<u>8</u> <u>7009</u>

Studies on genetic variability and varicfal performance in pomegranate under hot aridenvironment

D.K. SAMADIA AND O.P. PAREEK

Central Institute for And Horricoltine, Bikaner 334 006, Rajasthan, India

genotypes have been studied. Considerable variability was observed in these characters. Number of fruits and fruit yield per plant and fruit weight were observed to be highly heritable traits which also showed large magnitude of genetic advance. Thus these characters should respond proportion procedures in cultivar improvement attempts. Out of nine popular pomegranate varieties, Jalore Seedless, G 137 and Ganesh were observed to be superior with regards to fruit yield and Mridula excelled in fruit quality, indicates promise for cultivation under hot arid conditions. It is disappointing that all the nine commercial types were prone to fruit cracking (30 - 90 %). This study suggest for systematic in provement in pronegrenate not only for high quality fruit yield but also free from fruit cracking under hot arid emirronment.

Keywords: Pomegranate, variability, varietal performance

Poniegranate (Punica granatum L.) is grown in arid and semi-arid tropies for its acid-sweet fruits. In India, it is mainly cultivated in Maharastra, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka. Gujarat, Haryana and Punjab. Collections of pomegranate germplasm have been made at the centers of All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Arid Zone Fruits AICRP on AZE) mainly at MPKV. Rahuri and IIHR, Banglore a collaboration with NBPGR. This enabled evaluation of the jerinplasm under different agroclimatic regions of the muntry resulting in selection of genotypes suited to these egions. After establishment of National Research Centre of Arid Horticulture now called Central Institute for Arid Iorticulture (CIAH) at Bikancr in 1993, all the pomegranate germplasm was assembled for evaluation and genetic aprovement under hot arid environment.

o boost pomegranate production in midia both for home ad export, development of improved varieties/hybrids is equired which bear fruits having attractive rind and bold and soft grains with dark red and sweet aril (9). For this Hormation on genetic variability, heritability and genetic Avance in pomegranate need to be generated by systematic. adies. Assessment of variability is the basic requirement any breeding strategy. Since most of the plant characters. *** governed** by a group of genes and are highly influenced. 'environmental conditions, it is difficult to judge whether e observed variability is heritable. This necessitates signing heritable and non-heritable components of unotypic variation. An attempt has therefore, been made estimate the genetic variability components in the megranate germplasm and identify promising types on basis of their performance under hot arid environment.

ATERIALS AND METHODS

TAH, Bikaner under hot and conditions by collection of implasm from different centers of AICRP on AZF and PGR stations. Finld planting was initiated in 1995 and by cember 1996, more than 65 collections of pomegranate luding some duplicates were established. The assemblage luded commercial cultivars, popular types, advanced

selections and early introduced material under AICRP on AZF and NBPGR centers. Four to 5 year old 38 genotypes: were included in this study and planted in the field at 6 x 4 m. spacing under rectangular system. Three trees per accession were maintained in the field gene bank of which each tree served as a replication. Observations for characterization of the genotypes were started from 1997. To analyze the components of genetic variability, data recorded on 38 genotypes in the year 1999 were used. Observations on 6 trees planted in two sets of each of the commercial cultivars (nine) were recorded during 1999 and 2000. Pooled data for these two years were used in statistical analysis for varietal performance. The fruits of mrig bahar flowering (July-August) were retained and harvested during December -January. Physicochemical characteristics were recorded on five randomly selected fruits from each replication. Data were subjected to analysis for ANOVA and biometrical components adopting standard statistical procedures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed that the genotypes differed significantly with respect to height and spread of plant, TSS, weight, length and breadth of fruit and number and yield of fruits per tree. The data on fruit quality traits such as ariltaste, aril colour, mellowness of grains and plant growth behaviour of the genotypes presented in Table 1 showed wide variation. It is evident that only a few genotypes possess the desirable traits such as sweet taste, soft to less hard seed and pink to red aril colour and could be used for table purpose. These cultivars are Jalore Seedless, Ganesh, G 137, Mridula, P 23, P 26, GKVK 1, Jyoti and Musket. The Russian, Iranian, and other introduced genotypes from Central Asia did not produce desirable fruit quality under the hot arid environment. However, the colour of fruit rind and aril in cultivars Gul-e-Shah Rose Pink, Gul-e-Shah Red, Khog, Kabul, Sirin Anar, etc. was attractive and could be used in breeding programme to infuse these traits in the popular cultivars.

The data on genotypic means, range and biometrical estimates of variability presented in Table 2 indicated wide

Plant Frowth behaviour and fruit q uality traits of pomegranate genotypes Table 1.

Genotype	Plant growth behaviour	Fruit weight (g)	Aril taste	Mellowness of seed	Aril colour
Achikdana	Deciduous	152.5	Sour	Hard	Red
Agah	Decidious	132.8	Sour	Hard	Whitish pink
A K Anar	Decidadus	185.0	Sour	Dard	Light pink
Alah	Deciduous	62.5	Sour	Hard	Pink
Bassein Seedless	Deciduous	175.2	Slightly sweet	Hard	Whitish pink
Bedana Suri	Evergreen	221.5	Slightly sweet	Hard	Whitish pink
Bedana Thin Skin	Evergreen	186.4	Slightly sweet	'fard	Whitish pink
Boseka Link	Deciduous	75.4	Sour	Bard	Whitish pink
Coimbatore White	Evergreen	187.5	Slightly sweet	Hard	Whitish pink
Dholka	Evergreen	252.5	Sween	Hard	Whitish pink
Dorseta Malus	Deciduous	169.8	Sou	Hard	Light pink
G137	Evergieen	245 1	Sweet	Soft	Light pink
Ganesh	Evergreen	229.1	Sweet	Soft	Light pink
GKVK1	Evergicen	210.5	Sweet	Soft	Light pink
Gul-e-Shah	Decidoous	1212	oout	Hard	Red
Gul-c-Shah Red	Deciduous	85.6	Sour	Hard	Pink
Gul-e-Shah Rose Pink	Deciduous	152.4	Sour	Hard	Dark red
lalore Seedless	Evergreen	265.4	Sweet	Soft	Pink
Jodhpur Red	Evergreen	196.5	Sweet	Hard	Pink
lyoti	Evergreen	225.7	Sweet	Soft	Eaght pink
Kabul	Evergreen	154.1	Sweet	Very hard	Whitish pinl
Kabul IIHR	Evergreen	165.5	Sweet	Hard	Whitish pinl
Kajaki Anar	Deciduous	98.5	Sour	Hard	Light pink
Khog	Deciduous	132.5	Sour	Hard	Pink
Mridula	Evergreen	102.7	Sweet	Soft	Dark red
Musket	Evergreen	221.5	Sweet	Medium hard	Whitish pini
P 1 3	Evergreen	231.4	Sweet	Medium hard	Whitish pinl
P23	Evergreen	237.5	Sweet	Slightly hard	Light pink
p n g	livergreen	245.1	Sweet	Slightl, hard	Light pink
Patna 5	Evergreen	175.2	Sweet	Hard	Light pink
Siah Sirin	Denduous	137.5	Sour	Hard	Pink
Sirm Anar	Deciduous	129.1	Sour	Hard	Red
Speen Danedar	Deciduous	137.5	Sour	Hard	Pink
Speen Sacarin	Deciduous	170.5	Sour	Hard	Red
Surkh Anar	Deciduous	122.1	Sour	Hard	Whitish pin
Sur Sukker	Deciduous	85.9	Sour	Flard	Whitish pin
l'ebest	Deciduous	90 1	Sour	Hard	Red
Yarcaud HRS	Evergreen	195.2	Sweet	Hard	Whitish pin
CD at 5%		16.6	-	-	
CV (%)	_	6.1	-		_

Table 2. Biometrical parameters of genetic variability in pomegranate germplasm

Characters	Range	Mean	(5%)	(°V (%)	(%TV (%)	111V (%)	h² (broad sense)	GA	Genetic gain (%)
Plant height (cm)	131 2-245.5	199.63	7 14	2 2	11-04	11.26	96.19	44.55	22.31
Plant spread (em²)	99 2-249.5	192.65	6.04	1.9	15.02	15.15	98.38	59.13	30.69
Fruit weight (g)	60.1-340.2	168.48	16.67	6.1	33.56	34.11	96.81	114.63	68.03
Fruit dength (cm)	48 - 98	6.48	0.45	4 3	13.19	13.86	90.50	1,79	27.58
Fruit breadth (cm)	4.8 - 8.1	6.41	0.24	2.4	12.34	12.56	96.45	1.60	24.96
Number of fruits/plant	4 1- 44 9	17.42	0.60	2.1	57.92	57.96	99.86	20.77	··· ++9,23
Front yield plant (kg)	0.4 - 10.9	3.20	0.93	18.0	73.84	76.01	94 40	4.72	147.22
TSS (Brix)	12.3 - 17.6	15.13	0.23	0.1	7.99	8.05	98.58	2.47	16 32

Jale lod:G21 G 1 $\mathbf{M}ri_{\mathbb{R}}$ P(2) $GK^{\nabla^{\prime}}$ Mea SJ xariand coct bew that the $p(\mathbb{N})$ bette yiek earlı n eig pon The in ic expi obsc sens

higir pro_{ξ} $exp_{\mathcal{C}}$ gain \mathbf{W}_{k} plan impr gene char. to th Heri

are h best. high low effec due In the genc of fi

Table 3. Growth and fruit yield behaviour of commercial pomegranate genotypes

Genotype	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm²)	Fruits/ plant	Fruit yield/ plant (kg)	Fruit weight (g)	Weight of 100 aril (g)	TSS (°Brix)	Juice (%)	Seed waste (%))
Infore Seedless	211.2	192.0	40.5	9.78	250.15	21.47	17.38	54.55	9.85
Jodhpur Red	209.6	2.13.1	29.1	6.61	235.30	19.74	15.60	42.52	24/38
Ganesh	190.6	222.3	32.3	6.06	194.75	20.78	16.03	47 25	11.77
G 137	220.0	248.2	33.1	6.83	211.56	19.73	15 14	50.60	11.95
Mridula	162.6	168.6	47.2	4.94	105.77	13.57	14.31	58.80	10.05
P 23	227.6	253.7	20.6	4.34	212.48	16.56	16.91	50.57	13.46
P 26	218.6	198.3	22.5	4.53	210.29	18.74	16.93	50.97	12.93
Dholka	205.7	241.3	11.0	2.20	201.20	13.75	15.68	49.32	13.13
GKVK1	190.0	193.3	22.6	4 54	207.52	17.62	16.59	42.55	14.43
Mean	207.5	218.9	27.4	5.25	200.90	17.85	15.88	48.58	13.87
Si	22.1	28.5	10.7	2.12	37.74	2.65	1.07	5.89	4.04
CD at 5%	14.0	8.4	2.2	0.17	8.18	0,09	0.39	1.91	0.71

variability in fruit weight, number of truits per plant, fruit yield per plant, fruit length, fruit breadth, TSS, plant height and plant spread. In general, the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) but the closer correspondence between PCV and GCV for all the quantitative traits revealed that genotypic effects were important in the expression of the characters. Both PCV and GCV were high for fruit yield per plant, number of fruits per plant and fruit weight, indicating better scope of phenotypic selection to enhance the fruit yield in pomegranate. Similar findings have been reported earlier by Manchar et al. (5) for aril weight, rind weight, fruit weight, number of fruit/tree, fruit yield/tree and acidity in somegranate.

The magnitude of heritability indicates the extent of reliability n identifying the genotypes on the basis of phenotypic expression. In the present studies, high heritability was observed for all the economical quantitative traits. The broad ense heritability ranged from 90.5 to 99.86 per cent. This igh estimate of heritability is helpful to base the selection rogramme on phenotypic performance in pomegranate. The spected genetic advance as percentage of mean (genetic ain) ranged from 16.32 to 147.22 per cent. Genetic advance as very high for fruit yield per plant, number of fruits per ant and fruit weight. This indicates that the level of approvement could be considerable in these traits. The lower netic gain recorded in TSS, fruit size and plant growth aracters indicates that these traits could not be improved the desired level as such.

helpful in predicting its resultant effects for selecting the st individuals (3). Selection based on high heritability and h genetic advance is more helpful than on the basis of genetic gain. Heritability mainly due to additive gene ects would be associated with high genetic gain and that to non-additive gene effects with low genetic gain (6). he present investigation high heritability along with high etic advance was recorded in the characters, viz number ruits per plant, fruit yield per plant and fruit weight, des high estimates of GCV was also recorded. This shows

that these characters could be considered reliable tools for selection and open up the possibility of improvement in these characters in pomegranate. These finding are in conformity with the results of Manohar *et al.* (5).

Pooled statistical analysis revealed highly significant differences in the existing pomegranate cultivars in all the characters (Table 3). In growth performance the cultivars Jalore Seedless, Jodhpur Red, G 137, P 23 and P 26 proved better under hot arid conditions. Pareck (8) reported that pomegranate cultivars showed vigorous, semi-vigorous and dwarf vegetative growth characters. The varietal variations in plant growth characters under arid conditions were also reported by Prasad and Banker (10). The minimum plant height (162.6 cm) and spread (168.6 cm, mean of north-south + east-west) was recorded in cultivar Mridula. The highest number of 47.24 fruits per plant was recorded in Mridula followed by 40.5 in Jalore Seedless and the lowest (11.6) in Dholka. The heaviest fruit (250.15 g) was produced in the cultivar Jalore Seedless followed by Jodhpur Red (235.3 g). The fruit weight in other varieties viz., Ganesh, G 137, P 23 and P 26 were ranged between 194 to 212 g. However, Mridula cultivar produced the smallest 105.7 g fruits. Fruit length and breadth ranged from 5.38 to 7.77 cm and 5.46 to 8.01 cm, respectively.

The highest fruit yield per plant was recorded in Jalore Seedless (9.78 kg). The cultivars Jodhpur Red; Ganesh and G 137 were at par with a moderate fruit load of about 6.5 kg. The fruit yield in Mridula was only 4.94 kg in spite the highest number of fruits per tree. This was obviously because of the small sized fruits (105.7 g) in that cultivar. The variation in fruit weight and size seems to be genotypic (10). The boldness of aril is an important quality trait. The cultivar-Jalore Seedless produced the largest aril size (0.22 g) followed by Ganesh (0.21 g) and G 137 (0.20 g). Cultivar Mridula produced the smallest aril (0.14 g). However, the juice content was significantly higher in Mridula (58.8 %) followed by Jalore Seedless (54.55 %) and G 137 (50.60 %). Thus, cultivars differed significantly in juice and seed content, mellowness of seeds and boldness of aril (4 and 10). Desai et al. (2) observed positive and significant correlation between plant

spread and fruit yield. Similarly, the fruit number and fruit weight with yield. Thus, the cultivars producing large number of fruits also have genetical potential for bigger fruits. The cultivars producing big sized fruits also had bolder arils. On the basis of varietal performance it is concluded that the cultivars Jalore Seedless, G 137, Ganesh and Mridula have potential under hot arid conditions. The cultivars Jalore Seedless, G 137 and Ganesh are high yielding and better in fruit quality except a, il colour. It is also found that the fruit quality of Mriduk, excelled the above three cultivars. However, it is disappointing that all these varieties are prone to fruit cracking. Moreover, this problem is very serious in Jodhpur Red, Jalore Seedless, G-137 and Ganesh under hyper hot arid conditions. This suggests for systematic improvement in the Jalore Seedless, a locally adapted superior genotype to evolve dark red, soft and hold seeded types.

LITERATURECITED

- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6th Int. Grassland Cong., 1, 277-283.
- 2. Desai, U. T., Jagtap, D. B. and Choudhari, S. M. 1992. Relationship between growthcharacteristics and yield

- potential in pomegranate Ann. Artd Zone, 31: 299-300.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R.L. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J., 47:314-18.
- 4 Mali, P. C. and Prasad, R. N. 1999. Studies in physiochemical characteristics of poincgranate cultivats grown under arid conditions. Ana. Arid Zone. 38 167 17.
- Manohar, M. S., Fikka, S. B. and Fal, N. 1989. Phenotypic variation and its heritable components in some biometrical characters in poinceranete (Printer granatum 1.) Indian J. Horr., 38, 187-190.
- Panse, V.G. 1957. Genetics and quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian J. Get.* 31, 17–312-28.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. 1995. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. C. ed. ICAR, New Delhi.
- 8 Pareck O. P. 1978. Establishment and growth of some exotic pomegranate cultivates under three training system in sent-and conditions of Kajastnan. Chamica. 2, 39-2 a.
- Parcek, O. P. and Sacoidia, D. E. 1999. Brecovery for sustainable fruit production in and zone. Present status and fature strategies. In Crop Improvement in Food accurity (Fds. R. K. Beld, M. S. Punia and B. P. S. Father) SSARM, Hisar, pp. 213-223.
- Prasad, R. N. and Bankiir, G. J. 2000. Evaluation of pontytianate cultivars under and conditions. *Indian J. Hort.*, 57: 365-308.

Stlidi

Haryane

p.R. S

Departin

ABSTR :

pakistar :

was reco

popular :

popular :

97 per c

Keywor

Ber is i
of soil
conditi
ber on
incide
incide
of ber
safego
hoppi
Cicade
but no
prese

МАТ

The s

infora

and cl

of He durin of be Octo Umi: from i.e. I nym of le of ei from popi

and eac) Jani trec res

data

For

0Xyt

R T)