ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala MWS Publ.264

हर कदम, हर डगर
किसानों का हमसफर
भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद
Agrisearch with a human touch

LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS FOR WATERSHED PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

RAMASAMUDRAM-1 (4D5B1G1b) MICROWATERSHED

Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka

Karnataka Watershed Development Project – II

SUJALA – III

World Bank funded Project

The World Bank

ICAR – NATIONAL BUREAU OF SOIL SURVEY AND LAND USE PLANNING

ICAR - NBSS & LUP

WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
GOVT. OF KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

About ICAR - NBSS&LUP

The ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR-NBSS&LUP), Nagpur, a premier Institute of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), was set up during 1976 with the objective to prepare soil resource maps at national, state and district levels and to provide research inputs in soil resource mapping and its applications, land evaluation, land use planning, land resource management, and database management using GIS for optimising land use on different kinds of soils in the country.

The Bureau has been engaged in carrying out soil resource survey, agro-ecological and soil degradation mapping at the country, state and district levels for qualitative assessment and monitoring the soil health towards viable land use planning. The research activities have resulted in identifying the soil potentials and problems, and the various applications of the soil surveys with the ultimate objective of sustainable agricultural development. The Bureau has the mandate to correlate and classify soils of the country and maintain a National Register of all the established soil series. The Institute is also imparting in-service training to staff of the soil survey agencies in the area of soil survey, land evaluation and soil survey interpretations for land use planning. The Bureau in collaboration with Panjab rao Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola is running post-graduate teaching and research programme in land resource management, leading to M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees.

Citation: Rajendra Hegde, Ramesh Kumar, S.C., B.A. Dhanorkar, S. Srinivas, M. Lalitha, K.V. Niranjana, R.S. Reddy and S.K. Singh (2019). "Land Resource Inventory and Socio-Economic Status of Farm Households for Watershed Planning and Development of Ramasamudram-1 (4D5B1G1b) Microwatershed, Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka", ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala MWS Publ.264, ICAR – NBSS & LUP, RC, Bangalore. p.141 & 28.

TO OBTAIN COPIES,

Please write to:
Director, ICAR - NBSS & LUP,

Amaravati Road, NAGPUR - 440 033, India

Phone : (0712) 2500386, 2500664, 2500545 (O)

Telefax : 0712-2522534

E-Mail : director@nbsslup.ernet.in

Website URL : nbsslup.in

Or

Head, Regional Centre, ICAR - NBSS&LUP, Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024

Phone : (080) 23412242, 23510350 (O)

Telefax : 080-23510350

E-Mail : nbssrcb@gmail.com

ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala MWS Publ.264

LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS FOR WATERSHED PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

RAMASAMUDRAM-1 (4D5B1G1b) MICROWATERSHED

Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka

Karnataka Watershed Development Project – II

Sujala-III

World Bank funded Project

ICAR – NATIONAL BUREAU OF SOIL SURVEY AND LAND USE PLANNING

WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

PREFACE

In Karnataka, as in other Indian States, the livelihoods of rural people are intertwined with farming pursuits. The challenges in agriculture are seriously threatening the livelihood of a large number of farmers as they have been practicing farming in contextual factors beyond their control. Climatic factors are the most important ones and have become much more significant in recent times due to rapid climate changes induced by intensive anthropogenic activities affecting our ecosystem in multiple ways. Climate change has become the reality, it is happening and efforts to evolve and demonstrate climate resilient technologies have become essential. Due to the already over stressed scenario of agrarian sector, the climate change is resulting in manifold increase in the complexities, pushing the rural mass to face more and more unpredictable situations. The rising temperatures and unpredictable rainfall patterns are going to test seriously the informed decisions farmers have to make in order to survive in farming and sustain their livelihood.

It is generally recognized that impacts of climate change shall not be uniform across the globe. It is said that impact of climate change is more severe in South Asia. Based on the analysis of meteorological data, it is predicted that in India, there will be upward trend in mean temperature, downward trend in relative humidity, annual rainfall and number of wet days in a year. Also, in general, phenomena like erratic monsoon, spread of tropical diseases, rise in sea levels, changes in availability of fresh water, frequent floods, droughts, heat waves, storms and hurricanes are predicted. Each one of these adverse situations are already being experienced in various parts of India and also at the global level. Decline in agricultural productivity of small and marginal farmers becoming more vulnerable is already witnessed.

In Karnataka, more than 60 per cent of the population live in rural areas and depend on agriculture and allied activities for their livelihood. Though the state has achieved significant progress in increasing the yield of many crops, there is tremendous pressure on the land resources due to the growing and competing demands of various land uses. This is reflected in the alarming rate of land degradation observed. Already more than 50 per cent of the area is affected by various forms of degradation. If this trend continues, the sustainability of the fragile ecosystem will be badly affected. The adverse effects of change in the climatic factors are putting additional stress on the land resources and the farmers dependent on this.

The natural resources (land, water and vegetation) of the state need adequate and constant care and management, backed by site-specific technological interventions and investments particularly by the government. Detailed database pertaining to the nature of the land resources, their constraints, inherent potentials and suitability for various land

based rural enterprises, crops and other uses is a prerequisite for preparing location-specific action plans, which are in tune with the inherent capability of the resources. Any effort to evolve climate resilient technologies has to be based on the baseline scientific database. Then only one can expect effective implementation of climate resilient technologies, monitor the progress, make essential review of the strategy, and finally evaluate the effectiveness of the implemented programs. The information available at present on the land resources of the state are of general nature and useful only for general purpose planning. Since the need of the hour is to have site-specific information suitable for farm level planning and detailed characterization and delineation of the existing land resources of an area into similar management units is the only option.

ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bangalore has taken up a project sponsored by the Karnataka Watershed Development Project-II, (Sujala-III), Government of Karnataka funded by the World Bank under Component-1 Land Resource Inventry. This study was taken up to demonstrate the utility of such a database in reviewing, monitoring and evaluating all the land based watershed development programs on a scientific footing. To meet the requirements of various land use planners at grassroots level, the present study on "Land Resource Inventory and Socio-Economic Status of Farm Households for Watershed Planning and Development of Ramasamudram-1Microwatershed, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka" for integrated development was taken up in collaboration with the State Agricutural Universities, IISC, KSRSAC, KSNDMC as Consortia partners. The project provides detailed land resource information at cadastral level (1:7920 scale) for all the plots and socio-economic status of farm households covering thirty per cent farmers randomely selected representing landed and landless class of farmers in the micro-watershed. The project report with the accompanying maps for the Microwatershed will provide required detailed database for evolving effective land use plan, alternative land use options and conservation plans for the planners, administrators, agricutural extention personnel, KVK officials, developmental departments and other land users to manage the land resources in a sustainable manner.

It is hoped that this database will be useful to the planners, administrators and developmental agencies working in the area in not only for formulating location specific developmental schemes but also for their effective monitoring at the village/watershed level.

Nagpur
Date: 18-07-2019

S.K. SINGH
Director, ICAR - NBSS&LUP, Nagpur

Contributors

Dr. Rajendra Hegde Principal Scientist, Head & Project Leader, Sujala-III Project ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bangalore	**Dr. S.K.Singh** Director, ICAR-NBSS&LUP Coordinator, Sujala-III Project Nagpur
Soil Survey, Mapping & Report Preparation	
Dr. B.A. Dhanorkar	Sh. R.S. Reddy
Dr. K.V. Niranjana	Sh. Venkata Giriyappa
	Sh. Somashekar T N
	Smt. Chaitra, S.P.
	Dr. Mahendra Kumar, M.B.
	Dr. Gayathri. B.
	Dr. Gopali bardhan
	Ms. Arpitha, G.M.
	Dr. H.R. Savitha
	Sh. Nagendra, B.R
Field Work	
Sh. C.BacheGowda	Sh. Mahesh, D.B.
Sh. Somashekar	Sh. Ashok S Sindagi
Sh. M. Jayaramaiah	Sh. Veerabhadrappa B.
Sh. Paramesha, K.	Sh. Shankarappa
Sh. B. M. Narayana Reddy	Sh. Anand
	Sh. Arun N Kambar.
	Sh Kamalesh Awate
	Sh. Sharaan Kumar Huppar
	Sh. Yogesh H.N.
	Sh. Kalaveerachari R Kammar
GIS Work	
Dr. S.Srinivas	Sh. A.G.Devendra Prasad
Sh. D.H.Venkatesh	Sh. Prakashanaik, M.K.
Smt.K.Sujatha	Sh. Abhijith Sastry, N.S.
Smt. K.V.Archana	Sh. Sudip Kumar Suklabaidya
Sh. N. Maddileti	Sh. Avinash, K.N.
	Sh. Amar Suputhra, S
	Sh. Deepak, M.J.
	Smt. K.Karunya Lakshmi
	Ms. Seema, K.V.
	Ms. A. Rajab Nisha

Laboratory Analysis	
Dr. K.M.Nair	Ms. Steffi Peter
Smt. Arti Koyal	Ms. Thara, V.R
Smt. Parvathy	Ms. Roopa, G.
	Ms. Swati, H.
	Sh. Shantaveera Swami
	Ms. Shwetha, N.K.
	Smt. Ishrat Haji
	Ms. P. Pavan Kumari
	Ms. Padmaja
	Ms. Veena, M.
Socio-Economic Analysis	
Dr. S.C. Ramesh Kumar	Sh. M.K. Prakashanaik
	Ms. Shraddha Hegde
	Sh. Vijay Kumar Lamani
	Sh. Pradyumna
	Ms. Sowmya K.B
	Mrs. Prathibha, D.G
	Sh. Rajendra,D
Soil & Water Conservation	
Sh. Sunil P. Maske	
Watershed Development Department, GoK, Bangalore	
Sh. Rajeev Ranjan IFS Project Director & Commissioner, WDD	Dr. A. Natarajan NRM Consultant, Sujala-III Project
Dr. S.D. Pathak IFS Executive Director & Chief Conservator of Forests, WDD	

PART-A

LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY

Contents

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The land resource inventory of Ramasamudram-1 Microwatershed was conducted using village cadastral maps and IRS satellite imagery on 1:7920 scale. The false colour composites of IRS imagery were interpreted for physiography and the physiographic delineations were used as base for mapping soils. The soils were studied in several transects and a soil map was prepared with phases of soil series as mapping units. Random checks were made all over the area outside the transects to confirm and validate the soil map unit boundaries. The soil map shows the geographic distribution and extent, characteristics, classification, behavior and use potentials of the soils in the microwatershed.

The present study covers an area of 766 ha in Yadgir taluk & district, Karnataka. The climate is semiarid and categorized as drought-prone with an average annual rainfall of 866 mm, of which about 652 mm is received during south-west monsoon, 138 mm during north-east and the remaining 76 mm during the rest of the year. An area of 443 ha (58%) in the microwatershed is covered by soils, 272 ha (35%) by rock out crops and 51 ha (7%) by others (habitation and water bodies). The salient findings from the land resource inventory are summarized briefly below.

- *The soils belong to 14 soil series and 14 soil phases (management units) and 8 land management units.*
- *The length of crop growing period is about 120-150 days starting from 1st week of June to 4th week of October.*
- *From the master soil map, several interpretative and thematic maps like land capability, soil depth, surface soil texture, soil gravelliness, available water capacity, soil slope and soil erosion were generated.*
- *Soil fertility status maps for macro and micronutrients were generated based on the surface soil samples collected at every 320 m grid interval.*
- *Land suitability for growing 29 major agricultural and horticultural crops was assessed and maps showing the degree of suitability along with constraints were generated.*
- *Entire area in the microwatershed is suitable for agriculture.*
- *About 9 per cent area of the microwatershed has soils that are very deep (>150 cm), 5 per cent soils are deep (100 to 150 cm), 10 per cent soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm) and 33 per cent soils are very shallow to moderately shallow (<25 to 75 cm).*
- *About 21 per cent are sandy soils at the surface, 34 per cent area in the microwatershed has loamy soils and 3 per cent clayey soils at the surface.*
- *About 47 per cent area of the microwatershed has non gravelly (<15%) and 11 per cent has gravelly (15-35%) at the surface.*
- *About 24 per cent area of the microwatershed is very low (<50 mm/m) in available water capacity, 22 per cent low (51-100 mm/m) and 12 per cent area is very high (>200 mm/m) in available water capacity.*

- *An area of about 6 per cent is nearly level (0-1%) and 52 per cent area in the microwatershed has very gently sloping (1-3%) lands.*
- *An area of about 6 per cent is slightly eroded (e1), 47 per cent is moderately (e2) eroded and 5 per cent is severely eroded in the microwatershed.*
- *An area of about 2 per cent is strongly acid (pH 5.0-5.5), 10 per cent is moderately acid (pH 5.5-6.0), 11 per cent is slightly acid (pH 6.0-6.5), 27 per cent is neutral (pH 6.5-7.3) and 8 per cent is slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) in reaction.*
- *The Electrical Conductivity (EC) of the soils in the entire area of the microwatershed is <2 dsm^{-1} indicating that the soils are non-saline.*
- *About 32 per cent of soils are medium (0.5-0.75%) and 26 per cent of soils are high (>0.75%) in organic carbon.*
- *About 2 per cent area is low (<23 kg/ha) and 56 per cent area is medium (23-57 kg/ha) in available phosphorus.*
- *About 11 per cent is low (<145 kg/ha) and 47 per cent is medium (145-337 kg/ha) in available potassium.*
- *Available sulphur is low (<10 ppm) in an area of about 57 per cent and 1 per cent of the soils are medium (10 -20 ppm) in the microwatershed.*
- *Available boron is low (<0.5 ppm) in an area of about 57 per cent and medium (0.5-1.0 ppm) in an area of 1 per cent area of the microwatershed.*
- *Available iron is sufficient in all the soils of the microwatershed.*
- *Available manganese is sufficient in all the soils of the microwatershed.*
- *Available copper is sufficient in all the soils of the microwatershed.*
- *Available zinc is deficient (<0.6 ppm) in an area of about 11 per cent and sufficient (>0.6 ppm) in 47 per cent area of the microwatershed*
- *The land suitability for 29 major agricultural and horticultural crops grown in the microwatershed was assessed and the areas that are highly suitable (S1) and moderately suitable (S2) are given below. It is however to be noted that a given soil may be suitable for various crops but what specific crop to be grown may be decided by the farmer looking to his capacity to invest on various inputs, marketing infrastructure, market price and finally the demand and supply position.*

Land suitability for various crops in the Microwatershed

Crop	Suitability Area in ha (%)			Crop	Suitability Area in ha (%)	
	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)			Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)
Sorghum	*90(12)*	*146(19)*		*Guava*	-	*98(13)*
Maize	-	*236(30)*		*Sapota*	-	*98(13)*
Bajra	-	*257(33)*		*Pomegranate*	-	*188(25)*
Groundnut	-	*118(16)*		*Musambi*	*39(5)*	*149(19)*
Sunflower	*18(2)*	*149(19)*		*Lime*	*39(5)*	*149(19)*
Redgram	-	*188(25)*		*Amla*	*125(16)*	*111(14)*
Bengal gram	*90(12)*	*146(19)*		*Cashew*	-	*77(10)*
Cotton	*66(9)*	*171(22)*		*Jackfruit*	-	*77(10)*
Chilli	-	*257(33)*		*Jamun*	-	*111(14)*
Tomato	-	*185(24)*		*Custard apple*	*168(22)*	*69(9)*
Brinjal	*168(22)*	*91(12)*		*Tamarind*	-	*111(14)*
Onion	*140(18)*	*118(15)*		*Mulberry*	-	*77(10)*
Bhendi	*168(22)*	*91(12)*		*Marigold*	-	*257(34)*
Drumstick	-	*188(25)*		*Chrysanthemum*	-	*257(34)*
Mango	-	*18(2)*				

- *Apart from the individual crop suitability, a proposed crop plan has been prepared for the identified LMUs by considering only the highly and moderately suitable lands for different crops and cropping systems with food, fodder, fibre and other horticulture crops.*
- *Maintaining soil-health is vital for crop production and conserve soil and land resource base for maintaining ecological balance and to mitigate climate change. For this, several ameliorative measures have been suggested for these problematic soils like saline/alkali, highly eroded, sandy soils etc.,*
- *Soil and water conservation treatment plan has been prepared that would help in identifying the sites to be treated and also the type of structures required.*
- *As part of the greening programme, several tree species have been suggested to be planted in marginal and submarginal lands, field bunds and also in the hillocks, mounds and ridges. This would help in not only supplementing the farm income but also provide fodder and fuel and generate lot of biomass which would help in maintaining an ecological balance and also contribute to mitigating the climate change.*

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Soil being a vital natural resource on whose proper use depends the life supporting systems of a country and the socioeconomic development of its people. Soils provide food, fodder, fibre and fuel for meeting the basic human and animal needs. With the ever increasing growth in human and animal population, the demand on soil for more food and fodder production is on the increase. The area available for agriculture is about 51 per cent of the total geographical area and more than 60 per cent of the people are still dependant on agriculture for their livelihood. However, the capacity of a soil to produce is limited and the limits to the production are set by its intrinsic characteristics, agro-climatic setting, and, use and management. There is, therefore, tremendous pressure on land and water resources, which is causing decline in soil-health and stagnation in productivity. The soils have been degrading at an estimated rate of one million hectares per year and ground water levels have been receding at an alarming rate resulting in decline in the ground water resource. Further, land degradation has emerged as a serious problem which has already affected about 38 lakh ha of cultivated area in the State. Soil erosion alone has degraded about 35 lakh ha. Almost all the uncultivated areas are facing various degrees of degradation, particularly soil erosion; salinity and alkalinity has emerged as a major problem in more than 3.5 lakh ha in the irrigated areas of the State. Nutrient depletion and declining factor productivity is common in both rainfed and irrigated areas. The degradation is continuing at an alarming rate and there appears to be no systematic effort among the stakeholders to contain this process. In recent times, an aberration of weather due to climate change phenomenon has added another dimension leading to unpredictable situation to be tackled by the farmers.

In this critical juncture, the challenge before us is not only to increase the productivity per unit area which is steadily declining and showing a fatigue syndrome, but also to prevent or at least reduce the severity of degradation. If the situation is not reversed at the earliest, then the sustainability of the already fragile crop production system and the overall ecosystem will be badly affected in the state. Added to this, every year there is a significant diversion of farm lands and water resources for non-agricultural purposes. Thus, developing strategies to slow down the degradation process or reclaim the soils to normal condition and ensure sustainability of production system are the major issues today. These, demand a systematic appraisal of our soil and land resources with respect to their extent, geographic distribution, characteristics, behaviour and use potential, which is very important for developing an effective land use and cropping systems for augmenting agricultural production on a sustainable basis.

The soil and land resource inventories made so far in Karnataka had limited utility because the surveys were of different types, scales and intensities carried out at different times with specific objectives. Hence, there is an urgent need to generate detailed site-

specific farm level database on various land resources for all the villages/watersheds in a time bound manner that would help to protect the valuable soil and land resources and also to stabilize the farm production. Therefore, the land resource inventory required for farm level planning is the one which investigates all the parameters which are critical for productivity *viz.*, soils, site characteristics like slope, erosion, gravelliness and stoniness, climate, water, topography, geology, hydrology, vegetation, crops, land use pattern, animal population, socio-economic conditions, infrastructure, marketing facilities and various schemes and developmental works of the government etc. From the data collected at farm level, the specific problems and potentials of the area can be identified and highlighted, conservation measures required for the area can be planned on a scientific footing, suitability of the area for various uses can be worked out and finally viable and sustainable land use options suitable for each and every land holding can be prescribed.

The Land Resource Inventory is basically done for identifying potential and problem areas, developing sustainable land use plans, estimation of surface run off and water harvesting potential, preparation of soil and water conservation plans, land degradation/desertification etc. The Bureau is presently engaged in developing an LRI methodology using high resolution satellite remote sensing data and Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data to prepare Landscape Ecological Units (LEU) map representing agro-ecosystem as a whole. The LEU is preferred over landform as the base map for LRI. LEU is the assemblage of landform, slope and land use. An attempt has already been made to upscale the soil resource information from 1:250000 and 1:50000 scale to the LEU map in Goa and in some other states.

The land resource inventory aims to provide site-specific database for Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed in Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka State for the Karnataka Watershed Development Department. The database was generated by using cadastral map of the village as a base along with high resolution IRS LISS IV and Cartosat-1 merged satellite imagery. Later, an attempt will be made to uplink this LRI data generated at 1:7920 scale under Sujala-III Project to the proposed Landscape Ecological Units (LEUs) map.

The study was organized and executed by the ICAR- National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Regional Centre, Bangalore under Generation of Land Resource Inventory Data Base Component-1 of the Sujala-III Project funded by the World Bank.

Chapter 2

GEOGRAPHICAL SETTING

2.1 Location and Extent

The Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed is located in the northern part of Karnataka in Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka State (Fig. 2.1). It lies between 16^0 45' and 16^0 37' north latitudes and 77^0 14' and 77^0 15' east longitudes and covers an area of 766 ha. It is about 81 km from Yadgir town. It surrounded and comprised of Ramasamudra village on the north, west and central side and Arakera village on the eastern side.



Fig. 2.1 Location map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

2.2 Geology

Major rock formations observed in the microwatershed are granite gneiss (Figs. 2.2). Granite gneisses are essentially pink to gray and are coarse to medium grained. They consist primarily of quartz, feldspar, biotite and hornblende. The gray granite gneisses are highly weathered, fractured and fissured upto a depth of about 10 m. Dolerite dykes and quartz veins are common with variable width and found to occur in the village.

Fig. 2.2 Granite and granite gneiss rocks

2.3 Physiography

Physiographically, the area has been identified as granite gneiss landscape based on geology. The microwatershed area has been further divided into summits, very gently sloping uplands, plains and valleys based on slope and its relief features. The elevation ranges from 413-448 m above MSL.

2.4 Drainage

The area is drained by several parallel streams like Bori, Amerja and Kanga which finally join the river Bhima along its course. Though, they are not perennial, during rainy season they carry large quantities of rain water. The microwatershed has only few small tanks which are not capable of storing the water that flows during the rainy season. Due to this, the ground water recharge is very much affected. This is reflected in the failure of many bore wells in the villages. If the available rain water is properly harnessed by constructing new tanks and recharge structures at appropriate places in the villages, then the drinking and irrigation needs of the area can be easily met. The drainage network is parallel to sub parallel and dendritic.

2.5 Climate

The Yadgir district lies in the northern plains of Karnataka and falls under semiarid tract of the state and is categorized as drought-prone with total annual rainfall of 866 mm (Table 2.1). Of the total rainfall, maximum of 652 mm is received during the south–west monsoon period from June to September, the north-east monsoon from October to early December contributes about 138 mm, and the remaining 76 mm during the rest of the year. The summer season starts during the middle of February and continues up to the first week of June. The period from December to the middle of

February is the cold season. December is the coldest month with mean daily maximum and minimum temperatures being 29.5^{0}C and 10^{0}C respectively. During peak summer, temperature shoots up to 45^{0}C. Relative humidity varies from 26% in summer to 62% in winter. Rainfall distribution is shown in Figure 2.3. The average Potential Evapo-Transpiration (PET) is 141 mm and varies from a low of 81 mm in December to 199 mm in the month of May. The PET is always higher than precipitation in all the months except July to end of September. Generally, the Length of crop Growing Period (LGP) is 120-150 days and starts from 1st week of June to 4th week of October.

Table 2.1 Mean Monthly Rainfall, PET, 1/2 PET at Yadgir Taluk

Sl. no.	Months	Rainfall	PET	1/2 PET
1	January	4.30	86.0	43.0
2	February	2.30	125.5	62.7
3	March	15.10	166.0	83.0
4	April	18.50	179.8	89.9
5	May	36.0	198.8	97.9
6	June	118.0	175.1	87.5
7	July	171.80	156.3	78.1
8	August	182.9	150.3	75.1
9	September	179.7	142.0	71.0
10	October	105.3	138.5	69.2
11	November	26.4	97.60	48.6
12	December	6.0	80.90	40.4
Total		**866.3**	**141.4**	



Fig 2.3 Rainfall distribution in Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District

2.6 Natural Vegetation

The natural vegetation is sparse comprising few tree species, shrubs and herbs. The mounds, ridges and boulders occupy very sizeable area which is under thin to moderately thick forest vegetation. Still, there are some remnants of the past forest cover which can be seen in patches in some ridges and hillocks in the microwatershed.

Apart from the continuing deforestation, the presence of large population of goats, sheep and other cattle in the microwatershed is causing vegetative degradation of whatever little vegetation left in the area. The uncontrolled grazing has left no time for the regeneration of the vegetative cover. This leads to the accelerated rate of erosion on the hill slopes resulting in the formation of deep gullies in the foot slopes that eventually result in the heavy siltation of tanks and reservoirs in the microwatershed.

2.7 Land Utilization

About 72 per cent area (Table 2.2) in Yadgir taluk is cultivated at present. An area of about 2 per cent is permanently under pasture, 20 per cent under current fallows and 6 per cent under non-agricultural land and 5 per cent under currently barren. Forests occupy an area of about 7 per cent and the tree cover is in a very poor state. Most of the mounds, ridges and bouldery areas have very poor vegetative cover. Major crops grown in the area are sorghum, maize, cotton, sunflower, groundnut, mango, pomegranate and marigold. The different crops and cropping systems adopted in the microwatershed is presented in the Figures 2.4 a & b. While carrying out land resource inventory, the land use/land cover particulars are collected from all the survey numbers and a current land use map of the microwatershed is prepared. The current land use map prepared shows the arable and non-arable lands, other land uses and different types of crops grown in the area. The current land use map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed is presented in Fig. 2.5. Simultaneously, enumeration of wells (bore wells and open wells) and other conservation structures in the microwatershed was made and their location in different survey numbers is marked on the cadastral map (Fig. 2.6).

Table 2.2 Land Utilization in Yadgir Taluk

Sl. no.	Agricultural land use	Area (ha)	Per cent
1.	Total geographical area	516088	
2.	Total cultivated area	373617	72.4
3.	Area sown more than once	74081	14.3
4.	Trees and grooves	737	0.14
5.	Forest	33773	6.54
6.	Cultivable wasteland	2385	0.46
7.	Permanent Pasture land	11755	2.28
8.	Barren land	27954	5.41
9.	Non- Agriculture land	29623	5.73
10.	Current Fallows	105212	20.4



Fig 2.4 a. Different Crops and Cropping Systems in Ramasamudram-1 Microwatershed

Fig. 2.4 b. Different Crops and Cropping Systems in Ramasamudram-1 Microwatershed



Fig. 2.5 Current Land Use map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 2.6 Location of Wells map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

Chapter 3

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The purpose of land resource inventory is to delineate similar areas (soil series and phases), which respond or expected to respond similarly for a given level of management. This was achieved in Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed by the detailed study of all the soil characteristics (depth, texture, colour, structure, consistence, coarse fragments, porosity, soil reaction, soil horizons etc.) and site characteristics (slope of the land, erosion, drainage, occurrence of rock fragments etc.) followed by grouping of similar areas based on soil-site characteristics into homogeneous (management units) units and showing area extent and their geographic distribution on the microwatershed cadastral map. The detailed survey at 1:7920 scale was carried out in 766 ha area. The methodology followed for carrying out land resource inventory was as per the guidelines given in Soil Survey Manual (IARI, 1971; Soil Survey Staff, 2006; Natarajan *et al.,* 2015) which is briefly described below.

3.1 Base Maps

The detailed survey of the land resources occurring in the microwatershed was carried out by using digitized cadastral map and satellite imagery as base supplied by the KSRSAC. The cadastral map shows field boundaries with their survey numbers, location of tanks, streams and other permanent features of the area (Fig. 3.1). Apart from the cadastral map, remote sensing data products from Cartosat-1 and LISS-IV merged at the scale of 1:7920 were used in conjunction with the cadastral map to identify the rock types, the landscapes, landforms and other surface features. The imagery helped in the identification and delineation of boundaries between hills, uplands and lowlands, water bodies, forest and vegetated areas, roads, habitations and other cultural features of the area (Fig. 3.2). The cadastral map was overlaid on the satellite imagery (Fig. 3.3) that helps to identify the parcel boundaries and other permanent features. Apart from cadastral maps and images, toposheets of the area (1:50,000 scale) were used for initial traversing, identification of geology and landforms, drainage features, present land use and also for selection of transects in the microwatershed.

3.2 Image Interpretation for Physiography

False Colour Composites (FCCs) of Cartosat-I and LISS-IV merged satellite data covering microwatershed area was visually interpreted using image interpretation elements and all the available collateral data with local knowledge. The delineated physiographic boundaries were transferred on to a cadastral map overlaid on satellite imagery. Physiographically, the area has been identified as granite gneiss landscape. It was divided into five landforms, *viz;* ridges and mounds, gently and very gently sloping uplands and lowlands based on slope and image characteristics. They were further subdivided into physiographic/image interpretation units based on image characteristics. The image interpretation legend for physiography is given below.

Image Interpretation Legend for Physiography

G- Granite Gneiss Landscape

G1		Hills/ Ridges/ Mounds
	G11	Summits
	G12	Side slopes
	G121	Side slopes with dark grey tones
G2		Uplands
	G21	Summits
	G22	Gently sloping uplands
	G221	Gently sloping uplands, yellowish green (eroded)
	G222	Gently sloping uplands, yellowish white (severely eroded)
	G23	Very gently sloping uplands
	G231	Very gently sloping uplands, yellowish green
	G232	Very gently sloping uplands, medium green and pink
	G233	Very gently sloping uplands, pink and green (scrub land)
	G234	Very gently sloping uplands, medium greenish grey
	G235	Very gently sloping uplands, yellowish white (eroded)
	G236	Very gently sloping uplands, dark green
	G237	Very gently sloping uplands, medium pink (coconut garden)
	G238	Very gently sloping uplands, pink and bluish white (eroded)
G3		Valleys/ lowlands
	G31	Valleys, pink tones
	G32	Valleys gray mixed with pink tones



Fig 3.1 Scanned and Digitized Cadastral map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig.3.2 Satellite Image of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig.3.3 Cadastral map overlaid on IRS PAN+LISS IV merged imagery of Ramasamudram-1microwatershed

3.3 Field Investigation

The field boundaries and survey numbers given on the cadastral sheet were located on the ground by following permanent features like roads, cart tracks, *nallas*, streams, tanks etc., and wherever changes were noticed, they were incorporated on the microwatershed cadastral map. Preliminary traverse of the microwatershed was carried out with the help of cadastral map, imagery and toposheets. While traversing, landforms and physiographic units identified were checked and preliminary soil legend was prepared by studying soils at few selected places. Then, intensive traversing of each physiographic unit like hills, ridges, uplands and valleys was carried out. Based on the variability observed on the surface, transects (Fig. 3.4) were selected across the slope covering all the landform units in the microwatershed (Natarajan and Dipak Sarkar, 2010)



Fig: 3.4. Location of profiles in a transect

In the selected transect, soil profiles were located (Fig. 3.4) at closely spaced intervals to take care of any change in the land features like break in slope, erosion, gravel, stones etc. In the selected sites, soil profiles (vertical cut showing the soil layers from surface to the rock) were opened upto 200 cm or to the depth limited by rock or hard substratum and studied in detail for all their morphological and physical characteristics. The soil and site characteristics were recorded for all profile sites on a standard proforma as per the guidelines given in USDA Soil Survey Manual (Soil Survey Staff, 2012). Apart from the transect study, profiles were also studied at random, almost like in a grid pattern, outside the transect areas.

Based on the soil-site characteristics, the soils were grouped into different soil series (soil series is the most homogeneous unit having similar horizons and properties and behaves similarly for a given level of management). Soil depth, texture, colour, kind of horizon and horizon sequence, amount and nature of gravel present, nature of substratum etc, were used as the major differentiating characteristics for identifying soil

series occurring in the area. The differentiating characteristics used for identifying soil series are given in Table 3.1. Based on the above characteristics, 14 soil series were identified in the Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed.

Table 3.1 Differentiating Characteristics used for Identifying Soil Series

(Characteristics are of Series Control Section)

Sl. no.	Soil Series	Depth (cm)	Colour (moist)	Texture	Gravel (%)	Horizon sequence	Calcareousness
Soils of Granite Gneiss Landscape							
1	KKR (Kakalawar)	<25	7.5 YR 10 YR	sl	10-15	Ap-Ac	-
2	HTK (Hattikuni)	25-50	7.5 YR 10 YR	sl	10-15	Ap-Ac	-
3	BDL (Badiyala)	25-50	7.5 YR 2.5/3, 2.5/2, 3/3 10 YR 3/4, 4/3	sl	-	Ap-Bw	e
4	VNK (Vanakanahalli)	25-50	2.5YR 3/4	sc	-	Ap-Bt-Cr	-
5	DSB (Dastharabad)	25-50	7.5 YR 3/3	gc	35-60	Ap-Bt-Cr	-
6	DPL (Duppali)	50-75	7.5 YR 3/3 5 YR 3/4	sc	-	Ap-Bt	-
7	YLR (Yalleri)	50-75	2.5 YR 3/4, 4/4 5 YR 3/4 7.5 YR 4/4	gc	15-35	Ap-Bt	-
8	JNK (Jinkera)	50-75	10 YR 3/1, 3/2 7.5 YR 3/4	scl	-	Ap-Bw	e
9	SBR (Sambara)	50-75	10 YR 7/1 7.5 YR 7/4	ls	-	Ap-Ac	-
10	BLC (Balichakra)	75-100	2.5 YR 5/3, 2.5/4 5 YR 4/3, 3/3	scl	-	Ap-Bt	e
11	YDR (Yadgir)	100-150	10 YR 4/3, 4/4 2.5 YR 4/3,5/3	sl	-	Ap-Ac	-
12	MDG (Mundargi)	100-150	10 YR 4/4,3/3 7.5 YR 4/4	scl	-	Ap-Bw	-
13	MDR (Madhwara)	>150	10YR 3/1,3/2,2/1,2/2	scl	-	Ap-Bw	e
14	TMK (Thumakur)	>150	10 YR 3/1, 3/2, 3/3,4/3	c	-	Ap-Bw	e

3.4 Soil Mapping

The area under each soil series was further separated into 14 soil phases and their boundaries delineated on the cadastral map based on the variations observed in the texture of the surface soil, slope, erosion, presence of gravel, stoniness etc. A soil phase is a subdivision of soil series based mostly on surface features that affect its use and management. The soil mapping units are shown on the soil map (Fig. 3.5) in the form of symbols. During the survey many profile pits, few mini pits and a few auger bores

representing different landforms occurring in the microwatershed were studied. In addition to the profile study, spot observations in the form of mini pits, road cuts, terrace cuts etc., were studied to validate the soil boundaries on the soil map.

The soil map shows the geographic distribution of 14 soil mapping units representing 14 soil series occurring in the microwatershed. The soil map unit (soil legend) description is presented in Table 3.2. The soil phase map (management units) shows the distribution of 14 soil phases mapped in the microwatershed. Each mapping unit (soil phase) delineated on the map has similar soil and site characteristics. In other words, all the farms or survey numbers included in one phase will have similar management needs and have to be treated accordingly.

3.5 Laboratory Characterization

Soil samples for each soil series were collected from representative master profiles for laboratory characterization by following the methods outlined in the Laboratory Manual (Sarma *et al*, 1987). Surface soil samples collected in the year 2017 from farmer's fields (74 samples) for fertility status (major and micronutrients) at 320 m grid interval were analyzed in the laboratory (Katyal and Rattan, 2003). By linking the soil fertility data to the survey numbers through GIS using Kriging method, soil fertility maps for the 11 elements including pH and EC were generated for the microwatershed.

Table 3.2 Soil Map Unit description of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

Soil No*	Soil Series	Soil Phase	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
Soil of Granite Gneiss Landscape				
	KKR	Kakalawar soils are very shallow (<25 cm), well drained, have dark brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**31 (4.02)**
153		KKRbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	31 (4.02)
	HTK	Hattikuni soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark yellowish brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**55 (7.17)**
161		HTKbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	55 (7.17)
	BDL	Badiyala soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark brown and dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy loam soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**34 (4.5)**
2		BDLbB2	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	34 (4.5)
	VNK	Vanakanahalli soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown, sandy clay red soils occurring on very gently to moderately sloping uplands under cultivation		**7 (0.91)**
9		VNKcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate	7 (0.91)

			erosion	
	DSB	Dastharabad soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark brown, gravelly clay soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**21 (2.77)**
121		DSBcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	21 (2.77)
	DPL	Duppali soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have dark brown to dark reddish brown, sandy clay red soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**19 (2.52)**
25		DPLcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	19 (2.52)
	YLR	Yalleri soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have brown to reddish brown and dark reddish brown, clayey red soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**1 (0.16)**
29		YLRcB2g1	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	1 (0.16)
	JNK	Jinkera soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**49 (6.41)**
110		JNKhB2	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	49 (6.41)
	SBR	Sambara soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), somewhat excessively drained, have light gray to pink, loamy sand soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**38 (4.91)**
124		SBRbB3	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, severe erosion	38 (4.91)
	BLC	Balichakra soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), well drained, have reddish brown to dark reddish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam red soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**77 (10.1)**
37		BLCcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	77 (10.1)
	YDR	Yadgir soils are deep (100-150 cm), well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown and olive brown, sodic sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**21 (2.69)**
42		YDRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	21 (2.69)
	MDG	Mundargi soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown, sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**18 (2.37)**
57		MDGcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	18 (2.37)

	MDR	Madhwara soils are very deep (>150 cm), well drained, have very dark gray to very dark brown, slightly calcareous sodic sandy clay loam soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		**24 (3.15)**
133		MDRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	24 (3.15)
	TMK	Thumakur soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have brown to very dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous clay black soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping lowlands under cultivation		**48 (6.26)**
103		TMKhA1	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	48 (6.26)
999		Rock out crops	Rock lands, both massive and bouldery with little or no soil	**272 (35.44)**
1000		Others	Habitation and water body	**51 (6.61)**

3.6 Land Management Units (LMU's)

The 14 soil phases identified and mapped in the microwatershed were grouped into 8 Land Management Units (LMU's) for the purpose of preparing a Proposed Crop Plan for sustained development of the microwatershed. The database (soil phases) generated under LRI was utilized for identifying Land Management Units (LMU's) based on the management needs. One or more than one soil site characteristic having influence on the management have been chosen for identification and delineation of LMU's. For Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed, five soil and site characteristics, namely soil depth, soil texture, slope, erosion and gravel content have been considered for defining LMU's. The land management units are expected to behave similarly for a given level of management.



Fig 3.5 Soil phase or management units map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

Chapter 4

THE SOILS

Detailed information pertaining to the nature, extent and distribution of different kinds of soils occurring in Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed is provided in this chapter. The microwatershed area has been identified as granite gneiss landscape based on geology. In all, 14 soil series were identified in this landscape. Soil formation is the result of the combined effect of environmental and terrain factors that are reflected in soil morphology. In the granite gneiss landscape, it is by parent material, relief, time and climate.

A brief description of each of the 14 soil series identified followed by 14 soil phases (management units) mapped (Fig. 3.4) are furnished below. The physical and chemical characteristics of soil series identified in Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed are given in Table 4.1 along with soil classification. The soils in any one map unit differ from place to place in their depth, texture, slope, gravelliness, erosion or any other site characteristics that affect management. The soil phase map can be used for identifying the suitability of areas for growing specific crops or for other alternative uses and also for deciding the type of conservation structures needed. The detailed information on soil and site-characteristics like soil depth, surface soil texture, slope, erosion, gravelliness, AWC, LCC etc, with respect to each of the soil phase identified is given village/survey number wise for the microwatershed in Appendix-I.

4.1 Soils of granite gneiss Landscape

In this landscape, 14 soil series are identified and mapped. Of these, BLC series occupies an area of 77 ha (10%) followed by HTK 55 (7%), JNK 49 ha (6%), TMK 48 ha (6%), SBR 38 ha (5%), BDL 34 ha (5%), KKR 31 ha (4%), MDR 24 ha (3%), YDR 21 ha (3%), DSB 21 ha (3%), DPL 19 ha (3%), MDG 18 ha (2%), VNK 7 ha (1%) and YLR 1 ha (0.16%). Brief description of each series identified and number of soil phases mapped is given below.

4.1.1 Kakalawar (KKR) Series: Kakalawar soils are very shallow (<25cm), well drained, have dark brown to light brown, sandy loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Kakalawar series has been classified as a member of the mixed, isohyperthermic family of Lithic Ustipsamments.

The thickness of the soil is less than 25 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 4 to 6 and chroma 3 to 4. The texture varies from loamy sand to sandy loam. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Kakalawar (KKR) Series

4.1.2 Hattikuni (HTK) Series: Hattikuni soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to dark yellowish brown sandy loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Hattikuni series has been classified as a member of the mixed, isohyperthermic family of Lithic Ustipsamments.

The thickness of the soil ranges from 36 to 50 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 12 cm. Its colour is in 10YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 4 to 6. The texture varies from loamy sand to sandy loam. The thickness of subsurface horizon ranges from 28 to 42 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 4 to 6. Its texture varies from loamy sand to sand and sandy loam. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Hattikuni (HTK) Series

4.1.3 Badiyala (BDL) Series: Badiyala soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have very dark brown, dark yellow brown and dark brown, slightly calcareous sandy loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Badiyala series has been classified as a member of the coarse-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 28 to 50 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 4 to 12 cm. Its colour is in 10YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. The texture is loamy sand, sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 27 to 45 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. Its texture is sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is very low (<50mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and soil profile characteristics of Badiyala (BDL) Series

4.1.4 Vanakanahalli (VNK) Series: Vanakanahalli soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown sandy clay red soils. They have developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Vanakanahalli series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 25 to 49 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 7 to 16 cm. Its colour is in 2.5 YR and 5 YR with value 3 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture is sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 20 to 40 cm. Its colour is in 2.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. Its texture is sandy clay. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Vanakanahalli (VNK) Series

4.1.5 Dastharabad (DSB) Series: Dastharabad soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown, gravelly clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Dastharabad series has been classified as a member of the clayey-skeletal, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Paralithic Haplustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 28 to 50 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 9 to 14 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value and chroma of 3 to 4. The texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 28 to 40 cm. Its colour is in 7.5 YR hue with value 3 and chroma 3 to 4. The texture is sandy clay to clay with 35-60 per cent gravel. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Dastharabad (DSB) Series

4.1.6 Duppali (DPL) Series: Duppali soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have dark brown to dark reddish brown, sandy clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Duppali series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Paleustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 51-75 cm. Thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 15 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR with value 4 and chroma 3 to 6. The texture varies from loamy sand to sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 55 to 65 cm. Its colour is in 7.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture is sandy clay. The available water capacity is low (51-100 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Duppali (DPL) Series

4.1.7 Yalleri (YLR) Series: Yalleri soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have very dark reddish brown to dark brown gravelly clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Yalleri series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Paleustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 50 to 74 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 10 to 13 cm. Its colour is in 7.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value and chroma 2 to 4. The texture is sandy loam, loamy sand, and sandy clay loam. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 45 to 64 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR, 7.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. Its texture is clay with gravel content of 15-35 per cent. The available water capacity is low (51-100 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Yalleri (YLR) Series

4.1.8 Jinkera (JNK) Series: Jinkera soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have very dark gray to very dark grayish brown and dark brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Jinkera series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 51-75 cm. Thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 11 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR and 7.5 YR with value and chroma of 3 to 4. The texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 53 to 66 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value and chroma of 2 to 4. The texture varies from sandy clay loam to sandy clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is low (51-100 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Jinkera (JNK) Series

4.1.9 Sambara (SBR) Series: Sambara soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), somewhat excessively drained, have light grey to reddish yellow, loamy sand soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Sambara series has been classified as a member of the mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Ustipsamments.

The thickness of the soil ranges from 52-75 cm. Thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 23 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR and 7.5 YR with value 3 and chroma 1 to 4. The texture varies from loamy sand to sandy loam. The thickness of subsurface horizons range from 41 to 66 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 4. The texture is loamy sand. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Sambara (SBR) Series

4.1.10 Balichakra (BLC) Series: Balichakra soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), well drained, dark reddish brown to reddish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Balichakra series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 80 to 100 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 10 to 16 cm. Its colour is in hue 5 YR with value and chroma of 3 to 4. Its texture varies from sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 70 to 88 cm. Its colour is in hue 2.5 YR and 5 YR with value 3 to 5 and chroma 3 to 4. Its texture is sandy clay loam to sandy clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is medium (101-150 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Balichakra (BLC) Series

4.1.11 Yadgir (YDR) Series: Yadgir soils are deep (100-150 cm), well drained, have very dark yellowish brown to light olive brown, sodic sandy loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Yadgir series has been classified as a member of the coarse-loamy,mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the soil ranges from 105 to 145 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 10 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 4 and chroma 3. The texture is loamy sand. The thickness of subsurface horizons range from 95 to 130 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 2.5 Y hue with value 4 to 5 and chroma 3 to 4. Texture is loamy sand to sandy loam and sandy clay loam and are sodic soils. The available water capacity is low (51-100 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Yadgir (YDR) Series

4.1.12 Mundargi (MDG) Series: Mundargi soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, dark brown to dark yellowish brown, sandy clay loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Mundargi series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 100 to 149 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 20 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 and chroma 1 to 4. The texture ranges from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 105 to 140 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Mundargi (MDG) Series

4.1.13 Madhwara (MDR) Series: Madhwara soils are very deep (>150 cm), well drained, have black to very dark brown and very dark gray to very dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on nearly level to very gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Madhwara series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum is more than 150 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 10 to 16 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 2 to 3. Texture varies from sandy clay and clay. The thickness of B horizon is >150 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 3. Texture varies from sandy clay loam to sandy clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Madhwara (MDR) Series

4.1.14 Thumakur (TMK) Series: Thumakur soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to dark brown, slightly calcareous sodic clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on nearly level to very gently sloping low lands under cultivation. The Thumakur series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 150-200 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 7 to 14 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 3. Texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay and clay. The thickness of B horizon is >150 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 3. Texture varies from sandy clay to clay and is slightly calcareous sodic soils. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Only one soil phase was identified and mapped.

Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Thumakur (TMK) Series

Table: 4.1 Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Soil Series identified in Ramasamudram-1 Microwatershed

Soil Series: Kakalawar (KKR), Pedon**:** R-7

Location: $16^0$50'25.9"N $77^0$15'97.1"E, Yampada village, Gurumitkal hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Mixed, isohyperthermic, Lithic Ustipsamments

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-22	Ap	83.81	10.37	5.82	17.31	20.65	17.91	5.67	22.27	10-20	ls	9.77	4.65

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-22	5.85	-	-	0.027	0.19	-	0.72	0.21	0.62	0.03	1.58	2.6	0.45	60.90	1.17

Contd...

Soil Series: Hattikuni (HTK), Pedon: R-7

Location: $16^0$50'46.5"N $77^0$10'16.4"E, Yaddalli village, Hattikuni hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Mixed, isohyperthermic, Lithic Ustipsamments

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-12	Ap	90.89	5.62	3.49	8.50	13.46	29.86	29.55	9.51	20	s	7.73	3.16
12-22	A1	89.97	6.53	3.50	7.19	13.48	29.48	29.79	10.03	20	s	8.00	3.05
22-45	A2	87.20	6.43	6.38	11.09	14.42	31.55	7.16	22.98	40	ls	7.67	3.96

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-12	6.81	-	-	0.062	0.07	-	2.35	0.50	0.16	0.01	3.02	3.0	0.86	100	0.38
12.0-22	6.80	-	-	0.050	0.21	-	1.67	0.30	0.09	0.01	2.07	2.4	0.69	86.30	0.45
22-45	6.85	-	-	0.044	0.19	-	1.82	0.42	0.10	0.06	2.40	2.6	0.41	92.41	2.17

Contd...

Soil Series: Badiyala **(**BDL) **Pedon:** R-5

Location: $16^0$37’10.0”N $77^0$20’21.5”, Gudalagunta village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Coarse-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-12	Ap	87.13	7.04	5.83	10.03	24.32	23.61	23.51	5.67	<15	ls	6.27	2.44
12-28	Bw1	64.63	13.30	22.07	6.74	13.07	22.30	17.01	5.50	<15	scl	16.34	7.83
28-52	BC	73.11	12.02	14.87	3.93	16.03	26.89	18.41	7.86	<15	sl	12.94	5.47

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-12	6.20	-	-	0.074	1.00	0.00	2.80	0.98	0.14	0.01	3.92	4.20	0.72	93	0.20
12-28	9.04	-	-	0.253	0.80	3.20	-	-	0.16	0.69	-	16.90	0.77	100	4.09
28-52	9.41	-	-	0.364	1.10	3.60	-	-	0.16	1.39	-	11.10	0.75	100	12.52

Contd...

Soil Series: Vanakanahalli (VNK) **Pedon:** R-15

Location: $16^0$43'49.5"N $77^0$17'17.9"E, Yaleri village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgiri taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-18	Ap	82.61	8.09	9.30	6.77	8.59	21.13	34.58	11.53	-	ls	8.85	3.53
18-50	Bt	54.51	8.73	36.77	4.93	6.18	14.15	20.75	8.49	-	sc	18.88	11.63

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-18	5.37	-	-	0.11	0.60	0.00	2.96	1.45	0.13	0.14	4.68	6.27	0.67	75	2.22
18-50	4.71	-	-	0.05	0.81	0.00	5.56	2.24	0.10	0.05	7.95	13.31	0.36	60	0.38

Contd...

Soil Series: Dastharabad (DSB) **Pedon:** R-17

Location: $16^0$31' 98.6"N $77^0$22'93.0"E, Duppalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Clayey-skeletal, mixed, isohyperthermic Paralithic Haplustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-6	Ap	90.51	4.84	4.64	7.06	8.07	37.24	26.03	12.11	35	s	5.32	1.59
6-17	Bt1	49.11	8.08	42.81	10.67	15.44	10.00	8.44	4.56	20	sc	20.68	13.16
17-43	Bt2	39.54	2.84	57.63	12.89	9.14	7.71	6.83	2.97	50	c	26.69	18.50

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-6	5.93	-	-	0.04	0.67	0.00	2.00	0.54	0.07	0.01	2.61	3.60	0.78	73	0.14
6-17	7.31	-	-	0.110	0.91	0.91	11.19	3.37	0.12	0.49	15.00	15.20	0.36	100	3.22
17-43	6.64	-	-	0.048	0.76	0.00	18.81	5.57	0.23	0.09	24.70	24.90	0.43	99	0.38

Contd...

Soil Series: Duppali (DPL) **Pedon:** R-4

Location: $16^0$37'45.8"N $77^0$18'93.2"E, Neelahalli village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Paleustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-7	Ap	85.28	5.38	9.34	13.40	26.09	19.90	20.51	5.38	-	ls	9.30	4.92
7-39	Bt1	48.50	7.08	44.42	16.85	10.41	10.94	6.97	3.33	-	sc	21.31	16.82
39-65	Bt2	50.95	5.29	43.76	23.57	10.36	8.77	5.50	2.75	-	sc	21.99	17.50

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-7	6.92	-	-	0.122	0.92	0.00	4.73	1.61	0.19	0.01	6.54	7.10	0.76	92	0.09
7-39	7.00	-	-	0.060	0.62	0.00	13.57	4.78	0.12	0.40	18.87	19.30	0.43	98	2.06
39-65	6.87	-	-	0.072	0.41	0.00	13.69	4.57	0.19	0.65	19.10	19.90	0.45	96	3.25

Contd...

Soil Series: Yalleri (YLR) **Pedon:** R-16

Location: $16^0$32'54.3"N $77^0$22'71.2"E, Duppalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Paleustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-5	Ap	81.69	5.44	12.87	6.10	8.65	33.88	21.57	11.50	-	sl	8.60	3.37
5-34	Bt1	38.78	6.73	54.49	3.38	9.91	12.42	8.93	4.14	-	c	25.33	15.82
34-75	Bt2	40.35	2.90	56.75	12.91	6.83	10.30	7.48	2.82	35-60	c	24.49	16.20

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-5	6.91	-	-	0.069	0.70	0.00	5.29	1.37	0.28	0.03	6.96	6.90	0.54	100	0.45
5-34	7.05	-	-	0.053	0.62	0.00	16.43	3.89	0.26	0.09	20.67	21.60	0.40	96	0.42
34-75	7.25	-	-	0.058	0.59	0.00	15.22	3.46	0.25	0.14	19.06	19.90	0.35	96	0.69

Contd...

Soil Series: Jinkera **(**JNK) **Pedon:** R-1

Location: $16^0$45'13.5"N $77^0$10'59.8"E, Varkanahalli village, Yadgir hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-15	Ap	66.84	13.62	19.54	12.15	21.22	11.23	12.56	9.68	10	sl	14.42	7.70
15-38	Bw1	59.08	12.11	28.81	12.53	12.42	17.85	8.77	7.52	20	scl	18.21	12.23
38-50	Bw2	68.21	11.68	20.11	17.90	21.81	10.60	10.80	7.10	10	scl	14.54	8.96

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-15	8.42	-	-	0.148	0.70	0.65	-	-	0.15	0.03	-	14.50	0.74	100	0.18
15-38	8.38	-	-	0.226	0.31	2.21	-	-	0.09	0.23	-	21.70	0.75	100	1.05
38-50	8.40	-	-	0.195	0.25	1.17	-	-	0.07	0.19	-	15.90	0.79	100	1.23

Contd...

Soil Series: Sambara (SBR) **Pedon:** R-10

Location: $16^0$42'04.5"N $77^0$14'35.3"E, Jinatera village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Ustipsamments

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-9	Ap	81.90	8.22	9.88	23.76	14.05	23.76	10.62	9.71	-	ls	9.45	2.69
9-17	C1	84.08	6.59	9.33	21.30	20.69	17.65	17.65	6.80	-	ls	7.84	2.65
17-60	C2	86.86	6.17	6.98	11.53	21.54	25.08	23.46	5.26	-	ls	5.48	2.62
60-78	C3	87.27	6.92	5.81	15.05	20.91	26.36	19.29	5.66	-	ls	5.19	2.81

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-9	8.24	-	-	0.145	0.61	0.91	-	-	0.12	0.09	-	7.50	0.76	100	1.15
9-17	8.21	-	-	0.068	0.57	0.39	-	-	0.06	0.12	-	6.70	0.72	100	1.82
17-60	8.47	-	-	0.080	0.38	0.48	-	-	0.03	0.17	-	2.70	0.39	100	6.34
60-78	8.50	-	-	0.081	0.30	0.52	-	-	0.03	0.17	-	2.70	0.46	100	6.43

Contd...

Soil Series: Balichakra (BLC) **Pedon:** T1/P2

Location: $16^0$33'25.0"N $77^0$20'52.3"E, Sowrashtralli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-8	Ap	65.46	8.38	26.16	12.51	18.72	18.82	10.44	4.96	-	scl	15.15	8.63
8-19	Bt1	63.48	8.16	28.36	12.80	15.84	17.21	12.49	5.14	-	scl	16.45	8.81
19-40	Bt2	52.64	11.58	35.79	13.19	13.19	14.35	8.23	3.69	-	sc	21.49	10.36
40-75	BC	55.14	10.71	34.15	14.10	14.42	14.63	7.53	4.45	-	scl	17.77	8.99

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-8	6.75	-	-	0.19	0.72	0.00	12.18	3.10	0.43	0.22	15.92	16.80	0.64	95	1.31
8-19	7.23	-	-	0.12	0.68	0.84	11.37	2.50	0.23	0.18	14.28	14.77	0.52	97	1.24
19-40	7.13	-	-	0.08	0.50	0.48	13.80	2.82	0.18	0.09	16.89	17.66	0.49	96	0.51
40-75	7.07	-	-	0.07	0.35	0.84	13.00	2.90	0.17	0.10	16.16	17.55	0.51	92	0.57

Contd...

Soil Series: Yadgir **(**YDR) **Pedon:** R-5

Location: $16^0$35'43.6"N $77^0$17'06.4"E, Kanikal village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Coarse-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-14	Ap	86.59	8.77	4.64	23.19	26.92	14.11	15.22	7.16	-	ls	6.97	2.68
14-43	C1	73.39	11.31	15.30	6.76	20.27	24.87	15.66	5.83	-	sl	12.14	7.22
43-89	C2	80.41	3.75	15.84	8.06	13.47	36.73	15.71	6.43	-	sl	22.84	10.18
89-110	C3	63.55	5.40	31.05	8.10	23.05	19.00	9.87	3.53	15-35	scl	38.46	17.70

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-14	7.25	-	-	0.114	0.56	0.00	2.29	0.86	0.07	0.03	3.25	3.40	0.73	96	0.31
14-43	9.47	-	-	0.371	0.32	1.30	14.71	4.28	0.38	1.54	20.91	12.70	0.83	165	4.86
43-89	10.30	-	-	0.820	0.16	0.52	1.70	0.98	0.15	6.62	9.45	8.61	0.54	110	30.77
89-110	10.80	-	-	1.440	0.12	0.91	1.02	2.00	0.29	14.43	17.74	16.17	0.52	110	35.688

Contd...

Soil Series: Mundargi **(**MDG) **Pedon:** R-2

Location: $16^0$46'82.4"N $77^0$04'85.2"E, Thumakura village, Yadgir hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-9	Ap	81.23	12.97	5.80	4.84	10.19	14.83	37.94	13.42	<15	ls	11.75	3.31
9-20	A2	76.82	16.19	6.98	4.96	10.12	20.75	27.53	13.46	-	ls	14.52	3.99
20-46	Bw1	42.43	17.43	40.15	2.26	5.59	11.49	14.93	8.16	-	c	34.90	21.14
46-90	Bw2	54.51	16.56	28.93	4.72	5.03	19.92	16.67	8.18	-	scl	36.73	18.88
90-110	Bw3	53.69	11.00	35.30	9.57	9.89	16.23	13.01	4.99	-	sc	38.72	20.53

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-9	8.2	-	-	0.399	0.44	0.78	-	-	0.16	0.38	-	4.90	0.84	100	3.08
9-20	8.44	-	-	0.075	0.29	1.82	-	-	0.05	0.35	-	4.90	0.70	100	2.88
20-46	9.39	-	-	0.451	0.32	2.73	-	-	0.12	5.22	-	20.77	0.52	100	10.06
46-90	9.75	-	-	0.616	0.24	3.25	-	-	0.12	5.72	-	16.56	0.57	100	13.82
90-110	9.72	-	-	0.725	0.24	3.64	-	-	0.14	6.84	-	19.76	0.56	100	13.836

Contd...

Soil Series: Madhawara (MDR) **Pedon:** T_2 P_2

Location: $16^0$43'48.9"N $77^0$18'38.3"E, Yaleri village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-11	Ap	58.94	20.74	20.32	5.41	7.28	13.31	20.89	12.06	-	scl	16.47	8.85
11-30	Bw1	55.52	19.32	25.16	5.00	7.19	13.12	19.69	10.52	-	scl	18.25	10.18
30-53	Bw2	53.95	19.15	26.90	4.68	7.48	12.58	19.65	9.56	-	scl	26.99	14.02
53-117	Bw3	52.68	19.51	27.81	2.84	5.47	14.72	20.82	8.83	-	scl	37.86	17.40
117-160	Bw4	49.95	17.27	32.79	2.11	5.07	14.15	20.49	8.13	-	scl	44.15	20.38

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-11	8.31	-	-	0.33	0.46	2.76	-	-	0.45	0.47	-	20.57	1.01	100	0.90
11-30	9.25	-	-	0.20	0.31	4.20	-	-	0.19	1.40	-	23.98	0.95	100	2.34
30-53	9.78	-	-	0.40	0.19	5.76	-	-	0.16	1.53	-	24.53	0.91	100	2.49
53-117	9.94	-	-	0.88	0.23	4.80	-	-	0.18	9.09	-	24.31	0.87	100	14.96
117-160	9.98	-	-	0.93	0.15	3.00	-	-	0.24	11.09	-	28.27	0.86	100	15.69

Contd...

Soil Series: Thumakuru (TMK) **Pedon:** R-10

Location: $16^0$38'01.3"N $77^0$16'49.8"E, Kilankera village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

Analysis at: NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand								
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)			1/3 Bar	15 Bar
0-12	Ap	62.92	15.76	21.32	5.56	9.37	21.83	18.33	7.83	-	scl	17.98	6.60
12-29	Bw1	45.91	18.53	35.56	6.08	8.18	15.41	11.43	4.82	-	sc	33.40	11.79
29-74	Bw2	48.47	16.24	35.29	5.93	9.84	16.40	11.75	4.55	-	sc	28.66	11.19
74-132	Bw3	38.25	20.59	41.16	3.21	8.23	14.64	8.97	3.21	-	c	38.85	14.72
132-158	Bw4	36.87	19.99	43.14	3.54	7.61	13.08	8.57	4.07	-	c	44.36	15.75

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	$CaCO_3$	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/ Clay	Base saturation	ESP
							Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
	Water	$CaCl_2$	M KCl	dS m^{-1}	%	%	cmol kg^{-1}							%	%
0-12	9.60	-	-	0.35	0.48	1.44	-	-	0.23	3.62	-	21.83	1.02	100	6.63
12-29	9.72	-	-	1.27	0.50	1.44	-	-	0.59	20.88	-	30.50	0.86	100	27.39
29-74	9.16	-	-	3.44	0.31	3.72	-	-	0.38	25.84	-	28.68	0.81	100	36.04
74-132	9.33	-	-	2.52	0.23	4.92	-	-	0.82	20.25	-	34.99	0.85	100	23.148
132-158	9.23	-	-	2.07	0.31	3.48	-	-	0.70	21.03	-	34.24	0.79	100	24.564

Chapter 5

INTERPRETATION FOR LAND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The most important soil and site characteristics that affect the land use and conservation needs of an area are land capability, land irrigability, soil depth, soil texture, coarse fragments, available water capacity, soil slope, soil erosion, soil reaction etc. These are interpreted from the data base generated through land resource inventory and several thematic maps are generated. These would help in identifying the areas suitable for growing crops and, soil and water conservation measures and structures needed thus helping to maintain good soil health for sustained crop production. The various thematic maps generated are described below.

5.1 Land Capability Classification

Land capability classification is an interpretative grouping of soil map units (soil phases) mainly based on inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environmental factors that limit the use of land for agriculture, pasture, forestry, or other uses on a sustained basis (IARI, 1971). The land and soil characteristics used to group the land resources in an area into various land capability classes, subclasses and units are

Soil characteristics: Depth, texture, gravel content, calcareousness.

Land characteristics: Slope, erosion, drainage, rock outcrops.

Climate: Total rainfall and its distribution, and length of crop growing period.

The land capability classification system is divided into land capability classes, subclasses and units based on the level of information available. Eight land capability classes are recognized. They are

Class I: They are very good lands that have no limitations or very few limitations that restrict their use.

Class II: They are good lands that have minor limitations and require moderate conservation practices.

Class III: They are moderately good lands that have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of crops or that require special conservation practices.

Class IV: They are fairly good lands that have very severe limitations that reduce the choice of crops or that require very careful management.

Class V: Soils in these lands are not likely to erode, but have other limitations like wetness that are impractical to remove and as such not suitable for agriculture, but suitable for pasture or forestry with minor limitations.

Class VI: The lands have severe limitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivation, but suitable for pasture or forestry with moderate limitations.

Class VII: The lands have very severe limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation, but suitable for pasture or forestry with major limitations.

Class VIII: Soil and other miscellaneous areas (rock lands) that have very severe limitations that nearly preclude their use for any crop production, but suitable for wildlife, recreation and installation of wind mills.

The land capability subclasses are recognised based on the dominant limitations observed within a given land capability class. The subclasses are designated by adding a lower case letter like 'e', 'w', 's', or 'c' to the class numeral. The subclass "e" indicates that the main hazard is risk of erosion, "w" indicates drainage or wetness as a limitation for plant growth, "s" indicates shallow soil depth, coarse or heavy textures, calcareousness, salinity/alkali or gravelliness and "c" indicates limitation due to climate.

The land capability subclasses have been further subdivided into land capability units based on the kinds of limitations present in each subclass. Ten land capability units are used in grouping the soil map units. They are stony or rocky (0), erosion hazard (slope, erosion) (1), coarse texture (sand, loamy sand, sandy loam) (2), fine texture (cracking clay, silty clay (3), slowly permeable subsoil (4), coarse underlying material (5), salinity/alkali (6), stagnation, overflow, high ground water table (7), soil depth (8) and fertility problems (9). The capability units thus identified have similar soil and land characteristics that respond similarly to a given level of management. The soils of the microwatershed have been classified upto land capability subclass level.

The 14 soil map units identified in the Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed are grouped under 3 land capability classes and 5 land capability subclasses (Fig. 5.1).

Entire area of the microwatershed is suitable for agriculture. An area of 258 ha (33%) are good cultivable lands (Class II) that have minor limitations and require moderate conservation practices and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed with minor problems of erosion, drainage and soil. Moderately good cultivable lands (Class III) cover an area of 155 ha (20%) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with moderate problems of erosion and soil that require special conservation practices. Fairly good cultivable lands (Class IV) cover an area of about 31 ha (4%) and are distributed in the southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe problems of erosion and soil that require more conservation practices. Major of the area of about 272 ha (35%) is covered by rock outcrops. An area of 51 ha (7%) is covered by habitation and water bodies.



Fig. 5.1 Land Capability map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.2 Soil Depth

Soil depth refers to the depth of the soil occurring above the parent material or hard rock. The depth of the soil determines the effective rooting depth for plants and in accordance with soil texture, mineralogy and gravel content, the capacity of the soil column to hold water and nutrient availability. Soil depth is one of the most important soil characteristic that is used in differentiating soils into different soil series. The soil depth classes used in identifying soils in the field are very shallow (<25 cm), shallow (25-50 cm), moderately shallow (50-75 cm), moderately deep (75-100 cm), deep (100-150 cm) and very deep (>150 cm). They were used to classify the soils into different depth classes and a soil depth map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.2.

Very shallow (<25 cm) soils occupy an area of 31 ha (4%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. Shallow (25-50 cm) soils occupy a maximum area of 118 ha (15%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. An area of 107 ha (14%) is moderately shallow (50-75 cm) and are distributed in the northwestern, central, southeastern, southwestern and southern part of the microwatershed. Moderately deep soils (75-100 cm) occur in an area of 77 ha (10%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. Deep (100-150 cm) soils cover an area of 39 ha (5%) and are distributed in the central and eastern part of the microwatershed. Very deep (>150cm)

soils cover an area of 72 ha (9%) and are distributed in the central and western part of the microwatershed.

The most problem lands with an area of about 149 ha (19%) having very shallow to shallow (<25 to 50 cm) rooting depth. They are suitable for growing short duration agricultural crops but well suited for pasture, forestry or other recreational purposes. The most productive lands covering about 111 ha (14%) with respect to soil rooting depth where all climatically adapted annual and perennial crops can be grown are deep to very deep (100 to >150 cm) soils occurring in the microwatershed.



Fig. 5.2 Soil Depth map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.3 Surface Soil Texture

Texture is an expression to indicate the coarseness or fineness of the soil as determined by the relative proportion of primary particles of sand, silt and clay. It has a direct bearing on the structure, porosity, adhesion and consistence. The surface layer of a soil to a depth of about 25 cm is the layer that is most used by crops and plants. The surface soil textural class provides a guide to understanding soil-water retention and availability, nutrient holding capacity, infiltration, workability, drainage, physical and chemical behaviour, microbial activity and crop suitability. The textural classes used for LRI were used to classify and a surface soil texture map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.3.

An area of about 158 ha (21%) has soils that are sandy (loamy sand) at the surface and are distributed in the northeastern, western, southern and southwestern part of the

microwatershed. Loamy (sandy loam and sandy clay loam) at the surface occur in a maximum area of 262 ha (34%) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed. An area of about 24 ha (3%) has soils that are clayey (sandy clay) at the surface and are distributed in the central part of the microwatershed.

The most productive lands with respect to surface soil texture are clayey and loamy soils (37%) that have high potential for soil-water retention and availability, and nutrient retention and availability, but clayey soils have more problems of drainage, infiltration, workability and other physical problems. The problem soils cover about 158 ha (21%) which have problems of moisture and nutrients.



Fig. 5.3 Surface Soil Texture map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.4 Soil Gravelliness

Gravel is the term used for describing coarse fragments between 2 mm and 7.5 cm diameter and stones for those between 7.5 cm and 25 cm. The presence of gravel and stones in the soil reduces the volume of soil responsible for moisture and nutrient storage, drainage, infiltration and runoff and hinders plant growth by impeding root growth and seedling emergence, intercultural operations and farm mechanization. The gravelliness classes used in LRI were used to classify the soils and using these classes a gravelliness map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.4.

Non gravelly (<15%) soils cover a maximum area of about 357 ha (47%) in the microwatershed. These are the most productive soils, where all climatically adapted short

and long duration crops can be grown. The problem soils are gravelly (15-35%) soils covering an area of 87 ha (11%) and are suitable for growing medium and short duration crops.



Fig. 5.4 Soil Gravelliness map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.5 Available Water Capacity

The soil available water capacity (AWC) is estimated based on the ability of the soil column to retain water between the tensions of 0.33 and 15 bar in a depth of 100 cm or the entire solum if the soil is shallower. The AWC of the soils (soil series) as estimated by considering the soil texture, mineralogy, soil depth and gravel content (Sehgal *et al.*, 1990) and accordingly the soil map units were grouped into five AWC classes *viz*, very low (<50 mm/m), low (50-100 mm/m), medium (100-150 mm/m), high (150-200 mm/m) and very high (>200 mm/m) and using these classes an AWC map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.5), showing the area extent and their spatial distribution in the microwatershed.

Maximum area of about 186 ha (24%) in the microwatershed has soils that are very low (<50 mm/m) in available water capacity and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. An area of about 168 ha (22%) are low (51-100 mm/m) in available water capacity and are distributed in the central, southwestern and northeastern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 90 ha (12%) in the microwatershed has soils that are very high (>200 mm/m) in available water capacity and are distributed in the western and eastern part of the microwatershed.

About 354 ha (46%) area in the microwatershed has soils that are relatively problematic with regard to available water capacity. Here, only short or medium duration crops can be grown and the probability of crop failure is very high. These areas are best put to other alternative uses. The most productive soils cover about 90 ha (12%) where all climatically adapted long duration crops can be grown.



Fig. 5.5 Soil Available Water Capacity map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.6 Soil Slope

Soil slope refers to the inclination of the surface of the land. It is defined by gradient, shape and length, and is an integral feature of any soil as a natural body. Slope is considered important in soil genesis, land use and land development. The length and gradient of slope influences the rate of runoff, infiltration, erosion and deposition. The soil map units were grouped into four slope classes and a slope map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.6.

An area of about 48 ha (6%) in the microwatershed falls under nearly level (0-1%) lands and are distributed in the western part of the microwatershed. Very gently sloping (1-3%) lands cover a maximum area of about 396 ha (52%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. In these areas, all climatically adapted annual and perennial crops can be grown without much soil and water conservation and other land development measures.



Fig. 5.6 Soil Slope map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

5.7 Soil Erosion

Soil erosion refers to the wearing away of the earth's surface by the forces of water, wind and ice involving detachment and transport of soil by raindrop impact. It is used for accelerated soil erosion resulting from disturbance of the natural landscape by burning, excessive grazing and indiscriminate felling of forest trees and tillage, all usually by man. The erosion classes showing an estimate of the current erosion status as judged from field observations in the form of rills, gullies or a carpet of gravel on the surface are recorded. Four erosion classes, viz, slight erosion (e1), moderate erosion (e2), severe erosion (e3) and very severe erosion (e4) are recognized. The soil map units were grouped into different erosion classes and a soil erosion map was generated. The area extent and their spatial distribution in the microwatershed is given in Figure 5.7.

An area of about 48 ha (6%) has soils that are slightly eroded and are distributed in the western part of the microwatershed. Soils that are moderately eroded (e2 class) cover a maximum area of 358 ha (47%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. Severely eroded (e3 class) soils cover an area of about 38 ha (5%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. In these moderately and severely eroded areas, taking up soil and water conservation and other land development measures be followed.



Fig. 5.7 Soil Erosion map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

Chapter 6

FERTILITY STATUS

Soil fertility plays an important role in increasing crop yield. The adoption of high yielding varieties that require high amounts of nutrients has resulted in deficiency symptoms in crops and plants due to imbalanced fertilization and poor inherent fertility status, as these areas are characterised by low rainfall and high temperatures. Hence, it is necessary to know the fertility (macro and micro nutrients) status of the soils of the watersheds for assessing the kind and amount of fertilizers required for each of the crop intended to be grown. For this purpose, the surface soil samples collected from the grid points (one soil sample at every 320 m interval) all over the microwatershed through land resource inventory in the year 2017 were analysed for pH, EC, organic carbon, available phosphorus and potassium and for micronutrients like zinc, copper, iron and manganese, and secondary nutrient sulphur.

Soil fertility data generated has been assessed and individual maps for all the nutrients for the microwatershed have been generated using Kriging method under GIS. The village/survey number wise fertility data for the microwatershed is given in Appendix-II.

6.1 Soil Reaction (pH)

The soil analysis of the Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed for soil reaction (pH) showed that an area of about 15 ha (2%) is strongly acid (pH 5.0-5.5) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 79 ha (10%) is moderately acid (pH 5.5-6.0) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed. Slightly acid (pH 6.0-6.5) soils cover an area of 85 ha (11%) and are distributed in the eastern, central, western and southern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 206 ha (27%) is neutral (pH 6.5-7.3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. An area of 59 ha (8%) is slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) and are distributed in the northwestern, central and northeastern part of the microwatershed. Thus, major soils in the microwatershed are neutral and acid in reaction.

6.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The Electrical Conductivity of the soils is <2 dS m^{-1} in the entire microwatershed and as such the soils are non-saline (Fig. 6.2).

6.3 Organic Carbon

The soil organic carbon content (an index of available Nitrogen) in the soils of the microwatershed is medium (0.5-0.75%) in a maximum area of about 243 ha (32%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. An area of about 201 ha (26%) are high (>0.75%) in organic carbon and are distributed in western and eastern part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.3).



Fig.6.1 Soil Reaction (pH) map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig.6.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC) map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

6.4 Available Phosphorus

Available phosphorus content is low (<23 kg/ha) in an area of 15 ha (2%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. Medium (23-57 kg/ha) in a maximum area of about 429 ha (56%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.4).

6.5 Available Potassium

Available potassium content is low (<145 kg/ha) in an area of 85 ha (11%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 359 ha (47%) is medium (145-337 kg/ha) in available potassium and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.5).

6.6 Available Sulphur

Maximum area of about 439 ha (57%) is low (<10 ppm) in available sulphur content and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed. An area of about 5 ha (1%) is medium (10-20 ppm) in available sulphur content and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.6).

6.7 Available Boron

Maximum area of about 440 ha (57%) is low (<0.5 ppm) in available boron content and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed. Medium (0.5-1.0 ppm) in a area of 4 ha (1%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.7).

6.8 Available Iron

Available iron content is sufficient (>4.5 ppm) in the entire microwatershed area (Fig .6.8).

6.9 Available Manganese

Available manganese content is sufficient (>1.0 ppm) in the entire microwatershed area (Fig. 6.9).

6.10 Available Copper

Available copper content is sufficient (>0.2 ppm) in the entire microwatershed area (Fig. 6.10).

6.11 Available Zinc

Available zinc content is deficient (<0.6 ppm) in an area of about 87 ha (11%) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed. Sufficient (>0.6 ppm) in a maximum area of 357 ha (47%) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed (Fig 6.11).



Fig.6.3 Soil Organic Carbon (OC) map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig.6.4 Soil available Phosphorus map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.5 Soil available Potassium map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.6 Soil available Sulphur map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.7 Soil available Boron map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.8 Soil available Iron map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.9 Soil available Manganese map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.10 Soil available Copper map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed



Fig. 6.11 Soil available Zinc map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

Chapter 7

LAND SUITABILITY FOR MAJOR CROPS

The soil and land resource units (soil phases) of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed were assessed for their suitability for growing food, fodder, fibre and other horticulture crops by following the procedure as outlined in FAO, 1976 and 1983. Crop requirements were developed for each of the crop from the available research data, and also by referring to Naidu *et al.* (2006) and Natarajan *et al* (2015). The crop requirements (Tables 7.2 to 7.30) were matched with the soil and land characteristics (Table 7.1) to arrive at the crop suitability. The soil and land characteristics table and crop requirement tables are given in Appendix-III. In FAO land suitability classification, two orders are recognized. Order S-Suitable and Order N-Not suitable. The orders have classes, subclasses and units. Order-S has three classes, Class S1-Highly Suitable, Class S2-Moderately Suitable and Class S3-Marginally Suitable. Order N has two classes, N1-Currently not Suitable and N2-Permanently not Suitable. There are no subclasses within the class S1 as they will have very minor or no limitations for crop growth. Classes S2, S3 and N1 are divided into subclasses based on the kinds of limitations encountered. The limitations that affect crop production are 'c' for erratic rainfall and its distribution and length of growing period (LGP), 'e' for erosion hazard, 'r' for rooting condition, 't' for lighter or heavy texture, 'g' for gravelliness or stoniness, 'n' for nutrient availability, 'l' for topography, 'm' for moisture availability, 'z' for calcareousness 's' for sodium and 'w' for drainage. These limitations are indicated as lower case letters to the class symbol. For example, moderately suitable land with the limitations of soil depth and erosion is designated as S2re. For the microwatershed, the soil mapping units were evaluated and classified up to subclass level.

Using the above criteria, the soil map units of the microwatershed were evaluated and land suitability maps for 29 major agricultural and horticultural crops grown in the state were generated. The detailed information on the kind of suitability of each of the soil phase for the crops assessed are given village/ survey number wise for the microwatershed in Appendix-IV.

7.1 Land Suitability for Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*)

Sorghum is one of the major crop grown in an area of 10.47 lakh ha in northern Karnataka in Bijapur, Kalaburgi, Raichur, Bidar, Belgaum, Dharwad and Bellary districts. The crop requirements for growing sorghum (Table 7.2) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) of the soils of the microwatershed and a land suitability map for growing sorghum was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.1.

An area of about 90 ha (12%) is highly suitable (Class S1) for growing sorghum and are distributed in the western and eastern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 146 ha (19%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) and are distributed in the eastern,

western and southern part of the microwatershed with minor limitation of rooting depth, gravelliness and calcareousness. Marginally suitable (Class S3) lands occur in a maximum area of about 177 ha (23%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with major limitations of texture, calcareousness and rooting depth. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing sorghum and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.1 Land Suitability map of Sorghum

7.2 Land Suitability for Maize (*Zea mays*)

Maize is one of the most important food crop grown in an area of 13.37 lakh ha in all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing maize (Table 7.3) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing maize was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.2.

No highly suitable (Class S1) lands are available for growing maize. Maximum area of about 236 ha (30%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing maize and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, gravelliness, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. An area of about 176 ha (24%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class

N1) for growing maize and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.2 Land Suitability map of Maize

7.3 Land Suitability for Bajra (*Pennisetum glaucum)*

Bajra is one of the most important millet crop grown in an area of 2.34 lakh ha in the northern districts of Karnataka State. The crop requirements for growing bajra (Table 7.4) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing bajra was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.3.

No highly suitable (Class S1) lands are available for growing bajra. Maximum area of about 257 ha (33%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing bajra and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. An area of about 155 ha (21%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing bajra and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.3 Land Suitability map of Bajra

7.4 Land suitability for Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*)

Groundnut is one of the major oilseed crop grown in an area of 6.54 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing groundnut (Table 7.5) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) of soils of the microwatershed and a land suitability map for growing groundnut was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.4.

No highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Groundnut. An area of about 118 ha (16%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Groundnut and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. Maximum area of about 295 ha (38%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing Groundnut and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have major limitations of texture, rooting depth and drainage. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Groundnut and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.4 Land Suitability map of Groundnut

7.5 Land Suitability for Sunflower (*Helianthus annus*)

Sunflower is one of the most important oilseed crop grown in an area of 3.56 lakh ha in the State in all the districts. The crop requirements for growing sunflower (Table 7.6) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing sunflower was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.5.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing sunflower occur in an area of about 18 ha (2%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 149 ha (19%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing sunflower and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with minor limitations of drainage, calcareousness and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing sunflower occur in an area of 128 ha (18%) and are distributed in the western, central, eastern and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and gravelliness. Maximum area of about 148 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing sunflower and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.5 Land Suitability map of Sunflower

7.6 Land Suitability for Red gram (*Cajanus cajan*)

Red gram is one of the major pulse crop grown in an area of 7.28 lakh ha mainly in northern Karnataka in Bijapur, Kalaburgi, Raichur, Bidar, Belgaum, Dharwad and Bellary districts. The crop requirements for growing red gram (Table 7.7) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) of the soils of the microwatershed and a land suitability map for growing red gram was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.6.

No highly suitable (Class S1) lands are available for growing redgram. Maximum area of about 188 ha (25%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing redgram and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. An area of about 169 ha (22%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, gravelliness, calcareousness and texture. An area of about 86 ha (11%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing redgram and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.6 Land Suitability map of Red gram

7.7 Land Suitability for Bengal gram (*Cicer aerativum*)

Bengal gram is one of the most important pulse crop grown in about 9.39 lakh ha area in Bijapur, Raichur, Kalaburgi, Dharwad, Belgaum and Bellary districts. The crop requirements for growing Bengal gram (Table 7.8) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing Bengal gram was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.7.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Bengal gram occur in an area of about 90 ha (12%) and are distributed in the western, central and eastern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 146 ha (19%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Bengal gram and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed with minor limitations of gravelliness, texture, calcareousness and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Bengal gram occur in an area of 62 ha (8%) and are distributed in the western part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth and texture. An area of about 145 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Bengal gram and are distributed in the eastern, southern and central part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture, calcareousness and rooting depth.



Fig. 7.7 Land Suitability map of Bengal gram

7.8 Land Suitability for Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*)

Cotton is one of the most important fibre crop grown in the state in about 8.75 lakh ha area in Raichur, Dharwad, Belgaum, Kalaburgi, Bijapur, Bidar, Bellary, Chitradurga and Chamarajnagar districts. The crop requirements for growing cotton (Table 7.9) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing cotton was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.8.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing cotton occur in an area of about 66 ha (9%) and are distributed in the western and eastern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 171 ha (22%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing cotton and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with minor limitations of gravelliness, calcareousness and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing cotton occur in an area of 63 ha (8%) and are distributed in the western part of the microwatershed with major limitation of rooting depth. An area of about 145 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing cotton and are distributed in the eastern, southeastern, southern and central part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture, calcareousness and rooting depth.



Fig. 7.8 Land Suitability map of Cotton

7.9 Land Suitability for Chilli (*Capsicum annuum)*

Chilli is one of the most important spice crop grown in about 0.42 lakh ha in Karnataka State. The crop requirements for growing chilli (Table 7.10) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing chilli was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.9.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing chilli in the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 257 ha (33%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing chilli and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, gravelliness, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. An area of about 155 ha (21%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing chilli and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.9 Land Suitability map of Chilli

7.10 Land Suitability for Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*)

Tomato is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in about 0.61 lakh ha covering almost all the district of the state. The crop requirements for growing tomato (Table 7.11) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing tomato was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.10.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Tomato in the microwatershed. An area of about 185 ha (24%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Tomato and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, gravelliness, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. Maximum area of about 227 ha (30%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, drainage and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Tomato and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.10 Land Suitability map of Tomato

7.11 Land suitability for Brinjal (*Solanum melongena*)

Brinjal is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in all the districts. The crop requirements for growing brinjal (Table 7.12) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing brinjal was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.11.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Brinjal occur in a maximum area of about 168 ha (22%) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed. An area of about 91 ha (12%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Brinjal and are distributed in the eastern, western, southern and central part of the microwatershed with minor limitations texture and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Brinjal occur in an area of 155 ha (20%) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, gravelliness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Brinjal and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.11 Land Suitability map of Brinjal

7.12 Land Suitability for Onion (*Allium cepa*)

Onion is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in Raichur, Dharwad, Belgaum, Gulbarga, Bijapur, Bidar, Bellary, Chitradurga and Tumakuru districts. The crop requirements for growing onion (Table 7.13) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing Onion was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.12.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Onion occur in an area of about 140 ha (18%) and are distributed in the eastern, central and southern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 118 ha (15%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Onion and are distributed in the eastern, western, southern and central part of the microwatershed with minor limitations texture and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Onion occur in a maximum area of 155 ha (21%) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, gravelliness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Onion and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.12 Land Suitability map of Onion

7.13 Land suitability for Bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus*)

Bhendi is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in all the districts. The crop requirements for growing bhendi (Table 7.14) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing bhendi was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.13.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Bhendi occur in a maximum area of about 168 ha (22%) and are distributed in all part of the microwatershed. An area of about 91 ha (12%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Bhendi and are distributed in the eastern, western, southern and central part of the microwatershed with minor limitations of texture and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Bhendi occur in an area of 155 ha (20%) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, gravelliness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Bhendi and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.13 Land Suitability map of Bhendi

7.14 Land Suitability for Drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*)

Drumstick is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in about 2403 ha in the state. The crop requirements for growing drumstick (Table 7.15) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing drumstick was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.14.

No highly suitable (Class S1) lands are available for growing drumstick. Maximum area of about 188 ha (25%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing drumstick and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. An area of about 107 ha (14%) is marginally suitable (class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. An area of about 148 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing drumstick and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture and rooting depth.



Fig 7.14 Land Suitability map of Drumstick

7.15 Land Suitability for Mango (*Mangifera indica*)

Mango is one of the most important fruit crop grown in about 173080 ha in all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing mango (Table 7.16) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing mango was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.15.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Mango in the microwatershed. An area of about 18 ha (2%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Mango and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with minor limitation of rooting depth. An area of about 170 ha (22%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the western, central and southern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy a maximum area of about 255 ha (34%) for growing Mango and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and texture.



Fig. 7.15 Land Suitability map of Mango

7.16 Land Suitability for Guava (*Psidium guajava*)

Guava is one of the most important fruit crop grown in about 6558 ha in the State of Raichur, Dharwad, Belgaum, Kalaburgi, Bijapur, Bidar, Bellary, Chitradurga, Bangalore, Kolar, Chikkaballapur and Chamarajnagar districts. The crop requirements for growing guava (Table 7.17) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing guava was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.16.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Guava in the microwatershed. An area of about 98 ha (13%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Guava and are distributed in the central and southern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 197 ha (26%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (19%) for growing Guava and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and texture.



Fig 7.16 Land Suitability map of Guava

7.17 Land Suitability for Sapota (*Manilkara zapota*)

Sapota is one of the most important fruit crop grown in about 29373 ha in almost all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing sapota (Table 7.18) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing sapota was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.17.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Sapota in the microwatershed. An area of about 98 ha (13%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Sapota and are distributed in the central and southern part of the microwatershed with minor limitations of texture and calcareousness. Maximum area of about 197 ha (26%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (19%) for growing Sapota and are distributed in the eastern, western and central part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.17 Land Suitability map of Sapota

7.18 Land Suitability for Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*)

Pomegranate is one of the most important fruit crop commercially grown in about 18488 ha in Karnataka in an area of about 0.16 lakh ha mainly in Bijapur, Bagalkot, Koppal, Gadag and Chitradurga districts. The crop requirements for growing pomegranate (Table 7.19) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing pomegranate was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.18.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing pomegranate in the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 188 ha (25%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, calcareousness and drainage. An area of about 107 ha (14%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (19%) for growing pomegranate and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.18 Land Suitability map of Pomegranate

7.19 Land Suitability for Musambi (*Citrus limetta*)

Musambi is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 5446 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing musambi (Table 7.20) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing musambi was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.19.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing musambi occur in an area of about 39 ha (5%) and are distributed in the eastern and central part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 149 ha (19%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing musambi and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with minor limitations of calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing musambi occur in an area of 107 ha (15%) and are distributed in the eastern, central, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. An area of about 148 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing musambi and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.19 Land Suitability map of Musambi

7.20 Land Suitability for Lime (*Citrus sp*)

Lime is one of the most important fruit crop grown in 11752 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing lime (Table 7.21) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing lime was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.20.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Lime occur in an area of about 39 ha (5%) and are distributed in the eastern and central part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 149 ha (19%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Lime and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with minor limitations of calcareousness, drainage and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Lime occur in an area of 107 ha (15%) and are distributed in the eastern, central, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. An area of about 148 ha (19%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Lime and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.20 Land Suitability map of Lime

7.21 Land Suitability for Amla (*Phyllanthus emblica*)

Amla is one of the most important medicinal and fruit plant grown in 151 ha in almost all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing amla (Table 7.22) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing amla was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.21.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Amla occur in an area of about 125 ha (16%) and are distributed in the western and southern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 111 ha (14%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Amla and are distributed in the eastern, western, southern and central part of the microwatershed with minor limitations texture, calcareousness and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Amla occur in a maximum area of 176 ha (24%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Amla and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.21 Land Suitability map of Amla

7.22 Land Suitability for Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale)*

Cashew is one of the most important plantation nut crop grown in an area of about 70552 ha in almost all the districts. The crop requirements for growing Cashew (Table 7.23) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing Cashew was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.22.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Cashew in the microwatershed. An area of about 77 ha (10%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Cashew and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed with minor limitations of texture and rooting depth. An area of about 79 ha (11%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the western, central, eastern and southern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy a maximum area of about 288 ha (37%) for growing Cashew and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture.



Fig 7.22 Land Suitability map of Cashew

7.23 Land Suitability for Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*)

Jackfruit is one of the most important fruit crop grown in 5368 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing jackfruit (Table 7.24) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing jackfruit was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.23.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Jackfruit in the microwatershed. An area of about 77 ha (10%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Jackfruit and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed with minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Maximum area of about 218 ha (28%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (20%) for growing Jackfruit and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture and rooting depth.



Fig 7.23 Land Suitability map of Jackfruit

7.24 Land Suitability for Jamun (*Syzygium cumini*)

Jamun is one of the most important fruit crop grown in almost all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing jamun (Table 7.25) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing jamun was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.24.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing jamun in the microwatershed. An area of about 111 ha (14%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) and are distributed in the eastern, central and western part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, calcareousness and drainage. Maximum area of about 185 ha (25%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of calcareousness, texture and rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (19%) for growing jamun and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and texture.



Fig 7.24 Land Suitability map of Jamun

7.25 Land Suitability for Custard Apple (*Annona reticulata*)

Custard apple is one of the most important fruit crop grown in 1426 ha in almost all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing custard apple (Table 7.26) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing custard apple was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.25.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing Custard apple occur in an area of about 168 ha (22%) and are distributed in the eastern, western, central and southern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 69 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Custard apple and are distributed in the eastern, western, southern and central part of the microwatershed with minor limitations calcareousness and rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing Custard apple occur in a maximum area of 177 ha (23%) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth, gravelliness and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Custard apple and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig 7.25 Land Suitability map of Custard Apple

7.26 Land Suitability for Tamarind (*Tamarindus indica*)

Tamarind is one of the most important spice crop raised in 14897 ha in all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing tamarind (Table 7.27) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing tamarind was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.26.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Tamarind in the microwatershed. An area of about 111 ha (14%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) and are distributed in the western, central and eastern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, calcareousness and drainage. An area of about 77 ha (10%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy a maximum area of about 225 ha (34%) for growing Tamarind and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture.



Fig 7.26 Land Suitability map of Tamarind

7.27 Land Suitability for Mulberry (*Morus nigra*)

Mulberry is the important leaf crop grown for rearing silk worms in about 1,66,000 ha area in all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing mulberry (Table 7.28) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing mulberry was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.27.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing mulberry in the microwatershed. An area of about 77 ha (10%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing mulberry and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 218 ha (29%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occupy an area of about 148 ha (19%) for growing mulberry and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and texture.



Fig 7.27 Land Suitability map of Mulberry

7.28 Land suitability for Marigold (*Tagetes sps.)*

Marigold is one of the most important flower crop grown in an area of 9108 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing marigold (Table 7.29) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing marigold was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.28.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Marigold in the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 257 ha (34%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Marigold and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, calcareousness, drainage, gravelliness and rooting depth. An area of about 155 ha (20%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Marigold and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.28 Land Suitability map of Marigold

7.29 Land suitability for Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora*)

Chrysanthemum is one of the most important flower crop grown in an area of 4978 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing chrysanthemum (Table 7.30) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing chrysanthemum was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.29.

There are no highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing Chrysanthemum in the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 257 ha (34%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing Chrysanthemum and are distributed in all parts of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, calcareousness, drainage, gravelliness and rooting depth. An area of about 155 ha (20%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) and are distributed in the eastern, western and southern part of the microwatershed with major limitations of rooting depth and texture. An area of about 31 ha (4%) is currently not suitable (Class N1) for growing Chrysanthemum and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.



Fig. 7.29 Land Suitability map of Chrysanthemum

Appendix-III

Table 7.1 Soil-Site Characteristics of Ramasamudram-1 Microwatershed

Soil Map Units	Climate (P) (mm)	Growing period (Days)	Drain-age Class	Soil depth (cm)	Soil texture		Gravelliness		AWC (mm/m)	Slope (%)	Erosion	pH	EC (dSm^{-1})	ESP (%)	CEC [Cmol (p^{+}) kg^{-1}]	BS (%)
					Sur-face	Sub-surface	Surface (%)	Sub-surface (%)								
KKRbB2g1	866	150	WD	< 25	ls	sl	15-35	10-15	<50	1-3	moderate					
HTKbB2g1	866	150	WD	< 25	ls	sl	15-35	10-25	<50	1-3	moderate					
BDLbB2	866	150	WD	25-50	ls	sl	-	-	<50	1-3	moderate	6.20	0.07	0.20	4.20	93
VNKcB2	866	150	WD	25-50	sl	sc	-	-	<50	1-3	moderate	5.37	0.11	2.22	6.27	75
DSBcB2	866	150	WD	25-50	sl	gc	-	35-60	<50	1-3	moderate	5.93	0.04	0.14	3.60	73
DPLcB2	866	150	WD	50-75	sl	sc	-	-	51-100	1-3	moderate	6.92	0.12	0.09	7.10	92
YLRcB2g1	866	150	WD	50-75	sl	c	15-35	15-35	51-100	1-3	moderate	6.91	0.07	0.45	6.90	100
JNKhB2	866	150	WD	50-75	scl	scl	-	-	51-100	1-3	moderate	8.42	0.15	0.18	14.50	100
SBRbB3	866	150	Sed	50-75	ls	ls	-	-	<50	1-3	severe	8.24	0.14	1.15	7.50	100
BLCcB2	866	150	WD	75-100	sl	scl	-	-	101-150	1-3	moderate	6.75	0.19	1.31	16.80	95
YDRcB2	866	150	WD	100-150	sl	sl	-	-	51-100	1-3	moderate	7.25	0.11	0.31	3.40	96
MDGcB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	sl	scl	-	-	>200	1-3	moderate	8.20	0.40	3.08	4.90	100
MDRiB2	866	150	WD	>150	sc	scl	-	-	>200	1-3	moderate	8.31	0.33	0.90	21.00	100
TMKhA1	866	150	MWD	>150	scl	c	-	-	>200	0-1	slight	9.60	0.35	6.63	21.83	100

*Symbols and abbreviations are according to Field Guide for LRI under Sujala-III Project, Karnataka

Table 7.2 Land suitability criteria for Sorghum

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	26–30	30–34; 24–26	34–40; 20–24	>40; <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red), c (black)	scl, cl	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	10-15
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.3 Land suitability criteria for Maize

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-34	35-38 26-30	38-40 26-20	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc	c (red), c (black)	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	-
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.4 Land suitability criteria for Bajra

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-38 24-27	39-40 20-23	<20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm	500-750	400-500	200-400	<200
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl,sc,c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	5.5-6.0 >9.0	
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	15-35	35-60	>60	
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	1-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.5 Land suitability criteria for Groundnut

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–33	22–24; 33–35	20–22; 35–40	<20; >40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl	sl,cl, sc	c (red), c (black), ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<35	35-60	>60	
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.6 Land suitability criteria for Sunflower

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–30	30–34; 20–24	34–38; 16–20	>38; <16
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	mod. Well drained	-	Poorly to very drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	cl, sc,c (red), c (black)	scl	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.5-7.8	7.8-8.4 5.5-6.5	8.4-9.0; 5.0-5.5	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.7 Land suitability criteria for Redgram

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-35(G) 20-25(AV) 15-18 (F&PS) 35-40(M)	25-30(G) 20-25 (AV) 12-15 (F&PS) 30-35(M)	20-25(G) 15-20(AV) 10-12 (F&PS) 25-30(M)	< 20 <15 <10 <25
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red)	c (black),sl, scl, cl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-9.0	5.0-5.5 >9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-50	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<1.0	1.0-2.0	>2.0	
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.8 Land suitability criteria for Bengal gram

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	20–25	25–30; 15–20	30–35; 10–15	>35; <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	c(black)	-	c (red), scl, cl, sc	ls, sl
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	-
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.9 Land suitability criteria for Cotton

Land use requirement			**Rating**			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	22-32	>32	<19	-
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well to moderately well	Poorly drained/Somewhat excessively drained	-	very poorly/excessively drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red,black)	cl	scl	ls, sl
	pH	1:2.5	6.5-7.8	7.8-8.4	5.5-6.5 8.4->9.0	<5.5
	CEC	C mol (p+)Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	50-100	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	-	>5

Table 7.10 Land suitability criteria for Chilli

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-32	33-35 20-25	35-38 <20	>38
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc	c (black), sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.11 Land suitability criteria for Tomato

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-28	29-32 20-24	15-19 33-36	<15 >36
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	ls, c(black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.12 Land suitability criteria for Brinjal

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class				
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl, sc c (red)	-	ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	7.3-8.4 5.0-6.0	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.13 Land suitability criteria for Onion

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	20-30	30-35	35-40	>40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately /imperfectly	-	Poorly to V poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl,cl,sc,c (red)	-	c (Black),ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<1.0	1.0-2.0	2.0-4.0	<4
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.14 Land suitability criteria for Bhendi

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-28	29-32 20-24	15-19 33-36	<15 >36
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Imperfectly drained	Poorly to very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl,sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.15 Land suitability criteria for Drumstick

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, scl, cl, c (red)	sl, c (black)	ls	s
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<35	35-60	60-80	>80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m				
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-10	-	>10

Table 7.16 Land suitability criteria for Mango

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	24-27 33-35	36-40	20-24
	Min temp. before flowering	^{0}C	10-15	15-22	>22	-
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration	Days				
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	ls, sl, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	75-100	<75
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.17 Land suitability criteria for Guava

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-36 24-27	37-42 20-23	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	sl	c (black), ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.18 Land suitability criteria for Sapota

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-36 24-27	37-42 20-23	>42 <18
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	-	Poorly to very drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	sl	ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.19 Land suitability criteria for Pomegranate

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-34	35-38 25-29	39-40 15-24	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl,cl, sc, c (red)	c (black),sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.20 Land suitability criteria for Musambi

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-30	31-35 24-27	36-40 20-23	>40 <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately drained	poorly	Very poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c	sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.21 Land suitability criteria for Lime

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-30	31-35 24-27	36-40 20-23	>40 <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately drained	poorly	Very poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c	sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.22 Land suitability criteria for Amla

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15-35	35-60	60-80	-
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.23 Land suitability criteria for Cashew

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	32 to 34	28 to 32; 34 to 38	24 to 28; 38 to 40	<20; >40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	sl, ls	c (black)
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-6.5	5.0-5.5 6.5-7.3	7.3-7.8	>7.8
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-10	>10	-

Table 7.24 Land suitability criteria for Jackfruit

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well	Poorly	V. Poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	sl, ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10-

Table 7.25 Land suitability criteria for Jamun

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well	Mod. well	Poorly	V.Poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c(red)	sl, c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	50-100	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.26 Land suitability criteria for Custard apple

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	Scl, cl, sc, c (red), c (black)	-	Sl, ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.5-6.0 7.3-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15-35	35-60	60-80	-
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	>5	-

Table 7.27 Land suitability criteria for Tamarind

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod.well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl,sc, c (red)	sl, c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	75-100	<75
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.28 Land suitability criteria for Mulberry

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–28	22–24; 28–32	32–38; 22–18	>38; <18
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, cl, scl	c (red)	c (black), sl, ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.8-8.4	7.3-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	0-35	35-60	60-80	>80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.29 Land suitability criteria for Marigold

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	18-23	17-15 24-35	35-40 10-14	>40 <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%				
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

Table 7.30 Land suitability criteria for Chrysanthemum

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		**Unit**	**Highly suitable (S1)**	**Moderately suitable (S2)**	**Marginally suitable (S3)**	**Not suitable (N1)**
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	18-23	17-15 24-35	35-40 10-14	>40 <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%				
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

7.30 Land Management Units (LMUs)

The 14 soil map units identified in Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed have been grouped into 8 Land Management Units (LMUs) for the purpose of preparing a Proposed Crop Plan. Land Management Units are grouped based on the similarities in respect of the type of soil, the depth of the soil, the surface soil texture, gravel content, AWC, slope, erosion etc. and a Land Management Units map (Fig. 7.30) has been generated. These Land Management Units are expected to behave similarly for a given level of management.

The 14 soil map units that have been grouped into 8 Land Management Units along with brief description of soil and site characteristics are given below.

LMU	Soil map units	Soil and site characteristics
1	103.TMKhA1	Very deep (>150 cm), low land sandy clay loam soils, 0-1% slope, slight erosion
2	42.YDRcB2 57.MDGcB2 133.MDRiB2	Deep (100-150 cm), black sandy loam to sandy clay soils , 1-3% slope, moderate erosion
3	37.BLCcB2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm), red loamy soils, 1-3 % slope, moderate erosion
4	29.YLRcB2g1	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm), red sandy loam soil, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35 %)
5	110.JNKhB2 124.SBRbB3 25.DPLcB2	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm), black sandy loam to sandy clay loam soil, 1-3% slope, moderate to severe erosion
6	2.BDLbB2 161.HTKbB2g1 121.DSBcB2	Shallow (25-50 cm), black loamy sand to sandy loam soil, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)
7	9.VNKcB2	Shallow (25-50 cm), red sandy loam soil, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion
8	153.KKRbB2g1	Very shallow (<25 cm), black loamy sand soil, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)



Fig. 7.30 Land Management Units (LMU's) map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

7.31 Proposed Crop Plan for Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

After assessing the land suitability for the 29 crops, a proposed crop plan has been prepared for the 8 identified LMUs by considering only the highly (Class S1) and moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for each of the 29 crops. The resultant proposed crop plan is presented in Table 7.31.

Table 7.31 Proposed Crop Plan for Ramasamudram-1Micro watershed

LMU	Soil Map Units	Survey Number	Soil and site characteristics	Field Crops	Horticulture Crops	Suitable Interventions
1	103.TMKhA1	**Ramasamudra:**106,107,108,109,110/1,110/2,111,112, 114, 146, 147	Very deep (>150 cm), low land sandy clay loam soils, 0-1% slope, slight erosion	Sorghum, maize, cotton, Bajra	**Fruit crops:** Custard Apple, Amla **Vegetable crops:** Brinjal, Tomato, Chillies, Drumstick, Coriander **Flower crops:** Marigold, Chrysanthemum, Jasmine	Providing proper drainage, addition of organic manures, green leaf manuring, suitable conservation practices
2	42.YDRcB2 57.MDGcB2 133.MDRiB2	**ArakeraK :** 53,54,55,56 **Ramasamudra:**129,130,131,132,133,134,135/1, 135/2,135/3,136,137,138, 143,144, 165	Deep (100-150 cm), black sandy loam to sandy clay soils , 1-3% slope, moderate erosion	Sunflower, Sorghum, Maize, Groundnut, Soybean, Safflower, Linseed, Bajra, Mulberry	**Fruit crops:** Mango, Sapota**,** Pomegranate, Guava, Lime, Musambi, Jamun, Jackfruit, Amla, Tamarind, Custard apple **Vegetables:** Onion, Tomato, Bhendi, Chilli, Coriander, Drumstick, **Flowers:** Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
3	37.BLCcB2	**Ramasamudra:**163,164,166,167,168,169/1,169/2,170/1,170/2,171,181/1,181/2, 182,183/1,183/2, 184/1,184/2,185,186,187,229,232,236/1,236/2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm), red loamy soils, 1-3 % slope, moderate erosion	Maize, Sorghum, Sunflower, Bajra, Finger millet, Groundnut, Red gram, Cowpea, Field bean, Castor, Mulberry	**Fruit crops:** Mango, Amla, Pomegranate, Guava, Sapota, Jackfruit, Jamun, Tamarind, Lime, Custard apple, Musambi, Cashew **Vegetable crops:** Drumstick, Tomato, Bhendi, Chilli, Brinjal, Onion, Curry leaves **Flower crops:** Marigold, Chrysanthemum, Jasmine, Crossandra	Drip irrigation, mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices (Crescent Bunding with Catch Pit etc)
4	29.YLRcB2g1	**Panchasheelanagara :**	Moderately shallow	Sorghum,	**Fruit crops:** Amla, Custard	Drip irrigation,

		1/1	(50-75 cm), red sandy loam soils, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35 %)	Maize, Bajra, Red gram , Finger millet	apple **Vegetables:** Tomato, Chilli **Flowers:** Marigold Chrysanthemum	mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices (Crescent Bunding with Catch Pit etc)
5	110.JNKhB2 124.SBRbB3 25.DPLcB2	**Ramasamudra:**113,115,128,139,140,141,142,172,173,174/1,174/2,175,176,177,178/1,178/2,179/1,179/2,180/1,180/2,226,227, 234,235, 238, 239,240/1,240/2	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm), black sandy loam to sandy clay loam soils, 1-3% slope, moderate to severe erosion	Sorghum, Bajra, Coriander	**Fruit crops:** Amla, Custard apple **Vegetables**: Coriander, Bhendi **Flowers:** Marigold, Jasmine, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
6	2.BDLbB2 161.HTKbB2g1 121.DSBcB2	**ArakeraK:** 72,73,74 **Ramasamudra:**145,151,152/1,153,154/1,155,156,157,158/1,158/2,159,160,161/1,161/2,162,189,192,193, 194,196,197,198,199,233, 237/1,237/2,241	Shallow (25-50 cm), black loamy sand to sandy loam soils, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	-	**Agri-Silvi-Pasture:** Hybrid Napier, *Styloxanthes hamata*, *Styloxanthes scabra*	Use of short duration varieties, sowing across the slope, drip irrigation and mulching is recommended
7	9.VNKcB2	**Ramasamudra:**152/2,154/2,242,243/1,243/2	Shallow (25-50 cm), red sandy loam soils, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion	Horsegram, Bajra	**Agri-Silvi-Pasture:** Custard apple, Amla, Hybrid Napier, *Styloxanthes hamata*, Glyricidia, *Styloxanthes scabra*	Use of short duration varieties, sowing across the slope and split application of nitrogen fertilizers
8	153.KKRbB2g1	**ArakeraK:**10,11,7/1,7/2,8/1,8/2,9	Very shallow (<25 cm), black loamy sand soils, 1-3% slope, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	-	**Agri-Silvi-Pasture:** Hybrid Napier, *Styloxanthes hamata*, *Styloxanthes scabra*	Sowing across the slope, drip irrigation and mulching is recommended

Chapter 8

SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT

8.1 Soil Health

Soil health is basic to plant health and plant health is basic to human and bovine health. Soil is fundamental to crop production. Without soil, no food could be produced nor would livestock be fed on a large scale. Because it is finite and fragile, soil is a precious resource that requires special care from its users.

Soil health or the capacity of the soil to function is critical to human survival. Soil health has been defined as: "the capacity of the soil to function as a living system without adverse effect on the ecosystem". Healthy soils maintain a diverse community of soil organisms that help to form beneficial symbiotic associations with plant roots, recycle essential plant nutrients, improve soil structure with positive repercussions for soil, water and nutrient holding capacity and ultimately improve crop production and also contribute to mitigating climate change by maintaining or increasing its carbon content.

Functional interactions of soil biota with organic and inorganic components, air and water determine a soil's potential to store and release nutrients and water to plants and to promote and sustain plant growth. Thus, maintaining soil health is vital to crop production and conserve soil resource base for sustaining agriculture.

The most important characteristics of a healthy soil are

- Good soil tilth
- Sufficient soil depth
- Good water storage and good drainage
- Adequate supply, but not excess of nutrients
- Large population of beneficial organisms
- Small proportion of plant pathogens and insect pests
- Low weed pressure
- Free of chemicals and toxins that may harm the crop
- Resistance to degradation
- Resilience when unfavourable conditions occur

Characteristics of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

- The soil phases with sizeable area identified in the microwatershed belonged to the soil series of BLC 77 ha (10%), HTK 55 (7%), JNK 49 ha (6%), TMK 48 ha (6%), SBR 38 ha (5%), BDL 34 ha (5%), KKR 31 ha (4%), MDR 24 ha (3%), YDR 21 ha (3%), DSB 21 ha (3%), DPL 19 ha (3%), MDG 18 ha (2%), VNK 7 ha (1%) and YLR 1 ha (0.16%).
- As per land capability classification, entire area of the microwatershed falls under arable land category (Class II, III & IV). The major limitations identified in the arable lands were soil, wetness and erosion.

- On the basis of soil reaction, about 15 ha (2%) is strongly acid (pH 5.0-5.5), 79 ha (10%) is moderately acid (pH 5.5-6.0), 85 ha (11%) is slightly acid (pH 6.0-6.5), 206 ha (27%) is neutral (pH 6.5-7.3) and 59 ha (8%) is slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) in reaction. Major area in the microwatershed is neutral and acid in reaction.

Soil Health Management

The following actions are required to improve the current land husbandry practices that provide a sound basis for the successful adoption of sustainable crop production system.

Acid soils

About 179 ha (23%) is under acidic soils (strongly acidic to slightly acidic).

1. Growing of crops suitable for particular soil pH.
2. Amelioration of the soils through the application of amendments (liming materials).

Liming materials:

1. $CaCO_3$ (Calcium Carbonate)
2. Dolomite [Ca Mg $(Co_3)_2$]
3. Quick lime (Cao)
4. Slaked lime [Ca $(OH)_2$]

For normal pH and pH 4.8 (35 t/ha) and pH 6 .0-7.0 (4 t/ha) lime is required.

Neutral soils

About 206 ha (27%) is under neutral soils.

1. Regular addition of organic manure, green manuring, green leaf manuring, crop residue incorporation and mulching needs to be taken up to improve the soil organic matter status.
2. Application of biofertilizers, (Azospirullum, Azotobacter, Rhizobium).
3. Application of 100 per cent RDF.
4. Need based micronutrient applications.

Alkaline soils

About 59 ha (8%) area is under alkaline soils (Slightly alkaline soils).

1. Regular addition of organic manure, green manuring, green leaf manuring, crop residue incorporation and mulching needs to be taken up to improve the soil organic matter status.
2. Application of biofertilizers (Azospirullum, Azatobacter, Rhizobium).
3. Application of 25% extra N and P (125 % RDN&P).
4. Application of $ZnSO_4$ – 12.5 kg/ha (once in three years).
5. Application of Boron – 5kg/ha (once in three years).

Besides the above recommendations, the best transfer of technology options are also to be adopted.

Soil Degradation

Soil erosion is one of the major factor affecting the soil health in the microwatershed. An area of about 48 ha (6%) has slightly eroded land. Maximum area of about 396 ha (52%) is suffering from moderate and severe erosion. These areas need immediate soil and water conservation and, other land development and land husbandry practices for restoring soil health.

Dissemination of Information and Communication of Benefits

Any large scale implementation of soil health management requires that supporting information is made available widely, particularly through channels familiar to farmers and extension workers. Given the very high priority attached to soil health especially by the Central Government on issuing Soil-Health Cards to all the farmers, media outlets like Regional, State and National Newspapers, Radio and Dooradarshan programs in local languages but also modern information and communication technologies such as Cellular phones and the Internet, which can be much more effective in reaching the younger farmers.

Inputs for Net Planning (Saturation Plan) and Interventions needed

Net planning (Saturation Plan) in IWMP is focusing on preparation of

1. Soil and Water Conservation Treatment Plan for each plot or farm.
2. Productivity enhancement measures/ interventions for existing crops/livestock/other farm enterprises.
3. Diversification of farming mainly with perennial horticultural crops and livestock.
4. Improving livelihood opportunities and income generating activities.

   In this connection, how various outputs of Sujala-III are of use in addressing these objectives of Net Planning (Saturation Plan) are briefly presented below.

- **Soil Depth:** The depth of a soil decides the amount of moisture and nutrients it can hold, what crops can be taken up or not, depending on the rooting depth and the length of growing period available for raising any crop. Deeper the soil, better for a wide variety of crops. If sufficient depth is not available for growing deep rooted crops either choose medium or short duration crops or deeper planting pits need to be opened and additional good quality soil brought from outside has to be filled into the planting pits.
- **Surface Soil Texture:** Lighter soil texture in the top soil means, better rain water infiltration, less run-off and soil moisture conservation, less capillary rise and less evaporation losses. Lighter surface textured soils are amenable to good soil tilth and are highly suitable for crops like groundnut, root vegetables (carrot, raddish, potato etc) but not ideal for crops that need stagnant water like lowland paddy. Heavy textured soils are poor in water infiltration and percolation. They are prone for sheet erosion; such soils can be improved by sand mulching. The technology that is

developed by the AICRP-Dryland Agriculture, Vijayapura, Karnataka may be adopted.

- **Gravelliness:** More gravel content is favourable for run-off harvesting but poor in soil moisture storage and nutrient availability. It is a significant parameter that decides the kind of crop to be raised.
- **Land Capability Classification:** The land capability map shows the areas suitable and not suitable for agriculture and the major constraints in each of the plot/survey number. Hence, one can decide what kind of enterprise is possible in each of these units. In general, erosion and soil are the major constraints in Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed.
- **Organic Carbon:** The OC content (an index of available Nitrogen) is medium (0.5-0.75%) in an area of 243 ha (32%) and about 201 ha (26%) area is high (>0.75%). In the areas of medium OC, it needs to be further improved by applying farmyard manure and rotating crops with cereals and legumes or mixed cropping.
- **Promoting green manuring:** Growing of green manuring crops cost Rs. 1250/ha (green manuring seeds) and about Rs. 2000/ha towards cultivation that totals to Rs. 3250/- per ha. On the other hand, application of organic manure @ 10 tons/ha costs Rs. 5000/ha. The practice needs to be continued for 2-3 years or more. Nitrogen fertilizer needs to be supplemented by 25% in addition to the recommended level in 243 ha area where OC is medium (0.5-0.75%). For example, for rainfed maize, recommended level is 50 kg N per ha and an additional 12 kg /ha needs to be applied for all the crops grown in these plots.
- **Available Phosphorus**: Available Phosphorus is low (<23 kg/ha) in an area of 15 ha (2%) and medium (23-57 kg/ha) in an area of 429 ha (56%). For all the crops, 25% additional P needs to be applied where available P is low and medium.
- **Available Potassium:** Available Potassium is low (<145 kg/ha) in an area of 85 ha (11%) and medium (145-337 kg/ha) in an area of 359 ha (47%) of the microwatershed. All the plots, where available potassium is low and medium, for all the crops, additional 25 % potassium may be applied.
- **Available Sulphur:** Available sulphur is a very critical nutrient for oilseed crops, it is low in 439 ha (57%) and medium in 5 ha (1%). Low and medium areas need to be applied with magnesium sulphate or gypsum or Factamphos (p) fertilizer (13% sulphur) for 2-3 years for the deficiency to be corrected.
- **Available Boron:** An area of 440 ha (57%) is low and 4 ha (1%) is medium. For areas that are low and medium, application of sodium borate @ 10 kg/ha as soil application or 0.2 % borax as foliar spray is recommended.
- **Available Iron:** An entire area of about 444 ha (58%) in the microwatershed is sufficient in available iron.
- **Available Manganese:** An entire area of about 444 ha (58%) in the microwatershed is sufficient in available manganese.

- **Available Copper:** An entire area of about 444 ha (58%) in the microwatershed is sufficient in available copper.
- **Available Zinc:** An area of about 87 ha (11%) is deficient and 357 ha (47%) in the microwatershed is sufficient in available zinc content. Application of zinc sulphate @ 25 kg/ha is to be recommended for the deficient areas**.**
- **Soil Alkalinity:** The microwatershed area of 59 ha (8%) has soils that are slightly alkaline. These areas need application of gypsum and wherever calcium is in excess, iron pyrites and element sulphur can be recommended. Management practices like treating repeatedly with good quality water to drain out the excess salts and provision of subsurface drainage and growing of salt tolerant crops like Casuarina, Acacia, Neem, Ber etc, are recommended.

Land Suitability for Various Crops: Areas that are highly, moderately and marginally suitable and also not suitable for growing various crops are indicated. Along with the suitability, various constraints that are limiting the productivity are also indicated. For example, in case of cotton, gravel content, rooting depth and salinity/alkalinity are the major constraints in various plots. With suitable management interventions, the productivity can be enhanced. In order to increase the water holding capacity of light textured soils, growing of green manure crops and application of organic manure is recommended.

Chapter 9

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION TREATMENT PLAN

For preparing soil and water conservation treatment plan for Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed, the land resource inventory database generated under Sujala-III project has been transformed as information through series of interpretative (thematic) maps using soil phase map as a base. The various thematic maps (1:7920 scale) generated were

- Soil depth
- Surface soil texture
- Available water capacity
- Soil slope
- Soil gravelliness
- Land capability
- Present land use and land cover
- Crop suitability maps
- Rainfall map
- Hydrology
- Water Resources
- Socio-economic data
- Contour plan with existing features- network of waterways, pothissa boundaries, cut up/ minor terraces etc.
- Cadastral map (1:7920 scale)
- Satellite imagery (1:7920 scale)



Apart from these, Hand Level/ Hydro Marker/ Dumpy Level/ Total Station and *Kathedars'* List needs to be collected.

Steps for Survey and Preparation of Treatment Plan

The boundaries of Land User Groups' and Survey No. boundaries are traced in the field.

- Naming of user groups and farmers
- Identification of arable and non arable lands
- Identification of drainage lines and gullies
- Identification of non treatable areas
- Identification of priority areas in the arable lands
- Treatment plan for arable lands
- Location of water harvesting and recharge structures

9.1 Treatment Plan

The treatment plan recommended for arable lands is briefly described below

9.1.1 Arable Land Treatment

A. BUNDING

Steps for Survey and Preparation of Treatment Plan	
• Cadastral map (1:7920 scale) is enlarged to a scale of 1:2500 scale • Existing network of waterways, pothissa boundaries, grass belts, natural drainage lines/ watercourse, cut ups/ terraces are marked on the cadastral map to the scale • Drainage lines are demarcated into	
Small gullies	(up to 5 ha catchment)
Medium gullies	(5-15 ha catchment)
Ravines	(15-25 ha catchment) and
Halla/Nala	(more than 25ha catchment)

USER GROUP-1



Measurement of Land Slope

Land slope is estimated or determined by the study and interpretation of contours or by measurement in the field using simple instruments like Hand Level or Hydromarker.



FALL: 1.5 - 0.6 = 0.9 m.

Vertical and Horizontal intervals between bunds as recommended by the Watershed Development Department.

Slope percentage	Vertical interval (m)	Corresponding Horizontal Distance (m)
2 - 3%	0.6	24
3 - 4%	0.9	21
4 - 5%	0.9	21
5 - 6%	1.2	21
6 - 7%	1.2	21

Note: (i) The above intervals are maximum.

(ii) Considering the slope class and erosion status (A1… A=0-1 % slope, 1= slight erosion) the intervals have to be decided.

Bund length recording: Considering the contour plan and the existing grass belts/partitions, the bunds are aligned and lengths are measured.

Section of the Bund

Bund section is decided considering the soil texture class and gravelliness class ($bg_{0...}$ b=loamy sand, g_0 = <15% gravel). The recommended Sections for different soils are given below.

Recommended Bund Section

Top width (m)	Base width (m)	Height (m)	Side slope (Z:1;H:V)	Cross section (sq m)	Soil Texture	Remarks
0.3	0.9	0.3	01:01	0.18	Sandy loam	Vegetative bund
0.3	1.2	0.3	1.5:1	0.225	Sandy clay	
0.3	1.2	0.5	0.9:1	0.375	Red gravelly soil	
0.3	1.2	0.6	0.75:1	0.45		
0.3	1.5	0.6	01:01	0.54	Red sandy loam	
0.3	2.1	0.6	1.5:1	0.72	Very shallow black clayey soils	
0.45	2	0.75	01:01	0.92		
0.45	2.4	0.75	1.3:1	1.07	Shallow black clayey soils	
0.6	3.1	0.7	1.78:1	1.29	Medium black clayey soils	
0.5	3	0.85	1.47:1	1.49		

Formation of Trench cum Bund

Dimensions of the Borrow Pits/Trenches to be excavated (machinery are decided considering the Bund Section).

Details of Borrow Pit dimensions are given below:



Size of Borrow Pits/ Trench recommended for Trench cum Bund (by machinery)

Bund section	Bund length	Earth quantity	Pit				Berm (pit to pit)	Soil depth class
m^2	m	m^3	L(m)	W(m)	D(m)	Quantity (m^3)	m	
0.375	6	2.25	5.85	0.85	0.45	2.24	0.15	Shallow
0.45	6	2.7	5.4	1.2	0.43	2.79	0.6	Shallow
0.45	6	2.7	5	0.85	0.65	2.76	1	Moderately Shallow
0.54	5.6	3.02	5.5	0.85	0.7	3.27	0.1	Moderately shallow
0.54	5.5	2.97	5	1.2	0.5	3	0.5	Shallow
0.72	6.2	4.46	6	1.2	0.7	5.04	0.2	Moderately shallow
0.72	5.2	3.74	5.1	0.85	0.9	3.9	0.1	Moderately deep

B. Water Ways

- Existing waterways are marked on the cadastral map (1:7920 scale) and their dimensions are recorded.
- Considering the contour plan of the MWS, additional waterways/ modernization of the existing ones can be thought of.
- The design details are given in the Manual.

C. Farm Ponds

Waterways and the catchment area will give an indication on the size of the Farm Pond. Location of the pond can be decided based on the contour plan/ field condition and farmers' need/desire.

D. Diversion Channel

Existing EPT/ CPT are marked on the cadastral map. Looking to the need, these can be modernized or fresh diversion channel can be proposed and runoff from this can be stored in *Gokatte/* Recharge Ponds.

9.1.2 Non-Arable Land Treatment

Depending on the gravelliness and crops preferred by the farmers, the concerned authorities can decide appropriate treatment plan. The recommended treatments may be Contour Trench, Staggered Trench, Crescent Bund, Boulder Bund or Pebble Bund.

9.1.3 Treatment of Natural Water Course/ Drainage Lines

a) The cadastral map has to be updated as regards the network of drainage lines (gullies/ *nalas/ hallas*) and existing structures are marked to the scale and storage capacity of the existing water bodies are documented.

b) The drainage line will be demarcated into Upper Reach, Middle Reach and Lower Reach.
c) Considering the Catchment, *Nala* bed and bank conditions, suitable structures are decided.
d) Number of storage structures (Check dam/ *Nala* bund/ Percolation tank) will be decided considering the commitments and available runoff in water budgeting and quality of water in the wells and site suitability.
e) Detailed Levelling Survey using Dumpy Level / Total Station has to be carried out to arrive at the site-specific designs as shown in the Manual.
f) The location of ground water recharge structures are decided by examining the lineaments and fracture zones from geological maps.
g) Rainfall intensity data of the nearest Rain Gauge Station is considered for Hydrologic Designs.
h) Silt load to the Storage/Recharge Structures is reduced by providing vegetative, boulder and earthen checks in the natural water course. Location and design details are given in the Manual.

9.2 Recommended Soil and Water Conservation Measures

The appropriate conservation structures best suited for each of the land parcel/ survey number (Appendix-I) are selected based on the slope per cent, severity of erosion, amount of rainfall, land use and soil type. The different kinds of conservation structures recommended are:

1. Graded / Strengthening of Bunds
2. Trench cum Bunds (TCB)
3. Trench cum Bunds / Strengthening
4. Crescent Bunds

A map (Fig. 9.1) showing soil and water conservation plan with different kinds of structures recommended has been prepared which shows the spatial distribution and extent of area. An area of about 105 ha (14%) requires Trench cum Bunding, 291 ha (38%) needs Graded Bunding and 48 ha (6%) needs strengthening of existing bunds.

The conservation plan prepared may be presented to all the stakeholders including farmers and after considering their suggestions, the conservation plan for the microwatershed may be finalised in a participatory approach.



Fig. 9.1 Soil and Water Conservation Plan map of Ramasamudram-1 microwatershed

9.3 Greening of Microwatershed

As part of the greening programme in the watersheds, it is envisaged to plant a variety of horticultural and other tree plants that are edible, economical and produce lot of biomass which helps to restore the ecological balance in the watersheds. The lands that are suitable for greening programme are non-arable lands (land capability classes V, VI, VII and VIII) and also the lands that are not suitable or marginally suitable and field bunds for growing annual and perennial crops. The method of planting these trees is given below.

It is recommended to open pits during the 1st week of March along the contour and heap the dug out soil on the lower side of the slope in order to harness the flowing water and facilitate weathering of soil in the pit. Exposure of soil in the pit also prevents spread of pests and diseases due to scorching sun rays. The pits should be filled with mixture of soil and organic manure during the second week of April and keep ready with sufficiently tall seedlings produced either in poly bags or in root trainer nurseries so that planting can be done during the 2nd or 3rd week of April depending on the rainfall.

The tree species suitable for the area considering rainfall, temperature and adaptability is listed below; waterlogged areas are recommended to be planted with species like Neral (*Sizyzium cumini*) and Bamboo. Dry areas are to be planted with species like Honge, Bevu, Seetaphal *etc*.

Dry Deciduous Species			Temp (°C)	Rainfall (mm)
1.	Bevu	*Azadiracta indica*	21–32	400 –1,200
2.	Tapasi	*Holoptelia integrifolia*	20-30	500 - 1000
3.	Seetaphal	*Anona Squamosa*	20-40	400 - 1000
4.	Honge	*Pongamia pinnata*	20 -50	500– 2,500
5.	Kamara	*Hardwikia binata*	25 -35	400 - 1000
6.	Bage	*Albezzia lebbek*	20 - 45	500 - 1000
7.	Ficus	*Ficus bengalensis*	20 - 50	500–2,500
8.	Sisso	*Dalbargia Sissoo*	20 - 50	500 -2000
9.	Ailanthus	*Ailanthus excelsa*	20 - 50	500 - 1000
10.	Hale	*Wrightia tinctoria*	25 - 45	500 - 1000
11.	Uded	*Steriospermum chelanoides*	25 - 45	500 -2000
12.	Dhupa	*Boswella Serrata*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
13.	Nelli	*Emblica Officinalis*	20 - 50	500 -1500
14.	Honne	*Pterocarpus marsupium*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
Moist Deciduous Species			**Temp (°C)**	**Rainfall (mm)**
15.	Teak	*Tectona grandis*	20 - 50	500-5000
16.	Nandi	*Legarstroemia lanceolata*	20 - 40	500 - 4000
17.	Honne	*Pterocarpus marsupium*	20 - 40	500 - 3000
18.	Mathi	*Terminalia alata*	20 -50	500 - 2000
19.	Shivane	*Gmelina arboria*	20 -50	500 -2000
20.	Kindal	*T.Paniculata*	20 - 40	500 - 1500
21.	Beete	*Dalbargia latifolia*	20 - 40	500 - 1500
22.	Tare	*T. belerica*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
23.	Bamboo	*Bambusa arundinasia*	20 - 40	500 - 2500
24.	Bamboo	*Dendrocalamus strictus*	20 – 40	500 – 2500
25.	Muthuga	*Butea monosperma*	20 - 40	400 - 1500
26.	Hippe	*Madhuca latifolia*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
27.	Sandal	*Santalum album*	20 - 50	400 - 1000
28.	Nelli	*Emblica officinalis*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
29.	Nerale	*Sizyzium cumini*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
30.	Dhaman	*Grevia tilifolia*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
31.	Kaval	*Careya arborea*	20 - 40	500 - 2000
32.	Harada	*Terminalia chebula*	20 - 40	500 - 2000

References

1. FAO (1976) Framework for Land Evaluation, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.72 pp.
2. FAO (1983) Guidelines for Land Evaluation for Rainfed Agriculture, FAO, Rome, 237 pp.
3. IARI (1971) Soil Survey Manual, All India Soil and Land Use Survey Organization, IARI, New Delhi, 121 pp.
4. Katyal, J.C. and Rattan, R.K. (2003) Secondary and Micronutrients; Research Gap and Future Needs. Fert. News 48 (4); 9-20.
5. Naidu, L.G.K., Ramamurthy, V., Challa, O., Hegde, R. and Krishnan, P. (2006) Manual Soil Site Suitability Criteria for Major Crops, NBSS Publ. No. 129, NBSS & LUP, Nagpur, 118 pp.
6. Natarajan, A. and Dipak Sarkar (2010) Field Guide for Soil Survey, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR), Nagpur, India.
7. Natarajan, A., Rajendra Hegde, Raj, J.N. and Shivananda Murthy, H.G. (2015) Implementation Manual for Sujala-III Project, Watershed Development Department, Bengaluru, Karnataka.
8. Sarma, V.A.K., Krishnan, P. and Budihal, S.L. (1987) Laboratory Manual, Tech. Bull. 23, NBSS &LUP, Nagpur.
9. Sehgal, J.L. (1990) Soil Resource Mapping of Different States of India; Why and How?, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, 49 pp.
10. Shivaprasad, C.R., R.S. Reddy, J. Sehgal and M. Velayuthum (1998) Soils of Karnataka for Optimizing Land Use, NBSS Publ. No. 47b, NBSS & LUP, Nagpur, India.
11. Soil Survey Staff (2006) Keys to Soil Taxonomy, Tenth edition, U.S. Department of Agriculture/ NRCS, Washington DC, U.S.A.
12. Soil Survey Staff (2012) Soil Survey Manual, Handbook No. 18, USDA, Washington DC, USA.

Appendix I

Ramasamudram1 1G1b Microwatershed

Soil Phase Information

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	1	3.42	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	4	0.42	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	15	0.03	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	101	7.54	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	102	7.24	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	103	3.84	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	104	4.61	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	105	5.96	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	106	3.98	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIws	
Ramasamudra	107	0.84	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	108	5.26	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	109	2.12	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	110/1	3.18	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	110/2	1.17	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	111	6.82	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	112	7.39	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	113	5.46	DPLcB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	114	5.27	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	115	5.49	DPLcB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	118	221.4	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	123	6.59	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	124	10.33	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Greengram+Redgram (Gg+Rg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	125	6.25	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	126	0.44	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	127	11.75	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	128	3.99	DPLcB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	129	4.15	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	130	4.54	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	131	6.39	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	132	3.6	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	133	2.26	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	134	3.52	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	135/1	2.35	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	135/2	0.58	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	135/3	0.26	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	136	0.6	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	137	0.71	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	138	3.69	MDRiB2	LMU-2	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIe	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	139	9.2	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Redgram (Pd+Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	140	3.18	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	141	4.76	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	142	8.72	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	143	3.34	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	144	4.64	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	145	7.2	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	146	2.97	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	147	7.47	TMKhA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Banana+Greengram (Ba+Gg)	Not Available	IIws	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	148	5.61	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	149	3.22	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	150/1	0.67	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	151	0.41	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	152/1	2.13	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	152/2	1.44	VNKcB2	LMU-7	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	153	4.46	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	154/1	2.47	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	154/2	0.65	VNKcB2	LMU-7	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	155	1.44	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	156	4.16	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	157	0.38	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	158/1	3.72	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	2 Borewell	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	158/2	0.22	DSBcB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	159	0.53	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	160	0.74	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	161/1	4.68	DSBcB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	161/2	3.18	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	162	5.33	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	2 Borewell	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	163	3.03	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	1 Borewell	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	164	3.46	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	165	5.02	YDRcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	166	1.58	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	167	1.53	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	168	4.98	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	169/1	2.38	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	169/2	0.65	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	170/1	3.81	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	170/2	0.24	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	171	5.21	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	172	3.72	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	173	4.55	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	174/1	3.54	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	174/2	1.28	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	175	3.5	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	176	2.83	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	177	1.09	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	178/1	1.2	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	178/2	0.34	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	179/1	2.17	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	179/2	4.56	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	180/1	1.23	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	180/2	4.36	SBRbB3	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Paddy (Pd)	1 Borewell	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	181/1	3.24	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	1 Borewell	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	181/2	0.57	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	182	6.35	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	183/1	4.14	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	2 Borewell	IIes	TCB

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	183/2	2.3	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	184/1	2.72	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	184/2	0.99	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	185	3.92	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	186	3.4	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	187	9.22	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	188	44.1	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Greengram+Groundnut+Redgram(Gg+Gn+Rg)	Not Available	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	189	2.15	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	192	3.49	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	193	2.61	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	194	5.95	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana+Redgram (Ba+Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	196	0.01	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	197	6.58	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	198	7.57	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana+Redgram (Ba+Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	199	0.85	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	226	0.15	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana+Ragi (Ba+Ra)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	227	0.69	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	229	0.73	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	232	3.39	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	233	6.55	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana+Redgram (Ba+Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	234	4.14	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram+Redgram (Gg+Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	235	5.65	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	236/1	5.81	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut+Ragi (Gn+Ra)	Not Available	IIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	236/2	0.86	BLCcB2	LMU-3	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIes	TCB

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ramasamudra	237/1	4.41	DSBcB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	237/2	0.44	BDLbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	238	1.13	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	239	5.65	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	240/1	0.09	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	240/2	2.41	JNKhB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Banana (Ba)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	241	4.52	DSBcB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	2 Borewell	IIIes	Graded bunding
Ramasamudra	242	3.99	VNKcB2	LMU-7	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut+Redgram (Gn+Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	243/1	0.23	VNKcB2	LMU-7	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	243/2	0.12	VNKcB2	LMU-7	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIIes	TCB
Ramasamudra	257/2	0.12	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	257/4	0.08	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	258/1	0.24	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	259	0.44	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	260	1.26	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	7/1	2.4	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	7/2	0.6	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	8/1	25.66	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	8/2	0.27	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	9	0.23	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	10	3.91	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	11	1.66	KKRbB2g1	LMU-8	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	53	3.97	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	54	3.41	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding

Village	Survey No	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	WELLS	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	55	5.93	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	NoCrop (Nc)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	56	0.91	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	72	0.53	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	73	2.52	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	74	4.01	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Ragi (Ra)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Panchasheelan agara	1/1	1.26	YLRcB2g1	LMU-4	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram+Groundnut+Redgram(Gg+Gn+Rg)	Not Available	IIes	TCB

Appendix II

Ramasamudram1 1G1b Microwatershed

Soil Fertility Information

Village	Survey Number	Soil Reaction	Salinity	Organic Carbon	Available Phosphorus	Available Potassium	Available Sulphur	Available Boron	Available Iron	Available Manganese	Available Copper	Available Zinc
Ramasamudra	1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	4	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	15	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	101	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	102	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	103	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	104	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	105	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	106	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	107	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	108	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	109	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	110/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	110/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	111	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	112	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	113	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	114	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	115	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	118	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	123	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	124	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	125	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	126	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro

Ramasamudra	127	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	128	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	129	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	130	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	131	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	132	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	133	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	134	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	135/1	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	135/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	135/3	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	136	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	137	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	138	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	139	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	140	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	141	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	142	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	143	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	144	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	145	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	146	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	147	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	148	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	149	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	150/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others

Ramasamudra	151	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	152/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	152/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	153	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	154/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	154/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	155	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	156	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	157	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	158/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	158/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	159	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	160	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	161/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	161/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	162	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	163	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	164	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	165	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	166	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	167	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	168	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	169/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	169/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	170/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)

Ramasamudra	170/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	171	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	172	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	173	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	174/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	174/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	175	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	176	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	177	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	178/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	178/2	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	179/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	179/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	180/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	180/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	181/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	181/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	182	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	183/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	183/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	184/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	184/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	185	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	186	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	187	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)

Ramasamudra	188	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	189	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	192	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Medium (0.5 – 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	193	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	194	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	196	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	197	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	198	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	199	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	226	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	227	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	229	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	232	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	233	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	234	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	235	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	236/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	236/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	237/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	237/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	238	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	239	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	240/1	Strongly acid (pH 5.0 – 5.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	240/2	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	241	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)

Ramasamudra	242	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	243/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	243/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Ramasamudra	257/2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	257/4	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	258/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	259	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	260	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Arakera .K	7/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	7/2	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	8/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	8/2	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	9	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	10	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	11	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	53	Moderately acid (pH 5.5 – 6.0)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	54	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	55	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Low (< 23 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	56	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Low (< 23 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	72	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Low (< 23 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Medium (10 – 20 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	73	Slightly alkaline (pH 7.3 – 7.8)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Low (< 23 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Arakera .K	74	Neutral (pH 6.5 – 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	High (> 0.75 %)	Low (< 23 kg/ha)	Medium (145 – 337 kg/ha)	Medium (10 – 20 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Panchasheelan agara	1/1	Slightly acid (pH 6.0 – 6.5)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Medium (0.5 – 0.75 %)	Medium (23 – 57 kg/ha)	Low (<145 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.6 ppm)

Appendix III

Ramasamudram1 1G1b Microwatershed

Soil Suitability Information

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	4	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	15	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	101	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	102	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	103	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	104	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	105	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	106	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	107	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	108	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	109	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	110/1	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	110/2	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	111	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	112	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	113	N1rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	N1rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2r	S3rz	S3rz
Ramasamudra	114	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	115	N1rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	N1rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2r	S3rz	S3rz
Ramasamudra	118	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	123	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	124	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	125	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	126	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	127	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	128	N1rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	N1rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S3rz	S3rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2r	S2r	S3rz	S3rz
Ramasamudra	129	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	130	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	131	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	132	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	133	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	134	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	135/1	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	135/2	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	135/3	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	136	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	137	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	138	S3tz	S2tw	S3t	S1	S3t	S2z	S2t	S2z	S1	S2zw	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S2z	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	139	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	140	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	141	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	142	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	143	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	144	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	145	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	146	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	147	S3tw	S2tw	S3tw	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2zw	S1	S2rw	S2tw	S1	S3tw	S1	N1tz	S2tw	S2zw	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Ramasamudra	148	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	149	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	150/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	151	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	152/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	152/2	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	153	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	154/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	154/2	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	155	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	156	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	157	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	158/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	158/2	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3rg	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3rg	S3rg	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	159	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	160	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	161/1	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3rg	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3rg	S3rg	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	161/2	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	162	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	163	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	164	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	165	S3tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S2tz	N1tz	S2rt	S1	N1tz	S3tz	S2tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S3tz	S2rz	S1	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2tz	S2z	S2t	S2t	S2tz	S3tz
Ramasamudra	166	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	167	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	168	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	169/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	169/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	170/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	170/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	171	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	172	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	173	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	174/1	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	174/2	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	175	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	176	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	177	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	178/1	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	178/2	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	179/1	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	179/2	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	180/1	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	180/2	N1r	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	N1t	N1r	S3rt	N1t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3rt	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t	S3t
Ramasamudra	181/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	181/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	182	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	183/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	183/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	184/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	184/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	185	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	186	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	187	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	188	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro	Ro
Ramasamudra	189	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	192	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	193	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	194	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	196	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	197	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	198	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	199	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	226	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	227	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	229	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	232	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	233	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	234	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	235	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	236/1	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	236/2	S3rz	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2rt	S2rz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S1	S2rz	S1	S2rt	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S1	S2tz	S2z	S2tz	S2tz	S2rz	S2z	S1	S1	S2rz	S2rz
Ramasamudra	237/1	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3rg	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3rg	S3rg	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	237/2	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Ramasamudra	238	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	239	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	240/1	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	240/2	N1r	S2t	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1rt	S3r	S3r	S3t	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ramasamudra	241	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3rg	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3rg	S3rg	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	242	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	243/1	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	243/2	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Ramasamudra	257/2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	257/4	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	258/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ramasamudra	259	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengalgram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ramasamudra	260	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Arakera .K	7/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	7/2	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	8/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	8/2	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	9	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	10	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	11	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	53	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	54	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	55	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	56	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S1	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	72	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	73	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	74	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Panchasheelana gara	1/1	N1r	S2rg	S3r	S2rg	S3r	S2rg	N1r	S3r	S2rg	S3rg	S3rg	S2r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2rg	S2rg	S2rg	S2rg	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r

Ro-Rock outcrops

PART-B

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1

SALIENT FINDINGS OF THE SURVEY

- *The data indicated that there were 147 (59.76%) men and 97 (39.43%) women among the sampled households.*
- *The average family size of landless farmers' was 5.33, marginal farmers' was 6.76, small farmers' was 6.91, semi medium farmers' was 7.5 and medium farmers' was 8.*
- *The data indicated that, 69 (28.05%) people were in 0-15 years of age, 100 (40.65%) were in 16-35 years of age, 64 (26.02%) were in 36-60 years of age and 13 (5.28%) were above 61 years of age.*
- *The results indicated that Ramasamudram-1 had 55.28 per cent illiterates, 2.44 per cent functional literates, 18.70 per cent of them had primary school education, 5.28 per cent of them had middle school education, 6.91 per cent of them had high school education, 3.25 per cent of them had PUC education and 2.44 per cent of them had degree education.*
- *The results indicate that, 86.11 per cent of households were practicing agriculture, 8.33 per cent of the households were agricultural labourers, 2.78 per cent of them were in private service and 2.78 per cent of them were housewives.*
- *The results indicate that agriculture was the major occupation for 53.25 per cent of the household members, 3.25 per cent were agricultural laborers, 0.41 per cent were general labourers, 2.85 per cent were in private service, 26.42 per cent were students, 7.72 per cent were housewives and 6.10 per cent were children.*
- *The results show that 100 per cent of the population in the micro watershed has not participated in any local institutions.*
- *The results indicate that 13.89 per cent of the households possess thatched house, 38.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 47.22 per cent of them possess pucca house.*
- *The results show that 63.89 per cent of the households possess TV, 8.33 per cent of the households possess Mixer grinder, 5.56 per cent of them had bicycle, 30.56 per cent of the households possess motor cycle and 88.89 per cent of the households possess mobile phones.*
- *The results show that the average value of television was Rs.9000, mixer grinder was Rs.2166, bicycle was Rs.2000, motor cycle was Rs.35636 and mobile phone was Rs.12812.*
- *About 36.11 per cent of the households possess plough, 2.78 per cent of them possess sprayer and 47.22 per cent of them possess weeder.*
- *The results show that the average value of plough was Rs.1500, the average value of sprayer was Rs.2000 and the average value of weeder was Rs.52.*
- *The results indicate that, 36.11 per cent of the households possess bullocks and 27.78 per cent of the households possess local cow.*

- *The results indicate that, average own labour men available in the micro watershed was 2.09, average own labour (women) available was 1.82, average hired labour (men) available was 12.70 and average hired labour (women) available was 10.06.*
- *The results indicate that, 91.67 per cent of the households opined that the hired labour was adequate.*
- *The results indicate that, households of the Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed possess 25.90 ha (70.64%) of dry land and 10.77 ha (29.36%) of irrigated land. Marginal farmers possess 11.27 ha (96.53%) of dry land and 0.40 ha (3.47%) of irrigated land. Small farmers possess 10.18 ha (75.14%) of dry land and 3.37 ha (24.86%) of irrigated land. Semi medium farmers possess 4.45 ha (100%) of dry land. Medium farmers possess 6.99 ha (100%) of irrigated land.*
- *The results indicate that, the average value of dry land was Rs. 598109.67 and average value of irrigated land was Rs. 417857.14. In case of marginal famers, the average land value was Rs. 886575.74 for dry land and Rs.988000 for irrigated land. In case of small famers, the average land value was Rs. 412485.09 for dry land and Rs.742187.51 for irrigated land. In case of semi medium famers, the average land value was Rs. 291909.09 for dry land. In case of medium farmers, the average land value was Rs. 228703.70 for irrigated land.*
- *The results indicate that, there were 8 functioning bore wells in the micro watershed.*
- *The results indicate that, bore well was the major irrigation source in the micro water shed for 22.22 per cent of the farmers.*
- *The results indicate that, the depth of bore well was found to be 21.59 meters.*
- *The results indicate that, marginal, small and medium farmers had an irrigated area of 0.40 ha, 3.37 ha and 6.19 ha respectively.*
- *The results indicate that, farmers have grown cotton (8.47 ha), greengram (9.73 ha), groundut (3.32 ha), paddy (4.16 ha) and redgram (10.19 ha). Marginal and small farmers have grown cotton, Greengram, groundnut, paddy and redgram. Semi medium farmers have grown cotton and Greengram. Medium farmers have grown paddy and redgram.*
- *The results indicate that, the cropping intensity in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed was found to be 100 per cent.*
- *The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for greengram was Rs. 22105.27. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 51835.46. The net income from Greengram cultivation was Rs. 29730.20, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.34.*
- *The total cost of cultivation for Paddy was Rs. 108908.09. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 99903.78. The net income from Paddy cultivation was Rs. -9004.31. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:0.92.*

- *The total cost of cultivation for groundnut was Rs. 84841.68. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 135857.02. The net income from groundnut cultivation was Rs. 51015.34. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:1.6.*
- *The total cost of cultivation for cotton was Rs. 33618.78. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 75113.15. The net income from cotton cultivation was Rs. 41494.37. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.23.*
- *The total cost of cultivation for red gram was Rs. 40666.06. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 83806.85. The net income from red gram cultivation was Rs. 43140.79. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.06.*
- *The results indicate that, 38.89 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate and 38.89 per cent of the households opined that green fodder was adequate.*
- *The results indicate that the annual gross income was Rs. 233,333.33 for landless farmers, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 181,178.82, for small farmers it was Rs. 185,920, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 157,200 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 342,000.*
- *The results indicate that the average annual expenditure is Rs. 24,837.75. For landless households it was Rs. 80,000, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 6,326.64, for small farmers it was Rs. 9,661.16, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 71,000 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 99,444.44.*
- *The results indicate that, sampled households have grown 2 coconut trees and 1 mango tree in their field.*
- *The results indicate that, households have planted 65 neem and 12 tamarind tree in their field. Also, 16 neem trees in their backyard.*
- *The results indicated that, all crops were sold to the extent of 100 per cent.*
- *The results indicated that, about 91.67 per cent of the farmers sold their produce to local/village merchants.*
- *The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent of the households have used tractor as a mode of transportation for their agricultural produce and 2.78 per cent carried head loads.*
- *The results indicated that, 33.33 per cent of the households have experienced soil and water erosion problems in the farm.*
- *The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent have shown interest in soil test.*
- *The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the households used firewood and 2.78 per cent used LPG as a source of fuel.*
- *The results indicated that, piped supply was the major source of drinking water for 91.67 per cent and bore well was the source of drinking water for 8.33 per cent of the households in the micro watershed.*
- *Electricity was the major source of light for 100 per cent of the households in micro watershed.*

- *The results indicated that, 52.78 per cent of the households possess sanitary toilet.*
- *The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the sampled households possessed BPL card and 2.78 per cent of the households did not possess any PDS card.*
- *The results indicated that, 86.11 per cent of the households participated in NREGA programme.*
- *The results indicated that, cereals were adequate for 100 per cent of the households, pulses were adequate for 100 per cent, oilseeds were adequate for 5.56 per cent, vegetables were adequate for 25 per cent, fruits were adequate for 27.78 per cent, milk was adequate for 100 per cent, eggs were adequate for 100 per cent and meat was adequate for 94.44 per cent.*
- *The results indicated that, lower fertility status of the soil was the constraint experienced by 91.67 per cent of the households, wild animal menace on farm field (88.89%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (88.89%), inadequacy of irrigation water (91.67%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (91.67%), high rate of interest on credit (94.44%), low price for the agricultural commodities (91.67%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (5.56%) and inadequate extension services (2.78%).*

Chapter 2

INTRODUCTION

Soil and water are the two precious natural resources which are essential for crop production and existence of life on earth. Rainfed agriculture is under severe stress due to various constraints related to agriculture like uneven and erratic distribution of rainfall, indiscriminate use of fertilizers, chemicals and pesticides, adoption of improper land management practices, soil erosion, decline in soil fertility, decline in ground water resources leading to low crop productivity. The area under rainfed agriculture has to be managed effectively using the best available practices to enhance the production of food, fodder and fuel. This is possible if the land resources are characterized at each parcel of land through detailed land resource inventory using the best available techniques of remote sensing, GPS and GIS. The watershed development programs are aimed at the sustainable distribution of its resources and the process of creating and implementing plans, programs, and projects to sustain and enhance watershed functions that affect the plant, animal and human communities within a watershed boundary.

World Bank funded KWDP II, SUJALA III project was implemented in with Broad objective of demonstrating more effective watershed management through greater integration of programmes related to rain-fed agriculture, innovative and science based approaches and strengthen institutional capacities and If successful, it is expected that the systems and tools could be mainstreamed into the overall IWMP in the State of Karnataka and in time, throughout other IWMP operations in India. With this background the socio-economic survey has been carried out with following specific objectives:

1. To understand the demographic features of the households in the micro-watershed
2. To understand the extent of family labour available and additional employment opportunities available within the village.
3. To know the status of assets of households in the micro-watershed for suggesting possible improvements.
4. To study the cropping pattern, cropped area and productivity levels of different households in micro-watershed.
5. To determine the type and extent of livestock owned by different categories of HHs
6. Availability of fodder and level of livestock management.

Scope and importance of survey

Survey helps in identification of different socio-economic and resource use-patterns of farmers at the Micro watershed. Household survey provides demographic features, labour force, and levels of education; land ownership and asset position (including livestock and other household assets) of surveyed households; and cropping patterns, input intensities, and average crop yields from farmers' fields. It also discusses crop utilization and the degree of commercialization of production in the areas; farmers' access to and utilization of credit from formal and informal sources; and the level of adoption and use of soil, water,and pest management technologies.

Chapter 3

METHODOLOGY

The description of the methods, components selected for the survey and procedures followed in conducting the baseline survey are furnished under the following heads.

Description of the study area

Yadgir District is one of the 30 districts of Karnataka state in southern India. This district was carved out from the erstwhile Gulbarga district as the 30th district of Karnataka on 10 April 2010. Yadgir town is the administrative headquarters of the district. The district comprises of 3 taluks namely, Shahapur, Yadgiri and Shorapur (There are 16 hoblies, 117 Gram Panchayats, 4 Municipalities,8 Towns/ Urban agglomeration and 487 inhabited &32 un-inhabited villages The district occupies an area of 5,160.88 km².

Yadgir district is the second smallest district in the state, area wise is very rich in cultural traditions. The vast stretch of fertile black soil of the district is known for bumper red gram and jawar crops. The district is a "Daal bowl" of the state. The district is also known for cluster of cement industries and a distinct stone popularly known as "Malakheda Stone". Two main rivers, Krishna and Bhima, and a few tributaries flow in this region. Krishna and Bhima Rivers drain the district. They constitute the two major river basins of the district. Kagna and Amarja are the two sub - basins of Bhima River, which occur within the geographical area of the district

According to the 2011 census Yadgir district has a population of 1, 172,985, roughly equal to the nation of Timor-Lesteor the US state of Rhode Island. This gives it a ranking of 404th in India (out of a total of 640). The district has a population density of 224 inhabitants per square kilometre (580/sq mi). Its population growth rate over the decade 2001-2011 was 22.67%. Yadgir has a sex ratio of 984 females for every 1000 males, and a literacy rate of 52.36%.

Description of the micro watershed

Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed in Ramasamudram sub-watershed (Yadgir taluk and district) is located in between $16^0$47’29.032’’ to 16^0 45’22.086’’ North latitudes and 77^0 15’58.477’’ to 77^0 13’55.657’’ East longitudes, covering an area of about 765.79 ha, bounded by Ramasamudra, Arakera.K and Panchasheelanagara villages.

Methodology followed in assessing socio-economic status of households

In order to assess the socio-economic condition of the farmers in the watershed a comprehensive questionnaire was prepared. Major components such as demographic conditions, migration details, food consumption and family expenditure pattern, material possession, land holding, land use management, cropping pattern, cost of cultivation of crops, livestock management. The statistical components such as frequency and percentage were used to analyze the data. About 36 households located in the micro-watershed were interviewed for the survey.

Chapter 4

SALIENT FEATURES OF THE SURVEY

This chapter deals with systematic presentation of results of the survey. Keeping in view the objectives, the salient features of the survey are presented under the following headings.

Households sampled for socio-economic survey: The data on households sampled for socio economic survey in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 1 and it indicated that 36 farmers were sampled in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed among them 3 (8.33%) were landless, 17 (47.22%) were marginal farmers, 11 (30.56%) were small farmers, 2 (5.56%) were semi medium farmers and 3 (8.33%) medium farmers.

Table 1: Households sampled for socio economic survey in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Farmers	3	8.33	17	47.22	11	30.56	2	5.56	3	8.33	36	100.00

Population characteristics: The population characteristics of households sampled for socio-economic survey in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 2. The data indicated that there were 147 (59.76%) men and 97 (39.43%) women among the sampled households. The average family size of landless farmers' was 5.33, marginal farmers' was 6.76, small farmers' was 6.91, semi medium farmers' was 7.5 and medium farmers' was 8.

Table 2: Population characteristics of Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (16)		MF (115)		SF (76)		SMF (15)		MDF (24)		All (246)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Men	9	56.25	71	61.74	42	55.26	12	80.00	13	54.17	147	59.76
2	Women	7	43.75	44	38.26	33	43.42	3	20.00	10	41.67	97	39.43
3	Other	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.32	0	0.00	1	4.17	2	0.81
Total		16	100.00	115	100.00	76	100.00	15	100.00	24	100.00	246	100.00
Average		5.33		6.76		6.91		7.5		8		6.83	

Age wise classification of population: The age wise classification of household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 3. The data indicated that, 69 (28.05%) people were in 0-15 years of age, 100 (40.65%) were in 16-35 years of age, 64 (26.02%) were in 36-60 years of age and 13 (5.28%) were above 61 years of age.

Table 3: Age wise classification of household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (16)		MF (115)		SF (76)		SMF (15)		MDF (24)		All (246)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	0-15 years of age	5	31.25	27	23.48	25	32.89	3	20.00	9	37.50	69	28.05
2	16-35 years of age	4	25.00	53	46.09	30	39.47	8	53.33	5	20.83	100	40.65
3	36-60 years of age	6	37.50	28	24.35	18	23.68	4	26.67	8	33.33	64	26.02
4	> 61 years	1	6.25	7	6.09	3	3.95	0	0.00	2	8.33	13	5.28
Total		16	100.00	115	100.00	76	100.00	15	100.00	24	100.00	246	100.00

Education level of household members: Education level of household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 4. The results indicated that Ramasamudram-1 had 55.28 per cent illiterates, 2.44 per cent functional literates, 18.70 per cent of them had primary school education, 5.28 per cent of them had middle school education, 6.91 per cent of them had high school education, 3.25 per cent of them had PUC education and 2.44 per cent of them had degree education.

Table 4. Education level of household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (16)		MF (115)		SF (76)		SMF (15)		MDF (24)		All (246)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Illiterate	8	50.00	69	60.0	42	55.26	7	46.67	10	41.67	136	55.28
2	Functional Literate	0	0.00	4	3.48	1	1.32	1	6.67	0	0.00	6	2.44
3	Primary School	5	31.25	20	17.39	11	14.47	2	13.33	8	33.33	46	18.70
4	Middle School	0	0.00	6	5.22	5	6.58	0	0.00	2	8.33	13	5.28
5	High School	2	12.50	5	4.35	6	7.89	2	13.33	2	8.33	17	6.91
6	PUC	1	6.25	4	3.48	1	1.32	2	13.33	0	0.00	8	3.25
7	Degree	0	0.00	3	2.61	2	2.63	0	0.00	1	4.17	6	2.44
8	Others	0	0.00	4	3.48	8	10.53	1	6.67	1	4.17	14	5.69
Total		16	100.0	115	100.0	76	100.0	15	100.00	24	100.0	246	100.0

Occupation of household heads: The data regarding the occupation of the household heads in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 5. The results indicate that, 86.11 per cent of households were practicing agriculture, 8.33 per cent of the households were agricultural labourers, 2.78 per cent of them were in private service and 2.78 per cent of them were housewives.

Table 5: Occupation of household heads in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture	0	0.00	15	88.24	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	31	86.11
2	Agricultural Labour	2	66.67	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	8.33
3	Private Service	1	33.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78
4	Housewife	0	0.00	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78
Total		3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00

Occupation of the household members: The data regarding the occupation of the household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 6. The results indicate that agriculture was the major occupation for 53.25 per cent of the household members, 3.25 per cent were agricultural laborers, 0.41 per cent were general labourers, 2.85 per cent were in private service, 26.42 per cent were students, 7.72 per cent were housewives and 6.10 per cent were children.

Institutional participation of the household members: The data regarding the institutional participation of the household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 7. The results show that 100 per cent of the population in the micro watershed has not participated in any local institutions.

Table 6: Occupation of family members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (16)		MF(115)		SF(76)		SMF(15)		MDF(24)		All (246)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture	0	0.00	72	62.61	41	53.95	8	53.33	10	41.67	131	53.25
2	Agricultural Labour	3	18.75	4	3.48	1	1.32	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	3.25
3	General Labour	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.32	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.41
4	Private Service	4	25.00	0	0.00	1	1.32	0	0.00	2	8.33	7	2.85
5	Student	6	37.50	27	23.48	18	23.68	6	40.00	8	33.33	65	26.42
6	Housewife	3	18.75	8	6.96	5	6.58	0	0.00	3	12.50	19	7.72
7	Children	0	0.00	4	3.48	9	11.84	1	6.67	1	4.17	15	6.10
Total		16	100.00	115	100.00	76	100.00	15	100.00	24	100.00	246	100.00

Table 7. Institutional Participation of household members in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (16)		MF (115)		SF (76)		SMF (15)		MDF (24)		All (246)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	No Participation	16	100.00	115	100.00	76	100.00	15	100.00	24	100.00	246	100.00
Total		16	100.00	115	100.00	76	100.00	15	100.00	24	100.00	246	100.00

Type of house owned: The data regarding the type of house owned by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 8. The results indicate that 13.89 per cent of the households possess thatched house, 38.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 47.22 per cent of them possess pucca house.

Table 8. Type of house owned by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Thatched	0	0.00	3	17.65	2	18.18	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	13.89
2	Katcha	2	66.67	6	35.29	5	45.45	0	0.00	1	33.33	14	38.89
3	Pucca/RCC	1	33.33	8	47.06	4	36.36	2	100.00	2	66.67	17	47.22
Total		3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00

Durable Assets owned by the households: The data regarding the Durable Assets owned by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 9. The results show that 63.89 per cent of the households possess TV, 8.33 per cent of the households possess Mixer grinder, 5.56 per cent of them had bicycle, 30.56 per cent of the households possess motor cycle and 88.89 per cent of the households possess mobile phones.

Table 9. Durable Assets owned by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Television	1	33.33	13	76.47	6	54.55	1	50.00	2	66.67	23	63.89
2	Mixer/Grinder	0	0.00	2	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	33.33	3	8.33
3	Bicycle	0	0.00	2	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.56
4	Motor Cycle	0	0.00	5	29.41	3	27.27	1	50.00	2	66.67	11	30.56
5	Mobile Phone	1	33.33	16	94.12	11	100.00	2	100.00	2	66.67	32	88.89
6	Blank	2	66.67	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.56

Average value of durable assets: The data regarding the average value of durable assets owned by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 10. The results show that the average value of television was Rs.9000, mixer grinder was Rs.2166, bicycle was Rs.2000, motor cycle was Rs.35636 and mobile phone was Rs.12812.

Table 10. Average value of durable assets owned by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed Average value (Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Television	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
2	Mixer/Grinder	0	2,250	0	0	2,000	2,166
3	Bicycle	0	2,000	0	0	0	2,000
4	Motor Cycle	0	35,000	35,000	42,000	35,000	35,636
5	Mobile Phone	4,000	3,000	38,954	2,666	2,000	12,812

Farm Implements owned: The data regarding the farm implements owned by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 11. About 36.11 per cent of the households possess plough, 2.78 per cent of them possess sprayer and 47.22 per cent of them possess weeder.

Table 11. Farm Implements owned by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Plough	0	0.00	6	35.29	6	54.55	0	0.00	1	33.33	13	36.11
2	Sprayer	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	9.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78
3	Weeder	0	0.00	5	29.41	8	72.73	1	50.00	3	100.00	17	47.22
4	Blank	3	100.00	9	52.94	2	18.18	1	50.00	0	0.00	15	41.67

Average value of farm implements: The data regarding the average value of farm Implements owned by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 12. The results show that the average value of plough was Rs.1500, the average value of sprayer was Rs.2000 and the average value of weeder was Rs.52.

Table 12. Average value of farm implements owned by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed Average Value (Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Plough	0.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,500.00
2	Sprayer	0.00	0.00	2,000.00	0.00	0.00	2,000.00
3	Weeder	0.00	52.00	53.00	66.00	50.00	52.00

Table 13. Livestock possession by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Bullock	0	0.00	5	29.41	6	54.55	1	50.00	1	33.33	13	36.11
2	Local cow	0	0.00	6	35.29	3	27.27	0	0.00	1	33.33	10	27.78
3	blank	3	100.00	8	47.06	5	45.45	1	50.00	1	33.33	18	50.00

Livestock possession by the households: The data regarding the Livestock possession by the households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 13. The

results indicate that, 36.11 per cent of the households possess bullocks and 27.78 per cent of the households possess local cow.

Average Labour availability: The data regarding the average labour availability in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 14. The results indicate that, average own labour men available in the micro watershed was 2.09, average own labour (women) available was 1.82, average hired labour (men) available was 12.70 and average hired labour (women) available was 10.06.

In case of marginal farmers, average own labour men available was 2.18, average own labour (women) was 1.76, average hired labour (men) was 12.53 and average hired labour (women) available was 9.76. In case of small farmers, average own labour men available was 2, average own labour (women) was 1.91, average hired labour (men) was 12.36 and average hired labour (women) available was 9.64. In case of semi medium farmers, average own labour men available was 1.50, average own labour (women) was 1.50, average hired labour (men) was 10 and average hired labour (women) available was 10. In case of medium farmers, average own labour men available was 2.33, average own labour (women) was 2, average hired labour (men) was 16.67 and average hired labour (women) available was 13.33.

Table 14. Average Labour availability in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Hired labour Female	0.00	9.76	9.64	10.00	13.33	10.06
2	Own Labour Female	0.00	1.76	1.91	1.50	2.00	1.82
3	Own labour Male	0.00	2.18	2.00	1.50	2.33	2.09
4	Hired labour Male	0.00	12.53	12.36	10.00	16.67	12.70

Adequacy of Hired Labour: The data regarding the adequacy of hired labour in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 15. The results indicate that, 91.67 per cent of the households opined that the hired labour was adequate.

Table 15. Adequacy of Hired Labour in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Adequate	0	0.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	33	91.67

Distribution of land (ha): The data regarding the distribution of land (ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 16. The results indicate that, households of the Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed possess 25.90 ha (70.64%) of dry land and 10.77 ha (29.36%) of irrigated land. Marginal farmers possess 11.27 ha (96.53%) of dry land and 0.40 ha (3.47%) of irrigated land. Small farmers possess 10.18 ha (75.14%) of dry land and 3.37 ha (24.86%) of irrigated land. Semi medium farmers possess 4.45 ha (100%) of dry land. Medium farmers possess 6.99 ha (100%) of irrigated land.

Table 16. Distribution of land (Ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
1	Dry	0	0	11.27	96.53	10.18	75.14	4.45	100	0	0	25.90	70.64
2	Irrigated	0	0	0.40	3.47	3.37	24.86	0	0	6.99	100	10.77	29.36
Total		0	100	11.68	100	13.55	100	4.45	100	6.99	100	36.67	100

Average land value (Rs./ha): The data regarding the average land value (Rs./ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 17. The results indicate that, the average value of dry land was Rs. 598109.67 and average value of irrigated land was Rs. 417857.14. In case of marginal famers, the average land value was Rs. 886575.74 for dry land and Rs.988000 for irrigated land. In case of small famers, the average land value was Rs. 412485.09 for dry land and Rs.742187.51 for irrigated land. In case of semi medium famers, the average land value was Rs. 291909.09 for dry land. In case of medium farmers, the average land value was Rs. 228703.70 for irrigated land.

Table 17. Average land value (Rs./ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Dry	0.00	886,575.74	412,485.09	291,909.09	0.00	598,109.67
2	Irrigated	0.00	988,000.00	742,187.51	0.00	228,703.70	417,857.14

Status of bore wells: The data regarding the status of bore wells in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 18. The results indicate that, there were 8 functioning bore wells in the micro watershed.

Table 18. Status of bore wells in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Functioning	0	1	4	0	3	8

Source of irrigation: The data regarding the source of irrigation in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 19. The results indicate that, bore well was the major irrigation source in the micro water shed for 22.22 per cent of the farmers.

Table 19. Source of irrigation in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Bore Well	0	0.00	1	5.88	4	36.36	0	0.00	3	100.00	8	22.22

Depth of water (Avg in meters): The data regarding the depth of water in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 20. The results indicate that, the depth of bore well was found to be 21.59 meters.

Table 20. Depth of water (Avg in meters) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Bore Well	0.00	5.38	36.02	0.00	96.52	21.59

Irrigated Area (ha): The data regarding the irrigated area (ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 21. The results indicate that, marginal, small and medium farmers had an irrigated area of 0.40 ha, 3.37 ha and 6.19 ha respectively.

Table 21. Irrigated Area (ha) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Kharif	0.00	0.40	3.37	0.00	6.19	9.96
Total		0.00	0.40	3.37	0.00	6.19	9.96

Cropping pattern: The data regarding the cropping pattern in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 22. The results indicate that, farmers have grown cotton (8.47 ha), greengram (9.73 ha), groundut (3.32 ha), paddy (4.16 ha) and redgram (10.19 ha). Marginal and small farmers have grown cotton, Greengram, groundnut, paddy and redgram. Semi medium farmers have grown cotton and Greengram. Medium farmers have grown paddy and redgram.

Table 22. Cropping pattern in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed (Area in ha)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Kharif - Cotton	0	3.17	3.28	2.02	0	8.47
2	Kharif - Greengram	0	2.7	4.6	2.43	0	9.73
3	Kharif - Groundnut	0	2.11	1.21	0	0	3.32
4	Kharif - Paddy	0	0.4	1.62	0	2.14	4.16
5	Kharif - Red gram (togari)	0	3.31	2.83	0	4.05	10.19
Total		0	11.68	13.55	4.45	6.19	35.87

Cropping intensity: The data regarding the cropping intensity in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 23. The results indicate that, the cropping intensity in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed was found to be 100 per cent.

Table 23. Cropping intensity (%) in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Cropping Intensity	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Cost of Cultivation of Green gram: The data regarding the cost of cultivation of green gram in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 24. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for green gram was Rs. 22105.27. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 51835.46. The net income from Green gram cultivation was Rs. 29730.20, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.34.

Table 24. Cost of Cultivation of Green gram in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Particulars		Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
I	**Cost A1**					
1	Hired Human Labour		Man days	25.58	4179.23	18.91
2	Bullock		Pairs/day	3.70	2219.45	10.04
3	Tractor		Hours	2.03	1622.08	7.34
4	Machinery		Hours	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)		Kgs (Rs.)	10.21	1370.09	6.20
6	Seed Inter Crop		Kgs.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	FYM		Quintal	1.66	331.83	1.50
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients		Quintal	3.15	3009.90	13.62
9	Pesticides (PPC)		Kgs/ liters	0.94	936.77	4.24
10	Irrigation		Number	1.68	0.00	0.00
13	Depreciation charges			0.00	9.49	0.04
14	Land revenue and Taxes			0.00	3.29	0.01
II	**Cost B1**					
16	Interest on working capital				677.95	3.07
17	**Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)**				14360.09	64.96
III	**Cost B2**					
18	Rental Value of Land				500.00	2.26
19	**Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)**				14860.09	67.22
IV	**Cost C1**					
20	Family Human Labour			22.00	5234.60	23.68
21	**Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)**				20094.70	90.90
V	**Cost C2**					
22	Risk Premium				1.00	0.00
23	**Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)**				20095.70	90.91
VI	**Cost C3**					
24	Managerial Cost				2009.57	9.09
25	**Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)**				22105.27	100.00
VII	**Economics of the Crop**					
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		10.11	51582.39	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			5103.75	
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		2.02	253.07	
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			125.00	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)				51835.46	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)				29730.20	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)				2187.18	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)				1:2.34	

Cost of cultivation of Paddy: The data regarding the cost of cultivation of Paddy in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 25. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for Paddy was Rs. 108908.09. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 99903.78. The net income from Paddy cultivation was Rs. -9004.31. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:0.92.

Table 25. Cost of Cultivation of Paddy in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Particulars		Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
I	**Cost A1**					
1	Hired Human Labour		Man days	34.21	6212.05	5.70
2	Bullock		Pairs/day	2.47	1482.00	1.36
3	Tractor		Hours	3.71	2778.75	2.55
4	Machinery		Hours	0.62	494.00	0.45
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)		Kgs (Rs.)	86.45	64374.38	59.11
6	Seed Inter Crop		Kgs.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	FYM		Quintal	3.03	605.15	0.56
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients		Quintal	7.97	6082.38	5.58
9	Pesticides (PPC)		Kgs / liters	1.36	1358.50	1.25
10	Irrigation		Number	8.89	0.00	0.00
13	Depreciation charges			0.00	9.53	0.01
14	Land revenue and Taxes			0.00	3.29	0.00
II	**Cost B1**					
16	Interest on working capital				8690.57	7.98
17	**Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)**				92090.59	84.56
III	**Cost B2**					
18	Rental Value of Land				666.67	0.61
19	**Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)**				92757.26	85.17
IV	**Cost C1**					
20	Family Human Labour			31.80	6249.10	5.74
21	**Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)**				99006.36	90.91
V	**Cost C2**					
22	Risk Premium				1.00	0.00
23	**Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)**				99007.36	90.91
VI	**Cost C3**					
24	Managerial Cost				9900.74	9.09
25	**Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)**				108908.09	100.00
VII	**Economics of the Crop**					
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		59.90	89097.53	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			1487.50	
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		8.65	10806.25	
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			1250.00	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)				99903.78	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)				-9004.31	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)				1818.24	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)				1:0.92	

Cost of Cultivation of Groundnut: The data regarding the cost of cultivation of groundnut in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 26. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for groundnut was Rs. 84841.68. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 135857.02. The net income from groundnut cultivation was Rs. 51015.34. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:1.6.

Table 26. Cost of Cultivation of Groundnut in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Particulars		Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
I	**Cost A1**					
1	Hired Human Labour		Man days	48.38	7871.72	9.28
2	Bullock		Pairs/day	7.07	4243.91	5.00
3	Tractor		Hours	4.47	3577.76	4.22
4	Machinery		Hours	0.56	449.09	0.53
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)		Kgs (Rs.)	253.74	38060.45	44.86
6	Seed Inter Crop		Kgs.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	FYM		Quintal	2.21	441.61	0.52
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients		Quintal	8.04	6866.23	8.09
9	Pesticides (PPC)		Kgs / liters	1.41	1412.77	1.67
10	Irrigation		Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Depreciation charges			0.00	10.72	0.01
14	Land revenue and Taxes			0.00	4.12	0.00
II	**Cost B1**					
16	Interest on working capital				5613.85	6.62
17	**Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)**				68552.21	80.80
III	**Cost B2**					
18	Rental Value of Land				733.33	0.86
19	**Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)**				69285.55	81.66
IV	**Cost C1**					
20	Family Human Labour			34.24	7842.25	9.24
21	**Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)**				77127.80	90.91
V	**Cost C2**					
22	Risk Premium				1.00	0.00
23	**Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)**				77128.80	90.91
VI	**Cost C3**					
24	Managerial Cost				7712.88	9.09
25	**Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)**				84841.68	100.00
VII	**Economics of the Crop**					
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		23.17	112932.33	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			4875.00	
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		18.53	22924.69	
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			1237.50	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)				135857.02	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)				51015.34	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)				3662.40	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)				1:1.6	

Cost of Cultivation of cotton: The data regarding the cost of cultivation of cotton in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 27. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for cotton was Rs. 33618.78. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 75113.15. The net income from cotton cultivation was Rs. 41494.37. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.23.

Table 27. Cost of Cultivation of cotton in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Particulars		Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
I	**Cost A1**					
1	Hired Human Labour		Man days	19.82	3443.07	10.24
2	Bullock		Pairs/day	6.04	3624.38	10.78
3	Tractor		Hours	3.87	2811.65	8.36
4	Machinery		Hours	0.32	226.61	0.67
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)		Kgs (Rs.)	3.92	3720.01	11.07
6	Seed Inter Crop		Kgs.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	FYM		Quintal	2.66	531.48	1.58
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients		Quintal	7.23	6103.58	18.16
9	Pesticides (PPC)		Kgs / liters	1.04	1041.03	3.10
10	Irrigation		Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Repairs			0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Msc. Charges (Marketing costs etc)			0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Depreciation charges			0.00	21.62	0.06
14	Land revenue and Taxes			0.00	3.29	0.01
II	**Cost B1**					
16	Interest on working capital				1367.65	4.07
17	**Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)**				22894.39	68.10
III	**Cost B2**					
18	Rental Value of Land				523.81	1.56
19	**Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)**				23418.20	69.66
IV	**Cost C1**					
20	Family Human Labour			35.57	7143.33	21.25
21	**Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)**				30561.52	90.91
V	**Cost C2**					
22	Risk Premium				1.00	0.00
23	**Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)**				30562.52	90.91
VI	**Cost C3**					
24	Managerial Cost				3056.25	9.09
25	**Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)**				33618.78	100.00
VII	**Economics of the Crop**					
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		16.33	75113.15	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			4600.00	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)				75113.15	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)				41494.37	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)				2058.85	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)				1:2.23	

Cost of cultivation of Red gram: The data regarding the cost of cultivation of red gram in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 28. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for red gram was Rs. 40666.06. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 83806.85. The net income from red gram cultivation was Rs. 43140.79. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.06.

Table 28. Cost of Cultivation of red gram in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Particulars		Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
I	**Cost A1**					
1	Hired Human Labour		Man days	29.15	4786.39	11.77
2	Bullock		Pairs/day	9.33	5597.16	13.76
3	Tractor		Hours	5.72	4505.45	11.08
4	Machinery		Hours	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)		Kgs (Rs.)	7.10	992.78	2.44
6	Seed Inter Crop		Kgs.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	FYM		Quintal	2.61	521.44	1.28
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients		Quintal	8.13	7322.98	18.01
9	Pesticides (PPC)		Kgs / liters	1.44	1441.21	3.54
10	Irrigation		Number	0.41	0.00	0.00
13	Depreciation charges			0.00	22.37	0.05
14	Land revenue and Taxes			0.00	3.29	0.01
II	**Cost B1**					
16	Interest on working capital				1233.53	3.03
17	**Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)**				26426.59	64.98
III	**Cost B2**					
18	Rental Value of Land				400.00	0.98
19	**Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)**				26826.59	65.97
IV	**Cost C1**					
20	Family Human Labour			40.15	10141.56	24.94
21	**Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)**				36968.15	90.91
V	**Cost C2**					
22	Risk Premium				1.00	0.00
23	**Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)**				36969.15	90.91
VI	**Cost C3**					
24	Managerial Cost				3696.91	9.09
25	**Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)**				40666.06	100.00
VII	**Economics of the Crop**					
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		10.58	48040.16	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			4540.00	
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		12.87	35766.69	
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			2780.00	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)				83806.85	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)				43140.79	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)				3843.12	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)				1:2.06	

Adequacy of fodder: The data regarding the adequacy of fodder in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 29. The results indicate that, 38.89 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate and 38.89 per cent of the households opined that green fodder was adequate.

Table 29. Adequacy of fodder in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Adequate-Dry Fodder	0	0.00	7	41.18	4	36.36	1	50.00	2	66.67	14	38.89
2	Adequate-Green Fodder	0	0.00	7	41.18	4	36.36	1	50.00	2	66.67	14	38.89

Annual gross income: The data regarding the annual gross income in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 30. The results indicate that the annual gross income was Rs. 233,333.33 for landless farmers, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 181,178.82, for small farmers it was Rs. 185,920, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 157,200 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 342,000.

Table 30. Annual gross income in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

(Avg value in Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
1	Service/salary	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50,000.00	4,166.67
2	Business	33,333.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,777.78
3	Wage	200,000.00	85,294.12	90,454.55	50,000.00	33,333.33	90,138.89
4	Agriculture	0.00	91,423.53	95,072.73	96,400.00	258,666.67	99,133.33
5	Dairy Farm	0.00	4,461.18	392.73	10,800.00	0.00	2,826.67
Income(Rs.)		233,333.33	181,178.82	185,920.00	157,200.00	342,000.00	199,043.33

Average annual expenditure: The data regarding the average annual expenditure in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 31. The results indicate that the average annual expenditure is Rs. 24,837.75. For landless households it was Rs. 80,000, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 6,326.64, for small farmers it was Rs. 9,661.16, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 71,000 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 99,444.44.

Table 31. Average annual expenditure in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

(Avg value in Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)	MF (17)	SF (11)	SMF (2)	MDF (3)	All (36)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Service/salary	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	75,000.00	2,083.33
2	Business	85,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,361.11
3	Wage	155,000.00	55,533.33	46,272.73	65,000.00	75,000.00	54,083.33
4	Agriculture	0.00	38,352.94	56,500.00	65,000.00	148,333.33	51,347.22
5	Dairy Farm	0.00	13,666.67	3,500.00	12,000.00	0.00	1,569.44
Total		240,000.00	107,552.94	106,272.73	142,000.00	298,333.33	894,159.00
Average		80,000.00	6,326.64	9,661.16	71,000.00	99,444.44	24,837.75

Horticulture species grown: The data regarding horticulture species grown in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 32. The results indicate that, sampled households have grown 2 coconut trees and 1 mango tree in their field.

Table 32. Horticulture species grown in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (16)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B
1	Coconut	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
2	Mango	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

***F= Field B=Back Yard**

Forest species grown: The data regarding forest species grown in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 33. The results indicate that, households have planted 65 neem and 12 tamarind tree in their field. Also, 16 neem trees in their backyard.

Table 33: Forest species grown in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (16)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B
1	Neem	0	0	21	2	19	2	3	1	22	11	65	16
2	Tamarind	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

***F= Field B=Back Yard**

Marketing of the agricultural produce: The data regarding marketing of the agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 34. The results indicated that, all crops were sold to the extent of 100 per cent.

Table 34. Marketing of the agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No	Crops	Output obtained (q)	Output retained (q)	Output sold (q)	Output sold (%)	Avg. Price obtained (Rs/q)
1	Cotton	131.0	0.0	131.0	100.0	4600.0
2	Green gram	91.0	0.0	91.0	100.0	5103.75
3	Groundnut	81.0	0.0	81.0	100.0	4875.0
4	Paddy	272.0	0.0	272.0	100.0	1487.5
5	Red gram	104.0	0.0	104.0	100.0	4540.0

Marketing Channels used for sale of agricultural produce: The data regarding marketing channels used for sale of agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 35. The results indicated that, about 91.67 per cent of the farmers sold their produce to local/village merchants.

Table 35. Marketing Channels used for sale of agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Local/village Merchant	0	0.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	33	91.67

Mode of transport of agricultural produce: The data regarding mode of transport of agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 36. The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent of the households have used tractor as a mode of transportation for their agricultural produce and 2.78 per cent carried head loads.

Table 36. Mode of transport of agricultural produce in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Head Load	0	0.00	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78
2	Tractor	0	0.00	16	94.12	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	32	88.89

Incidence of soil and water erosion problems: The data regarding incidence of soil and water erosion problems in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 37. The results indicated that, 33.33 per cent of the households have experienced soil and water erosion problems in the farm.

Table 37. Incidence of soil and water erosion problems in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Soil and water erosion problems in the farm	0	0.00	6	35.29	4	36.36	2	100.00	0	0.00	12	33.33

Interest shown towards soil testing: The data regarding Interest shown towards soil testing in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 38. The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent have shown interest in soil test.

Table 38. Interest shown towards soil testing in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Interest in soil test	0	0.00	16	94.12	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	32	88.89

Usage pattern of fuel for domestic use: The data regarding usage pattern of fuel for domestic use in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 39. The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the households used firewood and 2.78 per cent used LPG as a source of fuel.

Table 39. Usage pattern of fuel for domestic use in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Fire Wood	3	100.00	16	94.12	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	35	97.22
2	LPG	0	0.00	1	5.88	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78

Source of drinking water: The data regarding source of drinking water in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 40. The results indicated that, piped supply was the major source of drinking water for 91.67 per cent and bore well was the source of drinking water for 8.33 per cent of the households in the micro watershed.

Table 40. Source of drinking water in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Piped supply	3	100.00	15	88.24	10	90.91	2	100.00	3	100.00	33	91.67
2	Bore Well	0	0.00	2	11.76	1	9.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	8.33

Source of light: The data regarding source of light in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 41. The results indicated that, Electricity was the major source of light for 100 per cent of the households in micro watershed.

Table 41. Source of light in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Electricity	3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00

Existence of Sanitary toilet facility: The data regarding existence of sanitary toilet facility in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 42. The results indicated that, 52.78 per cent of the households possess sanitary toilet.

Table 42. Existence of Sanitary toilet facility in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Sanitary toilet facility	1	33.33	7	41.18	6	54.55	2	100.00	3	100.00	19	52.78

Possession of PDS card: The data regarding possession of PDS card in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 43. The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the sampled households possessed BPL card and 2.78 per cent of the households did not possess any PDS card.

Table 43. Possession of PDS card in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	BPL	3	100.00	17	100.00	10	90.91	2	100.00	3	100.00	35	97.22
2	Not Possessed	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	9.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.78

Participation in NREGA program: The data regarding participation in NREGA programme in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 44. The results indicated that, 86.11 per cent of the households participated in NREGA programme.

Table 44. Participation in NREGA programme in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF(3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Participation in NREGA programme	3	100.00	15	88.24	9	81.82	2	100.00	2	66.67	31	86.11

Adequacy of food items: The data regarding adequacy of food items in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 45. The results indicated that, cereals were adequate for 100 per cent of the households, pulses were adequate for 100 per cent, oilseeds were adequate for 5.56 per cent, vegetables were adequate for 25 per cent, fruits were adequate for 27.78 per cent, milk was adequate for 100 per cent, eggs were adequate for 100 per cent and meat was adequate for 94.44 per cent.

Response on Inadequacy of food items: The data regarding inadequacy of food items in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 46. The results indicated that,

oilseeds were inadequate for 94.44 per cent, vegetables were inadequate for 75 per cent, fruits were inadequate for 72.22 per cent and meat was inadequate for 5.56 per cent of the households.

Table 45. Adequacy of food items in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Cereals	3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00
2	Pulses	3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00
3	Oilseed	0	0.00	1	5.88	1	9.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.56
4	Vegetables	1	33.33	5	29.41	3	27.27	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	25.00
5	Fruits	1	33.33	4	23.53	4	36.36	1	50.00	0	0.00	10	27.78
6	Milk	3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00
7	Egg	3	100.00	17	100.00	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	36	100.00
8	Meat	3	100.00	15	88.24	11	100.00	2	100.00	3	100.00	34	94.44

Table 46. Response on Inadequacy of food items in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (3)		MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF (2)		MDF (3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Oilseed	3	100.00	16	94.12	10	90.91	2	100.00	3	100.00	34	94.44
2	Vegetables	2	66.67	12	70.59	8	72.73	2	100.00	3	100.00	27	75.00
3	Fruits	2	66.67	13	76.47	7	63.64	1	50.00	3	100.00	26	72.22
4	Meat	0	0.00	2	11.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5.56

Farming constraints: The data regarding farming constraints experienced by households in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed is presented in Table 47. The results indicated that, lower fertility status of the soil was the constraint experienced by 91.67 per cent of the households, wild animal menace on farm field (88.89%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (88.89%), inadequacy of irrigation water (91.67%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (91.67%), high rate of interest on credit (94.44%), low price for the agricultural commodities (91.67%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (5.56%) and inadequate extension services (2.78%).

Table 47. Farming constraints Experienced in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed

Sl. No.	Particulars	MF (17)		SF (11)		SMF(2)		MDF(3)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Lower fertility status of the soil	17	100	11	100	2	100	3	100	33	91.67
2	Wild animal menace on farm field	16	94.12	11	100	2	100	3	100	32	88.89
3	Frequent incidence of pest and diseases	17	100	11	100	1	50	3	100	32	88.89
4	Inadequacy of irrigation water	17	100	11	100	2	100	3	100	33	91.67
5	High cost of Fertilizers and plant protection chemicals	17	100	11	100	2	100	3	100	33	91.67
6	High rate of interest on credit	18	105.88	11	100	2	100	3	100	34	94.44
7	Low price for the agricultural commodities	17	100	11	100	2	100	3	100	33	91.67
8	Lack of marketing facilities in the area	0	0	2	18.18	0	0	0	0	2	5.56
9	Inadequate extension services	0	0	1	9.09	0	0	0	0	1	2.78

Chapter 5

SUMMARY

In order to assess the socio-economic condition of the farmers in the watershed a comprehensive questionnaire was prepared. Major components such as demographic conditions, migration details, food consumption and family expenditure pattern, material possession, land holding, land use management, cropping pattern, cost of cultivation of crops, livestock management. The statistical components such as frequency and percentage were used to analyse the data. About 36 households located in the micro watershed were interviewed for the survey.

The data indicated that there were 147 (59.76%) men and 97 (39.43%) women among the sampled households. The average family size of landless farmers' was 5.33, marginal farmers' was 6.76, small farmers' was 6.91, semi medium farmers' was 7.5 and medium farmers' was 8.

The data indicated that, 69 (28.05%) people were in 0-15 years of age, 100 (40.65%) were in 16-35 years of age, 64 (26.02%) were in 36-60 years of age and 13 (5.28%) were above 61 years of age.

The results indicated that Ramasamudram-1 had 55.28 per cent illiterates, 2.44 per cent functional literates, 18.70 per cent of them had primary school education, 5.28 per cent of them had middle school education, 6.91 per cent of them had high school education, 3.25 per cent of them had PUC education and 2.44 per cent of them had degree education.

The results indicate that, 86.11 per cent of households were practicing agriculture, 8.33 per cent of the households were agricultural labourers, 2.78 per cent of them were in private service and 2.78 per cent of them were housewives.

The results indicate that agriculture was the major occupation for 53.25 per cent of the household members, 3.25 per cent were agricultural laborers, 0.41 per cent were general labourers, 2.85 per cent were in private service, 26.42 per cent were students, 7.72 per cent were housewives and 6.10 per cent were children.

The results show that 100 per cent of the population in the micro watershed has not participated in any local institutions. The results indicate that 13.89 per cent of the households possess thatched house, 38.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 47.22 per cent of them possess pucca house.

The results show that 63.89 per cent of the households possess TV, 8.33 per cent of the households possess Mixer grinder, 5.56 per cent of them had bicycle, 30.56 per cent of the households possess motor cycle and 88.89 per cent of the households possess mobile phones. The results show that the average value of television was Rs.9000, mixer grinder was Rs.2166, bicycle was Rs.2000, motor cycle was Rs.35636 and mobile phone was Rs.12812.

About 36.11 per cent of the households possess plough, 2.78 per cent of them possess sprayer and 47.22 per cent of them possess weeder. The results show that the average value of plough was Rs.1500, the average value of sprayer was Rs.2000 and the average value of weeder was Rs.52.

The results indicate that, 36.11 per cent of the households possess bullocks and 27.78 per cent of the households possess local cow.

The results indicate that, average own labour men available in the micro watershed was 2.09, average own labour (women) available was 1.82, average hired labour (men) available was 12.70 and average hired labour (women) available was 10.06. The results indicate that, 91.67 per cent of the households opined that the hired labour was adequate.

The results indicate that, households of the Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed possess 25.90 ha (70.64%) of dry land and 10.77 ha (29.36%) of irrigated land. Marginal farmers possess 11.27 ha (96.53%) of dry land and 0.40 ha (3.47%) of irrigated land. Small farmers possess 10.18 ha (75.14%) of dry land and 3.37 ha (24.86%) of irrigated land. Semi medium farmers possess 4.45 ha (100%) of dry land. Medium farmers possess 6.99 ha (100%) of irrigated land.

The results indicate that, the average value of dry land was Rs. 598109.67 and average value of irrigated land was Rs. 417857.14. In case of marginal famers, the average land value was Rs. 886575.74 for dry land and Rs.988000 for irrigated land. In case of small famers, the average land value was Rs. 412485.09 for dry land and Rs.742187.51 for irrigated land. In case of semi medium famers, the average land value was Rs. 291909.09 for dry land. In case of medium farmers, the average land value was Rs. 228703.70 for irrigated land.

The results indicate that, there were 8 functioning bore wells in the micro watershed. The results indicate that, bore well was the major irrigation source in the micro water shed for 22.22 per cent of the farmers. The results indicate that, the depth of bore well was found to be 21.59 meters.

The results indicate that, marginal, small and medium farmers had an irrigated area of 0.40 ha, 3.37 ha and 6.19 ha respectively. The results indicate that, farmers have grown cotton (8.47 ha), greengram (9.73 ha), groundut (3.32 ha), paddy (4.16 ha) and redgram (10.19 ha). Marginal and small farmers have grown cotton, Greengram, groundnut, paddy and redgram. Semi medium farmers have grown cotton and Greengram. Medium farmers have grown paddy and redgram. The results indicate that, the cropping intensity in Ramasamudram-1 micro-watershed was found to be 100 per cent.

The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation for greengram was Rs. 22105.27. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 51835.46. The net income from Greengram cultivation was Rs. 29730.20, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be

1:2.34. The total cost of cultivation for Paddy was Rs. 108908.09. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 99903.78. The net income from Paddy cultivation was Rs. -9004.31. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:0.92. The total cost of cultivation for groundnut was Rs. 84841.68. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 135857.02. The net income from groundnut cultivation was Rs. 51015.34. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:1.6. The total cost of cultivation for cotton was Rs. 33618.78. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 75113.15. The net income from cotton cultivation was Rs. 41494.37. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.23. The total cost of cultivation for red gram was Rs. 40666.06. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 83806.85. The net income from red gram cultivation was Rs. 43140.79. Thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.06.

The results indicate that, 38.89 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate and 38.89 per cent of the households opined that green fodder was adequate.

The results indicate that the annual gross income was Rs. 233,333.33 for landless farmers, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 181,178.82, for small farmers it was Rs. 185,920, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 157,200 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 342,000. The results indicate that the average annual expenditure is Rs. 24,837.75. For landless households it was Rs. 80,000, for marginal farmers it was Rs. 6,326.64, for small farmers it was Rs. 9,661.16, for semi medium farmers it was Rs. 71,000 and for medium farmers it was Rs. 99,444.44.

The results indicate that, sampled households have grown 2 coconut trees and 1 mango tree in their field. The results indicate that, households have planted 65 neem and 12 tamarind tree in their field. Also, 16 neem trees in their backyard.

The results indicated that, all crops were sold to the extent of 100 per cent. The results indicated that, about 91.67 per cent of the farmers sold their produce to local/village merchants. The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent of the households have used tractor as a mode of transportation for their agricultural produce and 2.78 per cent carried head loads.

The results indicated that, 33.33 per cent of the households have experienced soil and water erosion problems in the farm. The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent have shown interest in soil test.

The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the households used firewood and 2.78 per cent used LPG as a source of fuel. The results indicated that, piped supply was the major source of drinking water for 91.67 per cent and bore well was the source of drinking water for 8.33 per cent of the households in the micro watershed. Electricity was the major source of light for 100 per cent of the households in micro watershed.

The results indicated that, 52.78 per cent of the households possess sanitary toilet. The results indicated that, 97.22 per cent of the sampled households possessed BPL card and 2.78 per cent of the households did not possess any PDS card. The results indicated that, 86.11 per cent of the households participated in NREGA programme.

The results indicated that, cereals were adequate for 100 per cent of the households, pulses were adequate for 100 per cent, oilseeds were adequate for 5.56 per cent, vegetables were adequate for 25 per cent, fruits were adequate for 27.78 per cent, milk was adequate for 100 per cent, eggs were adequate for 100 per cent and meat was adequate for 94.44 per cent.

The results indicated that, lower fertility status of the soil was the constraint experienced by 91.67 per cent of the households, wild animal menace on farm field (88.89%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (88.89%), inadequacy of irrigation water (91.67%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (91.67%), high rate of interest on credit (94.44%), low price for the agricultural commodities (91.67%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (5.56%) and inadequate extension services (2.78%).