }}$ four times during the season with a mixture of 3 lb . of perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DD $\Gamma$ in 103 gallons water. (iii) Yield of tubers. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Krishnagar and Bhanjang. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 616 ton:/ac. (ii) 0.81 tons/ac. (iii) Min effeets of $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{M}$ and P are significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | T 1 | T2 | T3 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 6.34 | 6.12 | 6.02 | 5.76 | 6.34 | 6.39 | 5.87 | 6.10 | 6.52 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{9}$ |
| Av. yield | 6.41 | 6.38 | 6.24 | 6.95 | 5.77 | 5.65 | 6.50 | 5.85 | 5.70 |
|  | S.E. of $R, T$ cr $M$ marginal mean S.E. of $P$ marginal mean |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.16 \text { tons/ac. } \\ & =0.27 \text { tons/ac. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan,

Ref:- W.B. 55(6).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{C M}$.

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sajly loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 27 and 2811.1955 . (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As pər treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 103 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) 20 and 21.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(1) on page 218.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was slightly infected with virus, sprayed three times during the season with a mixture of 3 lbs . of pzrenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DDT in 100 galions of water. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 19;3-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Krishnagar and Bhanjang. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) 4.95 tons/ac. (ii) 0.36 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of M and P are significant. Interaction $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{T}$ is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | T3 | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 4.98 | 4.92 | 4.94 | 5.00 | 4.87 | 4.98 | 4.90 | 4.85 | 5.10 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{9}$ |
| Av. yield | 4.81 | 5.07 | 5.08 | 4.85 | 4.80 | 5.06 | 5.38 | 4.60 | 4.88 |
|  | S.E. of $R, T$ or $M$ marginal mean S.E. of $P$ margiaal mean |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.07 \text { tons/ac. } \\ & =0.12 \text { tons/ac. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. 54(3). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. | Type :- ‘CM'. |

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jute followed by kalai. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) New alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) 1 and 2.12.1954. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) $1 C 0$ mds./ac. of cowdung at the time of preparation of land. (vi) Royal kidney (medum). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) 24 to 26.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(1) on page 218.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was sprayed three times during the season with a mixture of 3 lbs . of penenox dan 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DDT in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of ftubers. (iv) (a) 1954-19:5. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan and Bhanjang. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 6.12 tons/ac. (ii) 4.04 tons/ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T ${ }_{2}$ | T3 | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 6.31 | 6.06 | 5.99 | 5.70 | 6.10 | 6.57 | 5.71 | 6.07 | 6.58 |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | P6 | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{9}$ |
| Av. yield | 7.41 | 5.91 | 5.60 | 6.99 | 6.26 | 4.95 | 6.83 | 6.22 | 4.90 |
|  | S.E. of R,T or M marginal mean |  |  |  |  | $=0.78$ tons/ac. |  |  |  |


| Grop :- Potato. | Ref :- $W$ W.B. $55(7)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Jute Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. | Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{C M}$ '. |

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and seed size at different manurial levels on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a)No. (b) Paddy followed by kalai. (c) $40 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost $+20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) New alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar, (iii) 28 and 29.11.1955. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 100 mds //ac. of cowdung at the time of preparation of land. (vi) Royal kidney (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) 24 and 25.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 51(1) on page 218.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was sprayed twice during the season with a mixture of 3 lbs . of perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DDT in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yiel 1 of tuber. (iv) (a) $1954-1955$. (b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan and Bhanjang. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nıl.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 4.73 tons'ac. (ii) 1.64 tons/ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av.yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | T ${ }_{1}$ | T2 | T3 | M ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 4.63 | 468 | 4.88 | 457 | 4.83 | 4.79 | 4.62 | 495 | 4.63 |
| Treatment | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{9}$ |
| Av. yield | 4.21 | 4.43 | 5.25 | 5.23 | 3.89 | 4.92 | 5.13 | 4.43 | 5.08 |
|  | S E. of R, T or M marginal mean |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.32 \text { tons } / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =0.55 \text { tons/ac. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Potato. | Ref :- W.B. $59(12)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani. | Type :- ‘IM'. |

Object :--To study the effect of different doses of irrigations in combination with different doses of N on the yield of Putato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 p'oughings and lad Jerings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Darjeeling red round 'medium). (vi) Irigited. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 times of irrigation at $2^{\prime \prime}$ depth $: T_{1}=4, T_{2}=8$ and $T_{3}=12$ times.
(2) 2 manurial treatments : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ $160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B D. (ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $40^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 45^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) $1 / 27.82 \mathrm{ac}$
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1959 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 6.38 tons/ac. (ii) 1.18 tons; ac. (iii) Main effect of $\Gamma$ alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons, ac.

|  | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ <br> $M_{2}$ | 6.92 | 6.72 | 4.83 | 616 |
| Mean | 7.96 | 6.20 | 5.66 | 6.61 |


| S.E. of $M$ marginal mean | $=0.34$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $T$ marginal mean | $=0.42$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.59$ tons/ac. |

Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref :- W.B. 55(71).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To study whether application of artificial hermones to soil can increase the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) 100 mds . /ac. of ccwdung +80 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super + 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 10.1.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in rows at a depth of $2^{\circ \prime}$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$ below the soil. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung at the time of land preparation. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. applied in trench at the time of planting and 40 lb ./ac. of N at the time of earthing up. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) 114.3". (x) 16.9.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

3 artificial hormones : $\mathrm{H}_{0}=$ Control, $\mathrm{H}_{1}=$ Hortomone A [sprouted tuber were soaked in solution of hortomone $A$ of strength $2 \frac{1}{2}$ c.c. in 1 pint of water and $\mathrm{H}_{2}=$ Serndex $\quad$ A [A second dose of hormone was applied at the base of the plant when just coming out of the soil.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was found to be infected with blight disease and increase with slight extent. A mixture of 4 lbs . of pérenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DDT in 100 gallons of water sprayed 4 times. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Midnapore. (b) N.A. (vi) Hail storm in the month of April, 1955, (vii) The crop was damaged due to hail storm.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 0.71 tons/ac. (ii) 0.08 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 0.55 | 0.81 | 0.78 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E/mean | $=$ | 004 tons/ac. |

## Crop:- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref:-W.B. 56(17). Type :- 'd'.

Object :-To find out whether yield of Potato can be increased by application of artificial hormones.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung $+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjarg. (iii) 3.1.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung applied a month before planting. $¢ 0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Half of the fertilizer was applied at the time of planting and other half at the time of ploughing (in trenches). (vi) Darjeeling red round (carly). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) $54.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.7.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(71) above.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) $3 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $27.75^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Infected with blight disease. 5. sprayings with 4 lbs . perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DDT per 100 galions of water. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) Niv. (v) (a) Midnapore. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 1.37 tons/ac. (ii) 0.46 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons'ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yieid | 1.22 | 1.36 | 1.53 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.23 tons/ac. |


| Crop :- Potato (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 57(32). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang. | Type :- ‘D'. |

Object :-To study whether application of artificial hormone to soil can increase the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) Ist week of January, 1957. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of July, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 55(71) on page 223.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-1957. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Midnapore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 4.04 tons/ac. (ii) 0.69 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yie!d | 3.44 | 4.05 | 4.64 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.34 tons/ac. |

## Crop:- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref :- W.B. 54(6).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :- To find out the most effective fungicide, its dosage and interval of spraying for the control of blight disease of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung +80 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 9 to 11.1.1955. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung at the time of land preparation. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{Super}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. in treishes at the time of planting and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N at the tıme of first earthirg up. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 2 earthings. Roguing of virus effected plants was done twice. (ix) $1235^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12 to 14.9.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and ( 2 ) + a control
(1) 5 fungicides: $F_{1}=$ Perenox, $F_{2}=$ Dithane $Z-78, F_{3}=$ Copper sandoz, $F_{4}=$ Coppesan and $F_{5}=$ Blitox.
(2) 5 concentrations of fungicides: $C_{1}=1.25, C_{2}=2.5, C_{3}=3, C_{4}=4$ and $C_{5}=5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.

The above 26 treatment combinations were applied at 4 different intervals viz. : $I_{1}=6, I_{2}=8, I_{3}=10$ and $I_{4}=$ 14 days.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 26 for each $I$.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 450 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) Nil. (vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was infected with blight diseases and virus. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) and (vi) Nil. (vii) Other two way tables: N.A.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 3.20 tons/ac. (ii) 0.69 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $C, I$ and interaction $I \times F$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2.22 \text { tons } / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2.66 | 2.81 | 3.06 | 3.24 | 3.65 | 308 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 2.56 | 2.96 | 3.05 | 2.83 | 3.48 | 3.05 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | 2.48 | 2.91 | 2.95 | 3.16 | 3.72 | 3.04 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 2.92 | $\therefore 26$ | 3.27 | 3.65 | 4.32 | 3.48 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ | 2.74 | 3.29 | 3.10 | 3.60 | 4.85 | 3.52 |
| Mean | 2.67 | 3.05 | 3.09 | 3.30 | 408 | 3.24 |


| S.E. of C or F marginal mean | $=0.09$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=0.20$ tons/ac. |

## Grop :- Potato.

## Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref :- W.B. 55(10).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{D}$ '.

Object:-To find out the most effeative fungicide, its dosages and interval of spraying for the control of blight disease of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung +80 lb ./ac. of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super +80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the form of Mur. Pot. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 13 to 15.1 .1954 . (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 100 $\mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung at the time of land preparation. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super + $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. in trenches at the time of planting and $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a} .$. of N at the time of first earthing up. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii)-Unirrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 2 earthings. Roguing of virus infected plants was done twice. (ix) 114.3". (x) 10 to 139.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 5 fungicides: $\mathrm{F}_{1}=$ Perenox, $\mathrm{F}_{2}=$ Dithane $-78, \mathrm{~F}_{3}=$ Coppesan, $\mathrm{F}_{4}=$ Copper sandoz and $\mathrm{F}_{5}=$ Craigs fungicide (658).
(2) 5 concentrations of fungicide : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=1.25, \mathrm{C}_{2}=2.5, \mathrm{C}_{3}=3, \mathrm{C}_{4}=4$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water. The above 26 treatment combinations applied at 4 different intervals viz: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=6, \mathrm{I}_{2}=8, \mathrm{I}_{3}=10$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=14$ days.
3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 54(6) on page 224.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was infected with blight disease and viruses. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2.67 tons/ac. (ii) 0.41 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $F, C, I$ and interactions $F \times C, F \times I$ and $F \times C \times I$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | C4 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2.58 | 3.02 | 3.19 | 3.36 | 4.16 | 3.26 | 3.28 | 3.43 | 3.06 | 329 |
| $F_{2}$ | 2.36 | 2.67 | 2.88 | 3.15 | 3.19 | 2.85 | 260 | 3.12 | 2.91 | 2.75 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | 1.89 | 2.39 | 2.74 | 3.26 | 3.90 | 2.84 | 3.20 | 2 ól | 3.34 | 2.21 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 1.81 | 2.40 | 2.70 | 3.28 | 3.70 | 2.78 | 3.18 | 2.98 | 2.71 | 2.25 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ | 115 | 1.63 | 1.85 | 2.50 | 2.84 | 1.99 | 2.50 | 2.17 | 1.85 | 1.46 |
| Mean | 1.96 | 2.42 | 2.67 | 3.11 | 3.56 | 2.74 | 2.95 | 2.86 | 2.77 | 2.39 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 2.04 | 2.88 | 2.91 | 3.15 | 3.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 2.28 | 2.42 | 2.77 | 3.17 | 3.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 1.99 | 2.45 | 2.73 | 3.12 | 3.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 1.53 | 1.95 | 2.28 | 3.00 | 3.21 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $I$ marginal mean | $=0.05$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $F$ or $C$ marginal mean | $=0.05$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{C}$ table | $=0.11$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $F \times C$ table or control mean | $=0.12$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bhanjang.

Ref:- W.B. 57(31).
Type :- ‘D'.

Object :-To find out the most effective fungicide, its dosages and interval of spraying for the control of blight disease of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nıl. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bhanjang. (iii) 1st week of January, 1957. (iv) (a) 5 to 8 of ploughings and harrowing. (b) Sprouts placed in furrows $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) 15 to $18 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Inter row $2^{\prime}$ and from piant to plant $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 tuber/hole. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 weedings and 2 earthings. (ix) $56.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of July to middle of August, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control
(1) 6 fungicides: $F_{1}=$ Perenox, $F_{2}=$ Dithane, $F_{3}=$ Coppesan, $F_{4}=$ Copper sandoz, $F_{0}=$ Cupravite and $\mathrm{F}_{8}=$ Shell copper.
(2) 5 concentrations of fungicide: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=1.25, \mathrm{C}_{2}=2.5, \mathrm{C}_{3}=3, \mathrm{C}_{4}=4$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water. The above 31 treatment combinations applied at 4 different intervals viz: $I_{1}=6, I_{9}=8, I_{3}=10$ and $I_{4}=14$ days.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 31 for each I.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 194 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Plants infected with blight disease. Spraying done as per treatments. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1954-19.7. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) N.l.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1.03 tons'ac. (ii) 0.55 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $I, F, C$, interations $I \times F, I \times F \times C$ and 'control vs. others' are high'y significant. (iv) Av. yield of tubers in tons/ac.

Control $=0.69$ tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}{ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 0.73 | 0.94 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.89 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 0.81 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.28 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.34 | 0.72 | 0.87 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | 0.91 | 095 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.25 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 1.26 | 1.01 | 1.14 | 1.00 | 107 | 0.84 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ | 0.83 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.18 | 1.58 | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.27 | 0.92 | 0.94 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.94 | 1.09 | 1.18 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.87 |
| Mean | 0.78 | 0.91 | 1.01 | 1.13 | 1.32 | 1.03 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 0.93 | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 0.88 | 0.96 | 1.16 | 1.23 | 1.43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 089 | 0.99 | 109 | 1.26 | 1.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 0.69 | 0.87 | 0 S0 | 1.00 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 0.65 | 0.80 | 089 | 1.02 | 1.15 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of I marginal mean | $=0.06$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of $C$ marginal mean | $=0.06$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of $F$ marginal mean | $=0.07$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{C}$ table | $=0.13$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=0.14$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=0.16$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of control mean | $=0.16$ tons/ac. |

## Grop :- Potato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore. <br> Ref :- W.B. 55(4). <br> Type :- © $\mathbb{D}$ '.

Object :-To find out wheiher yield of Potato can be increased by the application of artí̂cial hormones.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) 40 mds./ac. of T.C. as basic dose, $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 19.11.1955. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in rows. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{n}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung at the time of land preparation, 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot. applied in trenches at the time of planting and $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied at the time of first earthing up. (vi) Darjeeling red round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) $\overline{3}$ weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 17 ard 18.3.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN:

Same as in expt. no. 55(71) on page 223.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) The crop was infected with mosaic virus to some extent. The crop was sprayed 2 times with a mixture of 4 lbs . of perenox and 2 lbs . of $50 \%$ water soluble DD $\Gamma$ in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Bhanjang. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 5.89 tons/ac. (ii) 0.61 tons/ac. (iii) Treatment differences are not sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 5.70 | 6.05 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 5.92 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | 0.30 tons/ac. |

## Crop :- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Rangbull.

Ref :- W.B. 56(20).
Type :n 'D'.

Object :-To find out the most suitable fungicides, its concentration and interval of spraying for the control of blight disease of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Potato. (c) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung $+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 80 lb./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (ii) (a) Brown forest soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Rangbull. (iii) 3.1.1956. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Whole tubers planted at a depth of $2^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung and 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}, 160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 80 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Cowdung applied about a month previous to planting. Half of balance first applied in trenches at the time of planting and the other half about two months later at the time of first earthing up. (vi) Darjeeling red round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings and 4 weedings. (ix) 54.73". (x) 17.7.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control
(1) 6 fungicides: $F_{1}=$ Perenox, $F_{2}=$ D.thaze $Z-73, F_{3}=$ Coppesan, $F_{4}=$ Copper, sandoz, $F_{5}=$ Craigs fungicide-658 and $\mathrm{F}_{6}=$ Shell copper.
(2) 5 concentrations: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=1.25, \mathrm{C}_{2}=2.5, \mathrm{C}_{3}=3, \mathrm{C}_{4}=4$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.

The above 31 treatment combinations applied at 4 different intervals: $I_{1}=6, I_{2}=8, I_{3}=10$ and $I_{4}=14$ days.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 31 for each I. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 193.6$ ac. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) About $40 \%$ of plants were infected with blight disease. (iii) Yield of tuber. (iv) (a) 1956-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1.48 tons/ac. (ii) 0.09 tons/ac. (iii) Main effects of $F, C, I$ and interactions $F \times I$ and 'control vs. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tuber in tons/ac.

$$
\text { Control }=0.85 \text { tons/ac. }
$$

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1.56 | 1.43 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.65 | 1.87 | 1.73 | 154 | 1.46 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 1.62 | 1.54 | 1.47 | 1.53 | 1.76 | 1.58 | 1.96 | 1.75 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | 1.18 | 1.21 | 1.31 | 1.49 | 1.42 | 1.32 | 1.23 | 1.65 | 1.16 | 1.23 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | 1.20 | 1.27 | 1.57 | 1.73 | 1.77 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 182 | 1.18 | 1.57 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | 1.38 | 1.61 | 1.44 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 1.53 | 1.51 | 1.64 | 1.28 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{6}$ | 1.19 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.65 | 1.43 | 1.64 | 1.53 | 1.22 | 1.33 |
| Mean | 1.36 | 1.42 | 1.49 | 1.57 | 1.66 | 1.50 | 1.63 | 1.67 | 1.36 | 1.35 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 1.39 | 1.53 | 1.60 | 1.74 | 1.89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 1.55 | 1.65 | 1.64 | 1.77 | 1.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{8}$ | 1.40 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.35 | 1.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.49 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of I marginal mean | $=0.01$ tons/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $C$ marginal mean | $=001$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of $F$ marginal mean | $=0.01$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $I \times C$ table | $=0.02$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $I \times F$ table | $=0.02$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $C \times F$ table | $=0.03$ tons/ac. |
| S.E. of control mean | $=0.03$ tons/ac. |

## Crop :- Brinjal (Rabi).

Ref :- W.B. 57(57).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.
Type :- ‘CV’.
Object :-To find out the best time for planting of different varieties of Brinjal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) planting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $53.0 C^{\circ}$. (x) 82 to $88,93,79$ and 68 days respectively aíter transplanting.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of planting : $D_{1}=30.9 .1957, D_{2}=15.10 .1957, D_{3}=30.10 .1957$ and $D_{4}=15.11 .1957$.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 varieties : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{SNO}-47 \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{SNO}-28$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of brinjal per plant. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Y es. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2.0 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.48 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) $0.12 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{V}$ and interaction $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{D}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of brinjal in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| Mean | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $=0.20 \mathrm{lb}$. plant
2. V marginal means $\quad=0.03 \mathrm{lb}$./plant.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D \quad=0.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{p}$ lant.
4. D means at the same level of $\mathrm{V}=0.20 \mathrm{lb}$./plant.
Crop :- Cauliflower (Rabi).
Ref:- W.B. 59(55).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Cauliflower.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loam and clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) Middle of October, 1959. (iv) (a) Ploughing, spading etc. (b) Planting. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) Dania (Kalimpong). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculture and weeding. (ix) $68.28^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st week of January, 1960.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{K}_{0}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

The whole mixture of Potash and Super was added during land preparation. $\frac{1}{3}$ of N was applied during transplanting. $\frac{1}{2}$ applied after 20 days of transplantation and the rest of N after 40 days of trans plantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ partially confd. (ii) (a) 9 plats/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of edible head; of caulif swer. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $17543 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $3337 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effet of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of edible heads in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 3917 | 4888 | 5876 | 4894 | 4509 | 5514 | 4658 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 21906 | 21025 | 22210 | 21714 | 21075 | 21757 | 22309 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 26480 | 25650 | 25937 | 26022 | 25682 | 25097 | 27287 |
| Mean | 17434 | 17188 | 18008 | 17543 | 17089 | 17456 | 18085 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 17516 | 16715 | 17035 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 16253 | 17816 | 18301 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 18533 | 17034 | 18688 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=556 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |

Crop :- Cauliflower (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 55(83).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To find out the best time for planting different varieties of Cauliflower.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv)
(a) Spading and land preparation. (b) Planting, (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Earthing-up and intercu!ture. (ix) 94.88". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

4 dates of planting: $\mathrm{D}_{1}=20.9 .1955, \mathrm{D}_{2}=5.10 .1955, \mathrm{D}_{3}=20.10 .1955$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=4.11 .1955$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Snow ball and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Dania.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield per plant. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1.78 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.32 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) $0.22 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (iii) All effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of cauliflower in lb ./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean <br> $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.50 | 2.31 | 2.35 | 1.90 | 2.01 |  |
| 1.41 | 1.92 | 2.09 | 0.79 | 1.55 |  |
| Mean | 1.46 | 2.12 | 2.22 | 1.34 | 1.78 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.13 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means
$=0.06 \mathrm{lb} /$ plant.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.13 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.16 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .

Crop :- Cauliflower (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.

Ref :- W.B. 56(42).
Type :- ‘CV'.

Object :-To find out the best time for planting of different varieties of Caulifiower.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spading and land preparation. (b) Planting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning and earthing up. (ix) $94.06^{\prime \prime}$ (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(83) on page 230.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1.56 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.39 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) $0.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (iii) D effect alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of cauliflower in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | 1.37 <br> $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 1.70 | 1.78 | 1.45 |
| Mean | 1.39 | 1.71 | 1.80 | 1.28 |
|  | 1.71 | 1.79 | 1.36 | 1.54 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{plant}$.
2. $V$ marginal means
$=0.10 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
3. V means at the same level of $D$
$=0.19 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.2 \mathrm{llb}$./plant.
```
Crop :- Cauliflower (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.
Ref :- W.B. 57(58)。
Type :- 'CV'.
```

Object:- To find out the best time of planting the different varieties of Cauliflower.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Planting and spading. (b) Planting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning and interculture. (ix) $70.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 63, 65, 66 and 73 days for $V_{1}$ and 63, 65, 73 and 73 days for $V_{2}$ after plantation.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of planting : $D_{1}=25.9 .1957, D_{2}=10.10 .1957, D_{3}=25.10 .1957$ and $D_{4}=10.11 .1957$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Snow ball and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Dania.
3. DESIGN and 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 55(83) on p2ge 230.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1.94 lb ./plant. (ii) (a) 0.41 lb ./plant. (b) 0.28 lb ./plant. (iii) D effect is significant. V effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of caulifiower in Ib ./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 1.81 | 2.29 | 2.27 | 2.17 | 2.14 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 1.52 | 1.68 | 2.11 | 1.65 | 174 |
| Mean | 1.67 | 1.98 | 2.19 | 1.91 | 1.94 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=0.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. V marginal means | $=0.08 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| 3. $V$ means at the same level of D | $=0.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of V | $=0.20 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |

Crop :- Canliflower (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 57(59),
Type :- 'CV'。

Object :- To find out the best time of planting of different varieties of Cauliflower.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Planting. (c) to (e) Nil. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning etc. (ix) $53.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of January, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 dates of planting : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=19.10 .1957, \mathrm{D}_{2}=3.11 .1957$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=18.11 .1957$.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 varietıes: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Dania and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Snow ball.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of heads of cauliflower.
(iv) (a) 1955-1957.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v)
(a) Kalimpong. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 0.57 lb /plant. (ii) (a) $0.88 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) 0.33 lb ./plant. (iij) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of cauliflower in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.42 | 0.54 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.47 | 0.59 |
| Mean | 0.56 | 0.68 | 0.45 | 0.57 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal meaus
$=0.36 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means
$=0.11 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.19 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.38 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .

## Crop :- Bhindi (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.

Ref:- W.B. 55(84).
Type :- ‘CV'.
Object :- To find out the best time for planting of different varieties of Bhindi.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Plougbing is and spading. (b) Planting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1 . (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Earthing and interculture. (ix) $49.76^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of planting : $D_{1}=15.3 .1955, D_{2}=15.4 .1955, D_{3}=15.5 .1955$ and $D_{4}=15.6 .1955$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Best II, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Vendi pocho and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{3}}=$ Green long.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL .
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A.' (iii) Yield of bhindi íper plant.
(iv) (a) 1955-1957.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v)
(a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Data for 1956 and 1957 are N.A.
3. RESULTS :
(i) $2.81 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot. (ii) (a) $1.55 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot. (b) $0.88 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot. (iii) All effects are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of bhindi in lb ./plot ( 5 plants).

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 3.07 | 8.58 | 1.70 | 0.58 | 3.48 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 2.45 | 8.88 | 1.29 | 0.56 | 3.30 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 1.85 | 2.70 | 1.46 | 1.56 | 1.64 |
| Mean | 2.46 | 6.72 | 1.48 | 0.57 | 2.81 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.52 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot.
2. V marginal means
$=0.25 \mathrm{lb} /$ plot.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.51 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot .
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.66 \mathrm{Ib} . /$ plot.

## Crop :- Tomato (Rabi). Ref :- W.B. 56(40). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong. <br> Type :- 'CV'.

Object :- To find out the best time for planting of difierent varieties of Tomato.

## 2. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Reter soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading etc. (b) Planting. (c) and (d) N.A. (c) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and interculture. (ix) $94.06^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 dates of planting: $D_{1}=19.9 .1956, D_{2}=4.10 .1956$ and $D_{3}=19.10 .1956$.

## Sab-plot treatments :

2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Perfection and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Sions.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield per plant of tomato. (iv) (a) 1956-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 3.42 lb ./plant. (ii) 'a; 0.67 lb. /plant. (b) $0.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (iii) D effect alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tomato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{piar}$.t.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 4.81 | 3.03 | 2.62 | 3.49 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 4.12 | 3.15 | 2.77 | 3.35 |
| Mean | 4.47 | 3.09 | 2.70 | 3.42 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.27 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means $\quad=0.13 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{D}=0.32 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
4. $D$ means at the same level of $V \quad=0.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$.
```
Crop :- Tomato (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.
Ref :- W.B. 57(55).
Type :- 'CV':
```

Object :-To find out the best time for planting different varieties of Tomato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sanjy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Planting, (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 70.49". (x) N.A.

## 2 TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments:
4 dates of planting: $D_{1}=19.9 .1957, D_{2}=4.10 .1957, D_{3}=19.10 .1957$ and $D_{4}=4.11 .1957$.
Sab-plot treatments:
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Perfection and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Sions.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot
(ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normai. (ii) Infestation of early blight. (iii) Yield of tomato. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) R.A. (vi' (a) Krishnagar. (b) N.A. (v) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2.69 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.81 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) $0.53 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (iii) Main effect of D and interaction $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{D}$ arehighly significant. (iv) Av, yield of tomato in lb./plar.t.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 2.69 | 4.23 | 2.07 | 1.26 | 2.56 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 3.47 | 3.18 | 3.22 | 1.37 | 2.81 |
| Mean | .3 .08 | 3.71 | 2.64 | 1.32 | 2.69 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.33 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
2. $V$ marginal means
$=0.15 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.31 \mathrm{lb}$. plant .
4. D means at the same level of $\mathrm{V} \quad=0.40 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.

## Crop: :- Tomato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.

Ref̂:- W.B. 54(40).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To study the effect of spacing on different varieties of Tomato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Bottle gold. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (ixisy 1.10.1954/19 and 20.10.1954. (iv) (a) Thorough ploughing. (b) Sown in nursery. (c) $50 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As pers treatments. (e) 1 . (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated, (viii) 3 to 4 weediagls. (ix) $15.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.12 .1954 to 15.4.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

Nain-plot treatments :
3 varieties : $\mathrm{V}_{2}=S-20, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Bonni best and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Morglobe.
Sub-plot treatments:
3 spacings : $S_{1}=3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication; 3 sub-plots/mair-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 12^{\circ}$. (b) $45^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}, 44^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ and $44^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (v) 1 guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil.
(iii) Yield of tomato.
(iv) (a) $1954-1956$.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) and (b)
b) Na
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 11.01 tons/ac. (ii) (a) 2.91 tons/ac. (b) 1.41 tons/ac. (iii) $V$ effect is significant. $S$ effect is highty significant. (iv) Av. yield of tomato in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 8.62 | 8.90 | 11.60 | 9.71 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 9.52 | 8.90 | 12.02 | 10.15 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 11.35 | 12.33 | 15.84 | 13.17 |
| Mean | 9.83 | 10.04 | 13.15 | 11.01 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means
$=1.06$ tons/ac.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=0.51$ tons/ac.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $V$
$=0.89$ tons/ac.
4. $V$ means at the same level of $S$
$=1.29$ tons/ac.

## Grop :- Tomato. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar. <br> Ref :- W.B. 55(26). <br> Type :- 'CV'.

Object:-To study the effect of spacing on the growth of differeat varieties of Tomato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pumpkin. (c) $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mJ}$./ac. of F.Y.M. in each pit. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishoagar. (iii) 1.9.1955/28.9.1955. (iv) (a) Thorough ploughing. (b) Sown in nursery bed. (c) $5 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1. (v) A/S at $3 \mathrm{mds} .22 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Mur. Pot. at $1 \mathrm{md} .35 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Super at 5 cods. $30 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied at the time $\mathrm{o}^{\hat{\beta}}$ preparation of land. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings and mulchings. (ix) $10.51^{*}$. (x) 8.12.1955 to 11.2.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(40) on page 235.
4. GENERAL:
(i) A few virus affected plants were removed and fresh seedlings transplanted. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of Tometo. (iv) (a) 1954-1956. (b) Yes. (c) \il. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 12.94 tons/ac. (ii) (a) 3.59 tons/ac. (b) 1.76 toas/ac. (iii) Interactio $3 \mathrm{~V} \times \mathrm{S}$ is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tomato in tons/ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means $\quad=1.31$ tons/ac.
2. $S$ marginal means $=0.64$ tons/ac.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $V \quad=1.11$ tons/ac.
4. V means at the same level of $S=1.60$ tons/ac.

## Crop:- Tomato.

Ref :- W.B. 56(21).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, K:ishnagar.
Type:- 'CV'.

Object:-To study the effect of spacings on the growth of differert varieties of Tomato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) B'indi. (c) Compost at 3 tons/ac. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) Middle of August 1st we:k of September, 1956. (iv) (a) Thorough ploughing. (b) Sown in nursery bed. (c) $50 \mathrm{oz} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1 . (v) Super at 8.4 mds ./ac. ploughed in at the time of general preparation of land (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, (ix) 37.36". (x) December, 1956 to February, 1957.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

4 varieties: $V_{1}=S-20, V_{2}=$ Bonrey best, $V_{3}=$ Marglobe and $V_{4}=$ Hybrid-4.
Sub-plot treatments:
3 spacings : $S_{1}=3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; $3 \leq u b-p l o t s / m a i n-p l o t . ~(b) N A . ~(i i i) ~ 5 . ~(i v) ~(a) ~ 43^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (h) $36^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) 1 guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yie!d of tomato. (iv) (a) 1954-1956.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) and
(b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $21: 20$ tons/Rc. (ii) (a) 6.59 tons/ac. (b) 3.53 tors/ac. (iii) S effect is significant. Interaction $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{S}$ is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of tomato in tons./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 23.91 | 21.05 | 19.66 | 23.02 | 21.91 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 20.62 | 15.88 | 17.97 | 22.17 | 19.16 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 18.84 | 16.45 | 26.31 | 28.56 | 22.54 |
| Mean | 21.12 | 17.79 | 21.31 | 24.58 | 21.20 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means
$=2.41$ tons/ac.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=1.12$ tons/ac.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $V$
$=2.23$ tons/as.
4. $V$ means at the same level of $S$
$=3.02$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Onion (Rabi).
Ref :- W.B. 56(41),
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.
Type :- 'CV'.
Object :- To find out the best time for planting of different varieties of Onion.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimperg. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Planting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Earthing up and other intercultures. (ix) $94.06^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of planting: $\mathrm{D}_{1}=15.10 .1956, \mathrm{D}_{2}=30.10 .1956 . \mathrm{D}_{3}=30.11 .1956$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{2}$.12.1956.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Poona red and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Red Patna.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sut-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of bulbs per plant. (iv) (a) 1956 only. (b; Yes. (c) N.A. !(v) (a) Krishnagar. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(l) 0.21 lb ./plant.
(ii) (a) $0.07 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant.
(b) $0.05 \mathrm{lb} /$ /plant.
(iii) D effcct alore is highly significant.
(iv)
Av. yield of onion in lb./plant.

|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}$ | 0.46 | 020 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.22 |
| $V_{2}$ | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.20 |
| Mean | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.21 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.04 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means
$=0.02 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. V means at the same level o? D
$=0.04 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.05 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
Grop:- Cabbage (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.
Ref:- W.B. 56(43).
Type :- 'GV'.

Object :-To find out the best time for pliating of diferent varieties of Cabbage.

1. B iSAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a. Sıdy bın (b) Refer soll a nalysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spading and ploughing. (b) P.ant.ng (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (jiii) Weejtay and exthing (ix) $9+.05^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of plating: $D_{.}=2.101556, D_{2}=2 ? 19.1956, D_{3}=12.11 .1956$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=2.12 .1956$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties: $V_{1}=$ Exip a Didn'endand $V_{2}=$ English ball.
3. DESIGv:
(i) Solit-plot. (ii) 'a) $4 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{in}$-plots'rep'tacion; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yijld of cabbaye per plant. (iv) (a) 1956-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 4.13 lb 'plant. (ii) (a) 2.77 lb ./pl int. (b 0.63 lb ./plaat. (iii) D effect alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of cabbage in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | D4 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{\text {. }}$ | 526 | 4.36 | 3.89 | 3.26 | 4.19 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 4.62 | 4.48 | 4.45 | 3.14 | 4.17 |
| Mean | 494 | 442 | 4.17 | 3.20 | 418 |

S.E. of difference of two
1 D marginal means
$=1.02 \mathrm{lb}$. plant.
2. V marginal means
$=0.18 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.36 \mathrm{lb}$./plant.
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=1.05 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .

Crop :- Cabbage (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalimpong.

Ref :- W.B. 57(56).
Type :- ‘CV'.

Object:-To find out the best time for planting of different varieties of Cabbage.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatmer ts. (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Planting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Earthing and weeding. (ix) $70.49^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) $77,100,92,81$ and 102 days for $V_{1}$ and 74,97 , '85, 81 and 89 days for $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ after transplanting.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of planting : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=17.9 .1957, \mathrm{D}_{2}=2.10 .1957, \mathrm{D}_{3}=22.10 .1557, \mathrm{D}_{4}=12.11 .1557$ : ni $\mathrm{D}_{6}=2.12 .1557$.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 varieties of cabbage : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{E}$. Drum head and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ English ball.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) and (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Gcod. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of cat bage per plant.
(iv) (a) 1956-1957.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a)

Krishnagar. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $6.09 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.67 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. $\quad$ (b) $0.86 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (iii) Main effects of D and V are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of cabbage in lb ./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 5.38 | 8.58 | 7.33 | 5.29 | 3.28 | 5.97 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 5.35 | 7.62 | 8.02 | 5.94 | 4.66 | 6.20 |
| Mean | 5.36 | 8.10 | 7.68 | 5.62 | 3.67 | 6.09 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=0.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$.
2. $V$ marginal means
$=0.22 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. V means at the same level of $D \quad=0.50 \mathrm{lb} /$ /plant.
4. D means at the same level of $V \quad . \quad=0.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$.

Crop :- Cabbage (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 57(70).
Type :- ‘CV'.

Object:-To find out the best time of planting of different varieties of Cabbage.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(j) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sardy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spading and ploughing. (b) Planting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) i. (v) Tiii. (vi) Asper treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 earthings. (ix) $53.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 104, 91, 90 and 77 days for $V_{1}$ and 97, 96 , 95 and 80 days for $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ after planting.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

5 dates of planting : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=10.10 .1957, \mathrm{D}_{2}=25.101 \mathrm{~s} 57, \mathrm{D}_{3}=9.11 .1957$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=24.11 . j \mathrm{u} 57$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties: $V_{1}=$ English ball and $V_{2}=$ Eclipse Drum head.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) and (v) N A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of edible head per plant. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kalimpong. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2.23 \mathrm{lb} /$ plant. (ii) (a) $0.14 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (b) $0.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (iii) All effects are highly significant. (iv) (iv) Av. yield of cabbage in Ib./p'ant.

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.06 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means
$=0.02 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. V means at the same level of $D$
$=0.05 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plarit.
4. $D$ means at the same level of $V$
$=0.66 \mathrm{lb}$./plant .

Crop:- Pea (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Klimpong.

Ref :- W.B. 55(85).
Type :- ‘CV'.

Object :-To fild out the best time for planting of different varieties of Pea.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalimpong. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spadi g and land preparation. (b) Planting. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing and thinoing. (ix) $9488^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Moin-plot treatments :
3 dates of planting: $D_{i}=21.9 .1955, D_{2}=6.10 .1955$ and $D_{3}=22.10 .1955$.
Sib-plot treatment; :
3 varieties $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Early giant, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ American wonder and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Alderman.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii, (a! 3 min-plots'replication; 3 sub-plsts/main-plot.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) and (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Nor nat. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of pod per plant. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vi) Data for 1956 and 1957 N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1.12 lb ./plant. (ii) (a) 0.36 lb. 'plant. (b) 0.29 lb. 'plant. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of pod in 1 b 'plant.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | ${ }^{\text {a Mean }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 0.59 | 1.13 | 1.29 | 1.14 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 1.21 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.22 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 0.87 | 0.96 | 1.14 | 0.99 |
| Mean | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.25 | 1.12 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=0.15 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
2. V marginal means
$=0.12 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
$=0.20 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=0.22 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .

Ref :- W.B. 54(68).
Type:- ${ }^{6}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing for Arhar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1st week of June, 1954. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and laddering. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 140 to 150 mds /ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) B—7 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

> 7 spacings between plants : $S_{0}=$ Broadcast (control), $S_{1}=2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}, S_{2}=2^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}, S_{4}=3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}, S_{5}=$ $3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ and $S_{6}=4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain, (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2251 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. -(ii) $462.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain, in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1561 | 2577 | 2529 | 2543 | 2387 | 2335 | 1828 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=.231 .2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Arhar (Kharif). | Ref:- W.B. 55(93). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. | Type : ${ }^{6} C^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing for Arhar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) June, 1955. (iv) (a) 2 to ${ }^{*} 3$ ploughings and laddering. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1. (v) 150 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) B-7 (medium). (vii) N.A. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week (f March, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(68) above.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Crop. suffered from the attack of insects, measures taken-N.A. (iii) Nil. (iv), (a) 1952-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi)N.A. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1145 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $307.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av yield | 1235 | 1367 | 1041 | 1265 | 983 | 1080 | 1045 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $153.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Arhar (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 56(52).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}$ '.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing for Arhar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Middle of June, 1956. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) 1. (v) 150 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) $B-7$ (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, thinning and earthing up. (ix) N.A. (x) 1st week of March, 1957.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54`68) on page 241.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Attack of wilt caused uneven plant populations; measures taken-N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) Crop suffered due to heavy rain. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $779 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $192.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 924 | 1196 | 831 | 705 | 710 | 647 | 438 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $96.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Arhar (Kharif). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 54(69).
Type :- ${ }^{6}$ '

Object :-To study the optimum time of sowing for Arhar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Dibbling. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 1 . (v) 150 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) B-7 (medium). (vii) N.A. (viii) Weeding and earthing. (ix) and (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

4 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=10$ th May, $D_{2}=25$ th May, $D_{3}=9$ th June and $D_{4}=24$ th June.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $16^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

1
(i) $2669 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $385.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences, are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yiel J | 3773 | 3190 | 2143 | 1570 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $157.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Arhar (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 55(94).
Type :- ' C '.
Object :-To study the optimum time of sowing for Arbar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Ganga riverine clay loam. (b) Refer soil avalysis, Berhampore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and spading. (b) Dibbling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) 100 to $120 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (vi) B-7 (medium). (vii) N.A. (viii) Weeding and earthing. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of February to 1st week of March , 1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(69) on page 242.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Crop suffered badly by attack of insects, control measures-N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1103 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 616.8 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av: yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1661 | 1207 | 959 | 583. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $251.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop :- Khesari (Pulse) (Rabi). <br> Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Nalhati.

Ref:- W.B. 59(15).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ ?

Object :- To study the effect of different sources of N on Khesari.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Khesari. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 4.11.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcast. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Khesari (pulse). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $0.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.3.1960.
2. TREATMENTS:

3 sources of $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{0}=$ Control, $\mathrm{S}_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} .{ }^{\prime}$ (vi) Yes:-
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of khesari grain. (iv) (a) 1956 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) Majhian. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Expt. failed from 1956 to 1958.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $379 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $32.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac} . \because$

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 427 | 387 | 329 |
|  | S.E./ | $=$ | lb./ac |


| Grop :- Khesari (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Howrah (c.f.). | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March and A pril, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 devels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circletthana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rabi cereal, 8 on a cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in fandomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1959 -contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per design. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Av. y:eld of grain in lb./ac. | 568 | 741 | 782 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=697 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} . / \mathrm{mean}=36.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=$ | 3. |

## Crop :- Khesari (Rabi). <br> Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red and saline. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March and April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) type C above conducted at Howrah.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatm ent | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 913 | 1029 | 1103 |

G.M. $=1015 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} . /$ mean $=5.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=2$.

```
Crop :- Khesari (Rabi).
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).
Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- `M'.
```

Object:-Type.C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels:of Phosphate:

1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4: GENERAL :

Same as in expt! no. $59(\mathrm{SFT})$ type C on page 244 conducted at Howrah:
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 716 | 757 | 765 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=746 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ac., S.E./mean $=8.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=3$. |  |

```
Grop:-- Gram (Rabi)..
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Tollygunj.
```

Ref :- W.B. 57(66).
Type:- ' C '.

Object :-To find out the suitable date of sowing for Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tollygunj. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (b) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) N.A. (vi) T -87 (U.P.). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 8 to 31.3.1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

6 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=28.10 .1957, D_{2}=12.11 .1957, D_{3}=27.11 .1957, D_{4}=12.12 .1957, D_{5}=27.12 .1957$ and

$$
\mathrm{D}_{6}=11.1 .1958 .
$$

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 : (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $16.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $0.75^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain.
(iv) (a)
5. 

b) No. (c)
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) No.
(b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:


## Crop :- Bengal Gram.

Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.).

Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c).N.A. (ii) Laterite. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is charged once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rabi cereal, 8 on cash creps, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the ether balf of type $\mathbf{B}$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type $\mathbf{C}$. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out, in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av yieid of grain in lb./ac. 658 | 823 | 922 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=801 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E. $/$ mean $=308 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=7$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bengal Gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT). } \\
\text { Centre :- Burdwan (c.f.). } & \text { Type :- } \varsigma^{\prime} \text { '. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

## 1. BASAL CONLITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Other alluvial.
(iii) to ¿ ¿(v) N.A.
(vi) November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:
Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type C on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yie!d of grain in lb./ac. | 749 | 864 | 889 |
|  | G.M. | $=834 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E./mean $=49.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=11$. |  |

## Crop :- Bengal Gram (Rabi). <br> Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '。

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red soil. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL: Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type C on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. gie'd of grain in lb./ac. | 1646 | 2296 | 2296 |

G.M. $=2079 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} . /$ mean $=41.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=5$.

| Crop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.). | Type :- ‘M’. |

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red and saline. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type C on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 1004 | 1349 | 1514 |

G.M. $=1289 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E. $/$ mean $=15.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=4$.

| Crop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). | Ref:- W.B. $58(\mathrm{SFT})$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.). | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M '}^{\prime}$. |

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phopshate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) type $C$ on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment |  |  |  |
| Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 839 | 963 | 1070 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=957 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E./mean $=22.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=5$. |  |


| Crop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). | Ref:- W.B. 59 (SET). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.). | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M r}^{\prime}$ '。 |

Object : - Type C—To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type $C$ on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 765 | 773 | 839 |

G.M. $=792 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E. $/$ mean $=20.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=10$.

| Crop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). | Ref:- W.B. 58(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Nadia (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-Type C - To compare the responses of legaminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) type $C$ on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 798 | 946 | 922 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. $=889 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E./mean | $=50.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=6$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). } \\
\text { Centre :- Nadia (c.f.). } & \text { Type :- ‘M’. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crop to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (i!) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SF ) type $C$ on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 872 | 955 | 831 |

$$
\text { G.M. }=886 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ; \text { S.E. } / \text { mean }=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no. of trials }=15 .
$$

| Grop :- Bengal gram (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.). | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :-Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to different levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluviual. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Novem ber, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A.' (x) April, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type C on page 245 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 477 | 477 | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |

G.M. $=485 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E./mean $=21.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=2$.

```
Grop :- Green gram (Kharif).
Ref:- W:B. 58(SFT).
Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-Type C-To compare the responses of legumircus crors to alternative levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red and laterite. (iii) to (v) NA. (vi) July, 1958. (vii) to (ix, N.A. (x) Octoter, 1958.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four aॄriculturally herregercous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant ! corcucts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle thana is changed once in two jears within the same zone. Fach feld assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rabi cereal, 8 cn cash crcps, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type $C$. Resicual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zeres in each district every year. The above experiments are Jaid out in ras dombly located felds in sandcmly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per sillage (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1958-$ contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :


| Crop :- Green gram (Kharif). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Jure, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 58(SFT) type $C$ obove conducted at Midnapore.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 601 | 625 | 667 |

$$
\text { G.M. }=631 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ; \text { S.E. } / \mathrm{mf} \text { an }=8.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \text {. and no. of trials }=6
$$

```
Crop :- Lentil.
Ref:- W.B. 58(SFT).
Centre :- Burdwan (c.f.).
Type :- `M'.
```

Objcet :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of Phosphate.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIO NS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Old and new. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Ostober to November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

3 leveis of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided in:o four agriculturally homogenous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field ass.stant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in tw, years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on $k^{\text {h }}$ arif cersal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crop; other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on typ: $C$ trials in tws out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1,80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N:rmal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1958-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yie!d of grain in lb.'ac. | 346 | $4+4$ | 461 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. $=$ | $417 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S}$ E./mean $=30.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=4$. |  |

## Crop :- Lentil (Rabi). <br> Centre :- Howrah (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59 (SFT).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) October to November, 1959. (vii) to (ix; N.A. (x) March, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 58 (SFT) type $C$ on page 249 conducted at Burdwan.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar. yeld of grain in lb./ac. | 757 | 1004 | 1119 |
|  | G.M. | $=960 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E./mean | $=63.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=2$. |


| Crop :- Lentil. | Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Midnapore (c.f ). | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :- Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of Phosphate.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red and saline. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) October to November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 58 (SFT) type C on page 249 conducted at Burdwan.

## 5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 461 | 584 | 642 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=562 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E./mean $=5.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=$. |  |

```
Crop :- Lentil (Rabi). Ref:- W.B. 58(SFT).
Centre :. 24-Parganas (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 58(SFT).
Type :- ' M'.
```

Object:-Type C-To compare the responses of leguminous crops to alterrative levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Alluvia
(iii) to (v) N.A.
(vi) October to November, 1958. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 58(SFT) type C on page 249 conducted at Burdwan.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 403 | 453 | 502 |

G.M. $=453 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E. $/$ mean $=9.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=6$.

```
Crop :- Lentil (Rabi).
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object : - Type C-To compare the res ponses of leguminous crops to alternative levels of Phosphate.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Octoter to November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 58(SFT) type C on page 249 conducted at Burdwan.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 296 | 337 | 354 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | G.M. | $=319 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ;$ S.E./mean $=12.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=5$. |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.R. 56 (4i). Type :- © ${ }^{\text {M }}$ '.

Object : - To study the effect of N and P on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy Joam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing. and spading. (b) Planting in trenches. (c) 60 mds./ac. (d) $3^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi). CO-527 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 to 4 weedings: (ix) $70^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 leve's of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=100, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=150$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=100, P_{2}=150$ and $P_{3}=200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Full dose of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and :- of N was appl ed before planting as basal dressing. of N was applied as top dressing 2 months and 3 months after 1st dressing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (ii:) 4. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $3^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A (iii) Yiel I of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1956-1958. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Crop sufered due to heavy rain in September, 1956. (vii) Plot wise yield data is N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 31.84 tons/ac. (ii) and (iii) N.A. (iv; Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 13.06 | 16.15 | 16.51 | 18.32 | 16.01 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 35.37 | 36.28 | 32.46 | 3637 | 35.12 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3338 | 35.99 | 38.19 | 36.82 | 3609 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 38.28 | 40.63 | 39.55 | 42.08 | 40.13 |
| Mean | 30.02 | 32.26 | 31.68 | 33.40 | 31.84 |
| S.E.'s-N.A. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref:- W.B. 57(54). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- ' ${ }^{\text {M' }}$. |

Object :- To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ and their combinations on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Sugarcane. (b) Paddy. (b) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil acalysis, Burdwan. (iii) 27 to 30.3.1957. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Trench method (cutting placed horizonatlly in trenches 8 to $10^{\circ}$ deep). (c) 60 mds ./ac. (d) $3^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) (N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO-527 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding, top-dressing and earthing up. (ix) 42.1". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same a; in expt. no. 55;47) 0.2 pıg 251.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 40.37 tons/ac. (ii) 3.10 tons/ac. (iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.


## Crop :- Sugarcane. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Ref :- W.B. 58(49). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To find out the optimum dose of N and P on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Fallow. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 5 to 12.41958 . (iv) (a) Ploughing and spading. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in tranches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A.
(d) $3^{\prime}$ between rows.
(e) Nil.
(v) N.A.
(vi) CO-527. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 to 4 weedings.
$56.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 56(44) on page 251.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $3^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yjeld of sugatcare: (iv) (a) 1956-1958. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b Nil. (vi) Crop suffered due to heavy rains during the lest part of the menth of April. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 16.6 tons/ac. (ii) 6.4 tons/ac. (iii) Only main effect of $N$ is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.


## Crop :- Sugarcane. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. <br> Ref:- W.B. 57(\$2). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of different sources of $\mathbf{N}$ on the y ield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) January, 1557. (iv) (a)
N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e)
N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) CO-527. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and earthings. (ix) N.A. (x)

Janauary and Febaur;, 1958.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Main-plot treatments:
3 sources of $N: S_{1}=C / N, S_{2}=A / S$ and $S_{3}=A / C$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1.0^{\prime} \times 1.0^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1957-1959. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 28.1 tons/ac. (ii) (a) 4.6 tons/ac. (b) 5.4 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yieid of sugarcane in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | - | - | - | 23.5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 30.6 | 26.2 | 30.7 | 29.2 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 28.9 | $\vdots 02$ | 35.6 | 31.6 |
| Mean | 29.8 | 28.2 | 33.2 | - |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=1.53$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$
2. $\mathbf{N}$ marginal means
$=1.80$ tons/ac.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=3.12$ tons $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of N
$=2.98$ tons/ac.

| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- W.B. 58(41). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- 'M'. |

Orject:-To find out the effect of different sources of $\mathbf{N}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Sugarcane. (b) Su sarcane. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 1 to 3.4 .1958 . (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings, spadings and land preparation. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in tranches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) CO-527 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and earthings. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.玉.1959 to 15.4.1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $57(42)$ on page 253.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 24.5 tons/ac. (ii) (a) 3.27 tons/ac. (b) 3.33 tons/ac. (iii) Main effest of N is highly significant and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots N_{0}$ | - | - | - | 200 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 25.5 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 248 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 29.6 | 32.0 | 24.6 | 28.7 |
| Mean | 27.6 | 28.2 | 24.4 | - |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=1.09$ tons/ac.
2. N marginal means
$=1.11$ tons/ac.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=1.92$ tons/ac.
4 S means at the same devel of $N$
$=1.91$ tons/ac.

| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- W.B. 59(25). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sité :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{M}$ '. |

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of N on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 1.3.1959 to 2.3.1959. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line sowing. (c) $3^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO-527. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $6.5^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.121959 to 27.12.1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 57(42) on page 253.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 18.75 tors/ac. (ii) (a) 3.00 tons/ac. (b) 3.10 tons/ac. (iii) Main effect of $S$ is significant. Main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | - | - | - | 14.86 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 19.34 | 22.72 | 17.13 | 19.73 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 21.05 | 24.14 | 19.80 | 21.66 |
| Mean | 20.20 | 23.43 | 18.47 | - |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=1.00$ tons/ac.
2. N marginal means $\quad=1.03$ tons/ac.
3. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{S} \quad=1.79$ tons/ac.
4. $\mathbf{S}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=1.77$ tons/ac.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:-W.B. 59(10).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $N$ on the yield of Sugarcane.
BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy soil. (b) Refer soil analysis', Berhampore. ' (iii) 10 to 15.5 .1959 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Line sowing. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO527. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $57.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 sources of $N: S_{1}=C / N, S_{2}=A / S$ and $S_{3}=A / C$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A
(iii) 6. (iv) (a)
$32^{\prime} \times 28.5^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 26.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1.0^{\prime} \times 1.0^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 15.00 tons/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.10 tons/ac.
(b) 2.32 tons/ac.
(iii) Main effects of N and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tons/ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=1.03$ tocs/ac.
2. N marginal means
$=0.77$ tons/ac.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=1.34$ tons/ac.
4. S means at the same level of N
$=1.51$ tons/ac.

## Crop :- Sugarcane. Ref:- W.B. 59(SFT). <br> Centre :- Birbhum (c.f.). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object:-Type A-To stady the response of Sugarcane to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. B ASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Laterite and red. (iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control ( n 0 manure)
$\mathrm{n}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{p}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}_{v}$ a; Super.
np $=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$k=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$n k \quad=60 \mathrm{lb} . / 2 \mathrm{c}$. of N as $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{S}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
pk $=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Suser +40 lb .' ac oi $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
npk $=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~S}+4 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as $\operatorname{Super}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has be:n divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle;thana is charged once in two years within the same zons. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash creps, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects o. phosphate application ars: sudied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randombly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per viliage. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Nor nal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Graia yield. (iv) (a) 1958 -contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatme:ats. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

| Effect | D | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | $\Gamma^{\text {k }}$ | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of sugarcane in tonsjac. | 3.40 | 1.65 | -0.47 | 0.887 | 0.38 | $-0.20$ | 0.90 | 0.34 | 0.511 |
|  | Control yield $=24.78$ tons./ac. and no. of trials $=4$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Centre :- Howrah (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 59(SET).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ 。

Object :-Type A-To study the response of Sugarcane to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Alluvial.
N.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59 (SFT) type A on page 256 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of sugarcane in tons/ac. | 5.06 | 2.44 | 4.17 | 1.095 | 0.89 | 1.36 | 0.77 | -0.50 | 0.819 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control mean | $=$ | 34.72 tons/ac. and no. of trials | $=$ | 3. |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Sugarcane.
Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ‘M'.
```

Object :-Type A-To study the response of Sugarcane to levels of N, P and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BȦSAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A.
(ii) Red and saline.
(iii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. $59(\mathrm{SFT})$ type A on page 256 conducted at Birbhum.
5. RESULTS:

| Effect | $\square$ | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of sugarcane in tons/ac. | 2.45 | 4.84 | 3.68 | 0.287 | 2.08 | 1.90 | -2 20 | -2.63 | 0.287 |
|  | Control mean $=26.57$ tons/ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Jute (Kharif). | Ref :- W.B. 59(19). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar. | Type :- ‘M’. |

Object :-To study the effect of different sources of $\mathbf{N}$ on the yield of Jute.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jute-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Silty and fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 28.4.1959. (iv) (a) 4 to 6 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ (d) $4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{D}-154$. . (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 2 weedings and thinnings. (ix) N.A. (x) 25.9.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) 4 one control
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$-and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60-\mathrm{lb}$ :/ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5.
(iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of jute fibre (dry) and sticks. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2017 lb ./ac. (ii) 123.0 lb ./ac. (iii) 'Control $v s$. others' alone is highly signifcant. (iv) Av. yield of fibre in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2001 | 2092 | 2046 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2074 | 2185 | 2129 |
| Mean | 2037 | 2138 | 2087 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | 38.9 |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | 55.0 |

Crop:- Jute (Kharif).
Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Fulia.

Ref :- W.B. 59(5).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N through different sources on the yield of Jute.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Wheat-Jute. (b) Wheat. (c) As per teeatments. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Fulia. (iii) 10.6 .19 j9. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Broadcasting. (c) $5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) D-i54 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and thinnings. (ix) $30.2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.9.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +one control
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of dry fibre and sticks. (iv) (a) 1958 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Cooch Behar. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1766 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 98.3 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effect of N and 'control $v s$. others' are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of fibre in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1540 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1698 | 1809 | 1753 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1844 | 1937 | 1890 |
| Mean | 1771 | 1873 | 1822 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=31.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=44.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Site :- State Seed Multiplication Farm, Krishnagar. Type :- ‘M'.

Object :-To study the effects of $A / S$ and $A / C$ on the yield of Jute.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Jute. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 5 to 6 ploughings and spadings. (b) Broadcast. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung.
(vi) Local (capsularis), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 to 5 weedings and 2 thinnings. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +one control
(1) 2 levels of $N: N_{1}=40$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / C$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of fibre. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Fulia and Cooch Behar. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1785 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $463.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 'Control $v s$ others' alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of fibre in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :- Jute.
Centre :- Howrah (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) April to June, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) September to October, 1959.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

0 = Control (no manure).
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathbf{n}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathbf{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zone and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the some zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes..
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Jute yield. (iv) (a) $1959-$ contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.

5 RESULTS:

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of jute in lb./ac. | 946 | 1588 | 1588 | 1424 | 1613 | 1465 | 1465 |
|  | G.M. | $=$ | $1441 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E./mean |  | $46.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=$ | 2. |  |


| Crop :- Jute. | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative effiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red.
(iii) to (v) N.A.
(vi) April to June, 1959
(vii) to (ix) N.A.
(x) September to October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 259 conducted at Howrah.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of jute in lb./ac, | 2427 | 2880 | 3045 | 3168 | 3291 | 3045 | 3415 |

G.M. $=3039 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E. $/ \mathrm{mean}=112.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=2$

Crop :- Jute.
Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59 (SFT).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 259 conducted at Howrah.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of jute in lb./ac. | 15445 | 17765 | 19592 | 17708 | 19296 | 17288 | 19395 |
|  | G.M. | $=$ | $18070 \mathrm{lb} . / a c .$, S.E./mean | $=$ | 263.0 lb ./ac. and no. of trials $=4$. |  |  |

```
Crop :- Jute.
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Centre :- Nadia (c.f.).
Type :- ‘'M'.
```

Object:-Type B-To investigate the relătive efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizèrs at different dosès.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4. GENERAL:

Sámè as in expt. no. $59(\mathrm{SFT})$ type B on page 259 conducted at Howrah.
5. RESULTS:

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of jute in lb ./ac. | 12318 | 17527 | 17239 | 15725 | 17560 | 15577 | 16531 |

```
Crop:- Jute.
C̈entré :- 204-Parganas (c.f.).
Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
    Type :- ' \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) '.
```

Object :- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different doses.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 259 conducted at Howrah.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of jute in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | 18004 | 22044 | 22768 | 20464 | 21419 | 19749 | 21073 |

G.M. $=20789 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{S}: E . /$ mean $=470.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=10$.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Jute. } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). } \\ \text { Centre :- Midnapore (c.f.). } & \text {.Type :- 'M'. }\end{array}$
Object:-Type $\mathrm{A}^{-\mathrm{T}}$ o study the response of Jute to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Red saline. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) September and October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS :
```
0 =Control (no manure).
n = 40 lb./ac. of N as A/S.
p}=20\textrm{lb}./\textrm{ac}.\mathrm{ of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{P}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{O}}{5}{}\mathrm{ as Super.
np}=40\textrm{lb}./\textrm{ac}.\mathrm{ of N}\mathrm{ as A/S+20 lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{P}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{O}}{5}{}\mathrm{ as Super.
k = 20 lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{K}}{2}{}\textrm{O}\mathrm{ as Mur. Pot.
nk}=40\textrm{lb}./\textrm{ac}.\mathrm{ of N as A/S+20 lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{K}}{2}{}\textrm{O}\mathrm{ as Mur. Pot.
pk =20 lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{P}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{O}}{5}{}\mathrm{ as Super + 40́ lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{K}}{2}{\prime}\mp@subsup{\textrm{O}}{}{*}\mathrm{ as Mur. Pot.
npk = 40 lb./ac. of N as A/S +20 lb./ac. of }\mp@subsup{\textrm{P}}{2}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{O}}{5}{}\mathrm{ as Super +20 lb./ac. of K K2O as Mur. Pot.
```


## 3. DESIGN:

(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant has been posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on a kharif cereal, 8 on a rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type B on crops other than legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Fibre yield.
(iv) (a) 1959-contd. (d) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) As per design. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Jute. } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). } \\
\text { Centre :- Murshidabad (c.f.). } & \text { Type :- ‘M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object : - Type A-To study the response of Jute to levels of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) September and October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 261 conducted at Midnapore.
5. RESULTS :

| Effect | n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Av. response of jute in lb./ac. | 1292 | 658 | 0 | 9.1 | -58 | 107 | -222 | -469 | 7.4 |

$$
\text { Control yield }=17231 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { and no of trials }=4
$$

| Crop :- Jute. | Ref $=$ W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- Nadia (c.f.). | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- Type A-To study the response of Jute to levels of, N, P and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N A. (x) September and Ostober, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type A on page 261 conducted at Midnapore.
5. RESULTS:

| Effect | $\square$ | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. response of jute in lb./ac. | 2139 | 757 | 1103 | 40.3 | 41 | -831 | -354 | 1152 | 40.3 |
|  | Control yield $=14063 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials $=5$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Jute.
Centre :- 24-Parganas (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:- Type A-To study the response of Jute to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) September and October, 1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt vo. 59 (SFT) type A on page 261 conducted at Midnapore.
5. RESULTS :

|  | n | p | k | $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E}$. | np | pk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av. response of jute in lb./ac. | 4542 | 1777 | 1045 | 54.3 | 1234 | -58 | 1531 | 848 | 50.2 |

```
Crop:- Jute (Kharif).
Centre :- Burdwan (district, c.f.). Type :- ' \(\mathbf{M}\) '.
Ref:- W.B. 54(19).
```

Object :- To study the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Jute in different soil regions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Light and medium texture soil (alluvial). (iii) Nil. (iv) Local (capsularis). (v) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 42.3". (x) September-October, 1955.
2. : TREATMENTS :

3 manurial treatments : $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}=$ Control (cultivator's practice), $\mathbf{M}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
Fertilizers were applied as top dressing when plants were 4 weeks old.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) Selected at random from the list of police stations in the district. 21 expts. in Burdwan and 30 expts. in Hooghly district. Single replication per village. (iii) (a) 0.50 to 0.75 ac . of land divided into 3 equal parts. (b) 2 circular cuts of $6^{\prime \prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ diameter obtaided at random within a sub-plot. ( $1 / 60 \mathrm{ac}$. approximately). (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Green weight and dry fibere yield. (iv) 1952-1954. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Green weight of plants were recorded separately for the two patches by crop cutting technique. The plants were then combined for retting and dry fibre extracted from fully retted plants. The dry weight of fibre from 2 cuts/plot was then converted to acreage.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1810 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $369.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of fibre in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 1544 | 1862 | 2023 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $51.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop:- Jute (Kharif).
Gentre :- Hoogly (district, c.f.).
Object :- To study the effect of different doses of fertilizerss on the yield of Jute in different soil regions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) Light and medium texture soil. (iii) Nil. (iv) Local (capsularis). (v)
(a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Broadcasting. (c) 30 lb .ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (vi) April-June, 1954. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 47.14". (x) September-October, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54(19) on page 263.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1658 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $230.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment diferences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of ©bre in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1460 | 1730 | 1785 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $32.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Groundnut. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 54(37).
bject :-To find out the effect of intercultural operations on the yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(1) (a) Wheat-Groundnut. (b) Wheat. (c) $250 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of T.C. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 13.6 .1954 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $24^{* *} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Spainsh peanut (late, spreading type). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $36.82^{\prime}$. (x) 12.11.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

6 cultural treatments : $C_{0}=$ Control, $C_{1}=1$ weeding and 1 mulching, $C_{2}=2$ weedings and 2 mulchings, $C_{3}=$ 3 weedings and 3 mulchings, $C_{4}=1$ weeding, 1 mulching and 1 earthing and $C_{5}=$ 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) NA. (iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(b) $16^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Groundnut yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5440 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 862.4 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of groundnut in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $C_{0}$ | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | $C_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 6045 | 7036 | 6860 | 5084 | 4988 | 2625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Groundnut.
Ref :- W.B. 55(14).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Type :- ‘C'.

Object :-To find out the effect of intercultural operations on the yield of Groundnut.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Wheat-Groundnut. (b) Wheat. (c) $250 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of T.C. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 8.6.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $24^{*} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2. (v) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Spanish peanut (late). (vii) Nil. (viii) As per treatments (ix). 42.6". (x) 13.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(37) on page 264.
3. DESIGN :
i) L. Sq.
(ii) (a) 6 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6
v) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$
(b) $22^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Gcod. (ii) N.A. (iii) Groundnut yield. (iv) (a) $1953-1955$, (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1257 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 388.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of groundnut in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1246 | 1695 | 1069 | 1154 | 1279 | 1098 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $158.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Groundnut.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 54(55)
Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the best spacing for Groundnut to get maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Mustard-Linseed groundnut. (b) Mustard—Linseed. (c) $150 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. cowdung. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 17.6.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) 25 to $65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) 150 mds ./ac. of cowdung. (vi) $\mathrm{K}-3$ (Kopergaon early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchingss and 2 earthings. (ix) $36.82^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.11 .1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

8 different spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}, S_{5}=18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{6}=24^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}, S_{7}=$ $24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{8}=24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate.
(ii) Nıl. (iii) Groundnut yield
(iv) (a) 1952-1955.
(b) No.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1467 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $226.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of grounc nut in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1103 | 1748 | 1494 | 1221 | 1629 | 1641 | 1523 | 1378 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $130.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Groundmut. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 55(15).
Type :- ${ }^{6}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :--To find out the best spacing for Groundnut to get maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Mustard-Linseed followed by groundnut. (b) Mustard-Linseed. (c) 150 mds.fac. of cowdung. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6.1955. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) N.A. (c) Varies according to spacing. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 to 3. (v) 150 mds./ac. "of cowducg. (vi) K-3 (Kopergaon early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings. (ix) $4260^{\prime \prime}$. (x)! 5.11.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(55) on plge 265.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3
(iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $27 \times 9^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grouadnut yield. (iv) (a) $1952-1955$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $303 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $62.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatmeat differenses are significant. (iv) Av, yield of groundnut in lb/ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | S4 | $\mathbf{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ | $S_{7}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yie!d | 312 | 250 | 371 | 307 | 290 | 283 | 366 | 246 |
|  | S.E. | $=$ | lb./a |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Groundaut. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. <br> Ref :- W.B. 54(54). <br> Type:- ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{C}$.

Object :-To find out the most suitable spacing for groundnut to get the maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Mastard+Linsesd—Groundnat. (b) Mastard-Linseed. (c) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (ii) (a) Loamy sand. (b) Refer soll analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 12.6 .1954 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) 20 to $63 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 to 3. (v) $150 \mathrm{mds} / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Spacish paanut (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 mulchings. (ix) $34.53^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.11.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(35) on page 265.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8 .
(b) NA. (iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 54 ,55) on page 265.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1807 lb /ac. (ii) 245.5 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of groundnut in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{5}$ | $S_{8}$ | $S_{7}$ | $S_{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1490 | 1613 | 1601 | 1759 | 1800 | 1772 | 2228 | 2193 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Groundnut.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 55(13).
Type :- ‘C'.

Object:-To find out the most suitable spazing for groundn ut to get the maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Mustard+Linseed-Groundout. (b) Mustard-Linseed. (c) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (ii) (a) Loamy soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 9.6 .1955 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) 20 to 63 b./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 to 3 . (v) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Spanish peanut (late'. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 mulchings. (ix) 51.64". (x) 20.11.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(55) on page 265.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield cf unshelled groundnut. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $571 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $128.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differerces are rot signifcant. (iv) Av. yield of groundnut in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 577 | 573 | 541 | 497 | 580 | 593 | 594 | 610 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Til (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri. Farma, Berhampore. .
Ref :- W.B. 54(61).
Type :- \({ }^{6} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\).
```

Object:-To find out the best time of sowing for Til.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spading and 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) Line sowing. (c) 6 to $7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) $B-14$ (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and thinning. (ix) $37.30^{\circ}$. (x) For treatment $D_{1}$ to $D_{4}$ after 90 days and for $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ after 80 days of sowing.
2. TREATMENTS :

6 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=12$ th May to 9 th June, $D_{2}=27$ th May to 23 rd June, $D_{3}=12$ th June to 7 th July, $D_{4}=$ 27th June to 21st July, $\mathrm{D}_{5}=12 \mathrm{th}$ July to 5 th $A$ ugust ard $\mathrm{D}_{6}=27$ th July to 20th August.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) $11.5^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$.
(b) $9.5^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
(v) $1.0^{\prime} \times 0.5^{\prime}$. (vi)Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Yield of seeđ.
(iv) (a) 195?-1955.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $364 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 107.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Treatmert differerces are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1203 | 796 | 92 | 38 | 33 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $43.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Til (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri, Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 55(80).
Type :- 'C'.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Spading and 1 to 2 ploughings. (b) Line sowing. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 80 to 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) B-14 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and thinning. (ix) $40.10^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) For treatments $D_{1}$ to $D_{4}$ after 90 days and for $D_{5}$ and $D_{8}$ after 80 days of sowing.

## 2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 54;61) on page 267.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $394 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $237.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 790 | 814 | 415 | 223 | 64 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $96.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Til (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 54(63). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. } & \text { Type :- ‘C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object : - To find out the best seed-rate for Til.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (riverine). (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15.6.1954. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Line sowing. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Nil. (v) 100 to 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) B-14. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $38.61^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 17.9.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 different seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{3}=4, \mathrm{R}_{2}=5, \mathrm{R}_{3}=6, \mathrm{R}_{4}=7$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Seed appl:ed by broadcast.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) $1.0^{\prime} \times 1.0^{\prime} \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of seed. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $288 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $40.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Av. yield | 301 | 322 | 376 | 331 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=$ | $18.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Til (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 55(82). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. } & \text { Type :- 'C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the best seed-rate for Til.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sardy loam (riverine). (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15 to 20.6.1955. (iv) (a) Spadirgs and 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) Line sowing. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Nil. (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) B-14 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 thinnings. (ix) $46.47^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) Last week of September, 1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 54(63) on page 268.
3. DESIGN:
(i) L. Sq.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (ii) 5.
(a) $22^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1.0^{\prime} \times 0.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of seed.
(iv) (a) 1953-1955.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $123 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $61.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Trealment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | 89 | 139 | 176 | 107 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $27.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :- Linseed (Rabi).

Ref :- W.B. 54(62).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed-rate for Linseed.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (riverine). (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 25.10.1954. (iv) (a) Spading and 3 ploug hings. (b) Line sowing. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) B-37 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings and 2 thinnings. (ix) $4.75^{\prime \prime}$. ( x$) 10$ to 15.3 .1955 .
2. TREATMENTS :

6 seed rates : $R_{1}=8, R_{2}=10, R_{3}=12, R_{4}=14, R_{5}=16$ and $R_{6}=18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 0.5^{\prime} . \quad$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of seed
(iv) (a) 1952-1955.
(b) Yes.
(c) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1092 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $132.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 977 | 1113 | 1134 | 1123 | 1108 | 1095 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Linseed (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 55(81).
Type:- ${ }^{6} C^{\prime}$.

Object:-To find out the optimum seed-rate for Linseed.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (riverine). (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) Last week of October, 1955. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Nil. (v) 80 to $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) $\mathbf{B}-37$ (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (yiii) 2 weedings and thinning. (ix) $7.68^{n}$. x) Last week of March, 1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54;62) on page 267.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $23^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 9.5^{\prime}$. (v) $0.75^{\prime}$ both sides lengtbwise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nol. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1952-1955$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1296 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $154.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 1030 | $1+28$ | 1440 | 1297 | 1381 | 1199 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | $77.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

## Crop:- Toria (Kharif). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda. <br> Ref :- W.B. 58(26). Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To find the out effect of N alone and in combination with P on the yield of Toria.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Alluvial (lcam). (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 15 to 20.10 .1958 . (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and spading. (b) Line sowing. (c) 4 to 5 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) NA . (v) 150 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) $\mathrm{B}-54$ (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and 2 thinnings. (ix) $48^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22 to 30.1.1959.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{5}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 0.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Gocd.
(ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain
(iv) (a) 1958-1952.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A.
(v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS ;
(i) $512 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $134.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 497 | 546 | 509 | 485 | 509 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 443 | 400 | 578 | 504 | 481 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 460 | 581 | 554 | 586 | 545 |
| Mean | 467 | 509 | 547 | 525 | 512 |
| S.E. of N marginal mean <br> S.E. of $P$ marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =38.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =33.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =67.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Toria (Kharif).
Ref :- W.B. 59(48).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.
Type :- ‘M'.

Object:- To find out the effect of N alone and in combination with P on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Alluvial (loam). (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 22 to 28.10.1959. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings, 3 spadings and harrowings. (b) Line scwing, (d) 4 to $5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) B -54 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of January, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 58(26) on page 270.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1412 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $145.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1089 | 1380 | 1422 | 1583 | 1368 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1234 | 1312 | 1514 | 1703 | 1441 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1284 | 1373 | 1507 | 1548 | 1428 |
| Mean | 1202 | 1355 | 1481 | 1611 | 1412 |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=41.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of P marginal mean | $=36.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=72.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$a$

Crop :- Mustard (Rabi).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.

Ref:- W.B. 57(55).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of N and P on the yield of Mustard.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani. (iii) 2.11.1957. (iv)
(a) 3 to 4 ploughings and 2 spadings. (b) Line sowings. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Tori-7. (viii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.1.19:8.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=40$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
()) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4
(iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $36^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A.
(iii) Yield of grain.
iv) (a) No.
(b) and (c) Nil. (v) (a) No.
(b) Nil. (v) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $209 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 41.0 lb ./ac. (iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ interaction is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 118 | 210 | 193 | 174 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 119 | 332 | 283 | 245 |
| Mean | 118 | 271 | 238 | 209 |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=14.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $P$ marg:aal mean | $=11.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=20.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Mustard (Rabi).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Kalyani.<br>Ref :- W.B. 58(30).<br>Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effects of N and P on the yield $\mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{Mu}$,tard.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam and sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kalyani, (iii) 15.11.1958. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) Broadzast. (c) As per per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) 80 to 100 mds./ac. .of cowdung. (vi) Rai-5. (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 2 weedıngs and 1 thining. (ix) N.A. (x) 7.3.1959.
2. TREATMENTS to 4. GENERAL :

Same as in expt. no. 57!55) on page 271.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 743 lb ./ac. (ii) 275.3 lb ./as. (iii) Only main effest of N is sigaificant. (iv) Av. yield of seed in lb ./ac.


Grop :- Mustard (Rabi).
Centre:- Nadia (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:- Type A - To study the respoase of Mustard to levels of $N, P$ and $K$ applied individually and in combinations.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) Oztober to November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1960.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$0 \quad=$ Control (no manure).
$n \quad=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{p} \quad=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
op $=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{k}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$\mathrm{nk}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
$\mathrm{pk}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
npk $=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{Super}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogenous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other balf of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type $C$. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1959-contd.
(b) No. (c) N.A.
(v) As per treatments.
(vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:

Effect
Av. response of mustard in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| n | p | k | S.E. | np | nk | pk | npk | S.E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 16 | 58 | 15.6 | -25 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 11.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control yield | $=$ |  | $263 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and no. of trials | $=8$, |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Mustard (Rabi).

Centre :- Nadia (c.f.).

Ref :- W.B. 59 (SFT).
. Type :- ‘M'.

Object:- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different levels.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial. (iii) to (v) N.A. (vi) October to November, 1959. (vii) to (ix) N.A. (x) March, 1960.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control (no manure).
$n_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$n_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$n_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. ac of N as Urea.
${\mathrm{n}_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
$\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) The district has been divided into four agriculturally homogeneous zones and one field assistant posted in each zone. The field assistant conducts the trials in one Revenue circle or thana in the zone and the circle/thana is changed once in two years within the same zone. Each field assistant is required to conduct 31 trials in a year, 8 on kharif cereal, 8 on rabi cereal, 8 on cash crops, 4 on an oilseed crop and 3 on a leguminous crop. Half the number of trials conducted are of type $A$ and the other half of type $B$ on crops other than the legumes. The three trials on legumes are of type C. Residual effects of phosphate application are studied on type $C$ trials in two out of the four zones in each district every year. The above experiments are laid out in randomly located fields in randomly selected villages in each of the 4 zones at the rate of one experiment per village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1959-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $n_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 296 | 370 | 403 | 395 | 411 | 329 | 379 |
|  | G.M. | $=$ | $369 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, S.E./mean | $=$ | 17.5 lb ./ac. and no. of trials | $=9$. |  |


| Crop :- Mastard (Rabi). | Ref :- W.B. 59(SFT). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centre :- 24 Parganas (c.f.). | Type :- ' 'M'. |

Object:- Type B-To investigate the relative efficiency of different nitrogenous fertilizers at different levels.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS to 4. GENERAL:

Same as in expt. no. 59(SFT) type B on page 273 conducted at Nadia.
5. RESULTS :

| Treatment | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. | 288 | 329 | 362 | 329 | 329 | 346 | 321 |
|  |  |  | c., S. | an | 8 lb . | no. | $=$ |


| Crop:- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- W.B. 54(8). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. College Farm, Tollyganj. | Type :- ' $\mathbf{X '}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of mixed cropping of Cotton with Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) 75 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Light loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tollyganj. (iii) Paddy : 31.5 .1954 and Cotton : 16.1954 . (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings with ladderings. (b) Line sowing of cotton and broadcast for paddy. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 75 mds./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Cotton : D-5 (late) and Paddy : Dular (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchings with hand hoe and 1 earthing. (ix) 57.25". (x) Paddy : 4.9.1954 and Cotton : November to March, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 treatments: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Cotton alone with $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ spacing, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Cotton alone with $2 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{} \times 1^{\prime}$ spacing, $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Aus paddy broadcast followed by gram, $\mathrm{T}_{4}=A u s$ paddy with $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ spacing of cotton and $\mathrm{T}_{5}=A u s$ paddy with $5^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ spacing of cotton.
3. DESIGN:
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5.
iv) (a) and (b) $30^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$
(v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. Paddy lodging at maturity in early September. (ii) Jassid in early stages of growth, stem (beetles) borers when plants are about $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\prime}$ to $2^{\prime}$ long. Leaf insects (leaf-rollers) almost at all stages of growth and development from August to October. Black arm and anthracnose disease. 5\% water soluble DDT sprayed at fortnightly interval. Seed treatment with Agrosen G.N. and concentrated sulphuric acid. (iii) Yield of paddy and cotton. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore, Chandernagore and Burdwan. (b) Nil. (vi) Gram failed due to severe drought during late phases of the growth and development. (vii) The raw data and analysis are not available.
5. RESULTS :
(i) to (iii) N.A. (iv) Av. yzeld of grain of paddy and cotton in lb./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{4}} \cdot$ | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield Paddy | - | - | 2240 | 1312 | 1385 |  |
|  | Cotton | 1344 | 1255 | - | 1342 | 1339 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif). <br> Site :- State Agri. College Farm, Tollyganj. <br> Ref :- W.B. 55(89). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{X}$ '.

Object :-To find out whether mixed cropping of Cotton with Paddy would be profitable.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tollyganj. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering, (b) Line sowing of cotton and broadcast for paddy. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for cotton. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cotton : D-5 and Paddy : N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning and laddering. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 treatments : $T_{1}=$ Cotton alone, $T_{2}=$ Paddy alone and $T_{3}=$ Cotton with a spacing of $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ sown mixed with paddy.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. : (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $38^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and kapas. (iv) (a) 1955-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $578 \mathrm{Rs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 97.81 Rs ./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (lv) Av. money value of produce in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. value | 515 | 516 | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 39.93 |
|  | Rs./ac. |  |  |

## Crop:- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif). <br> Ref :- W.B. 56(54). <br> Site :- State Agri. College Farm, Tollyganj. <br> Type :- 'X'.

Object :-To find out whether mixed cropping of Cotton with Paddy would be profitable.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tollyganj. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings. (b) Line sowing for cotton and broadcast for paddy. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for cotton. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cotton D-5 and Paddy : N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) and ( x ) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(89) above.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6
v) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(b) $42^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and kapas. (iv) (a) 1955-1956. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Berhampore. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 676 Rs./ac. (ii) 74.19 Rs./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. money value of produce in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. value | 647 | 408 | 884 |

S.E./mean $=30.29$ Rs./ac.

## Crop :- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif) and Gram (Rabi). Ref :- W.B. 5j(90). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berham pore. Type :- 'X'.

Object : -To find out whether mixed cropping of Cotton with Paddy would be profitable.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering. (b) Broadcast for paddy and line sowing for cotton. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for cotton. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cotton D-5, Paddy and Gram : N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning and weeding. (ix and ( $x$ ) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

3 treatments: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Cotton alone, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Paddy in kharif followed by Gram in rabi and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Cotton with a spacing of $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ sown mixed with Paddy.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $42^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and kapas. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Tollyganj. (b) Nul. (vi) Nil. (vii) The money value in $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is based on the yield of Paddy in kharif and Gram in rabi.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 512 Rs./ac. (ii) 88.16 Rs./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. money value of produce in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Av. value | 262 | 730 | 544 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 44.08 |
|  | Rs./ac. |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif) and Gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. } \mathbf{5 6 ( 5 3 ) .} \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. } & \text { Type :- 'X'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out whether mixed cropping of Cotton with Padddy would be profitable.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berham pore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Pioughing and ladder ng. (b) Broadcast for paddy and line sowing for cottoa. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for cotton. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cotton D-5, Paddy and Gram : N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. $55(90)$ above.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and kapas. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Tollyganj. (b) Nil: (vi) Nil. (vii) The money value in $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is based on the yield of Paddy in kharif and Gram in rabi.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $281 \mathrm{Rs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 40.50 Rs ./ac, (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. money value of produce in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. value | 215 | 316 | 312 |

$\therefore$ E./mean $=20.25$ Rs./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Grop :- Cotton and Paddy (Kharif) and Gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. } 57(67) . \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. } & \text { Type :- 'X'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- To find out whether mixed cropping of Cotton with Paddy would be profitable.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast for paddy and line sowing for cotton. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for cotton. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cotton : H-14 and D-5. Paddy and gram : N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) and (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 treatments : $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Cotton $\mathrm{D}-5$ alone, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Paddy in kharif followed by gram in rabi, $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Cotton $\mathrm{D}-5$ with a spacing of $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ sown mixed with paddy, $\mathrm{T}_{4}=$ Cotton $\mathrm{H}-14$ alone and $\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Cotton $\mathrm{H}-14$ with a spacing of $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ sown mixed with paddy.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii)
5. (iv) (a)
(a) $62^{\prime} \times 32$
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ :
(v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain and kapas. (iv) (a) 1955-1957. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) and (vi) Nil. (vii) The money value in $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is based on the yield of paddy in kharif and gram in rabi.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 746 Rs./ac. (ii) 124.23 Rs./ac. (iii) Treatment differences are highly sigoificant. (iv) Av. money value of produce in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | T1 | T ${ }_{2}$ | T3 | T4 | T5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. value | 860 | 343 | 962 | 714 | 853 |
|  | S.E | $=$ | Rs. |  |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref :-W.B. $58(40)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathbf{M}$. |

Object :- To study the effect of N alone and in combination with P on the yield of Banana.
BASAL CONDITIONS :

[^7]2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A_{i} S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=4, N_{2}=8$ and $N_{3}=12$ ozs./plant.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=4$ ozs./plant.

Manures were applied after plantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) 0.24 ac. (iii) 4. (iv) 4 rows of 5 plants each (green) and 2 'rows of 3 plants each (net). (v) 2 rows of 2 plant. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 19j8-contd. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 23.03 lb ./plant. (ii) $2.29 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 20.76 | 21.81 | 24.05 | 24.14 | 22.69 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 20.10 | 23.10 | 24.79 | 25.49 | 23.37 |
| Mean | 20.43 | 22.45 | 24.42 | 24.82 | 23.03 |


| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=0.81 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of P marginal mean | $=0.57 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.15 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |

## Crop :- Banana.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 59(52).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{M}}$.

Object :- To find out the optimum level of $N$ and ascertain the response of $P$ at different levels of $N$ for perennial plantation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By sucker. (iv) Champa. (v) 10.11.1957/N.A. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) 10 tons/ac. of \T.C. (viii) Ploughing, spading, desuckering and staking. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) 78.43". (x N.A.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 58(40) on page 277.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1957 -contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 23.52 lb ./plant. (ii) 2.99 lb ./plant. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 22.33 | 24.05 | 24.50 | 22.63 | 23.38 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 19.68 | 25.50 | 23.95 | 25.55 | 23.67 |
| Mean | 21.00 | 24.78 | 24.22 | 24.09 | 23.5 |

S.E. of N marginal mean

$=1.06 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant .

S.E. of $P$ marginal mean

$=0.75 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant..

S.E. of body of table'

$=1.50 \mathrm{ib} . /$ plant .

## Grop :- Banana.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 55(51).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of application of K and P in combinations with N both from inorganic and organic sources on the yield of Banana.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) Paddy and sugarcane were sown previously but the land remained fallow for two years before the experiment. (ii) (a) Clay soil, new alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa (local medium). (v) 20.6 .1955 Spacing $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ in pits of $3^{\prime}$ depth of $3^{\prime}$ diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) 20 tons/ac. of T.C. at $32 \mathrm{lb} . /$ pit on 16.6 .1955 . (viii) Spading, pulverising and desukering on occasion. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 68.11". (x) 22.8.1956 to 21,11.1956.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

12 manurial treatments: $M_{0}=$ Control, $M_{1}=4 \mathrm{ozs}$. of N as mustard or groundnut cake, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=4$ ozs. of N as A/S, $M_{3}=M_{1}+M_{2}, M_{4}=2 \quad M_{1}, \quad M_{5}=2 \quad M_{2}, M_{6}=M_{1}+M_{2}+K_{2} O$ as Pot. Sul. to make up a total of 8 ozs ., $\mathrm{M}_{7}=2 \mathrm{M}_{1}+8 \mathrm{ozs}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as pot. sul., $\mathrm{M}_{8}=$ $\mathrm{M}_{1}+\mathrm{M}_{2}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to make up a total of 8 ozs., $\mathrm{M}_{9}=2 \mathrm{M}_{1}+8$ ozs. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, $\mathrm{M}_{10}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+\mathrm{M}_{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. to make up a total of 8 ozs. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to make up a total of 8 ozs. and $\mathrm{M}_{11}=8$ ozs of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+8 \mathrm{ozs}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. +8 ozs. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Fertilizers were applied in two equal doses just before and after the monsoon and were applied per plant.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 12 . (iii) 4 . (iv) 2 rows of 4 plants each. (v) 1 row alround the plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth, no. of suckers leaves lenght and breadth of lomina, size of flower and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1955-conta. (b) No. (v) and (vi) Nil. (vii) A great number of plants were damaged by the severe storm and flood during September-October 1956 and as a result data on yield were recorded in some cases to a very few plants/plot.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 20.79 lb ./plant. (ii) 1.54 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 20.48 | 20.87 | 21.38 | 19.67 | 20.24 | 20.72 | 20.96 | 20.85 | 19.99 | 21.50 | 20.66 | 22.12 |

$$
\text { S.E. } / \text { means }=0.77 \mathrm{lb} / \text { plant. }
$$

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref :- W.B. 56(38). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of application of $K$ and $P$ in combination with $N$ both from organic and inorganic sources on the yield of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 20.6.1955. Planting suckers $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) Nil. (viii) Spading, staking, removal of excess suckers etc. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) $74.78^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 55(51) on page 279.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) 1.70 ac. (iii) 4. (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants each (gross) t, and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) 1 guard row around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, girth and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) Heavy rain during September-October. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :

## Yield of banana

(i) $20.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{p}$ 'ant. (ii) 1.33 lb 'plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | M ${ }_{2}$ | M3 | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | M7 | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | M9 | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 20.48 | 20.86 | 21.37 | 19.63 | 20.24 | 20.71 | 20.96 | 20.85 | 19.99 | 21.44 | 20.66 | 22.12 |
|  | S.E./m | ean | 0.67 | lb./pla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Height measurement

(i) 96.53 inches/plant. (ii) 3.12 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. measurement of height in inches/plant.


## Girth measurement

(i) 23.13 inches plant. (ii) 0.60 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. measurement of girth in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | M, | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 21.87 | 22.70 | 22.80 | 23.06 | 23.47 | 23.15 | 23.24 | 23.50 | 23.24 | 23.52 | 22.98 | 24.05 |
|  | S.E./mean $=0.30$ inches/plant, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref:- W.B. 57(41). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$. |

Object:- To study the effect of applization of K and P in combination with N both from organic and incrganic sourges on the yield of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Chamfa. (v) 206.1955 , plant suckers, $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Weeding, staking and removed excess suckers. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) $46.17^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(51) on page 279.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) 12. (b) 1.70 ac . (iii) 4. (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) 1 guard row around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (li) N.A. (iii) Height girth and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1955-cond. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

## Yield of banana

(i) $22.89 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) $2.62 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 18.38 | 20.09 | 20.52 | 24.53 | 24.37 | 22.35 | 24.70 | 22.33 | 24.40 | 22.58 | 26.39 | 24.00 |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | 1.31 lb./plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Height measurement

(i) 113.91 inches/plant. (ii) 6.49 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. height in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. height | 106.87 | 107.84 | 106.41 | 112.65 | 115.19 | 118.15 | 115.45 | 116.51 | 112.86 | 117.45 | 116.90 | 120.70 |

## Girth measurement

(i) 27.45 inches/plant. (ii) 2.63 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are significar t. (iv) Av. girth in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{9}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{10}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av: girth | 24.66 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7 0}$ | 24.23 | $\mathbf{2} 0.19$ | 26.80 | 27.29 | 29.24 | 26.69 | 29.16 | 28.02 | 2884 | 28.54 |

S.E./mean $\doteq 1.31 \mathrm{inches} /$ plant.

## Crop :- Banana.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref :- W.B. 58(33).

Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of application of $K$ and $P$ in ccmbination with $N$ both from organic and inorganic sources on the yield of Banana.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 20.6.1955, Planting suckers, $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spading, staking and removal of suckers. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) $45.20^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Same as in expt. no. 55(51) on page 279.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) 1.70 ac. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 4 rous of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows (f 4 plants (net). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, girth and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

## Yield of banana

(i) 19.90 lb ./plant. (ii) 1.24 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are bighly significant. (iv) Av. yield of banava in lb./plant.'

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{7}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{10}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 16.78 | 18.40 | 19.93 | 19.94 | 19.52 | $20 . \mathrm{C}$ | 20.46 | 19.73 | 19.93 | 21.50 | 22.13 | 20.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Height measurement

(i) 116.74 inches/plant. (ii) 1.20 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. height in inches/plant.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Treatment } & \mathbf{M}_{0} & \mathbf{M}_{1} & \mathbf{M}_{2} & \mathbf{M}_{3} & \mathbf{M}_{4} & \mathbf{M}_{5} & \mathbf{M}_{6} & \mathbf{M}_{7} & \mathbf{M}_{8} & \mathbf{M}_{9} & \mathbf{M}_{10} & \mathbf{M}_{11}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Av. height } & 103.33 & 115.90 & 119.17 & 115.96 & 111.71 & 116.90 & 122.77 & 120.42 & 118.16 & 121.20 & 119.40 & 115.96\end{array}$ S.E./mean $=0.60$ inches/plant.

## Girth measurement

(i) 27.32 inches/plant. (ii) 5.13 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. girth in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{9}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{10}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{11}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 28.11 | 25.36 | 26.17 | 26.57 | 26.39 | 26.62 | 27.30 | 28.80 | 28.07 | 28.67 | 28.62 | 27.23 |

S.E./mean $=2.56$ inches/plant.

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(53). <br> Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of application of $K$ and $P$ in combination with $N$ both from organic and inorganic sources on the yield of Banana.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Cham:pa. (v) 20.6 1955. Suckers placed, $1.5^{\prime}$ deep and $1.5^{\prime}$ in diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) 25 tons/ac. of T.C. (viii) Ploughing, spading and staking etc. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) 78.43". (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(51) on page 279.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (bet). (v) $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1955-contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 23.85 lb ./plant. (ii) 1.88 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 22.62 | 24.82 | 25.50 | 22.87 | 25.77 | 23.35 | 23.00 | 23.47 | 24.07 | 22.45 | 23.75 | 24.47 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.94 | le./plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref :- W.B. 54(42). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn., Krishnagar. | Type :- "C'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing for dwarf variety of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) N.A. (v) 20.7.1950. Suckers placed in pits of $1.5^{\prime}$ depth and $1.5^{\prime}$ diameter. (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) 8 oz ./plant of N ( $\frac{1}{2}$ organic+ $\frac{1}{2}$ inorganic). T.C. mixed with soil and applied at the onset of monsoon. A/S given in 4 equal doses starting with onset of monsoon and at an interval of a month. (viii) 2 spadings. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $42.25^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

2 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. [(iii) 6. (iv) 9 plants for $S_{1}$ and 16 plants for $S_{2}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Spraying D.D.T. (wettable) 4 times at an interval of fortnight to avoid incidence of beetle.
(iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield of banana. (iv) (a) $1950-1954$. (b) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $233.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}$. (ii) $7.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}$. (iii) Treatment difference is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of
banana in lb. /plot.

| Treatment |  | $S_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Av. yield | $S_{2}$ |  |
|  |  | 169.50 |
|  |  | 296.86 |
|  |  | S.E./mean $=2.99 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot. |

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Ref :- W.B. 57(39). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and desuckering practices on the yield of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 22.5.1956; as per treatments. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) 80 mds ./ac. of cowdung. (viii) Spading, staking and harrowing. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $46.17^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A. .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=7^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}, S_{2}=9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(2) 2 suckering practices: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=1$ sucker only allowed when mother plant shoots and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=1$ st sucker allowed to grow when the mother plant is 6 months old and the second sucker when the plant shoots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) $378^{\prime} \times 63^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) Single row of 6 plants. (v) 1 border row is kept. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (expt. failed in 1956). (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) Heavy rain during the growth. + (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 26.2 lb ./plant.
(ii) 5.90 lb ./plant.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana: in lb./plant.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 25.8 | 26.7 | 31.1 | 27.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 22.8 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 24.5 |
| Mean | 24.3 | 25.5 | 28.8 | 26.2 |
| S.E. of S marginal mean |  |  | $=2.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. |  |
| S.E. of $C$ marginal mean |  |  | $=170 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=2.95 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |  |

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Ref :- W.B. 58(64). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Type :- ' C '.

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing and desuckering practices on the yield of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By sucker. (iv) Champa. (v) 22.5.1956. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spading, ploughing and laddering. (ix) Nil. (x) to (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 57, 39) on page 233.
4. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1956-contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $24.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (ii) 2.63 lb ./plant. (iii) Main effects of S and C are highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.


## Crop :- Banana. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Ref :- W.B. 59(49). <br> Type :- 'C'.

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing and desuckering practices for perenniai plantation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By sucker. (iv) Champa. (v' 225 1956. Suckers placed in $1.5^{\prime}$ deep and $I^{\prime}$ to $1.5^{\prime}$ in diameter. (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Ploughing, spading and staking. . (ix) Nil. (i) Unirrigated. (xi) $78.43^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Same as in erpt. no. 57(39) on page 283.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) $378^{\prime} \times 63^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) $63^{\prime} \times 63^{\prime} .81$ plants for $S_{1}, 49$ plants for $S_{2}$ and 36 plants for $S_{3}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1956 - contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 28.32 lb ./plant. (ii) 3.70 lb ./plant. (iii) Main effect of $S$ only is significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 26.30 | 27.45 | 3047 | 28.07 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 24.07 | 30.17 | 31.45 | 28.56 |
| Mean | 25.18 | 28.81 | 30.96 | 28.32 |


| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=1.31 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of C marginal mean | $=1.07 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.85 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |

Crop :- Banana.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 58(34).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing and desuckering practices on the yield of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli (Dwarf). (v) 25.3.1957. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Laddering, spading and harrowing. (ix) N.A. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $45.20^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=5^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=7^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$.
(2) 2 suckering practices: $\mathrm{C}_{3}=1$ sucker only allowed when mother plant shoots and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=1$ st sucker allowed to grow when the mother plant is 6 months old and the second sucker when the plant shoots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 , (b) $84^{\prime} \times 126^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) 2 rows of 3 plants. 3 (v) 1 guard row arourd each plot and 1 border row. around the block. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $23.10 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) $2.40 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (iii) Main effect of S alone is significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1}} & 22.66 & 22.51 & 27.07 & 24.08 \\ \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}} & 20.74 & 22.00 & 23.60 & 22.12 \\ \hline \text { Mean } & 21.70 & 22.26 & 25.33 & 23.10\end{array}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=0.85 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $C$ marginal mean | $=0.69 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.20 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |

## Crop :- Banana. Ref :- W.B. 59(50). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- 'C’.

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing and desurkering practices for perennial plantation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By sucker. (iv) Kabuli. (v) 253.1957 : N.A. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Plougaing, spading and staking. (ix) N.A. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $78.43^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 58(34) on page 285.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6 .
(b) $84^{\prime} \times 126^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime} ; 2$ rows of 3 plants.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good.
(ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1957-contd.
(b) N.A.
(v) (a) No.
(b) Nil, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 23.76 lb ./plant. (ii) 2.28 lb ./plant. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 22.65 | 23.00 | 23.53 | 23.06 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 23.58 | 24.28 | 25.50 | 24.45 |
| Mean | 23.11 | 23.64 | 24.52 | 23.76 |


| S.E. of S marginal mean | $=0.81 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of C marginal mean | $=0.66 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.14 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |

## Crop :- Banana.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 59(54).
Type:- ${ }^{6}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 12.12.1956; N.A. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Ploughing, spading and staking. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 78.43 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 cultural treatments: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Plantation ratooned for 3 years; uprocted and replanted and ratooned for 3 years; again uprooted and replanted for 3 years, so as to complete 3 plantings of 3 years duration each in a period of 10 years, $C_{2}=$ Plantation ratooned for 5 years; uprooted and replanted and ratooned for 5 years so as to complete 2 plantings of 5 years duration each in a period of 10 years and $C_{3}=$ Plantation ratooned for a period of 10 years.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6
(iv) (a) $60^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}(4$ rows of 6 plants).
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1957-contd. (b) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Experiments for 1957 and 1958 are not available.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $20.3 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant for (1st ratoon) and $35.5 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant for ( 2 nd ratoon'. (ii) $3.07 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant for (1st ratoon) and $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. for (2nd ratoon). (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield (1st ratoon) | 20.5 | 20.6 | 19.8 |
| Av. yield (2nd ratoon) | 34.5 | 36.3 | 35.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean for (1st ratoon) | $=1.25 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant and for (2nd ratoon) $=$ N.A. |  |

Crop:- Banana.<br>Ref :- W.B. 54(16).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.<br>Type :- 'I'.

Object:-To study how far irrigation improves yield and quality cf Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay'soil and new alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman (local medium). (v) $28.10 .1954 ; 8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ in pits of $3^{\prime}$ deep and $3^{\prime}$ in diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) $64 \mathrm{lb} . /$ pit of T.C. mixed thoroughly with soil before planting, $1.25 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $1.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super as surface dressing. (viii) Spading and desuckering. (ix) Nil. ( $x$ ) Irrigated as per treatments. (xi) $41.78^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) No harvest-1st year of expt.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

4 irrigational treatments: $\mathrm{I}_{0}=$ Control (no irrigation), $\mathrm{I}_{1}=$ Irrigation at an interval of 1 month (i.e. in November, December; January, February, March, April and May), $\mathrm{I}_{2}=$ Irrigation at an interval of 2 months (i.e. in November, January, March and May) and $I_{3}=$ Irrigation at an interval of 3 months (i.e. November, February and May).
Plots were flooded at an uniform rate by the help of tube well.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) 24 plants (gross) and 8 plants (net). (v) A border of one line of plants round the whole experimental area. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth, no. of leayes, suckers length and breadth of lamina. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) N.A. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Height measurement
(i) 56.00 inches/plant. (ii) 1.93 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. height in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. height | 49.99 | 63.04 | 55.46 | 55.49 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E.'mean |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ | 0.79 inches''plant. |

Girth measurement
(i) 17.43 inches/plant. (ii) 0.51 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly signifcant. (iv) Av. girth in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. girth | 15.98 | 19.19 | 17.27 | 17.29 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.21 | inches plant. |  |

## Leaf counts

(i) 8.43 leaves/plant. (ii) 0.08 leaves/plant. (iii) Treatmeat differenees are highly signiācant. (iv) Av. no. of leaves'plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. no. of leaves | 8.18 | 8.81 | 8.31 | 8.41 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.03 leaves/plant. |  |  |

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Ref :- W.B. 55(7). <br> Site :- State Agri, Farm, Chinsurah. <br> Type :- 'I'.

Object :-To study how far irrigation improves yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N A. (ii) (a) Clay soil, new alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman. (v) 28.10 .1954 . (vi) 3 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spading and desuckering. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) 68.11". (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. $54(16)$ on page 287.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yicld of banana and other biometric observatiocs. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $23.49 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) 2.51 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $I_{0}$ | $I_{1}$ | $I_{2}$ | $I_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 24.85 | 23.64 | 22.93 | 22.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 1.02 lb./plant. |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref :- W.B. 56(36). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Ghinsur ah. | Type :- ‘I'. |

Object :-To stujy huw far irrigationimprores the yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a: Hzavy day loam. (b) Reier soi: analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (i) Liartaman. (v) $28.1^{r} .1954$. (vi) 3 to 4 m ) iths. (vii) N.A. (vii., Spaing, 4 to 5 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (Ix; Nil. (x) As per treatments, (xi) $74.78^{\circ}$. (xil) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 287.
3. JDESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) 0.85 ac. (iii) 6 . (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) One row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of banana wilt, diseased cloves removed. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield of banana. (iv) (a) 1954-1956. (b) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Heavy rain during September and October, lodged. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 23.52 lb ./plant. (ii) 2.56 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | . | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 22.95 | 23.68 | 24.88 | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 22.57 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean $=$ | 1.04 lb./plant. |  |  |

## Crop:- Banana.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 54(15).
Type :- 'I'.

Object :- To study how far irrigation improves yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Paddy, sugarcane cultivated in the past but land remained fallow for two years before this experiment. (ii) (a) Clay, new alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli (local medium).
(v) $13.11 .1954 ; 6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ in pits of $3^{\prime}$ depth and $3^{\prime}$ diameter. (vi) 3 months old. (vii) 25 tons of T.C. was applied on 7.1.1955. at 64 lb ./pit and this was thoroughly mixed with soil before planting. (viii) Spading and desuckering. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) $41.78^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) No harvest in first year.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 287.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth, number of leaves and suckers/plant. (iv) (a) 1954-contd. (b) Nil. (v) and (vi) Nil. (vii) The lack of uniform response to irrigation in growth may be attributed to rainfall received from Dec., 1954 to Dec., 1955.

## 5. RESULTS :

## Height measurement

(i) 35.87 inches/plant. (ii) 0.83 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. height in inches/plant.

| Treaṭment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. height | 32.93 | 37.97 | 36.40 | 36.18 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.34 inches/plant. |  |

## Girth measurement

(i) 15.85 inches/plant. (ii) 0.32 inches/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. girth in inches/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. girth | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 3}$ | 16.83 | 16.08 | 15.77 |
|  | . S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.13 inches/plant. |  |

## No. pf leaves

(i) 8.22 leaves/plant. (ii) 0.13 leaves/plant. (iii) Treatment differences are highly significant. (iv) Av. no. of leaves/plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. no. of leaves 7.88 | 8.70 | 8.18 | 8.14 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 0.05 leaves/plant. |  |


| Grop :- Banana. | Ref :- W.B. 55(16). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ‘T. |

Object :-To study the effect of irrigation on the yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay, new alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli. (v) 13.11.1954. (vi) 3 months. (vii) 25 tons of T.C. applied at 64 lb ./pit. on 26 to $29.4 .1956 .10 \mathrm{ozs} . / \mathrm{pit}$ of A/S, $1.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super and 8 ozs ./pit of Potash on 30.4 .1956 as surface dressing. (viii) Spading and desuckering (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) 68.11". (xii) 22.10.1955 to 17.2.1956.
2. TREATMENTS and 3. DESIGN :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 287.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack by beetle-Gammexane dusted. Panama disease on a small no. of plants. (iii) Yield of banana and other biometric observations. (iv) (a) 1954-contd (b) Nil. (v) to (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 3083 lb ./plant. (ii) 4.17 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathbf{I}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 29.15 | 29.71 | 31.42 | 33.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 1.70 lb ./plant. |  |

Crop :- Banana.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 56(37).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- 'I'.
Object :-To study how far irrigation improves the yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By sucker. (jv) Kabuli. (v) 13.11.1954. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spadding, ploughing, laddering and staking. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) $74.78^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 289.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) 0.50 ac . (iii) 6. (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) Single border row kept around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Góod: (ii) Attack of bananán witt. (iii) Heighty girth, lèaf coùnt añd yiefd of banána! (iv) (á) 1954 contd. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) Heavy rain durinğ September-Octobér. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
 banana in lb./plot.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A ${ }^{\text {iö. }}$ yield | 33.53 | 31.80 | 32.82 | $33.7{ }^{1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{SE} . /$ mean $=1.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref :- W.B. 57(40). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ‘'. |

Object :- To study' how far irrigation improves the yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDIfílóŃS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli. (v) 13.11.1954. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spading, 2 to 3 ploughings, laddering and staking. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) $46.17^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54 (16) on päge 287.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) 0.50 ac. (iii) 6 . (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) Single border row kept around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana.
(iv) (a) 1954-contd
(b) N.A.
(v) (a) añd (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 24.19 lb ./plant. (ii) $2.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plant}$. (ii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 22.83 | 26.33 | 24.25 | 23.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=$ | 1.06 lb./plant. |

## Crop :- Banana. <br> Ref :- W.B. 58(32). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- 'I'.

Object :-To study how far irrigation improves the yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli. (v) 13.11.1954. (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Spading, staking and weeding etc. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) $45.20^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 287.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) 0.50 ac. (iii) 6. (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) Single border row kept around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) $1954-\operatorname{contd.}$ (b) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) N.A.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $30.12 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. (ii) 2.05 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 27.24 | 34.06 | 30.24 | 28.95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mead | $=$ | $0.84 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |  |


| Crop :- Banana. | Ref :- W.B. 59(51). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'T. |

Object :-To study how far irrigation improves yield and quality of Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabuli. (v) 13.11.1954. Sucker placed in $1.5^{\prime}$ deep and $1.5^{\prime}$ in diameter. (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) N.A. (viii) Ploughing, spading and staking. (ix) Nil. (x) As per treatments. (xi) 78.43". (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 54(16) on page 287.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) 0.12 ac. (iii) 6. (iv) 4 rows of 6 plants (gross) and 2 rows of 4 plants (net). (v) $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of banana. (iv) (a) $1954-1959$. (b) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 24.62 lb ./plant. (ii) 1.64 lb ./plant. (iii) Treatment differences are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.

| Treatment | $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Is | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. yield | 24.11 | 25.01 | 23.53 | 25.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=0.67 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant. |  |  |

## ADDENDUM

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 55(97).
Centre :- Joypur, (Bankura, c.f.).
Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '。
Object :-To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (iii) and (iv) N.A. (v) (a) Ploughing, spading and land preparation. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3 . (vi) Middle of July, 1955 . (vii) N.A. (viii) Weedicg and thinning. (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of Dec ember, 1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15, N_{2}=30, N_{3}=45$ and $N_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Super applied before transplanting and A/S was top-dressed 3 to 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. ; 25 plots/block with 4 replicaitons. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) and (ii) N.A.
(ii)
(vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2649 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $314.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2367 | 2205 | 2134 | 2183 | 2489 | 2276 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2260 | 2547 | 2507 | 2609 | 2540 | 2493 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2344 | 2504 | 2988 | 2841 | 2690 | 2673 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2710 | 2548 | 2844 | 3003 | 2767 | 2774 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 3070 | 2931 | 3006 | 2965 | 3171 | 3029 |
| Mean | 2550 | 2547 | 2696 | 2720 | 2731 | 2649 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =70.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =157.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Mandia (Bankura, c.f.).

Ref:- W.B. 55(98).
Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of application of N and P alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Loamy sand and N.A. (iii) and (iv) N.A. (v) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and land preparation. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 to 3. (vi) Last week of July, 1955. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedings and thinning. (ix) $35.08^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Middle of December, 1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .

Super was ploughed in before transplanting and A/S was top-dressed 4 weeks after transplanting by broadcast.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Fact. in R.B.D. ; 25 plots/block with 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1954-1956$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) Several other centres. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1767 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, (ii) $211.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) P effest and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are signi icant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Centre :- Mandia (Bankura, c.f.).
Ref:- W.B. 56(58).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of application of $\mathbf{N}$ and P a lone in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy sand and N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) Bhasamanik (medium). (v) (a) 2 ploughings, laddering and land preparation. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 to 3 . (vi) 15 to 20.7.1956. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and local cultural operation. (ix) $35.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Middle of Dezember, 1956.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(97) on page 293.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.; 25 plots/block and 4 replications.
(ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1954-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) and (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1989 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) 251.9 lb /ac. (iii) None of the effects is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1820 | 1935 | 1749 | 2035 | 2109 | 1930 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1646 | 1749 | 1840 | 2093 | 2098 | 1885 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1838 | 2102 | 2042 | 2060 | 2120 | 2032 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2051 | 1954 | 1975 | 2185 | 2069 | 2047 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 2242 | 2073 | 2129 | 1969 | 1851 | 2053 |
| Mean | 1919 | 1963 | 1947 | 2068 | 2049 | 1989 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =56.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =126.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 55(101).
Centre :- Cooch Behar, Sadar ( Cooch Behar, c.f.). Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Fine sandy loam and N.A. (iii) and (iv) N.A. (v) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings and land preparation. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) Last weck of July to 1st week of August, 1955. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 to 2 weedıngs and local cultural operation. (ix) $80.82^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12 .1955 to 7.1.1956.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was ploughed in at the time of land preparation before transplanting and N as A/S was top-dressed 4 to 5 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.; 25 plots/block and 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1954-1955. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) Several other centres (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1641 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) 237.2 lb ./ac. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Ref:- W.B. 55(100). <br> Centre :- Lakshya, Mahisadal Tamluk (Midnapore). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of application of $\mathbf{N}$ and $P$ alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy,

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) Loam \& N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) Patnai. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A.
(vi) Last week of July to 1 st week of August 1955. (vii) N.A. (viii) Weeding and thinning. (ix) $55.36^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) Last week of December, 1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(97) on page 293.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D.; 25 plots/block and 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) Several other centres. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1968 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $276.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) N effect is highly significant. P effect is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  |  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 1108 | 1369 | 1507 | 1439 | 1503 | 1385 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 1328 | 1997 | 1939 | 1987 | 1905 | 1831 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2222 | 2081 | 2081 | 2298 | 2090 | 2154 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 2190 | 2600 | 2318 | 2423 | 2471 | 2400 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 2094 | 2302 | 2135 | 1950 | 1876 | 2071 |  |
| Mean | 1788 | 2070 | 1996 | 2019 | 1969 | 1968 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=138.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 55(99).
Centre :- Hatgobindapur, Plassay (Nadia).

Type :- M'.

Object :-To study the effect of application of $N$ and $P$ alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (iii) N.A. (iv) Local. (v) (a) Ploughing and 2 ladderings etc. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 to 3 weedings. (ix) $28.51^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Same as in expt. no. 55(97) on page 293.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fact. in R.B.D. ; 25 plots/block and 4 replications. (ii) N.A. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield Jof grain. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) Several other centres (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1962 lb ./ac. (ii) 285.9 lb ./ac. (iii) Only N effect is highly significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1543 | 1828 | 1426 | 1811 | 1851 | 1692 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2078 | 2018 | 1893 | 2040 | 2210 | 2048 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2024 | 1828 | 2081 | 1597 | 2168 | 1940 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2204 | 2424 | 2301 | 2101 | 2035 | 2213 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1586 | 2303 | 1858 | 2009 | 1819 | 1915 |
| Mean | 1887 | 2080 | 1912 | 1912 | 2017 | 1962 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =64.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =143.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


[^0]:    (i) $1822 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) $456.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $330.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

[^1]:    Crop :- Paddy $_{3}($ Aman $)$.
    Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

[^2]:    Crop :- Paddy.
    Site :- State Agri. Farm, Hathwara (Purulia).

[^3]:    S.E. of $S$ marginal mean
    $=61.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
    S.E. of T marginal mean
    $=115.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
    S.E. of body of table or control mean
    $=163.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

[^4]:    Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
    Site :- State Agri. Farm; Cooch Behar.
    Ref:- W.B. 56(11).
    Type :- 'CM'.

[^5]:    (i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot, " (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

[^6]:    S.E. of any marginal mean
    $=0.26$ tons/ac.
    S.E. of body of any table
    $=0.46$ tons/ac.

[^7]:    (i) (a) to (c), N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. v) 10.11 .1957 . (vi) 3 to 4 months. (vii) 80 to 100 mds ./ac. of F.Y.M. (viii) 2 to 3 ploughings, stackings and spading. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) $45.20^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

