

## Effect of packaging materials on firmness and transportation studies of ber fruits during storage

H.R. Meena<sup>1</sup>, R.K. Meena<sup>1</sup> and Mukund Narayan<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Horticulture Agricultural University, Bikaner – 334 006

2. PC (APA) Unit, CIPHET, PAU, Campus, Ludhiana – 141 004

Received and Accepted

**ABSTRACT :** A study was undertaken, to evaluate the suitable packaging material for transporting the fruits in distant market by road route, at Central Institute of Post Harvest Engineering & Technology under Horticultural Crop Processing Division, Abohar in Punjab. The four packaging materials with and without cushioning materials viz. gunny bag, polythene bag, netlon bag and CFB boxes, two waxing treatments on fruit, viz; waxing and not waxing, three storage conditions, viz; room temperature, zero-energy cool chamber and cold storage were evaluated for better firmness and maintained chemical properties with enhance the shelf life during storage after transportation. The maximum firmness was recorded in those fruits, which were packed in CFB boxes with providing wax treatment in all the three storage conditions. The maximum TSS and minimum PLW was observed in the fruits packed in polythene bag with paper cutting cushioning material and maximum ascorbic acid and minimum acidity was observed in the CFB boxes packed fruits with cushioning material while maximum reducing sugar and minimum acidity was noticed in those fruits packed in netlon bag without cushioning material. These results suggest that fruit can be treated with wax for retaining the firmness during storage and cushioning material can be applied during transportation for enhance the quality of the fruits.

**Key Words:** Ber, firmness, transportation, Physiological loss in weight, spoilage.

Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.) is cultivated all over the arid part of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Srilanka, Central of Southern Africa and the Northern part of Australia. The ber cultivation is expanding because of its hardy nature to withstand vagaries of nature and commercial yield potential. India annually produces around 3797606 MT in an area of 61279 ha (Anon., 2009?????). Ber is highly nutritive which has untapped sources of nutrition. Ber fruit is richer than apple in protein, phosphorous, calcium, carotene and vitamin C (Pareek and Kaushik, 2011). Umran is one of the leading late ripening cultivar of ber i.e. by middle of April. If fruit of this cultivar could be stored for 4-6 weeks i.e. up to end of May, when peach, plum, grapes and mango start arriving then it could help regulate and extend supply of Ber during lean period and also ensure remunerative prices to the growers. Ber contain 79-82% moisture,

0.96-1.75% protein and 70-65 mg vit. C (Pareek *et al.*, 2002). The shelf life of Ber fruit is very short during storage. To evaluate the packaging materials for enhancing the firmness and shelf life of ber fruits after transportation during storage. The information on effect of packaging materials on transportation studies and firmness of Ber fruits during storage is very limited. Keeping in view the importance of such study, the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the packaging materials for safely transportation and retain firmness of ber fruits during storage.

### Materials and Methods

An experiment was carried out at Central Institute of Post Harvest Engineering & Technology under Horticultural Crop Processing Division, Abohar in Punjab for two consecutive years of 2002-03 and

HR Meena: meenahemraj@yahoo.co.in

Department Of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur-313001

2003-04. Uniform and healthy fruits of Umran cultivar at colour turning stage (golden yellow colour stage) of maturity were procured. Damaged, diseased and other undesirable fruits were sorted out and healthy fruits were selected. Three kg of fruits were packed in each of the packaging material i.e.; polythene bag (100 gauge), corrugated fibreboard box (4 ply), gunny bag and netlon bag. In the polythene bag 2% of total area was perforated and in corrugated fibre board (CFB) boxes 2 cm<sup>2</sup> perforation was given on both sides for ventilation. Two types of treatment were given, waxing and non waxing in all the four packaging materials. Edible (stafresh) wax was used for waxing the fruits. All the treatments were replicated three times for finding the decay loss and PLW for sampling during experiment for chemical analysis.

Before transporting the fruits, the initial firmness study was conducted of fresh fruits. Fruits were stored in room temperature, zero energy cool chamber and cold storage and firmness study was conducted on 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The firmness of ber fruits were studied using Texture Analyzer using a 2 mm dia SS probe with the following testing modes; Pre test speed-2 mm/s, Test speed-2 mm/s, Post test speed- 10 mm/s and Distance- 5.0 mm.

The transported studies were conducted with three kg of fruits packed in each package (gunny bag, polythene bag, CFB boxes and netlon bag). Two types of treatment were given, with and without cushioning material. Paper cutting (2%, 20 gm/kg fruit) was used as cushioning material. The treatments were replicated thrice. The fruits were transported to Ludhiana next day after harvesting covering 450 km by road. After transportation, the fruits were stored in ambient condition. The effects of transportation on quantitative and qualitative losses were recorded in terms of PLW, Decay loss, TSS, acidity and ascorbic acid of fruits. The physiological loss in weight, decay/spoilage loss and total soluble solids were determined by standard methods. Economic shelf life (in days) of fruits was determined by counting the number of

days, on the date after which cumulative spoilage percentage of fruits in particular treatment exceeded 12%, from the date of harvest of the fruits (Singh *et al.*, 2003). Acidity, Ascorbic acid and Reducing sugar content were determined by the methods of advocated by AOAC (1990). The respiration rate was measured as suggested by Loomis and Shull (1973).

## Results and Discussion

The presented data (Table-1) revealed that the change in firmness of fruits during storage, affected by packaging materials and storage environments. The firmness was studied on 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage of the fruits. The fruits were intact (higher firmness) in the refrigerated storage condition, while the change in firmness was higher in the fruits, which were stored in room temperature condition. It was found that the waxed fruits were firmer than the unwaxed fruits. Also, it was noticed that the fruits packed in netlon bags, lost their intactness early than the fruits packed in other packaging materials, whereas, the fruits packed in CFB boxes were more firm than the other packaging materials. This may be due to higher respiration rate resulting quick decaying of fruits packed in netlon bags. Jagtap and Katrodia (1998) reported that packing fruits in polythene bag reduced the physiological weight in loss considerably. The fruits packed in CFB carton had better shelf life and marketability with higher organoleptic value. In the room temperature, on 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage the maximum firmness (6.770) was observed in fruits packed CFB box with wax treatment whereas the minimum (4.810) was found in netlon bag without wax treated fruits. The similar trend was also observed on 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The fruits which were packed in CFB box with wax treatment showed maximum (4.550) firmness whereas the minimum (3.090) firmness was found in netlon packed unwaxed fruits. Similar trend was noticed in these fruits, which were stored in zero energy cool chamber. On the 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage the maximum firmness (6.970 and 5.957) were found in CFB boxes with wax treated fruits. While minimum firm-

ness (5.417 and 4.363) were observed in netlon bag packed without wax treated fruits. As in room temperature and in zero energy cool chamber, the fruits stored in cold storage also showed the same trend of firmness of the fruits. On 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage, the maximum (7.170, 6.270) firmness were noticed in CFB boxes with wax treated fruits while minimum (5.700, 4.930) firmness were observed in netlon bag packed fruits. This may be due to higher respiration rate in netlon bag compared to other packaging materials.

The data pertaining to PLW, decay loss, TSS, ascorbic acid, reducing sugar and acidity affected by transportation of fruits and the effect of packaging material and cushioning material (Table-2). Paper cutting was used as cushioning material. Orchards are generally situated in remote places and the fruits are to be transported to main market for storage or for further transportation to distance places. The common modes of transportations are by rail or by road. Packaging is an essential and indispensable component in the transportation of fruits (New *et al.*, 1970; Singh *et al.*, 1976). The fruits were stored in ambient condition after transporting for a distance of 450 km. After 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> day of transportation during storage minimum PLW (1.38, 2.77) was observed in the fruits which were packed in polythene bags with cushioning materials, whereas the maximum (6.89, 16.05) was found in the fruits packed in netlon bags without cushioning materials. Dalal and Subramanyam (1970) reported that the transpiration and respiration rate is lesser in polythene bags compared to CFB boxes, gunny bags and netlon bags thus helps in checking the loss in fruit weight during storage. The minimum decay loss (2.87, 9.72) on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> day after transportation was found in the fruits, which were packed in CFB box with cushioning material. On the 3<sup>rd</sup> day after transportation, the maximum TSS (16.28) was noted in netlon bag packed fruit without cushioning material and the minimum in polythene bag with cushioning material. But the TSS started decreasing after 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage. In initial stage increase in TSS during storage might be

associated with the transformation of peptic substances, starch, hemi cellulose or other polysaccharides in soluble sugars and also with the dehydration of fruits (Singh *et al.*, 2003., Singh *et al.*, 2004 and Singh *et al.*, 2005). Slow increase in TSS during storage in wax treated fruits was due to slow weight loss that caused less dehydration of the fruits (Kumar *et al.*, 2005). The ascorbic acid content decreased with increase in storage period. On 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> day after storage transportation, maximum (92.65, 83.19) content of ascorbic acid was observed in the fruits, which were packed in CFB box with cushioning material. During storage, oxidizing enzymes like ascorbic acid oxidase, peroxidase, catalase and polyphenol oxidase might have caused decrease in ascorbic acid of the fruits (Singh *et al.*, 2003 and Singh *et al.*, 2005). Activities of oxidizing enzymes might be reduced in the treated fruits that resulted in higher level of ascorbic acid content up to last day of storage. This finding is in agreement with those of Mahajan *et al.* (2005) and Singh *et al.* (2007) in kinnow, aonla and custard apple respectively. The maximum reducing sugar (6.26) was noticed in netlon bag packed fruits without cushioning material and minimum (5.73) was found in polythene bags with cushioning material on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage. The reducing sugar increased with increase in the storage period but after a particular point of time, it started decreasing. An increase in sugars during storage was due to conversion of starch and polysaccharides in to soluble sugars and dehydration of fruits. The acidity content also continuously decreased with increase in storage period after transportation. The minimum acidity (0.135, 0.160) was observed in the fruits packed in netlon bags without cushioning materials and the maximum was found in the fruits packed in CFB boxes with cushioning materials. The reduction in acidity during storage might be associated with the conversion of organic acids in to sugars and their derivatives or their utilization in respiration (Singh *et al.*, 2003; Singh *et al.*, 2005). The treated fruits could maintain higher level of acidity up to last day of storage. It might be due to reduced respiration rate

Table-1 : Effect of packaging material and wax treatment on firmness of ber fruits.

Treatments	Firmness (N)	
	6 <sup>th</sup> Day	12 <sup>th</sup> Day
<b>Room Temperature</b>		
T <sub>1</sub> (GB+NW)	5.153	3.100
T <sub>2</sub> (GB+W)	6.223	3.880
T <sub>3</sub> (PB+NW)	5.820	3.570
T <sub>4</sub> (PB+W)	6.116	3.910
T <sub>5</sub> (CFB+NW)	5.870	3.980
T <sub>6</sub> (CFB+W)	6.770	4.550
T <sub>7</sub> (NB+NW)	4.810	3.090
T <sub>8</sub> (NB+W)	5.570	3.560
CD (5%)	0.331	0.090
<b>Zero Energy Cool Chamber</b>		
T <sub>1</sub> (GB+NW)	5.480	4.990
T <sub>2</sub> (GB+W)	6.230	5.240
T <sub>3</sub> (PB+NW)	5.930	5.070
T <sub>4</sub> (PB+W)	6.163	5.530
T <sub>5</sub> (CFB+NW)	5.937	5.080
T <sub>6</sub> (CFB+W)	6.970	5.957
T <sub>7</sub> (NB+NW)	5.417	4.363
T <sub>8</sub> (NB+W)	5.983	5.160
CD (5%)	0.383	0.241
<b>Cold Storage</b>		
T <sub>1</sub> (GB+NW)	5.830	5.260
T <sub>2</sub> (GB+W)	6.480	5.650
T <sub>3</sub> (PB+NW)	6.100	5.610
T <sub>4</sub> (PB+W)	6.380	5.840
T <sub>5</sub> (CFB+NW)	6.590	5.960
T <sub>6</sub> (CFB+W)	7.170	6.270
T <sub>7</sub> (NB+NW)	5.700	4.930
T <sub>8</sub> (NB+W)	6.220	5.360
CD (5%)	0.378	0.080

Initial Firmness: 7.351 N

**Table-2:** Effect of packaging and cushioning material on transportation studies on chemical properties of ber fruits during storage.

Treatments/ storage days	PLW		Decay loss		TSS		Ascorbic acid		Reducing sugar		Acidity	
	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6	3	6
T <sub>1</sub> (GB)	6.15	15.27	6.24	16.65	16.31	14.97	81.56	67.34	6.12	5.27	0.169	0.142
T <sub>2</sub> (GB+CM)	5.78	12.07	5.64	14.63	16.11	15.08	86.24	71.52	6.07	5.31	0.174	0.148
T <sub>3</sub> (PB)	2.62	3.14	5.13	14.57	15.82	15.67	84.17	72.19	5.76	5.62	0.182	0.167
T <sub>4</sub> (PB+CM)	1.38	2.77	4.92	11.24	15.54	15.79	89.62	75.34	5.73	5.68	0.185	0.171
T <sub>5</sub> (CFB)	4.16	7.43	3.12	11.81	16.28	15.13	86.24	72.53	5.89	5.37	0.189	0.170
T <sub>6</sub> (CFB+CM)	3.92	7.12	2.87	9.72	16.04	15.46	92.65	76.46	5.85	5.41	0.192	0.174
T <sub>7</sub> (NB)	6.89	16.05	7.68	19.24	16.49	14.88	78.49	63.14	6.26	5.11	0.160	0.135
T <sub>8</sub> (NB+CM)	6.11	15.72	5.74	15.97	16.21	14.96	82.75	66.82	6.18	5.20	0.163	0.138
CD (5%)	1.07	0.06	0.11	1.79	0.07	0.07	0.54	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.009	0.01

GB- Gunny bag	PB- Polythene bag	CFB- Corrugated fibre board box	NB- Netlon bag	CM- Cushioning material
---------------	-------------------	---------------------------------	----------------	-------------------------

Initial value before transportation	After transportation
-------------------------------------	----------------------

TSS	: 13.2 0B	TSS	: 13.8 0B
-----	-----------	-----	-----------

Acidity	: 0.212 %	Acidity	: 0.207%
---------	-----------	---------	----------

Ascorbic acid	: 112 mg / 100 gm	Ascorbic acid	: 108 mg/100gm
---------------	-------------------	---------------	----------------

Reducing sugar	: 4.12%	Reducing sugar	: 4.43 %
----------------	---------	----------------	----------

in the later stage of storage as affected by wax treatments. These results are in accordance with Singh *et al.* (2005) in aonla and mango. Krishnamurthy (1990) reported that an adequate packaging protects the produce from physical, physiological and pathological deterioration during transport and market. Dasgupta and Mandal (1989), reported that higher losses due to post harvest diseases were observed in the perishables with long route and hours of transit period during transportation. Raman *et al.* (1988) observed that during transporting fruits and vegetables from the production centers to the urban markets even if 2 per cent wastage is reduced, there would be a saving of Rs. 100-200 crores per year in India.

### References

- A.O.A.C., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, DC???????
- Dalal, V.B. and Subramanyam, M. 1970. Refrigerated storage of fresh fruits and vegetables. *Climate Control*, 3(3) : 37-50.
- Dasgupta, M.K. and Mandal, N.C., 1989. Post harvest pathology of perishables. Oxford & IBH publishing Co. (Pvt) Ltd. New Delhi, India : 523.
- Jagtap, K.B. and Katrodia, 1998. Post harvest losses in packaging and transportation of sapota. *Indian J. Hort.*, 55: 48-51.
- Krishnamurthy, S., 1990. Packaging of horticultural crops. *Packaging India* : 17-20.
- Loomis, W.I. and Shull, C.A., 1973. A gas stream method for measuring respiration. In: *Methods in plant physiology*, 1st edn, McGraw-Hill Book Co, New York : 101-103.
- Mahajan, B.V.C.; Dhatt, A.S.; Singh, H.; Kumar, S. and Sandhu, K.S., 2005. Studies on optimization of storage temperature for ber fruits. *Journals of Research Punjab Agriculture University*, 42(2): 175-180.
- New. J.H.; Proctor, F.I. and Hewitt, V.J., 1970. Packaging of horticulture produce for export. *Trop. Sci.*, 20(1) : 213.
- Pareek, S. and Kaushik, R.A., 2011. Nutritional composition of underutilized fruits. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*. (Communicated).
- Pareek, S.; Fageria, M.S. and Dhaka, R.S., 2002. Performance of ber genotypes under arid condition. *Current Agriculture*, 26(1-2): 63-65.
- Raman, K.V.; Rodivquez, R. and Roa, V., 1988. Packaging of fresh fruits and vegetables. *Inbid* 31-38.
- Singh, S.; Singh, A.K. and Joshi, H.K., 2005. Prolonging storability of Indian gooseberry under semi arid ecosystem of Gujarat. *Indian J Agric Sci.*, 75 : 647-650.
- Singh, S.; Singh, A.K. and Joshi, H.K., 2007. Storage behaviour of ber cultivars under semi arid environment. *Indian J Arid Hort.*, 2 : 32-35.
- Singh, S.; Singh, J. and Hoda, M.N., 2004. Studies on storage behaviour of promising mango hybrids. *Indian J. Hort.*, 61 : 284-286.
- Singh, S.; Singh, A.K. and Joshi, H.K., 2003. Storage behaviour of Indian gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) under semi arid ecosystem of Gujarat. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 73 : 530-534.
- Singh, U.R.; Pandey, I.C.; Tripathi and Shukla, B., 1976. Effect of different packaging materials containers and transportation of guava fruits. *Punjab Horticulture. J.*, 16(3/4): 141-52.