### **Chapter 1**

# **Greenhouse gas emission from rice: Issues, monitoring and budgeting**

P. Bhattacharyya1\*, S. Neogi<sup>1</sup>, K.S. Roy<sup>1</sup>, K.S. Rao<sup>1</sup>, A.K. Nayak<sup>1</sup> and **R.K. Bajpai2**

1 Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, Odisha, India Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chattisgarh, India \* e-mial: pratap162001@yahoo.co.in

There has been a drastic increase in the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ methane  $(CH_4)$ , nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$  and other green house gases (GHGs) since the industrial revolution. The atmospheric concentration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has increased from 280 parts per million by volume (ppmv) in 1750 to 379 ppmv in 2005 and is currently increasing at the rate of 1.9 ppmv yr<sup>-1</sup> (IPCC, 2007). Atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> concentration has increased from about 715 to 1774 parts per billion by volume (ppbv) in 2005 over the same period and is increasing at the rate of 7 ppbv  $yr<sup>1</sup>$  (IPCC, 2007). Similarly, the atmospheric concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O has increased from about 270 ppbv in 1750 to 319 ppbv in 2005 and is increasing at the rate of 0.8 ppbv  $yr^{-1}$  (IPCC, 2007). The current radiative forcing of these trace gases (GHGs) is 1.46 W m<sup>-2</sup> for CO<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> for CH<sub>4</sub> and  $0.15$  W m<sup>-2</sup> for N<sub>2</sub>O (IPCC, 2001). This anthropogenic enrichment of GHGs in the atmosphere and the cumulative radiative forcing of all GHGs have led to an increase in the average global surface temperature of 0.74 $^{\circ}$ C since the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, with the current warming rate of 0.13 $^{\circ}$ C decade<sup>-1</sup> (IPCC, 2007). The observed rate of increase of the global mean temperature is in excess of the critical rate of  $0.1^{\circ}$ C decade<sup>-1</sup> beyond which the ecosystems cannot adjust. These changes may affect the soil organic carbon (SOC) pools, dynamics, and structural stability and may disrupt cycles of water, carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) resulting into adverse impacts on biomass productivity, biodiversity and the environment.

The natural as well as anthropogenic activities have serious effects on the ever increasing concentrations of  $CO_{2}$ ,  $CH_4$ , N<sub>2</sub>O and other GHGs in the atmosphere. The heat-trapping properties of these aforesaid GHGs are well established. Greenhouse gases differ in their warming influence (radiative forcing) on the global climate system due to their different radiative properties and lifetimes in the atmosphere. Changes in the atmospheric concentrations of GHGs alter the energy balance of the climate system which leads to subsequent climate change. They affect the absorption, scattering and emission of radiation within the atmosphere and at the earth's surface. The resulting positive or negative changes in energy balance due to these factors, known as radiative forcing, is used for comparison of warming or cooling influences on global climate. Atmospheric concentrations of GHGs increase when emissions are larger than removal processes. These GHGs have profound impact on global climatic changes resulting into increase in ambient temperature which is likely to affect agriculture (IPCC, 2007). Although uncertainty exists as to how the earth's climate responds to these GHGs, there has been a significant rise in global temperatures. It is anticipated that increasing concentrations of GHGs are likely to accelerate further the rate of climate change. Scientists are expecting that the average global surface temperature could rise by 1.4°C-5.8°C by 2100 AD with significant regional variations (IPCC, 2007).

Agriculture can play an important role in mitigating three GHGs:  $CO_{2}$ ,  $CH_{4}$ , and N<sub>2</sub>O, having global warming potentials (GWP) 1, 24.5 and 320, respectively for a 100 year time horizon (IPCC, 2007). Plants absorb  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere and extract some carbon for use in developing plant tissues. Oxygen  $(O_2)$  and  $CO_2$  are released back into the atmosphere. When the plant dies, the carbon in the plant tissues is converted back to  $CO_2$  if decomposition is aerobic, to  $CH_4$  if decomposition is anaerobic, or remains in the soil as soil organic matter (SOM). Aerobic decomposition takes place where decaying plant material is either on the surface or close to it and exposed to alternating wet and dry periods. Anaerobic decomposition releases  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and takes place in fields that are flooded for extended periods, such as those used for paddy rice. Rice farming plays a significant source of GHGs. Anaerobic decomposition in rice fields results in the release of substantial amounts of  $CH_4$  into the atmosphere. The interactive nature of carbon and nitrogen cycles in rice fields demands a consideration of the other GHGs, namely,  $N_2O$  and  $CO_{2'}$ in view of full GWP accounting. Rice is the major cereal crop feeding two-thirds of the global population. Rice, the most important cereal after wheat, can be grown to extreme limits of temperature, day length, salinity and water supply. But, rice cultivation contributes to the emission of GHGs of concern (e.g.,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$ ) to the atmosphere which affect adversely the atmospheric chemistry and the environment. Projected changes in global climate are expected to affect many marginal and fragile ecosystems. Rice crop is also likely to be affected by the impending changes in the environment

Rice occupies one-third of the world's crop land planted to cereals and provides 30-60% of the calories consumed by nearly three billion people (Guerra et al., 1998). Rice production is an important part of Asia's economy. But competitive market, day by day hike in input cost, ever increasing demand from all quarters and intensive agriculture are posing concern to its productivity and sustainability. A comprehensive understanding of how the physical environment affects rice yield is the key to improve agronomic production and its sustenance. In Asia, rice is the major food crop, and about 80% of it is grown under flooded conditions (Zou et al., 2005). Rice is grown in different environments ranging from tropical to temperate regions with varying climatic, edaphic, and biological conditions which naturally affect the rates of  $\rm CO_2CH_4$  and  $\rm N_2O$ emissions. These trace gas flux exchanges between paddy fields and the atmosphere is also greatly influenced by cultivation practices and field management, such as ploughing, stable manure amendment, seeding or transplanting of rice, water management, harvest, treatment of harvest residuals.

Carbon dioxide exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere is one of the key processes that affect atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration. In order to assess the role of terrestrial ecosystem in the global CO<sub>2</sub> budget at present, and to predict its changes in the future under global warming, long-term observation of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  exchange has been done in various ecosystems in the world. Carbon di-oxide is an extremely important greenhouse gas as it contributes to increasing radiative forcing and thus to climate change as well as other negative impacts. These relationships are highly complex due to many feedbacks and interactions (Haszpra et al., 2008). Global atmospheric concentration of this gas increased about 35% from the pre-industrial time upto 2005. Worldwide variation in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is determined by the balance between sources and sinks (Vinogradova et al., 2007). Photosynthesis and natural respiration processes are linked to the superimposed effects of other factors such as fossil fuel combustion for energy purposes (specifically energy and transport sectors) and land use changes (Artus et al., 2009). Carbon di-oxide concentrations are also influenced by atmospheric processes in the boundary layer which affect their transport and dispersion (Ramonet et al., 2010). Variations in sources, biological processes, meteorological features of the boundary layer and geographical features of the area of interest are the main controlling factors for variability in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels. By 2020, the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will reach 400 ppmv and by 2050, tropospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is predicted to increase by 50%. But, on the other hand,  $CO_2$  has a significant impact on crop photosynthesis, agricultural production and productivity.Rice soils that are flooded for long

periods in the year tend to accumulate soil organic carbon (SOC),even with complete removal of the aboveground plant biomass (Bronson et al., 1997). Significant inputs of C and N are derived from the biological activity in the soil-floodwater system, and conditions are generally more favorable for the formation of conserved SOC (Olk et al 1998, Kirk and Olk 2000). In China, it is estimated that the current C sequestration rate in irrigated rice cultivation is 12 Tg C year<sup>-1</sup> and that these systems have induced a total enrichment of SOC storage of about 0.3 Tg C (Pan et al., 2003)

Methane has strong infra-red and heat absorption band characteristics and worldwide its increasing concentration in the atmosphere is believed to contribute towards change in atmospheric chemistry as well as global warming (IPCC, 2007). Methane is presently the second most important GHG accounting for 15-20% of the anthropogenic radiative forcing. The major sources of CH<sub>4</sub> production are the rice paddies, ruminants, landfills, natural wet lands and sediments (Zhu et al., 2007). Tropospheric  $CH_4$  has increased as a result of human activities related to agriculture, natural gas distribution and landfills. Although the tropospheric  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  is increasing continuously, increase of  $CH_4$  emission has started to decline during the past two decades (IPCC, 2007). Among the sources of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  irrigated rice fields are estimated to contribute between 6-8% (Tseng et al., 2010) of the total 410-660 million tons year<sup>-1</sup> emitted globally (Tseng et al., 2010). Flooding of irrigated rice fields produces anaerobic soil conditions which are conducive to the production of  $\text{CH}_4$  (Neue, 1993).

Nitrous-oxide is generated by the microbial transformation of N in soils and manures, and is often enhanced where available N exceeds plant requirements, especially under wet conditions (Oenema et al., 2005). The rice paddies act as sources of major  $N_2$ O emission upon nitrogenous fertilizer (e.g., urea) application. Nitrogenous fertilizer appears to be the single most important factor controlling  $N_2O$  emission from flooded rice fields. Actually  $N_2O$  is produced in considerable amounts both in upland (aerobic) and wetland (predominantly anaerobic) soils especially under N-fertilizer dependent agriculture. Wide variation in  $N_2O$  production exists in different rice soils.

Therefore, it is now evident that the flooded rice paddies are one of the most important sources of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emission. The attendant global climatic change as a result of increased ambient temperature may, in turn, adversely affect rice cultivation and rice-based production systems. But, rational and judicious optimization of agricultural management practices may result into partial mitigation of the greenhouse effects by curbing  $CO_{2}$ ,  $CH_{4}$  and  $N_{2}O$  emissions to atmosphere during rice cultivation. These management practices, if properly and wisely adopted, would substantially cut down and limit these GHGs emissions from rice and rice-based production systems to atmosphere at the national and global scales. But, the uncertainties in the GHG fluxes from rice fields are due to complexity and variation of the sources, agricultural management practices, limitations in the measurement equipment and the methodology used to quantify the emissions. In order to compile the full GHG balance (for characterization and budgeting) and to understand the processes that affect this balance during rice production, long-term measurements are needed covering all three gaseous species. Hence, close frequency of monitoring/ sampling, proper calibration of monitoring devices and sound precision and accuracy of measurement instruments for quantification of GHGs from rice fields are essential in this regard.

#### **Issues**

Global increases in  $CO_2$  concentrations are primarily due to fossil fuel use, with land-use change providing another significant but smaller contribution. Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is released largely from microbial decay of plant litter and soil organic matter. Agriculture through the process of photosynthesis absorb  $CO_2$  from atmosphere, there is very small net emission of  $CO_2$ due to agiculture unless it is done by clearing forest land a kind of practice found in part of India and else where. However, the observed increase in  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  concentration is predominantly due to agriculture and fossil fuel use. Methane is produced when organic materials decompose in oxygen-deprived conditions, notably from fermentative digestion by ruminant livestock, from stored manures and from rice grown under flooded conditions. On the other hand, the major source of increase in more than one third in  $N_2O$  concentration is due to human activity, primarily agriculture. Nitrus oxide is generated by the microbial transformation of N in soils and manures and nitrogenous fertilizers, and is often enhanced where available N exceeds plant requirements, especially under wet conditions (Oenema et al., 2005). Thus agricultural GHG fluxes are complex and heterogeneous in source and of nature, but the active management of agricultural systems offers possibilities for mitigation.

Agriculture accounted for an estimated emission of 5.1 to 6.1 Gt  $CO_2$ -eq year<sup>-1</sup> in 2005, almost 10-12% of total global anthropogenic emissions of GHGs. Methane contributes  $3.3$  Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq year<sup>-1</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O 2.8 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq year<sup>-1</sup>. Of global anthropogenic GHG emissions in 2005, agriculture accounts for about 60% of  $\rm N_2O$  and about 50% of CH<sub>4</sub>. Despite large annual exchanges of CO<sub>2</sub> between the atmosphere and agricultural lands, the net flux is estimated to be approximately balanced, with  $CO_2$  emissions around 0.04 Gt  $CO_2$  year<sup>-1</sup> only. Globally, agricultural  $CH_4$  and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have increased by nearly 17% from 1990 to 2005, an average annual emission increase of about 60 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq year<sup>-1</sup>.

The present GHG budget of agricultural fields could be different from previously estimated values because the pattern of land use changed drastically in the last couple of decades. Thus, it becomes evident to detect the current GHG budget from agricultural land. Quantification of GHGs exchanges between terrestrial ecosystems and atmosphere are strongly needed to make comprehensive budgeting of those GHGs. From this point of view, it is pertinent to mention about FLUX NETWORK activities worldwide. AmeriFlux, AsiaFlux, ChinaFlux, KoreaFlux, CARBOEUROPE, NITROEUROPE have been launched on the flux observation project at various types of ecosystems including agricultural fields. Long-term GHG budget monitoring studies are covered under these FLUX NETWORK activities.

Longterm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  measurement data series are continuously being collected and analyzed at the Mauna Loa High Altitude Observatory for about last 50 years (Hofmann et al., 2009). Additionally the Global monitoring Division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is also measuring  $CO_2$  and other GHGs in a global network (Conway et al., 2008; Tans, 2011). The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) records all the GHGs concentrations and sets the global warming potentials of all the naturally/ anthropogenically produced GHGs for a particular time span. There is also a worldwide network of measuring stations promoted by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) which provides reliable informations. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is the main parent body which publishes the recent and updated concentration of GHGs, their emission scenarios, projected emission trends in the coming future and mitigation options on a periodic interval. Although uncertainty exists regarding the magnitude and flux data of those GHGs worldwide depending on the sources and management practices, which necessitates for proper monitoring and quantification of the trace gas fluxes from different rice production systems.

Rice is grown in the Asian counties during two distinct seasons namely the dry and the wet season. The dry season, from January to April, tends to produce higher yields than the wet season, from July to October. Generally rice thrives in a flooded ecosystem. This condition enriches the nutrients available for crop's growth, allowing farmers to reap abundant harvests. The dark side is that this flooded ecosystem emits mostly  $\text{CH}_4$  as well as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  depending upon the agricultural management practices that contribute to global climate change. Mid-season drainage or intermittent irrigation, which prevents the development of soil reductive conditions, is considered to be an effective option for mitigating  $CH_4$  emissions from rice fields (Yagi et al 1997). However, under such situations the increased  $N_2O$  emissions may offset the benefit gained by reduction of CH $_{\rm_4}$  emission. There is a trade off between  $\rm N_2O$  and CH $_{\rm_4}$  emission depending upon

the water level, level and type of fertilisers applied, soil organic matter and state of residue retention or incorporation. Similarly, for saving water during rice production, alternate wetting and drying (AWD) and growing of aerobic rice in well-drained, nonpuddled and non-saturated soils are becoming popular. But the environmental impacts of these methods are yet to be established. Alternate wetting and drying maintains the basic features of flooded rice fields and keeps the potential for higher production intact. Although this practice reduces  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  emissions, it can potentially increase the release of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$ , two important GHGs. Aerobic rice systems similarly entail drastic changes in C and N emissions and canopy temperature that contribute to global warming and aggravate heat stress for the rice plants. Although the consequences for the sustainability of rice fields remain unknown, it is customary to assess the use of AWD and aerobic rice as an option to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change and, at the same time, to reduce emissions.

Research work should be focused to find out the potential avenues to reduce GHGs emissions from rice production with relatively low opportunity costs and increased productivity.These technologies should be tested and validated in the farmers field. Adapting technologies to local conditions is necessary involving farmers, extension agents and research institutions in technology design and dissemination. Technically,  $\text{CH}_4$  reduction from irrigated rice ecologies and  $\text{CO}_2$ and  $N_2$ O reduction from upland (aerobic) rice production systems could be a promising strategy to mitigate GHG emissions in line with the idea of certified emission reductions (CERs) introduced in the Kyoto Protocol. According to this regulation farmers can receive payments from a private or public institution in an unindustrialized country for reducing GHG emissions in line with the idea of Carbon Credit Compliance. In the next step, a designated panel of the UNFCCC can approve CERs that can be used by the purchasing institution as part of its required contingent of emission savings. Increasing food production is an absolute necessity for ever increasing human population and improved resource use efficiencies are imperative to achieving this goal. Therefore, definite provisions should be there for adopting CERs in different rice production systems keeping in mind the food security and GHG mitigation by computing net GWP savings based on food production targets.

### **Monitoring technologies for study of greenhouse gas emissions from rice fields**

 Flooded rice paddies and aerobic rice production systems have important roles on GHG budget. The rice crops uptake atmospheric  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  due to photosynthesis and the soil microorganisms along with crop  $emit CO<sub>2</sub>$  during respiration. Lowland submerged rice paddies are major  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  source and upland conditions enriched with nitrogenous fertilizers mostly emits  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  and CO<sub>2</sub>. These sink/source strength capacity depends on the management practices. Therefore, GHG emissions from rice fields of different rice production systems demands continuous, precise and accurate monitoring and their proper quantification for budgeting. Thus long-term GHGs flux observation studies in different rice ecosystems are necessary. Several technologies are available for monitoring of GHG emission from agriculture. Real time accurate and precise monitoring of GHGs emissions from rice paddy ecosystems are possible with the help of open and or closed path eddy covariance (EC) technique, static chambers method and by soil and plant canopy chambers using infrared gas analyzer (IRGA).

Numerous micrometeorological measurements of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux have been made in paddy fields since the 1960s, and the eddy covariance method was often applied after the 1980s (Miyata et al., 2000). However, most of those studies involved short-term measurements lasting a few days to a few weeks. A long-term CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurement study covering two consecutive growing seasons at a rice field in Texas, USA, using the relaxed eddy accumulation method. However, as mentioned before, differences in cultivation practices and field management affect the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  budgets of paddy fields.

Trace gas fluxes can be measured using chamber or micrometeorological methods (Wesely  $\&$ Hicks, 2000). Chamber methods integrate over small areas, from  $<$  1 m $^2$  (Husted, 1993) up to 64 m $^2$ (Galle et al., 1994) and can alter local environmental conditions. General errors caused by chambers are related to perturbations of the natural conditions at the sampling site, modifications of the microclimate, pressure-induced gas flows in open chambers and inhibiting effects of concentration build-up in closed chambers (Lapitan et al., 1999). On the other hand, micrometeorological methods do not interfere with processes of gas exchange between the surface source and the atmosphere and are ideally suited for continuous flux measurements (Denmead, 1995).

Eddy covariance systems using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy are now becoming available for automated measurement of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes from agriculture (Kim et al., 1999). However, these systems are costly. In contrast, combining profile measurements of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ concentrations with eddy covariance measurements of energy fluxes (denoted as flux-gradient method) (Miyata et al., 2000) is less costly than the laser based spectroscopy method for the measurement of  $CH_4$ . In contrast to the conventional chamber technique (Khalil et al., 2008), the flux-gradient method can measure the  $\text{CH}_4$  flux without physically disturbing the sample area. There have been several attempts to use measured  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  effluxes at specific sites to arrive at estimates for the global emission from rice paddies. The results vary greatly, from 20 to 100 Tg  $\rm{yr}^1$ (Zou et al., 2005). Field experiments have shown that the large variability in  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions is both spatial and temporal as well as seasonal and diurnal (Sass et al., 1991). Although a large number of field measurements of  $\text{CH}_4$  effluxes have been made in the past decade, their spatial coverage is still poor, and extrapolating the results from point measurements to the global scale involves many uncertainties (Cao et al., 1995).



**FIGURE 1**. *Eddy covariance system*

#### *Eddy covariance technique-based net ecosystem carbon dioxide exchange*

Long term measurements of  $CO_{2}$  flux have been carried out in various ecosystems in the world, especially in forest ecosystems as they are believed to be the most influential terrestrial ecosystems in the global  $CO_2$  budget (Carrara et al., 2003). On the other hand, non-forest ecosystems *viz.* grasslands, wetlands and agricultural fields have also been observed because they contribute to regional and global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  budgets (Tsai et al., 2006). The eddy covariance (EC) technique is widely employed as the standard micrometeorological method to monitor fluxes of  $CO_{2}$ , water vapour and heat, which are bases to determine  $CO$ , and heat balances of land surfaces (Fig. 1) (Aubinet et al., 2000). The EC technique has become the most important method for measuring trace gas exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere (Smith et al., 2010). The direct, continuous measurement of carbon, water and energy fluxes between vegetated canopies or biosphere and the atmosphere can be obtained with minimal disturbance to the vegetation using this sophisticated research tool. It can represent a large area of land at the ecosystem than the typical plot area (Lalrammawia et

al., 2010) for a short period or even for several years. It has become the backbone for bottom up estimates of continental carbon balance from hourly to inter annual time scales (Reichstein et al., 2005).

The EC technique is based on high frequency (10-20 Hz) measurements of wind speed and direction as well as  $CO_2$  and water concentrations at a point over the canopy using a three-axis sonic anemometer and a fast response infrared gas analyzer (Fig. 2) (Aubinet et al., 2003). Assuming perfect turbulent mixing, these measurements are typically integrated over periods of half an hour building the basis to calculate carbon and water balances from daily to annual time

scales. Apart from three-axis sonic anemometer and fast response infra-red gas analyzer several other sensors are attached to the EC unit for measurement of some auxiliary parameters namely, relative humidity, air temperature, incoming radiation, net radiation, photosynthetic photon flux density, photosynthetically active radiation, precipitation, soil temperature, soil moisture, soil heat flux etc. Eddy covariance flux towers are currently operational worldwide covering different climate conditions; land use and land cover (Baldocchi et al., 2001).

In Asia, EC flux measurements were conducted in Japan (Miyata et al., 2005;), Korea (Moon et al., 2003), Bangladesh (Hossen et al., 2011), Philippines (Alberto et al., 2009), Thailand (Pakoktom et al., 2009), China (Xiu E et al., 2007), Taiwan (Tseng et al., 2010) and India (Bhattacharyya et. al., 2011) to monitor seasonal, annual and or inter-annual variations in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fluxes in rice fields (Fig. 3). In rice paddy ecosystems it can be employed to measure net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (NEE) or net ecosystem production (NEP). The technique uses the covariance between rapid fluctuations in vertical wind speed measured with a three-dimensional ultrasonic anemometer and simultaneous measurements of the rapid fluctuations in the  $CO_2$  concentration as measured by a fast-response IRGA. A positive covariance between vertical fluctuations and the  $\text{CO}_2$  mixing ratio indicates the net  $\text{CO}_2$  transfer into the atmosphere from plant-soil system and a negative value indicates net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ absorption by the vegetation (Moncrieff et. al., 1997). Net ecosystem exchange is measured continuously by EC technique applying proper correction terms and gap-filling, if required. Net ecosystem exchange is further partitioned into gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (RE). Ecosystem respiration is extrapolated from night time fluxes to daytime by using temperature re-



**FIGURE 2.** *Sensors of eddy covariance system*



**FIGURE 3**. *Eddy covariance system in rice field*



**FIGURE 4**. *Net ecosystem exchange, gross primary production and ecosystem respiration of rice field in Cuttack*

sponse functions and afterwards GPP is calculated by subtracting RE from NEE (Fig.4) (Bhattacharyya et. al. 2011).

Eddy covariance system continuously monitors and stores half-hourly and hourly  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux (NEE) data, using which carbon footprint analysis of specific ecosystem can be characterized precisely. As because plants exchange most of their carbon as  $CO_{2}$  eddy flux-derived NEP is an ideal variable for C budgeting from local to regional scales. However, over time, net C fluxes are good proxies for ecosystem total biomass stock change (Baldocchi, 2003). The flux networks use

eddy covariance-based measurement system for assessing regional sectoral carbon budgets. There are hundreds of eddy covariance towers monitoring continuously and organized in global network including forests, grasslands and croplands (Smith et al., 2010).



**FIGURE 5**. *Soil respiration chamber in rice field*



**FIGURE 6**. *Soil respiration chamber and temperature sensor placed on soil*

*Measurement of soil carbon dioxide efflux and plant respiration by infra-red gas analyzer-based soil respiration chamber or canopy chamber*

 Infra-red gas analyzer -based field measurement is the most widely used technique for assessing soil respiration flux rates (Fig. 5 & 6). The method (for measuring soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  efflux employing IRGA) estimates the increase in enclosed chamber  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration over a specified time (Luo & Zhou, 2006). Different IRGA-based measurements of soil respiration or soil  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  efflux depends on differences in IRGA and chamber design (cuvette area and volume, use of collars, presence or absence of chamber vents), measurement parameters (enclosure time, chamber flow rate, purge parameters) and  $CO_2$ -flux algorithms (with or without moisture and temperature correction). These effects are also dependent on soil type and vegetation in which the measurements are being undertaken (Mills et al., 2011). Moreover, the chambers always affect the object being measured, with each chamber type having its own limitations (Davidson et al., 2002).

The three major chamber techniques used widely for measuring soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  efflux are closed static chamber (non-steady-state non-through-flow chamber), closed (non-steady-state through-flow chamber) and open dynamic chamber (steady-state through-flow chamber). In case of non-steady-state chambers (both the through-flow and non-through-flow types) the  $\rm CO_{2}$  efflux is determined from the rate of concentration increase in an isolated chamber, which has been

placed on the soil surface for a known period of time. In case of steady-state chambers  $\rm CO_{2}$  efflux is calculated from the difference between CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the inlet and the outlet of the chamber.

When a non-steady-state chamber is placed on the soil and the concentration in the chamber headspace starts to change, rising concentration within the chamber may influence the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ efflux from the soil by altering the natural soil concentration gradient (Livingston & Hutchinson, 1995). Pressure anomalies caused by placing the chamber on the soil surface may also disturb the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration gradient in the soil. In case of steady-state chambers, pressure differences between the inside and outside of the chamber can generate mass flow of  $CO_2$  from the soil into the chamber (Lund et al., 1999).

In a dynamic open chamber method, air passes through the chamber and gas analyser and is then evacuated; the efflux of  $CO_2$  from the soil (Sr) covered by the chamber is obtained as a function of the difference in  $CO_2$  concentration between air entering and leaving the chamber (Eq. 1) (Smith et al., 2008).

 $Sr = \Delta c$  (f / A) --------- 1

Where, c is the difference in  $CO_2$  mass fraction in the incoming and outgoing air streams; f is the gas flow rate through the chamber and A is the surface area covered by the chamber (Nakayama, 1990). The difference in  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  concentration is usually measured by an IRGA.

If a closed chamber is placed on the soil, the concentration of  $CO_2$  respired from the soil will build up inside the chamber and this enrichment can be used to estimate the efflux from the soil. This method is the basis of many of the successful commercial designs in the market today. The soil efflux can be expressed by (Eq. 2):

 $Sr = (\Delta c / \Delta t) V / A$  -------- 2

Where, c is the  $CO_2$  concentration increment in the chamber in the time interval t; V is the volume of air within the chamber and A is the soil surface area covered by the chamber. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ content of a sample taken at discrete intervals can be measured by alkali absorption or by gas chromatography (GC) (Castro et al., 1994).

Plant respiration in case of rice is measured by the canopy chamber, enclosing the canopy and stand for specified time and then measuring the liberated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  due to respiration with the help of IRGA.

#### *Measurement of emission of methane and nitrous oxide fluxes by chamber and eddy covariance method*

Methane and  $N_2O$  emissions are measured through the manual or automatic closed chamber measurements and or employing eddy covariance technique. These chamber measurements are widely used as they are easy to apply in field trials with multiple small plots. The manual chamber measurements (Fig. 7) are usually made very frequently (2-3 days interval) where as automatic chamber measurements allow continuous and frequent measurements.

From the static chambers (equipped with small pulse pump for homogeneous mixing of air sample inside the chamber over specific time period) air samples are collected in tedlar<sup>®</sup> bags at  $\overline{0}$ , 15 and 30 minute intervals. Samples are then collected by syringe for analysis of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  by gas chromatography using flame ionisation and electron capture detectors, respectively (Das et al., 2011).



**FIGURE 7.** *Chamber measurement for methane and nitrous oxide emission studies*

Automated chamber methods are expected to produce more reliable results rather than manual chamber measurements as diurnal variations in fluxes of GHGs ( $CH_{4}$ , N<sub>2</sub>O) are captured. Automatic chamber measurements may suffer from underestimation of fluxes due to chamber effects on soil moisture conditions during rainfall (Yao et al., 2009).

An integrated eddy covariance system associated with trace gas analyzer (TGA), a tunable diode laser analyzer, can measure trace gas fluxes *viz*.  $CH_{4}$ , N<sub>2</sub>O, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Laser spectroscopy also provides new measurement techniques to measure  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  concentrations at high temporal resolution (10 Hz), appropriate for eddy covariance flux calculations (Hendriks et al., 2008). Eddy covariance measurements of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  using lead salt tunable diode laser (TDL) spectrometers and quantum cascade laser (QCL) spectrometers are also possible (Neftel et al., 2007).

In spite of considerable efforts to quantify  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions from rice fields, the estimates of this source strength are still attached to major uncertainties. Intensive field measurement campaigns have clearly revealed the complex interaction of water regime as the major determinant of emissions on the one hand and several other influencing factors on the other. Given the diversity of rice production systems, reliable upscaling of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  source strengths requires a high degree of differentiation in terms of management practices and natural factors. Modeling approaches have been developed to simulate their emissions as a function of a large number of input parameters, namely, modalities of management as soil and climate parameters.

## **Budgeting**

Agriculture accounts for about 15% of the global emission of GHGs. Carbon dioxide,  $CH_4$  and N2 O budget in rice fields are affected by structure and dynamics of anaerobic and aerobic conditions in the soil and due to other agricultural management practices. Methane emission increases under continuous flooding while  $N_2O$  is primarily emitted in pulses after fertilization and strong rainfall events. Various rice growing environments show wide spatio-temporal variability in CH<sub>4</sub> emission. Land use practices and N-fertilizer applications greatly influence N<sub>2</sub>O emission from soil.

Carbon dioxide flux exhibited a clear diurnal pattern ranging from -38 to 10  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during full heading stage of rice (70-79 Days after transplanting) in 2006 boro rice growing season in Bangladesh (Hossen et al., 2007). The total C budget integrated over the cropping period showed that the net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (NEE) in flooded rice fields (-258 g C m<sup>-2</sup>) was about three times higher than that of aerobic rice fields (-85 g C m<sup>-2</sup>) in IRRI, Philippines (Alberto et al., 2009). The daily  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux values in rice ecosystem in Taiwan ranged from -17.03 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 12.85 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> were always positive during night hours, average value being 2.76  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; whereas during the daytime the flux was found to be negative with an average value of -1.22  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Tseng et al., 2010). Spatial and temporal variation of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were seen in different Asian countries (Table 1).

Location	CO <sub>2</sub> flux $(\mu \text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1})$	Fertilizer and water management	Duration
Japan (day/night)	$-3.81$	Chemical fertilization and	1-week (a month before
(Miyata et al., 2000)	$(-16.95/9.32)$	drained soil condition	heading stage of the crop)
Japan (day/night)	$-7.63$	Chemical fertilization and	1-week (a month before
(Miyata et al., $2000$ )	$(-19.57/4.32)$	flooded soil condition	heading stage of the crop)
Taiwan (day/night)	0.71	Chemical fertilization and	1-month (from heading to
(Tseng et al., 2010)	$(-1.22/2.76)$	drained soil condition	maturity stage of the crop)

**TABLE 1***. Comparison of mean carbon dioxide fluxes measured by eddy covariance system in Asian countries.*

Methane emission varied from 14 to 375 mg  $m^2 d^1$  in most rice growing areas in the world. Annual global estimation of CH<sub>4</sub> emission from flooded rice fields accounted for 7.08 Tg based on the biomass (Sinha, 1995). In Thailand, Wassmann et al. (2000) estimated 99 Kg CH<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> season<sup>-1</sup> from deepwater rice fields. Average CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates ranged from 11-364 mg m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> from rice fields of Beijing, China (Wang et al., 2000). It is affected by water regimes, soil amendments, cultivars and type of fertilizers used. In India the mean  $CH_4$  emission from rice fields ranged between 3.5-4.2 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> (Parashar et al., 1996). An irrigated continuously flooded rice paddy system showed a  $CH_4$  emission value of 4-26 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and 0.7-4.7 Gg ha<sup>-1</sup> per cropping season of 75 days (Adhya et al., 1994). Bhatia et al. (2004) estimated  $4.7 \text{ Tg yr} \text{ }^{\text{-}}\text{CH}_4$  emission from the Indian paddy fields with the highest emission of 1.379 Tg  $yr<sup>-1</sup>$  from the irrigated rice fields. Methane emission from lowland rice preceded by an upland crop in dry season was 12.52-13.09  $\rm g\,CH_{_4}\,m^2\,$  day $^{\rm 1}$ , which was significantly lower than the CH $\rm _4$  emission from a lowland rice-rice

system (Adhya et al., 2000a). Adhya et al. (2000b) reported an average emission of 32 Kg CH<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr-1 from a rainfed tropical rice ecosystem.

The tentative global estimate of  $N_2O$  emission from agricultural land is 2.3-3.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Bouwman, 1990). Chao et al. (2000) estimated around 0.67 Mg  $N_2O-N$  yr<sup>-1</sup> from the paddy fields of Taiwan. N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the Chinese rice fields ranged from 39-164 mg N m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> (Chen et al., 1997). Agriculture related activities account for around 90% of the total  $N_2O$  emissions in India (Garg et al., 2001). Parashar et al. (1998) estimated the total N<sub>2</sub>O emission from Indian paddy and wheat fields were 199-279 Gg per annum. Sharma et al. (1995) estimated  $\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}$  emissions from irrigated and upland paddy fields of India at 4-210 and 2-10 Gg  $yr<sup>1</sup>$ , respectively. Nitrous oxide emission from Indian agricultural field was estimated to be 0.08 Tg annually (Bhatia et al., 2004). The irrigated rice-wheat system is a significant source of  $N_2O$ , emitting around  $15 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N}$  ha $\text{m}^1$  yr $\text{m}^1$  (Aulakh et al., 2001).

The Denitrification and Decomposition (DNDC) model was applied for estimation of GHG emissions from rice fields in India using a compiled soil - climate - land use database. Continuous flooding of rice fields (42.25 million ha) resulted in annual net emissions of 1.07–1.10, 0.04– 0.05 and 21.16–60.96 Tg of CH<sub>4</sub>-C, N<sub>2</sub>O-N and CO<sub>2</sub>-C, respectively, with a cumulated GWP of 130.93–272.83 Tg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. Intermittent flooding of rice fields reduced annual net emissions to 0.12–0.13 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>-C and 16.66–48.80 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-C while N<sub>2</sub>O emission increased to 0.05– 0.06 Tg N<sub>2</sub>O-N. The GWP, however, reduced to 91.73–211.80 Tg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (Pathak et al., 2005).

### **Conclusions**

Greenhouse gas fluxes in terms of gaseous carbon and nitrogen between rice fields and the atmosphere are controlled by several biological and physical processes. The trace gas flux dynamics during rice cultivation follows complex pathways and shows variability at different time scales starting from diurnal variation to seasonal, annual and interannual variations. As many of the factors controlling gas exchange between rice paddies and atmosphere are different from other ecosystems, field studies should be designed to measure net fluxes and to improve understanding of the factors including detailed mechanisms controlling the fluxes in different rice production systems. Therefore, quantification of net fluxes of gaseous-C, in forms of  $CO_2$ -C and  $CH_4$ -C, and gaseous-N in the form of N<sub>2</sub>O-N exchanged between the rice fields and atmosphere is required for quantification of those GHGs and to determine their impact on vegetation and on environment. The continuous monitoring and measurement would provide a useful understanding for examining the roles of different parts of rice and rice-based cropping systems contributing to GHG fluxes under different agro-climatic zones and management practices. This could be further calibrated, up scaled and validated by ecosystem modelling approach. The study can also be employed to explore better understanding of GHG exchanges with the help of remote sensing software applications for scaling up gaseous-C and N fluxes from point scale and it can further be extrapolated to upscale for predicting future anticipated climate changes. Therefore, research approaches are needed for enhancing knowledge and better understanding on the processes involved in gaseous carbon and nitrogen emissions in different agro-environments. The eddy covariance technique measures directly the net ecosystem  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange for characterization of carbon budget in terrestrial ecosystems. This device when coupled with other accessory sensors and trace gas analyzers can measure also  $\text{CH}_4$  as well as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes from rice fields. The trace gas flux dynamics during rice cultivation follows complex pathways and shows variability at different time scales starting from diurnal variation to seasonal, annual and interannual variations. Thus EC method along with IRGA-based soil and plant (canopy) respiration chamber and manual/automatic chamber measurement of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$ , can employ new methodologies that account for all components of GHG fluxes required for accurate quantification of trace gas exchange at the landscape level with regard to rice production. Moreover, this integrated measurement approach would provide a useful tool for examining the roles of different parts of rice

ecosystem contributing to GHG fluxes in different rice production systems under different agroclimatic zones and management practices. The flux data of GHGs along with other climate parameters can be interpreted in a better way and tested via models that incorporate all biogenic greenhouse gases. These high-resolution process-based models can be applied to upscale and validate GHG emissions from any point and can be extrapolated to higher scales for predicting future anticipated climate changes. Impact of GHGs on climatic conditions and the influence of such climatic change on rice productivity is now reality, although there is a need to assess the extent of such influences.

# **References**

- Adhya, T.K., Bharati, K., Mohanty, S.R., Mishra, S.R., Rao, V.R., Sethunathan, N., & Wassmann, R. (2000a). Methane emission from rice fields at Cuttack, India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems,* 58, 95- 105.
- Adhya, T.K., Mishra, S.R., Rath, A.K., Bharati, K., Mohanty, S.R., Ramakrishnan, B., Rao, V.R., & Sethunathan, N. (2000b). Methane efflux from rice-based cropping system under humid tropical conditions of eastern India. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment,* 79, 85-90.
- Adhya, T.K., Rath, A.K., Gupta, P.K., Rao, V.R., Das, S.N., Parida, K., Parashar, D.C., & Sethunathan, N. (1994). Methane emission from flooded rice fields under irrigated conditions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils,* 12, 245-248.
- Alberto, R., Carmelita, Ma., Wassmann, R., Hirano, T., Miyata, A., Kumar, A., Padre, A., & Amante, M. (2009).  $CO_2$ /heat fluxes in rice fields: Comparative assessment of flooded and non-flooded fields in the Philippines. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 149, 1737-1750.
- Artus, F., Chamard, P., Piacentino, S., Sferlazzo, D.M., De Silverstri, L., di Sarra, A., Meloni, E., & Monteleone, F. (2009). Influence of transport and trends in atmospheric  $\mathrm{CO}_2^{}$  at Lampedusa. *Atmospheric Environment,* 43, 3044-3051.
- Aubinet, M., Clement, R., Elbers, J.A., Foken, T., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Moncrieff, J., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., & Rebmann, C. (2003). Methodology for data acquisition, storage and treatment. In R. Valentini (Ed.), *Fluxes of carbon, water and energy of European forests*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Aubinet, M., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Rannik, U., Moncrieff, J., Foken, T., Kowalski, A.S., Martin, P.H., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C.H., Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Morgenstern, K., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R., & Vesala, T. (2000). Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: The EUROFLUX Methodology. *Advances in Ecological Research,* 102, 113-175.
- Aulakh, M.S., Khera, T.S., Doran, J.W., & Bronson, K. (2001). Denitrification,  $\rm N\textsubscript{2}O$  and  $\rm CO\textsub{2}$  fluxes in ricewheat cropping systems as affected by crop residues, fertilizer N and legume green manure. *Biology and fertility of soils,* 34, 375-389.
- Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olsan, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R., Katul, G., Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Law, B., Lee, X., Mali, Y., Meyers, T., Munger, W., Occhel, W., Paw, K.T., Pilegaaard, K., Schmid, H.P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson. K., & Wofsy, S. (2001). FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor and energy flux densities. *Bulletin of American Meteorological Society,* 82, 2415-2434.
- Baldocchi, D.D. (2003). Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystem: Past, present and future. *Global Change Biology,* 9, 479-492.
- Bhattacharyya, P., Rao, K.S., & Adhya, T.K. (2011). Advanced technologies for greenhouse gas monitoring in rice and rice-based cropping systems. *CRRI, Research/Technical Brief-01*; pp-4, Cuttack, India: CRRI.
- Bhatia, A., Pathak, H., & Aggarwal, P.K. (2004). Inventory of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from agriculture soils of India and their global warming potential. *Current Science,* 87, 317-324.
- Bouwman, A.F. (1990). Exchange of greenhouse gases between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere. In A.F. Bouwman (Ed.), *Soil and greenhouse effect*. (pp. 61-127). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bronson, K.F., Neue, H.U., Singh, U., & Abao, Jr.E.B. (1997). Automated chamber measurements of methane and nitrous oxide flux in a flooded rice soil. I. Residue, nitrogen, and water management. *Soil Science Society of American Journal,* 61, 981-987.
- Cao, M.K., Dent, J.B., & Heal, O.W. (1995). Methane emissions form China's paddy land. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment,* 55, 129-137.
- Carrara, A., Kowalsk, A.S., Neirynck, J., Janssens, I.A., Yuste, J.C., & Ceulemans, R. (2003). Net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of mixed forest in Belgium over 5 years. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 119, 209-227.
- Castro, M.S., Peterjohn, W.T., Melillo, J.M., Steudler, P.A., Gholz, H.L., & Lewis, D. (1994). Effects of nitrogen fertilization on the fluxes of  $\rm N_2O$ ,  $\rm CH_4$  and  $\rm CO_2$  from soils in a Florida slash pine plantation. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research,* 24, 9-13.
- Chao, C.C., Young, C.C., Wang, Y.P., & Chao, W.L. (2000). Daily and seasonal nitrous oxide fluxes in soils from hardwood forest and different agroecosystems of Taiwan. *Chemosphere Global Change Science,* 2, 77-84.
- Chen, J., Xuan, J., Du, C., & Xie, J. (1997). Effect of potassium nutrition of rice on rhizosphere redox status. *Plant and Soil,* 188, 131-137.
- Conway, T.J., Lang, P.M., & Masarie, K.A. (2008). Atmospheric carbon dioxide dry air mole fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1968-2007 (2008-07-24). Available at ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/co2/flask/ event/.
- Das, S., Ghosh, A., & Adhya, T.K. (2011). Nitrous oxide and methane emission from a flooded rice field as influenced by separate and combined application of herbicides bensulfuron methyl and pretilachlor. *Chemosphere,* 84, 54-62.
- Davidson, E.A., Savage, K., Verchot, L.V., & Navarro, R. (2002). Minimizing artifacts and biases in chamber-based measurements of soil respiration. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 113, 21-37.
- Denmead, O.T. (1995). Novel meteorological methods for measuring trace gas fluxes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*: *Physical Engineering Sciences,* 351, 383-396.
- Galle, B., Klemedtsson, L., & Griffith, D.W.T. (1994). Application of a Fourier transform IR system for measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes using micrometeorological methods, an ultralarge chamber system, and conventional field chambers. *Journal of Geophysical Research,* 99(D8), 16575-16583.
- Garg, A., Bhattacharya, S., Shukla, P.R., & Dadwal, V.K. (2001). Regional and sectoral assessment of greenhouse gas emission in India. *Atmospheric Environment,* 35, 2679-2695.
- Guerra, L.C., Bhuiyan, S.I., Toung, T.P., & Barker, R. (1998). Producing more rice with less water. International Water Management Institute, *SWIM Paper 5*, pp. 24.
- Haszpra, L., Bareza, Z., Hidy, D., Szilagyi, I., Dlugokencky, E., & Tans, P. (2008). Trends and temporal variations of major greenhouse gases at a rural site in Central Europe. *Atmospheric Environment,* 42, 8707-8716.
- Hendriks, D.M.D., Dolman, A.J., Van der Molen, M.K., & Van Huissteden, J. (2008). A compact and stable eddy covariance set-up for methane measurements using off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,* 8, 1-13.
- Hofmann, D.J., Butler, J.H., & Tans, P.P. (2009). A new look at atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Atmospheric Environment,* 43, 2084-2086.
- Hossen, M.S., Mano, M., Miyata, A., Baten, M.A., & Hiyama, T. (2011). Seasonality of ecosystem respiration in a double-cropping paddy field in Bangladesh. *Biogeosciences Discussion,* 8, 8693-8721.
- Husted, S. (1993). An open chamber technique for determination of methane emission from stored livestock manure. *Atmospheric Environment,* 27, 1635-1642.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2001. *Climate change The scientific basis*. Cambridge Univ., UK: Cambridge Press.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2007). *Climate change The scientific basis*. Cambridge Univ., UK: Cambridge Press.
- Jianwen, Z., Yao, H., Xunhua, Z., Yuesi, W., & Yuquan, C. (2004). Static opaque chamber-based technique for determination of net exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> between terrestrial ecosystem and atmosphere. Agri*cultural and Forest Meteorology,* 4, 381-388.
- Khalil, M.A.K., Shearer, M.J., Rasmussen, R.A., Changlin, D., & Ren Lixin. (2008). Production, oxidation and emissions of methane from rice fields in China. *Journal of Geophysical Research,* 113, G00A04, 12.
- Kim, J., Verma, S.B., & Billesbach, D.P. (1999). Seasonal variation in methane emission from a temperate phragmites-dominated marsh: Effect of growth stage and plant-mediated transport. *Global Change Biology,* 5, 433-440.
- Kirk, G. J. D., & Olk, D.C. (2000). *Carbon and nitrogen dynamics in flooded soils*. Manila, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- Lalrammawia, C., & Paliwal, K. (2010). Seasonal changes in net ecosystem exchange of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and respiration of *Cenchrus ciliaris* L. grassland ecosystem in semi-arid tropics: An eddy covariance measurement. *Current Science,* 98, 1211-1218.
- Lapitan, R.L., Wanninkhof, R., & Mosier, A.R. (1999). Methods for stable gas flux determination in aquatic and terrestrial systems. In A.F. Bouwman (Ed.), *Approaches to scaling of trace gas fluxes in ecosystems. Developments in atmospheric science 24.* (pp. 27-66). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Livingston, G.P., & Hutchinson, G.L. (1995). Enclosure-based measurement of trace gas exchange: Applications and sources of error. In P.A. Matson, R.C. Harriss (Eds.), *Biogenic trace gases: Measuring emissions from soil and water*. (pp. 14-50). Cambridge: Blackwell Science.
- Lund, C.P., Riley, W.J., Pierce, L.L., & Field, C.B. (1999). The effects of chamber pressurization on soilsurface CO<sub>2</sub> flux and the implications for NEE measurements under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology,* 5, 269-281.
- Luo, Y., & Zhou, X. (2006). *Soil respiration and the environment*. London: Academic Press.
- Mills, R., Glanville, H., McGovern, S., & Emmett, B. (2011). Soil respiration across three contrasting ecosystem types: Comparison of two portable IRGA systems. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 174, 532-535.
- Miyata, A., Iwata, T., Nagai, H., Yamada, T., Yoshikoshi, H., Mano, M., Ono, K., Han, G.H., Harazano, Y., Ohtaki, E., Baten, M.A., Inohara, S., Takimoto, T., & Saito, M. (2005). Seasonal variation of carbon dioxide and methane fluxes at single cropping paddy fields in central and western Japan. *Phyton (Austria),* 45, 89-97.
- Miyata, A., Leuning, R., Denmead, O.W., Kim, J., & Harazano, Y. (2000). Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from an intermittently flooded paddy field. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 102, 287- 303.
- Moncrieff, J.B., Massheder, J.M., Verhoef, A., Elbers, J., Heutsunkveld, B.H., Scott, S., deBruin, H., Kabat, P., Soegaard, H., & Jarvis, P.G. (1997). A system to measure surface fluxes of energy, momentum and carbon dioxide. *Journal of Hydrology,* 188-189, 589-611.
- Moon, B.K., Hong, J., Lee, B.R., Yun, J.I., Park, E.W., & Kim, J. (2003).  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and energy exchange in rice paddy for the growing season of 2002 in Hari, Korea. *Korean Journal of Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 5, 51-60.
- Nakayama, F.S. (1990). Soil respiration. *Remote Sensing Reviews,* 5, 311-321.
- Neftel, A., Flechard, C., Ammann, C., Conen, F., Emmenegger, L., & Zeyer, K. (2007). Experimental assessment of N2 O background fluxes in grassland systems. *Tellus,* 59(B), 470-482.
- Neue, H.U. (1993). Methane emission from rice fields. *Bioscience,* 43, 466-474.
- Oenema, O., Wrage, N., Velthof, G.L., van Groenigen, J.W., Dolfing, J., & Kuikman, P.J. (2005). Trends in global nitrous oxide emissions from animal production systems. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem,* 72, 51-65.
- Olk, D.C., Cassman, K.G., Mahieu, N., & Randall, E.W. (1998). Conserved chemical properties of young humic acid fractions in tropical lowland soil under intensive irrigated rice cropping. *European Journal of Soil Science,* 49, 337-349.
- Pakoktom, T., Aoki, M., Kasemsap, P., Boonyawat, S., & Attarod, P. (2009).  $\rm CO_{2}$  and  $\rm H_{2}O$  fluxes ratio in paddy fields of Thailand and Japan. *Hydrological Research Letters,* 3, 10-13.
- Pan, G., Li, L., Wu, L., & Zhang, X. (2003). Storage and sequestration potential of topsoil organic carbon in China's paddy soils. *Global Change Biology,* 10, 79-92.
- Parashar, D.C., Kulashrestha, U.C., & Sharma, C. (1998). Anthropogenic emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem,* 52, 255-259.
- Parashar, D.C., Mitra, A.P., Gupta, P.K., Rai, J., Sharma, R.C., Singh, N., Kaul, S., Lal, G., Chaudhay, A., Ray, H.S., Das, S.N., Parida, K.M., Rao, S.B., Kanungo, S.P., Ramasami, T., Nair, B.U., Swamy, M., Singh, G., Gupta, S.K., Singh, A.R., Saikia, B.K., Barua, A.K.S., Pathak, M.G., Iyar, C.P.S., Gopalkrishnan, M., Sane, P.V., Singh, S.N., Banerjee, R., Sethunathan, N., Adhya, T.K., Rao, V.R., Palit, P., Saha, A.K., Purkait, N.N., Chaturvedi, G.S., Sen, S.P., Sen, M., Sarkar, B., Banik, A., Subbaraya, B.H., Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., & Sinha, S.K. (1996). Methane budget from paddy fields in India. *Chemosphere,* 33, 737-757.
- Pathak, H., Li, C., & Wassmann, R. (2005). Greenhouse gas emissions from Indian rice fields: Calibration and upscaling using the DNDC model. *Biogeosciences,* 1, 1-11.
- Ramonet, M., Ciais, P., Aalto, T., Aulagnier, C., Chevalier, F., Cipriano, D., Conway, T.J., Haszpra, L., Kazan, V., Meinhardt, F., Paris, J.D., Schmidt, M., Simmonds, P., Xueref-Remy, I., & Necki, J. (2010). A recent buildup of atmospheric  $CO_2$  over Europe. Part I: Observed signals and possible explanations. *Tellus,* 62(B), 1-13.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmaov, T., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., & Valentini, R. (2005). On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. *Global Change Biology,* 11, 1424-1439.
- Sass, R.L., Fisher, F.M., Tuner, F.T., & Jund, M.F. (1991). Methane emission from rice fields as influenced by solar radiation, temperature and straw incorporation. *Global Biochem*ical *Cycles,* 5, 335-350.
- Sharma, C., Gupta, P.K., & Parashar, D.C. (1995). Nitrous oxide estimates from paddy fields and forests in India. *Indian Journal of Radio Space Physics,* 24, 311–313.
- Sinha, S.K. (1995). Global methane emission from rice paddies: Excellent methodology but poor extrapolation. *Current Science,* 68, 643-646.
- Smith, P., Fang, C., Dawson, J.J.C., & Moncreiff, J. (2008). Impact of global warming on soil organic carbon. *Advances in Agronomy,* 97, 1-43.
- Smith, P., Lanigan, G., Kutsch, W.L., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Aubinet, M., Ceschia, E., Beziat, P., Yeluripati, J.B., Osborne, B., Moors, E.J., Brut, A., Wattenbach, M., Saunders, M., & Jones, M. (2010). Measurements necessary for assessing the net ecosystem carbon budget of crop lands. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment,* 139, 302-315
- Tans, P. (2011). NOAA/ESRL. Available at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends.
- Tsai, J.L., Tsuang, B.J., Lu, P.S., Yao, M.H., & Hsieh, H.Y. (2006). Surface energy components,  $\rm CO_{2}$  flux and canopy resistance from rice paddy in Taiwan. In  $17<sup>th</sup>$  symposium on boundary layers and turbulence,  $27<sup>th</sup>$  conference on agricultural and meteorology, and the  $17<sup>th</sup>$  conference on biometeorology and aerobiology. pp.21-25. San Deigo, CA, USA.
- Tseng, H.K., Tsai, L.J., Alagesan, A., Tsuang, J.B., Yao, H.M., & Kuo, H.P. (2010). Determination of methane and carbon dioxide fluxes during the rice maturity period in Taiwan by combining profile and eddy covariance measurements. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,* 150, 852-859.
- Vinogradova, A., Fedorova, E., Belikov, I., Ginzburg, A., Elansky, N., & Skorokhod, A. (2007). Temporal variations in carbon dioxide and methane concentrations under urban conditions. *Izvestiya Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics,* 43, 599-611.
- Wang, Z.Y., Xu, Y.C., Li, Z., Guo, Y.X., Wassmann, R., Neue, H., Lantin, R.S., Buendia, L.V., Ding, Y.P., & Wang, Z.Z. (2000). A four-year record of methane emissions from irrigated rice fields in the Beijing region of China. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems,* 58, 55-63.
- Wassmann, R., Neue, H.U., Lantin, R.S., Buendia, L.V., & Renneberg, H. (2000). Characterization of methane emissions from rice fields in Asia. I. Comparison among fields sites in five countries. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems,* 58, 1-12.
- Wesely, M.L., & Hicks, B.B. (2000). A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition. *Atmospheric Environment,* 34, 2261-2282.
- XiuE, R., QinXue W., ChengLi, T., JinShui, W., KeLin, W., YongLi, Z., ZeJian, L., Masataka, W., & GuoYoung, T. (2007). Estimation of soil respiration in a paddy ecosystem in the subtropical region of China. *Chinese Science Bulletin,* 52, 2722-2730.
- Yagi, K., Tsuruta, H., & Minami, K. (1997). Possible mitigation options from rice cultivation. *Nutrent Cycling in Agroecosystems,* 49, 213-220.
- Yao, Z., Zheng, X., Xie, B., Liu, C., Mei, B., Dong, H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., & Zhu, J. (2009). Comparison of manual and automated chambers for field measurements of  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_{4}$ ,  $CO_2$  fluxes from cultivated land. *Atmospheric Environment,* 43(11), 1888-1896.
- Zhu, R., Liu, Y., Sun, L., & Xu, H. (2007). Methane emissions from two Tundra wetlands in eastern Antarctica. *Atmospheric Environment,* 41, 4711-4722.
- Zou, J., Huang, Y., & Jiang, J. (2005). A 3-year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* 19, GB2021, 9.

\*\*\*\*\*