# Coastal Gill Nets of Kerala - Changes in Three Decades

V.Vijayan, M.D.Varghese, Leela Edwin, Saly N.Thomas and V.C.George Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin - 682 029

This communication discusses various changes that have taken place in the material used, design aspects and operational methods of gill nets in coastal Kerala for the past three decades. Natural fibres have been replaced totally by man made fibres. With the advent of motorization, floet length of nets and range of operation have also been increased to cover deep and distant waters. The need for exploiting desired species resulted in the evolution of nets of suitable mesh size and twine size of varying thickness. The conventional single walled gill nets for crustaceans are gradually being replaced by multi-walled. Encircling gill nets for mackerel and sardine have given way to drift nets.

High costs of energy are having serious negative impacts on fishing and particularly on the incomes of individuals involved in this industry. Additional attention is needed in research and development activities that will reduce negative impacts of rapidly increasing fuel costs on fishing activities. An important step in this process is the sorting and identification of these alternatives that are realastic, economical and practical. Gill netting among artisanal fishing methods is one of the alternatives and this method has survived the test of time. It is estimated that gill netting constitutes 20% of the different fishing methods employed all over the world. This study made on the changes that have a taken place in gill nets for the capture of different fishery resources in Kerala coast for the past three decades, is aimed at throwing some light on the need based changes that have taken place in respect of material used, method of operation, quantum of netting used with the advent of motorisation and specification in capture of desired species of fish by way of mesh size, twine size variation. Gill nets that survived due to various changes during the past three decades and those which were introduced in recent years are described.

#### GIII net for mackerel fishing

Mackerel is a highly migratory species and this habit makes them vulnerable to gill nets usually set across the direction of the migrating fish. Gill nets for mackerels can be operated as anchored floating nets to catch midwater fish and as free drifting nets to catch surface as well as midwater fish. Another successful method in the earlier period and found throughout the Kerala coast north of Alapuzha in the late fifties, was encircling gill nets for mackerel which had a fishing height of more than 10.0 m. Satyanarayana & Sadanandan (1962) have found 13.1 m to be the maximum fishing height and that the nets were operated in deeper waters for mackerel. The depth of these net in operation depends on the swimming habits and the depth of the fishing area. When the gear was operated as encircling gill nets, the foot rope touched the bottom. Operation as encircling gill net took place only when large shoals of mackerel were sighted. A minimum of two boats are required and sometimes more than two boats took part. 4 to 5 pieces of net consisting of 800 to 1000 meshes in length with a take up of 50 to 70% were used when operated as drift net and 8 to 9 pieces per boat when operated in an encircling manner. While encircling, the boats move closely and proceed towards the shoal taking a position facing the shoal in its swimming direction. The nets of the two vessels are secured and at a given signal, they are simultaneously shot encircling the shoal as

quickly as possible. After encircling the shoal, the boats come closer to complete the process. The fishermen make all kinds of scaring noise by beating on the sides of the boats and the fish move rapidly in all direction to be eventually gilled. This fishing method is not quite common at present and may be due to the reason that appreciable thick shoals of mackerel are not encountered in the shallow coastal waters of Kerala. Only drift gill nets are in vogue and this has necessiated the reduction in fishing height of the units. Lately, country craft were mechanised with outboard engines. This has brought about an appreciable change in the length of fishing nets operated at a time.

The properties of gill nets which may influence its efficiency are gear construction, mesh size and shape which in turn is influenced by changing and the resultant slack of the netting. By the use of the correct mesh size and a high degree of unifirmity in the size of all meshes, the gear becomes highly selective and forms an important factor in the management of a fish population. There may be no other gear which is as selective as a gill net in taking fish of a uniform mesh size, (von Brandt, 1984). In the late 1950s the mesh size for mackerel gill net was found to be uniform almost throughout the Kerala coast at 50 mm. At present it ranges between 50 and 52 mm, at different centres.

The efficiency of the present day gill net has increased several times by replacement of natural fibres to synthetic fibres (PA multifilament twines) and especially by transparent monofilament (PA) or monotwines (twines made of monofilaments of Polyamide and Polyethylene - PE). Type of material, thickness, knots and colour in relation to surrounding are factors affecting the visibility. Another important factor is that it should have the greatest possible softness and the lowest possible swelling from immersion in water. Cotton No.20/4/1 and 20/3/1 used till 1950s have given way to PA multifilament twines and PA monofilament. This has caused gill net fishery to

Station	Penod	Material	Mesh size (in mm)	Pishing height án m	Depth of ope- ration (in m)	Type of operation	Craft size (in m)	Whether mech /non mecha- nioed	Season	Total length of nett- ing ün m)
Emakulam	1958	Cotton	50.8	10.4	*	Encircling	4	Na	September	191.10
		20/4/1							to March	286.68
	1990-91	PA mono- filament	52	47 to 67	1.0	Drift gill net	6.9	No	in all seasons	220 to 280
	1958	Cotton 20/4/1	50.8	10.1	17.6 - 33.0	Encircling	<i>a</i> .	No	Oct-March	167.22 - 185.80
Alapurht	1990.91	PA mono- filament	<b>.</b>	13.94	40-50	Drift gill net	9,9	Motorised with SHP OB engine	November- May	800
Kollam	1958	Cotton 20/4/1	50.8	11.4	17.6 - 26.4	438	9 <b>8</b>	tria:	September- jum	141.15 - 169.38
	Hext-91	PA mono- illament Nyton	52 57	4.53 6.97		99C	6.4	Non- mot	June, July, August, December &	200 to 300
				بم قد ـ	A 1974 - 14	14		ante: "	january	848.24
Thiruvanars-	1958	Cotton	51 件	18.9	17.6 -	194	-	No	September-	249.44
i dire ya ka ka a ka		20/4/1			33.0 m				May	
	1990-91	PA mono. Nyton	44	9.6 165	100 m	:\$1	7.21	Motorised cance with 8 hp engine	july- August	280 (o 1400
Azhikode	1958	Cottom 20/4/1	.50	12,45	17-20	encic- cling	8.5	Manual	October April	108 io 136

Table 1. Mackerel gill net

Station	Period	Material	Mesh size	Fishing height	Depth of	Type of operation	Craft	Whether mech/non	Season	Total length
			(mmm)	(in an)	opera- tion. (in m)	-ne gran i Kana ka nane a	(in m)	mecha- nised		ol netting (in m)
Chavakkad	1991	Nylon 210x1x2	52	12.50	25-30	Drift	10.9	Motorised / Manisal	Sept- April	700 ta 800
Calicut	1958	Cotton 20/3/1	50	9.00	11-16	Encircling	9.5 - 10.6	Mamual	April - June	60 - 165
	1991	Mono- filament 0.23 mm Nylon 210x1x2	60	4.5	15-20	DHA	10.9	Motorised	March- August	650-850
Cannanore (Thalassery)	1958	Cotton 20/3/1	63	13.00	1114- 140	Encircling	9.1	manual	SeptDec.	106-120
Cannanore	1991	Nylon 210x1x2	50	60	20-25	Drift	114	Motorised	Oct. Feb.	6(8)-70()
Kasargod	1958	Cotton 20/4/1	<b>X</b>	11.25	13-20	Encircling	9.1- 10.6	Manual	OctJan.	170-195
Kasargod	1991				Nil					

## Table 1. Continued

expand considerably in recent years as they meet the condition of contrasting as little as possible with any surroundings even if the water colour should change. It was also proved possible to fish in clear water and during day time (von-Brandt, 1984). Table 1 illustrates the various changes, taken place from 1958 to 1991 in the type of craft used, fishing gear material, construction and type and depth of operation for mackerel gill net.

Table 2 Sardine gill net

Station	Period	Material	Mesh size (in mm)	Fishing height (in m)	Depth of ope- ration (in m)	Type of operation	Crafi size (in m)	Whether mech/non mecha- nised	Season	Total length of neti- ing (in m
Ernakularn	1958	Cotton 20/4/1	38	5.94	8-12	Encir- cling	9.14- 9.75	Na	Sept- Aug	168.12- 224.16
	1990-91	PA mono- filament	38	3.40	11-12	Drift gillnet	67	. ea	Oct Dec.	193 ha 325
Alapuzha	1958	Hemp. Cotion 20/4/1,5/1 or 40/4/1	27.8	5.94	17.60 - 22.00	Endr- cling	10,66	a	June - Sept.	175.90 - 221.08
	1990-91	Nylon	42	5,45	15 or more	Drifi gillnei	6.8	454	Sept Feb	294
Kollam	1958	Cotton 20/3/1	254	4.69	17.60- 26.40	Encir- cling	Dug out 9	- <del>34</del> - -	Nov March	282.48
\$	1990-91	Nylon	32-35	1.6- 4.9	æ	Drifi gillnet	7_21	· #} ·	June- Aug.	320- 400
Thirovana- thapuram	1958	Cotton 20/3/2	26.2	4.97	17.60- 33.00	Drift	Katiama- ram 6.4	- <b>1</b> 1	AugDec & May-Juns	187.08
*	1990-91	Nylon	38	95	Upto 7 0 m	Drift gilinet	5.16	- #F	Dec-Feb	198 (200)
Vsdakara	1958	Cotton 20/3/1	30.0	5.2	4.4-11.0	Endrcting	7.1-7.6	Manual	janMar.	104-130
Kasargod	1991	Nylon 210x1x2	35.0	5.6	20-25	Defit	7.2	Manual	Dec.Mar.	300-400

#### Gill net for sardines

The gear has also changed from encircling to drifting as was the case with mackerel gill nets. The only exception was Thiru vananthapuram area, where the gear continues to be operated as drift. Satyanarayana & Sadanandan (1962) have also described sardine gill nets of 38 mm mesh size made of  $\cot 20/3/1$ . Joseph & Sebastian (1964)have found 33.4 mm mesh size as the optimum compared to 28.0 mm, 38.6 mm and 41.8 mm. Mechanisation of the craft for this type of fishing by outboard engine has not so far been taken up. This may either be due to nearness of fishing ground or reservation of this fishing to the non-mechanised sector. Length of fishing

Table 3. Gillnet for seer and tuna

gill net, the material for construction has changed. The details of changes, taken place as regards this gear are given in Table 2.

### Gill net for seer and tuna

These gill nets are operated as drifting gear either at the bottom or in the column waters and this practice has not changed over the period of three decades from 1958. The material and mesh size have changed. Sreekrishna et al. (1972) and Sulochanan et al. (1975) have recommended 104 mm and 150 mm respectively for Scomberomorus guttatus and S. commersoni. The fishing

Stations	Period	Material	Mosh size (in rom)	Pahing height tin mi	Depth of ope- ration (in m)	Тури of оретаціон	Craft state (in m)	Whether mech/non mecha nisod	Беакот	Total length of mett- leng (len m)
Emakulam	÷			4	*	-46-	зй.	óu.	:#:	
Alapozha	1958	Hemp.3/1	128	4.53	22.00- 39.60	Drift gillnet	8,53- 9,14	No	Aug Dec.	199.80 to 258.40
	<b>1990-91</b>	Nylan 210x6x3	90-110	0.48	25 and above	Drift (entang- ling)	12.25	Motoriaed	Aug Sopt to May Best- Oct. Nov	1729 2150
Kellam	1958	Heosp 3/1	141	4.7%	11 to 17	Boitom Drift	27.75 - 31.45	Non- mech	Sept- Nov	157
	1990-91	Nylon 210x6x3	93	10.27	7 to 90	Drift (entang- ling)	<b>3</b> 01	Yes 8 hp	Aug - Oci	2910
Thiruva- naethapuran	1958 n-	Hemp 4/1	175	5.85	25 to 30	Bouses drift	5.79 w 6.7	Non- mech.	Nov. March	254
T	1980-91	Nylon 21046x3	100- 130	121	Upte 120	Driñ gillret	7.36 9.61	Non- mech (mech, alao very common)	June, July Acy	Upto 1120 for motorised bost. Non motorised 260
Chavakkad	1958	Hemp.3/1	110	4.5	5-10	Driñ	8590	Manual	Aug.io Dec.	400
	1920-91	Nylam 210ania:3	90	19.9	20-30	Drift	10.9	Motorised	Sept. to Dec.	900-1100
Pulbiyappa	1958	Hemp.4/1	130	\$.\$	5-15	(hia	9.0	Manual	Aug. Feb	450
	1991	Nylon 210s Sc3	90	7.8	25-35	Drift	10.9	Motorised	Oct.in Jan.	702-900
Thalassery	1968	Hamp 4/1	130	45	17-15	DHR	7.5. 9.0	Manual	Sept. Fab	350
Cannanore	1991	Nylon 210x6x3	<b>9</b> (1	10.5	40-50	Driñ	114	Motortsed	Sept Dec.	900-1000

Station	Period	Material	Mesh size (in mm)	height	Depth of opera- tion (in m)	Type of operation	Craft sizz (in m)	Whether mech/non mecha- nised	Season	Total length of nett- ing (in m)
Kollam	1958	Hemp3/1	1125 m	2.4 m	9-25- 12:95	Bottom set	Dug out/ plank built	<b>.No</b>	Aug. Nov.	155
	1990-91	Nylon	106	4.1	- Ain		6.4	Non- mechanised	Aug- Oct.	190 se 320
		PA mono- filament	85	2.7	*	*				

Table 4 Lobster gillnet

Table 5 Anchovy gillnet

inter	Period	Material	Mesh aize (in mm)	Fishing heighi (in m)	Depth of opera- tion (in m)	Type of operation	Craft size (in m)	Whether mech/non mecha- nised	Season	Total length of meti- ing the
Thiruvana- Nb <b>apura</b> m	1958	Cotton 40/4/1		3.65	11.00 ю 26.4	Drift	Cata- maran	Non-mech- apised	Aug June	70
er -	1990-91	Nylon	14	2.8 to 6.4	30-40	Drift	Cata maran boat 7.1	**	May to Sept.	80 10 <b>38</b> 0
Table 6	Sharl	k gillnet								
Station	Period	Material	Mesh aize	Fishing huight (m)	Depth of opera- tion (m)	Total length	Type of operators	Craft LOA (m)	Propulsion	Season
Azhikade	1958	Hemp.7/4	250-350	32	10-14	*	Column set	8-	Mamual	June-M
Chavakkad	1991	Nyton	230-250	7.0	20-25	390	<b>ল</b> া	10.1	Motorised	Nov.M

height ranged from 7 to 15.1 m. This is obviously due to the change in the area of operation to distant waters facilitated by motorization. Increase in the total length of netting has also resulted due to this facility.

Nylon. 210x24x3

The details have been incorporated in Table 3.

#### Lobster gill net

This gear prevalent in Kollam and Vizhinjam area and operated as bottom set gill net, has not undergone any change in operation. In backwaters, it is used as surface drift net. 10 to 20 units of these nets are operated by 3 to 4 fishermen from nonmechanised dug-out or plank-built canoes. The details are given in Table 4.

#### Anchovy gill net

Anchovy gill netting, popular in late 1950s in Varkala and Vizhinjam coasts of Thiruvananthapuram District continues to be so at present. Cotton 40/4/1 and 20/4/1 were the material used and PA multifilament twines are used now. Size of mesh has not undergone any mentionable change but the depth of operation has been stepped up. This method is also exclusively earmarked for fishermen using nonmechanised craft or canoes of size, 6.0 to 7.1 m OAL. Details pertaining to the gear are given in Table 5.

#### COASTAL CILL NETS OF KERALA

Station	Perisal	Material	Mesh nizw (in mm)	I. Trat Paking beight (in m)	mmel net Depets of opera- tion	Type of operation	Crafi size (in m)	Whether mech/nom mecha- nined		Total length of nots- ing Cn m/
Kollanı	1990-91	Nylon	40/270	3.5 m Onner) 2.3 m Louier)	50-75 °	Entangi ing	10.8	i bp Yamaha	All Realizes	475 Oct- Nov.
Thiruva- nantha- guaraan	1990-91	Nylon	48/520	3.5 m Generation 2.8 m Generation	90 m .	84 	3 logs	No	Mat.» Sept.	120 m
				Not r	ecorded	in 1958				
				Ž.	Proton g	illnet				
l'uthiappa	1990-91	PA mono filament	50 52	6.7	12-15	Drift gallenet	8. <b>4</b> 9	Non-mech	June Aug, Best Izst wrock of July & Aug,	281
Malippuram	1991	PA mono.	33	3.15 to 4.2	10-12	*	5.8	3000 .	Nov.10 April	223) (m 300
				Not re	corded i	n 1958				
		•			omfret gi	ill net			10 (s.) s	
Chavakkad	1991	PA mapp- filament 0.23 mm	100	6.5-8.0	15-29	Bottann pel	10.9	Molonised	Cict. Jan	630.700
Kappur	1991	PA mana 0.23 mm	165	4.5-7.0	21-25	*:	ж.	3 <b>6</b>	Nov Jan	500-700
Kasargod	1991	PA mono 0.23 mm	120	0.5	15-20	- 464	зйр	<b>ia</b> : · · · ·	Nov - Fub.	730-750
				Not re	corded i	n 1958				
				4. A	Aullet gil	l net				
Emskulum	1991	PA mon- Research	1996 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997	4.24	*	Drift gillnet	6.9	No	АП товфя ексері лювоов	300
Alapazita	1991	Nylon	2	<u>6.</u> •	20-25	94 -	<b>6.8</b>	No	Round the year	64
				Not re	corded i	n 1958				
			5. Whit	e Sardín	e gillnet	(Kowala c	oval)			
Kasargod	1991	Nylon 210x1x2	24.0	2.0	5-10	Druit	73	Manual	Pub. Mar	350 m

### Table 7 Introduction

### Shark gill net

The gear could be seen in operation from Chavakkad and are made of material nylon 210x24x3. Fishing height and the depth of operation has been increased over the ages and operated as column set. The details are incorporated in Table 6.

### Introduction of specific gear

#### 1. Trammel net

Multiwalled gill nets or trammel nets made of PA multifilament twines are gaining popularity in Kollam and Thiruvananthapuram areas mainly for the capture of prawns. The gear consists of an inner core of webbing usually of smaller mesh size 40.0 to 48.0 mm and outer layers of webbing of mesh size ranging from 250.0 to 270.0 mm. The outer webbing has a hung depth of 2.5 m to 2.8 m whereas the inner webbing has 3.5 m. This arrangement also facilities formation of pockets by the force excerted by the encountered fish.

#### 2. Prawn gill nets

This gear is found prevalently in use in Vypeen Island and is practised among fishermen of non-mechanised sector. The total length of the net ranges from 200.0 to 300.0 m.

#### 3. Pomfret gill net

Pomfret gill net is at present catching up with the artisanal fishermen of North Kerala. Panicker *et al.* (1978) have found 126.0 mm to be the optimum mesh size with a hanging coefficient 0.60, for the capture of *Pampus argenteus*.

# 4. Gill net for white sardine (Kowala coval)

This gill net is found common in Kasargod area. The period of operation is during dawn when the fish is said to migrate at a faster speed in the shallow waters (5-10 m). The method is restricted to nonmechanised sector and the gear is operated as surface drift.

#### 5. Gill net for Mullet

The fishing season is round the year with the exception of monsoon. The details of the above described five types of gear which were not in vogue during 1958 are given in Table 7.

The efficiency of gill nets have improved with the introduction of synthetic netting material, change in design to suit the fishery and motorization of craft. Such changes are not uniform in respect of all the net types perhaps due to non-availability of required type of material. The operation of specific gear for exploiting the desired species is an indication that the fishermen are well aware of the nature of the fishery that existed in a particular area in a given season, as well as receptive to newly introduced materials and techniques. Motorization of the craft enabled to extend the fishing activity to newer grounds and to increase the fleet length.

The authors are grateful to Dr.K.Gopakumar, Director, Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin for his kind permission to present this communication.

#### References

- Balasubramanyan, R., Satyanarayana, A.V.V. & Sadanandan, K.A. (1961) Indian J. Fish. 8(1), 269
- Joseph, K.M. & Sebastian, A.V.(1964) Fish.Technol.1, 180

Panicker, P.A., Sivan, T.M., Mhalathkar, H.N. & George Mathai, P. (1978) Fish.Technol. 15, 61

- Satyanarayana, A.V.V. & Sadanandan, K.A. (1962) Indian J. Fish. 9(2)B, 145
- Sreekrishna, Y., Sitarama Rao, J., Percy Dawson, Joseph Mathai, T. & Sulochanan, P.(1972) Fish. Technol. 9, 133
- Sulochanan, F., Sadanandan, K.A., Joseph Mathai, T.& Syed Abbas, M.(1975) Fish. Technol, 12, 52
- Von Brandt, A (1984) Fish.Catching Methods of the World. Fishing News (Books) Ltd., London, 355p.