VOL. VIII, ISSUE SPECIAL(C), AUGUST 2018 MULTILOGIC IN SCIENCE

An International Refereed, Peer Reviewed & Indexed Quarterly Journal in Science, Agriculture & Engineering

PLANT HOST INDUCED VARIATION OF ENDOSYMBIONTS ASSOCIATED WITH KUSMI LAC INSECTS Thamilarasi K, SajiyaEkbal, Monika Gupta, KanchanKumari, Vaibhay D Lohot, A Mohanasundaram and K K Sharma

Lac Production Division, ICAR-Indian Institute of Natural Resins and Gums, Ranchi

Abstract

Kerrialacca (Kerr), a sap sucking insect, is widely grown commercially on Butea monosperma (Palas), Schleicheraoleosa (Kusum), Ziziphusmauritania(Ber) and Flemingiasemialata in India. Lac insects secrete resin, wax and dye for self-protection, which have numerous applications in different fields. Lac cultivation is one of the major sources of income for the tribal community of the country. Kusmi lac insects is specific to India and contribute good quality and quantity lac. Lac insects live on nutrient-poor diets of phloem sap; hence to compensate for other important nutrients they have evolved obligate associations with microorganisms. Endosymbionts are supposed to have various roles in insect development and physiology. Some bacteria consociates with lac insects have been identified and understood, but several remain unknown. Our objective is to identify the diversity and variation of endosymbiotic bacteria in kusmilac insects grown on kusum, berandsemialatausing molecular methods. We followed culturing method to isolate bacterial endosymbionts from kusmi lac insects from different host plants. 16S rDNA of the bacterial isolates were amplified and sequenced for their identification, which could be used to decipher their role in lac insects. This study shows ten different bacteria to be associated with lac insects and differences in the bacterial species from lac insects grown on different host plants. Our study would contribute to a broader understanding of the diversity of endosymbionts and their symbiotic associations with lac insects. Key words: endosymbiont, lac insect, kusum, ber and semialata

Introduction

Insects are considered to be the most successful and diverse group of organis ms, with about 5.5 million species reported (Stork. 2018).Newinsectspeciesarebeingidentifiedatarateofabout5,000species peryear (Morgan, 2010). Their adaptability to various habitats is one of the reasons for their success which is mainly attributed by their microbial symbionts. Like vertebrates, insects also harbour symbiotic bacteria on the integument, in the digestive tract and in some unique structures within their body (Chen et al. 2000; Fukatsuet al. 2000). Many types of bacteria have been identified from different orders of insects including hemiptera, lepidoptera, diptera and isoptera. The role of endosymbionts in insects include digestion (of recalcitrant food), nutrition (supplementation of vitamins and other essential nutrients), protection (production of anti-fungal agents, detoxification of pesticides), resistance (against predators, parasites and pathogens), inter- and intra-specific communication (pheromone production). increasing efficiency as disease vectors, host insect morphogenesis andtemperature tolerance (Dillon and Dillon, 2004). Growth and development of many insects is dependent upon these microbes as theycontribute essentially in insect physiology.

Symbiosis with bacterial community is obligatory in insects whose diet is imbalanced such as vertebrate blood (by mosquitoes), phloem sap (by sap sucking insects) and wood (by termites). Most of the understanding between sap sucking insect host and endosymbionts arise from the studies involving the aphids and Buchneraspp which provide amino acids and vitamins to their host (Douglas, 1989). Lac insects widely used for their resin is a sap sucking insect. The Indian lac insect, Kerrialacca (Kerr) (Hemiptera: Coccoidea: Kerridae) has a wider host range, though commercially cultivated on few hosts such Kusum (Buteamonosperma), (Schleicheraoleosa). Palas as Ber(Zizyphusmauritiana) and Flemingiasemialata (semialata).Kusmi strain of lac insects is specific to India and yields high quantity and good quality resin.

Since lac insects are sap feeding insects, it is envisaged that the symbiotic bacteria must contribute towards nutrients such as amino acids and vitamins which are not obtained from phloem sap. The study on endosymbionts of lac insects is limited to few earlier works. Presence of microbial flora in lac insects is considered beneficial during rainy season crop for higher lac yield. Few bacteria such as Micrococcusspp, Clostridiumsp and Bacillus subtilis were reported 25 µl contained, 25 ng of template DNA, 0.25 mM of each dNTP from lac insects (Sharma and Jaiswal, 2011). Vashishthaetal., 2011 (FermentasInc, MD, USA), 10 pico moles of each primer, 1 unit of had found that lac insects are associated with Wolbachia and yeast like symbionts (YLS) and predicted roles such as biased sex ratio and reactions were carried out in a thermal cycler (Sensoquest, Germany) nutrient supplementation for them respectively. Few bacteria specific for male and female lac insects were identified by Shamimet al., denaturation of template DNA was carried out at 95°C for 3 min 2017. However, no study has undertaken so far to document the followed by 35 cycles programmed for denaturation step at 95 °C for

bacterial species associated with lac insects from different host plants. Hence, an attempt was made to isolate and identify the bacterial species associated with kusmi lac insects grown on kusum, ber and semialata. We have followed culture based method to isolate bacteria from lac insects and 16S rRNA PCR based molecular method to identify them.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and culturing of endosymbionts

The present study was carried out at Lac Production Division, ICAR-Indian Institute of Natural Resins and Gums, Ranchi, Jharkhand.Adult female lac insects of kusmi strain after fertilization were collected from different hosts such as kusum, ber and semialata and surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for about 15 minutes followed by a washing with 0.1% mercuric chloride for 10 minutes in laminar air flow chamber and then washed with sterile water for 3-5 times. The insects were homogenized in sterile nutrient brothand were plated onnutrient agar plates and kept for incubation at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours. Wash water was also plated onnutrient agar platesto identify and confirm the surface contaminants. All the bacterial cultures were re-streaked to isolate single colonies and preserved as glycerol stocks. The morphology of the bacteria was examined using visual investigation and a light microscope.

DNA isolation and PCR

Genomic DNA was isolated by TE method. Bacterial cultureswere grown overnight in a nutrient broth, cells were pelleteddown and resuspended in TE buffer [100 mMTris and 10 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)] containing 10% SDS and 20 µg/ml proteinase K and incubated for an hour at 65°C. An equal volume of phenol: chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added and mixed well by inverting the tubes until the phases were completely mixed. After phase separation, the tubes were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 min. The aqueous phase was collected in a separate tube and ethanol precipitated to pellet the DNA. The pellet was suspended in 200 µl of TE buffer (10 mMTris [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA). After measuring the absorbance of DNA at 260 nm, DNA was used for PCR. PCRs were performed to amplify 1.3 to 1.5 kb of the 16S rRNA gene from all the DNA samples by using universal 16S rRNA primers. The bacterial universal primers; 8F (5' AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3') and 1492R (5' ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT 3') were used. The PCR mixes of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas Inc., MD, USA). All the PCR programmed with the following cycling condition. Initial



ISSN 2277-7601

30sec, primer annealing step at 57.3 °C for 30 sec, and DNA sequence. In few cases either sequence length or similarity index is extension step at 72 °C for 1 min. The final extension of the PCR less, wherein chances are there that the identity may change in future. products was carried out at 72 °C for 10 min.

Sequencing and Analysis

The PCR products were sequenced using16S rRNA primers in a sequencing reaction on ABI 3130 automated sequencer (Applied Bio systems Inc., Carlsbad, CA,USA) at the sequencing facility of the Bacilluspopillae, Bacilluscirculans, Chromous Biotech, Bengaluru. Sequence analyses were performed Bacilluslentimorbus and Bacilluspolymyxa (Inceetal., 2008). Shamim with Geneious (6.1.8) software (Kearse et al., 2012) in order to verify and co-workers (2017) have also identified Bacillusspp from lac sequence quality and good sequences having at least 25 phred quality insects grown on *Flemingiamacrophylla.B. nakamurai*the most score were used. The ends of sequences were trimmed, aligned to generate consensus following de novo assembly. Preliminary identifications of the 16S rRNA gene sequences were done usingBLASTn (http:// blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) against 16S ribosomal RNA sequence (Bacteria and Archaea) database at NCBI.Sequences were subsequently submitted to GenBank ribosomal RNA database. Presence of chimeras were checked using DECIPHER v.2.8.1 (Wright et al., 2012).

Results and Discussion

Almost all insects have endosymbionts for their normal growth and development (Munsonet al., 1992). Loss of these microorganisms often results in abnormal development and reduces survival of the insect host (Fukatsu and Hosokawa 2002). Identification of endosymbionts in insects is very much essential to decipher their roles in insects. The interaction between endosymbionts and insects are highly responsible for the adaptation of insects to particular ecosystem. In this study we have isolated and identified different bacterial endosymbionts from lac insects by 16S rDNA sequencing. A total of 29 bacterial samples were successfully cultured from lac *Providencia* were obtained, which belong to γ -proteobacteria. Other insects collected from different host plants.

16S rDNA Analysis

The bacterial isolates were identified based on 16S rDNA PCR products were sequenced sequencing. The and sequence similarity check was conducted using BLASTn against 16S ribosomal database (Bacteria and Archaea). Organisms showing maximum similarity (97-100%) with the given sequences were considered. Chimera detection results showed that out of 29 sequences, 24 sequences were not deciphered as a chimera and 5 sequences as chimera, or indecipherable sequence (BH4, KH2, KH5, SH1, and SH2). 16S rDNA sequencing is a universal technology yielding unambiguous and reproducible data even for unusual and slow growing isolates (Woo et al., 2008). 16S rDNA sequences of the isolates were submitted to GenBank and the accession numbers obtained were from MH714881 to MH714909.

From 29 different bacterial isolates, 10 different bacteria were identified (Table1). Bacillus kochii, Bacillus oceanisediminis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus nakamuraiand Enterobacter cloacae were observed on kusmi lac insects collected from kusum. Klebsiella quasipneumoniae subsp. similipneumoniae, Citrobacter a malonaticus, Providencia vermicola and B. nakamuraiwere found in isolated from insects collected bacteria lac from ber.Enterobacter ludwigii, В. nakamuraiand Enterobacter cancerogenus were found in lac insects collected fromsemialata. It was found that there is difference in bacterial endosymbionts of lac insects grown on different host plants. Host plants influencing the variation of insect endosymbionts is a normal phenomenon and has been reported earlier that facultative symbionts are closely related to the host plant species (Ferrari et al., 2012). Host plants influence the population size (Zhang et al., 2016) and frequency of the symbionts present in aphids (Ferrari et al., 2012). For example, Regiella insecticola emerge frequently in pea aphid collected from Trifolium whereas Serratiasymbiotica with aphids collected from Cytisus, Pisum and Vicia (Ferrari et al., 2012) Identification of bacteria in this study is solely based on 16S rDNA

In most of the cases. different species of Bacillus and Enterobacter were found. Bacillus is a very common genus found in different types of insects, which include Bacillus subtilis, Bacillusthuringiensis, Bacillus cereus, Bacillussphaericus, Bacillusmegaterium, frequent bacteria identified from this study was originally isolated from soil and known to produce black pigment (Dunlap et al., 2016). Since *B. nakamurai* was found in all three types of lac insects studied, it must have some very important function in lac insects, which is essential for their survival. However, further studies are required to address this aspect. B. amyloliquefaciens is known to control plant pathogens due to its antifungal activity. B. amyloliquefaciens isolated from feces of Allomyrinadichotoma larvae showed antifungal activity due to lipopeptide production (Nam et al., 2016). Hence antifungal activity may be anticipated for theB. amyloliquefaciens strain present in lac insects.

Bacteria such as *Enterobacter* spp. K.quasipneumoniae, C.amalonaticus, Providenciavermicolabelong toEnterobacteriacea family. All these Enterobacters might have come from lac insect gut. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA genes also demonstrated that most insect symbionts belong to the proteobacteria, primarily within the γ -subdivision (as reviewed in Moran and Telang, 1998). Similarly, in our study as well, Klebsiella, Citrobacter and species of Providencia, P. burhodogranariea and P. sneebia have been discovered in the hemolymph of Drosophilamelanogaster (Ryan and Ray, 2004).E. cloacae may produce some metabolic by products like vitamins useful to the larvae (Kuzinaet al., 2001). E. cloacae produces a strong antifungal compound (ammonia) inhibitory to many fungi (Howell et al., 1998). Since the ecosystem of lac insect is rich in fungi, E. cloacae may help in evading them or play role in nutrient supplementation. E.cancerogenus, specifically present in semialata was found to produce bio emulsifier, degrade xenobiotics, and resist alkalis and antibiotics (Wei et al., 2013) and hence protection role may be anticipated for this bacteria within lac insects. In the present study, bacteria were isolated from lac insects grown on different host plants because it was assumed that the host factors play

a major role in deciding the nature of bacteria dwells on insects. Variation also depends on the intake of sap on which lac insects feed, because nutrition plays a major role in deciding the microbial flora of an organism. Under the given experimental condition, the number of bacteria in lac insect from kusum was more than inlac insects from semialata and ber. Difference in bacterial number and types (from different host plants) may be due to weather conditions like temperature, humidity, moisture, wind, rainfall, and host endophytes etc. We could hypothesize roles like nutrition supplementation and protection against foreign agents for these endosymbionts in lac insects. However, further detailed studies are required to prove this hypothesis.

Higher diversity of endosymbionts is expected to be present in lac insects. Present study is a first attempt to explore the diversity of endosymbionts of lac insects from different lac hosts based on culture method. However, much more uncultivable bacteria and also stage dependent and strain dependent endosymbionts may be anticipated to be present in lac insects. Culture independent methods such as metagenomics would reveal more number of endosymbionts in lac insects. Future studies need to be done in these perspectives.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge Indian Council of Agricultural Research for providing funds through Network Project on Conservation of Lac An International Refereed, Peer Reviewed & Indexed Quarterly Journal in Science, Agriculture & Engineering

Insect Genetic Resources (ICAR project no. IXX11033) and the Technician. technical assistance provided by Mr. Bhupal Kumar, Senior **Table 1. Details of bacterial endosymbionts isolated from lac insects grown on different host plants**

S. No	Host plant	Closest relative and its Accession No.	Accession No	%Identity
KB1	Kusum	Bacillus kochii NR 117050.1	MH714886	99%
KB2	Kusum	Bacillus kochii	MH714887	99%
KB3	Kusum	Bacillus kochii NR 117050.1	MH714888	99%
KB4	Kusum	Bacillus kochii NR 117050.1	MH714889	99%
KB6	Kusum	Bacillus kochii NR 117050 1	MH714890	99%
KB7	Kusum	Bacillus kochii NR 1170501	MH714891	99%
KB9	Kusum	Bacillus oceanisediminis	MH714892	99%
KB10	Kusum	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NR_117946.1	MH714893	99%
KB11	Kusum	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens NR 117946.1	MH714894	100%
KB12	Kusum	Bacillus amyloliquefaciensNR_117946.1	MH714895	99%
KH1	Kusum	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714902	99%
KH2	Kusum	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714882	99%
КН3	Kusum	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714903	99%
KH4	Kusum	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714904	99%
KH5	Kusum	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714883	99%
KH6	Kusum	Enterobacter cloacae NR 117679.1	MH714905	98%
BH1	Ber	Klebsiellaquasipneumoniae subsp. similipneumoniae NR 134063.1	MH714896	99%
BH2	Ber	Klebsiellaquasipneumoniae subsp. similipneumoniae NR 134063.1	MH714897	97%
BH3	Ber	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714898	98%
BH4	Ber	Citrobacteramalonaticus NR 104823.1	MH714881	99%
BH5	Ber	Citrobacteramalonaticus NR 104823.1	MH714899	99%
BH6	Ber	ProvidenciavermicolaNR_042415.1	MH714900	99%
BH7	Ber	Citrobacteramalonaticus NR 104823.1	MH714901	98%
SH1	Semialata	Enterobacterludwigii NR 042349.1	MH714884	99%
SH2	Semialata	Enterobactercancerogenus NR 116756.1	MH714885	99%
SH3	Semialata	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714906	99%
SH4	Semialata	Bacillus nakamurai NR 151897.1	MH714907	99%
SH5	Semialata	Bacillus nakamurai NR_151897.1	MH714908	99%

VOL. VIII, IS	SUE SPECIAL(C) , A	UGUST 2018	MULTILOO	GIC IN SCIENCE			IS	SN 2277-7601			
SH6	An International Rei	Recillus nakamur	d & Indexed	Quarterly Journa	I in So	cience, Agricul	ture & E	ngineering			
5110	Semiaiaia	NR_151897.1	u		IVII	1/14909		9970			
References:			T '. 00	Moran, N.A.	and	Telang, A.	1998.	Bacteriocyte-asso	ciated		
Chen, D.Q.,	Montllor, C.B. and	Purcell, A.H. 2000.	Fitness effect	ts som bionts of ins	ects.	Bioscience, 48:	295-304 sects Th	RovalSocietvofCl	nemist		
Acvrthosipho	<i>onpisum</i> , and the	blue alfalfa aph	id, <i>A. kon</i>	doi. Cambridge.	JIU.D	losynthesisinin	Sects. Th	Royalsocietyorei	icillist		
Entomologia	Experimentalis et Ap	plicata, 95: 315-323.	,	Munson, M.A., Baumann, P. and Morant N.A. 1992. Phylogenetic							
Dillon, R.J.	and Dillon, V.M.	2004. The gut ba	cteria of ins	erelationships of	f the	endosymbion	ts of r	nealybugs (Homo	optera:		
Nonpathogen	ic interactions. Annu F 1989 Mycetocyte	al Review of Entomol	ogy, 49: 71-9. ts Biological	Pseudococcidae) based on 168 rDNA sequences. Molecular							
Review,64: 4	Nam, H.S., Yang, H.J., Oh, B.J., Anderson, A.J., and Kim, Y.C.										
Dunlap,C.A.	Dunlap,C.A., Saunders,L.P., Schisler, D.A., Leathers,					2016. Biological control potential of bacillus amyloliquefaciens kb3					
T.D., Naeem	, N, Cohan, F.M., a	and Rooney, A.P. 2	016. Bacillus	isolated from t	he fe	eces of Allomy. $(2) \cdot 272 \cdot 280$	rinadich	otoma larvae. The	Plant		
Journal of S	vstematic and Evolu	tionary Microbiology	. 66(8):2987-	Ryan, K.J. and Ray, C.G. 2004 Sherris Medical Microbiology (4th							
91.	,		,(.)	ed.). McGraw H	ill Pu	blishers.		e	5) (
Ferrari, J.,	West, J.A., Via,	S. and Godfray,	H.C.J. 2012.	Shamim, G., Ra	injan	, S.K., Kandas	samy, T.	, Sharma, K.K. a	nd Ramani		
Population g	genetic structure an	d secondary symbic aphid complex Evolu	onts in host- tion 66: 375-	R. 2017. Bacterial flora associated with <i>Kerrialacca</i> (Kerr), Indian Journal of Entomology 70: 41-48							
390.	pulations of the pea	upind complex. Evolu		Sharma, K.K. and Jaiswal, A.K. 2011. Microbial flora associated with lac							
Fukatsu, T.	and Hosokawa	Г. 2002. Capsule-tra	insmitted gut	insects, pp 22-3	3. In:	Kumar, K.K.	, R. Ran	nani and K.K. Sh	arma (eds.)		
symbiotic ba	ncterium of the Japa	anese common platas	pid stinkbug,	Recent advances in lac culture, Indian Lac Research Institute, Ranchi,							
vol. 68. no. 1	, pp. 389–396.		viicrobiology,	Stork, N.E. 201	8 . Ho	ow many specie	es of ins	ects and other terr	estrial		
Fukatsu, T.	, Nikoh, N., Kawa	al, R. and Koga, F	R. 2000. The	arthropods are th	nere o	n earth? Annua	al Review	v of Entomology,	63:31-		
secondary	endosymbiotic ba	cterium of the	pea aphid	45.							
<i>Acyrthosipho</i> Environment	al Microbiology 66	Homoptera). A 2748- 2758	pplied and	Vashishtha, A., Sharma, K.K. and Lakhanpaul, S. 2011 . Co- Existence, phylogeny and putative role of <i>Wolbachia</i> and Yeast-Like							
Howell, C.R.	., Beier, R.C. and St	ipanovic, R.D. 1988.	Production of	Symbiont (YLS)) in K	<i>Terrialacca</i> (Ker	r). Curre	entMicrobiology, 3	: 206-		
ammonia by	Enterobacter cloacae	e and possible role in	the biological	212.							
control of	<i>Pythium</i> preemerger	nce damping-off	by bacteria.	Wei Y, Yang Y	, Zho Var	ou L, Liu Z, W	ang X, 1 2013	Yang R, Su Q, Zh	iou Y,		
Ince, I.A., D	emir, I., Demirbag	, Z. and Nalcacioglu	, R. 2008. A	of Enterobactero	cance	rogenus YZ1.	Geno	me Announcer	ments.		
cytoplasmic	cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus isolated from the pine processionary				1(1):e00023-13. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00023-13.						
caterpillar,	caterpillar, <i>Thaumetopoeapityocampa</i> . Applied Microbiology and				Woo, P.C.Y., Lau, S.K.P., Teng, J.L.L., Tse, H. and Yuen, K.Y.						
Kearse, M.,	y, 17. 032-037. Moir. R., Wilson. A	A., Stones-Havas, S.,	Cheung, M.,	identification and	d disc	covery of novel	bacteria	in clinical microb	iology		
Sturrock, S.	, Buxton, S., Coope	er, A., Markowitz, S	., Duran, C.,	laboratories. Clin	nical	Microbiology a	nd Infec	tion, 14: 908–934.	0.		
Thierer, T.,	Ashton, B., Mentji	ies, P., and Drummo	nd, A. 2012.	Wright E.S., et	<i>al.</i> 2	012. DECIPHE	ER, A se	earch-based approx	ach to		
platform for	the organization	and analysis of se	quence data.	Environmental N	Aicrol	biology, doi:10	.1128/AI	EM.06516-11.	i anu		
Bioinformatio	cs, 28:1647-1649.	<i>,</i>	1	Zhang, Y., Cao,	, W.,	Zhong, L., Ch	arles, H.	, Godfray, J. and	Liua,		
Kuzina, L.V	., Peloquin, J.J., Va	cek, D.C. and Mille	r, T.A. 2001.	X. 2016. Host	plant	determines the	e popula	tion size of an ob	oligate		
lsolation an	d identification of Mexican fruit fl	bacteria associated	with adult	Biology 82.233	eraap 6-234	<i>hidicola</i> in apl	nids. Apj	blied and Environi	nental		
Microbiology	y, 42: 290 -294.	, <i>musi epitutu</i> t		2101053, 02.233	5 237	~•					