



हर कदम, हर डगर  
किसानों का हमसफर  
भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद

*AgriSearch with a human touch*

**LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF  
FARM HOUSEHOLDS FOR WATERSHED PLANNING AND  
DEVELOPMENT**

**ARAKERA KHURD-2 (4D2D6B1c) MICROWATERSHED**

**Balichakra & Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka**

**Karnataka Watershed Development Project – II**

**SUJALA – III**

**World Bank funded Project**



**ICAR – NATIONAL BUREAU OF SOIL SURVEY AND LAND USE PLANNING**



ICAR - NBSS & LUP



**WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
GOVT. OF KARNATAKA, BANGALORE**



## **About ICAR - NBSS&LUP**

The ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR-NBSS&LUP), Nagpur, a premier Institute of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), was set up during 1976 with the objective to prepare soil resource maps at national, state and district levels and to provide research inputs in soil resource mapping and its applications, land evaluation, land use planning, land resource management, and database management using GIS for optimising land use on different kinds of soils in the country.

The Bureau has been engaged in carrying out soil resource survey, agro-ecological and soil degradation mapping at the country, state and district levels for qualitative assessment and monitoring the soil health towards viable land use planning. The research activities have resulted in identifying the soil potentials and problems, and the various applications of the soil surveys with the ultimate objective of sustainable agricultural development. The Bureau has the mandate to correlate and classify soils of the country and maintain a National Register of all the established soil series. The Institute is also imparting in-service training to staff of the soil survey agencies in the area of soil survey, land evaluation and soil survey interpretations for land use planning. The Bureau in collaboration with Panjabrao Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola is running post-graduate teaching and research programme in land resource management, leading to M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees.

**Citation:** Rajendra Hegde, Ramesh Kumar, S.C., B.A. Dhanorkar, S. Srinivas, M. Lalitha, K.V. Niranjana, R.S. Reddy and S.K. Singh (2019). "Land Resource Inventory and Socio-Economic Status of Farm Households for Watershed Planning and Development of Arakera Khurd-2 (4D2D6B1c) Microwatershed, Balichakra & Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka", ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala MWS Publ.323, ICAR – NBSS & LUP, RC, Bangalore. p.143 & 35.

### **TO OBTAIN COPIES,**

**Please write to:**

**Director, ICAR - NBSS & LUP,**

Amaravati Road, NAGPUR - 440 033, India

Phone : (0712) 2500386, 2500664, 2500545 (O)

Telefax : 0712-2522534

E-Mail : director@nbsslup.ernet.in

Website URL : nbsslup.in

Or

**Head, Regional Centre, ICAR - NBSS&LUP, Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024**

Phone : (080) 23412242, 23510350 (O)

Telefax : 080-23510350

E-Mail : nbssrcb@gmail.com

ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala MWS Publ.323



हर कदम, हर डगर  
किसानों का हमसफर  
भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद

*Agri*search with a human touch

**LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC  
STATUS OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS FOR WATERSHED  
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**ARAKERA KHURD-2 (4D2D6B1c) MICROWATERSHED**

**Balichakra & Yadgir Hobli, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka**

**Karnataka Watershed Development Project – II**

**Sujala-III**

**World Bank funded Project**



**ICAR – NATIONAL BUREAU OF SOIL SURVEY AND LAND  
USE PLANNING**



ICAR - NBSS & LUP



**WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF  
KARNATAKA, BANGALORE**







## PREFACE

In Karnataka, as in other Indian States, the livelihoods of rural people are intertwined with farming pursuits. The challenges in agriculture are seriously threatening the livelihood of a large number of farmers as they have been practicing farming in contextual factors beyond their control. Climatic factors are the most important ones and have become much more significant in recent times due to rapid climate changes induced by intensive anthropogenic activities affecting our ecosystem in multiple ways. Climate change has become the reality, it is happening and efforts to evolve and demonstrate climate resilient technologies have become essential. Due to the already over stressed scenario of agrarian sector, the climate change is resulting in manifold increase in the complexities, pushing the rural mass to face more and more unpredictable situations. The rising temperatures and unpredictable rainfall patterns are going to test seriously the informed decisions farmers have to make in order to survive in farming and sustain their livelihood.

It is generally recognized that impacts of climate change shall not be uniform across the globe. It is said that impact of climate change is more severe in South Asia. Based on the analysis of meteorological data, it is predicted that in India, there will be upward trend in mean temperature, downward trend in relative humidity, annual rainfall and number of wet days in a year. Also, in general, phenomena like erratic monsoon, spread of tropical diseases, rise in sea levels, changes in availability of fresh water, frequent floods, droughts, heat waves, storms and hurricanes are predicted. Each one of these adverse situations are already being experienced in various parts of India and also at the global level. Decline in agricultural productivity of small and marginal farmers becoming more vulnerable is already witnessed.

In Karnataka, more than 60 per cent of the population live in rural areas and depend on agriculture and allied activities for their livelihood. Though the state has achieved significant progress in increasing the yield of many crops, there is tremendous pressure on the land resources due to the growing and competing demands of various land uses. This is reflected in the alarming rate of land degradation observed. Already more than 50 per cent of the area is affected by various forms of degradation. If this trend continues, the sustainability of the fragile ecosystem will be badly affected. The adverse effects of change in the climatic factors are putting additional stress on the land resources and the farmers dependent on this.

The natural resources (land, water and vegetation) of the state need adequate and constant care and management, backed by site-specific technological interventions and investments particularly by the government. Detailed database pertaining to the nature of the land resources, their constraints, inherent potentials and suitability for various land

based rural enterprises, crops and other uses is a prerequisite for preparing location-specific action plans, which are in tune with the inherent capability of the resources. Any effort to evolve climate resilient technologies has to be based on the baseline scientific database. Then only one can expect effective implementation of climate resilient technologies, monitor the progress, make essential review of the strategy, and finally evaluate the effectiveness of the implemented programs. The information available at present on the land resources of the state are of general nature and useful only for general purpose planning. Since the need of the hour is to have site-specific information suitable for farm level planning and detailed characterization and delineation of the existing land resources of an area into similar management units is the only option.

ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bangalore has taken up a project sponsored by the Karnataka Watershed Development Project-II, (Sujala-III), Government of Karnataka funded by the World Bank under Component-1 Land Resource Inventory. This study was taken up to demonstrate the utility of such a database in reviewing, monitoring and evaluating all the land based watershed development programs on a scientific footing. To meet the requirements of various land use planners at grassroots level, the present study on “Land Resource Inventory and Socio-Economic Status of Farm Households for Watershed Planning and Development of Honageri-2Microwatershed, Yadgir Taluk and District, Karnataka” for integrated development was taken up in collaboration with the State Agricultural Universities, IISC, KSRSAC, KSNDMC as Consortia partners. The project provides detailed land resource information at cadastral level (1:7920 scale) for all the plots and socio-economic status of farm households covering thirty per cent farmers randomly selected representing landed and landless class of farmers in the microwatershed. The project report with the accompanying maps for the Microwatershed will provide required detailed database for evolving effective land use plan, alternative land use options and conservation plans for the planners, administrators, agricultural extension personnel, KVK officials, developmental departments and other land users to manage the land resources in a sustainable manner.

It is hoped that this database will be useful to the planners, administrators and developmental agencies working in the area in not only for formulating location specific developmental schemes but also for their effective monitoring at the village/watershed level.

Nagpur  
Date:21-08-2019

**S.K. SINGH**  
Director, ICAR - NBSS&LUP

## Contributors

<b>Dr. Rajendra Hegde</b> Principal Scientist, Head & Project Leader, Sujala-III Project ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bangalore	<b>Dr. S.K.Singh</b> Director, ICAR-NBSS&LUP Coordinator, Sujala-III Project Nagpur
<b>Soil Survey, Mapping &amp; Report Preparation</b>	
Dr. B.A. Dhanorkar	Sh. R.S. Reddy
Dr. K.V. Niranjana	Mr. Somashekar T N
	Smt. Chaitra, S.P.
	Dr. Gopali bardhan
	Ms. Arpitha G.M
	Dr. Mahendra kumar M.B
<b>Field Work</b>	
Sh. C.BacheGowda	Sh. Mahesh, D.B.
Sh. Somashekar	Sh. Ashok S Sindagi
Sh. M. Jayaramaiah	Sh. Veerabhadrapa B.
Sh. Paramesha, K.	Sh. Shankarappa
Sh. B. M. Narayana Reddy	Sh. Anand
	Sh. Arun N Kambar.
	Sh Kamalesh Awate
	Sh. Sharaan Kumar Huppar
	Sh. Yogesh H.N.
	Sh. Kalaveerachari R Kammar
<b>GIS Work</b>	
Dr. S.Srinivas	Sh. A.G.Devendra Prasad
Sh. D.H.Venkatesh	Sh. Prakashanaik, M.K.
Smt.K.Sujatha	Sh. Abhijith Sastry, N.S.
Smt. K.V.Archana	Sh. Sudip Kumar Suklabaidya
Sh. N. Maddileti	Sh. Avinash, K.N.
	Sh. Amar Suputhra, S
	Sh. Deepak, M.J.
	Smt. K.Karunya Lakshmi
	Ms. Seema, K.V.
	Ms. A. Rajab Nisha

<b>Laboratory Analysis</b>	
Dr. K.M.Nair	Ms. Steffi Peter
Smt. Arti Koyal	Ms. Thara, V.R
Smt. Parvathy	Ms. Roopa, G.
	Ms. Swati, H.
	Sh. Shantaveera Swami
	Ms. Shwetha, N.K.
	Smt. Ishrat Haji
	Ms. P. Pavan Kumari
	Ms. Padmaja
	Ms. Veena, M.
<b>Socio-Economic Analysis</b>	
Dr. S.C. Ramesh Kumar	Sh. M.K. Prakashanaik
	Ms. Karuna V. Kulkarni
	Mrs. Sowmya A.N
	Sh. Vinod R
	Sh. Basavaraja
	Sh. Vijay Kumar Lamani
	Ms. Sowmya K.B
	Mrs. Prathibha, D.G
	Sh. Rajendra,D
<b>Soil &amp; Water Conservation</b>	
Sh. Sunil P. Maske	
<b>Watershed Development Department, GoK, Bangalore</b>	
Sh. Rajeev Ranjan IFS Project Director & Commissioner, WDD	Dr. A. Natarajan NRM Consultant, Sujala-III Project
Dr. S.D. Pathak IFS Executive Director & Chief Conservator of Forests, WDD	

# **PART-A**

## **LAND RESOURCE INVENTORY**



## Contents

Preface		
Contributors		
Executive Summary		
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	Geographical Setting	3
2.1	Location and Extent	3
2.2	Geology	3
2.3	Physiography	5
2.4	Drainage	5
2.5	Climate	5
2.6	Natural Vegetation	6
2.7	Land Utilization	7
Chapter 3	Survey Methodology	11
3.1	Base maps	11
3.2	Image Interpretation for Physiography	11
3.3	Field Investigation	14
3.4	Soil Mapping	16
3.5	Land Management Units	17
3.6	Laboratory Characterization	17
Chapter 4	The Soils	23
4.1	Soils of granite gneiss landscape	23
4.2	Soils of alluvial landscape	31
Chapter 5	Interpretation for Land Resource Management	49
5.1	Land Capability Classification	49
5.2	Soil Depth	51
5.3	Surface Soil Texture	52
5.4	Soil Gravelliness	54
5.5	Available Water Capacity	55
5.6	Soil Slope	56
5.7	Soil Erosion	57
Chapter 6	Fertility Status	59
6.1	Soil Reaction (pH)	59
6.2	Electrical Conductivity (EC)	59
6.3	Organic Carbon (OC)	59
6.4	Available Phosphorus	61
6.5	Available Potassium	61
6.6	Available Sulphur	61
6.7	Available Boron	61
6.8	Available Iron	62
6.9	Available Manganese	62
6.10	Available Copper	62

6.11	Available Zinc	66
Chapter 7	Land Suitability for Major Crops	67
7.1	Land suitability for Sorghum	67
7.2	Land suitability for Maize	68
7.3	Land suitability for Bajra	69
7.4	Land suitability for Groundnut	70
7.5	Land suitability for Sunflower	71
7.6	Land suitability for Redgram	72
7.7	Land suitability for Bengal gram	73
7.8	Land suitability for Cotton	74
7.9	Land suitability for Chilli	75
7.10	Land suitability for Tomato	76
7.11	Land suitability for Brinjal	77
7.12	Land suitability for Onion	78
7.13	Land suitability for Bhendi	79
7.14	Land suitability for Drumstick	80
7.15	Land suitability for Mango	81
7.16	Land suitability for Guava	82
7.17	Land suitability for Sapota	83
7.18	Land Suitability for Pomegranate	84
7.19	Land Suitability for Musambi	85
7.20	Land Suitability for Lime	86
7.21	Land Suitability for Amla	87
7.22	Land Suitability for Cashew	88
7.23	Land Suitability for Jackfruit	89
7.24	Land Suitability for Jamun	90
7.25	Land Suitability for Custard apple	91
7.26	Land Suitability for Tamarind	92
7.27	Land Suitability for Mulberry	93
7.28	Land Suitability for Marigold	94
7.29	Land Suitability for Chrysanthemum	95
7.30	Land Management Units (LMUs)	127
7.31	Proposed Crop Plan for Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	128
Chapter 8	Soil Health Management	131
Chapter 9	Soil and Water conservation Treatment Plan	135
9.1	Treatment Plan	136
9.2	Recommended Soil and Water Conservation measures	139
9.3	Greening of Microwatershed	140
	References	143
	Appendix I	I-XII
	Appendix II	XIII-XXIV
	Appendix III	XXV-XXXV



## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Mean Monthly Rainfall, PET, 1/2 PET at Yadgir Taluk & District	6
2.2	Land Utilization in Yadgir district	7
3.1	Differentiating Characteristics used for Identifying Soil Series	16
3.2	Soil map unit description of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	18
4.1	Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Soil Series identified in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed	34
7.1	Soil-Site Characteristics of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	97
7.2	Land suitability for Sorghum	98
7.3	Land suitability for Maize	99
7.4	Land suitability for Bajra	100
7.5	Land suitability for Groundnut	101
7.6	Land suitability for Sunflower	102
7.7	Land suitability for Redgram	103
7.8	Land suitability for Bengal gram	104
7.9	Land suitability for Cotton	105
7.10	Land suitability for Chilli	106
7.11	Land suitability for Tomato	107
7.12	Land suitability for Brinjal	108
7.13	Land suitability for Onion	109
7.14	Land suitability for Bhendi	110
7.15	Land suitability for Drumstick	111
7.16	Land suitability for Mango	112
7.17	Land suitability for Guava	113
7.18	Land suitability for Sapota	114
7.19	Land suitability for Pomegranate	115
7.20	Land suitability for Musambi	116
7.21	Land suitability for Lime	117
7.22	Land suitability for Amla	118
7.23	Land suitability for Cashew	119
7.24	Land suitability for Jackfruit	120

7.25	Land suitability for Jamun	121
7.26	Land suitability for Custard apple	122
7.27	Land suitability for Tamarind	123
7.28	Land suitability for Mulberry	124
7.29	Land suitability for Marigold	125
7.30	Land suitability for Chrysanthemum	126
7.31	Proposed Crop Plan for Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	129

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Location map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	3
2.2a	Granite and granite gneiss rock formation	4
2.2b	Alluvium	4
2.3	Rainfall distribution in Yadgir Taluk & District	6
2.4	Natural vegetation of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	7
2.5	Current Land use map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	8
2.6	Location of wells map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed.	8
2.7 a	Different crops and cropping systems in Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	9
2.7 b	Different crops and cropping systems in Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	9
3.1	Scanned and Digitized Cadastral map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	13
3.2	Satellite image of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	13
3.3	Cadastral map overlaid on IRS PAN+LISS IV merged imagery of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	14
3.4	Location of profiles in a transect	15
3.5	Soil phase or management units of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	21
5.1	Land Capability Classification map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	51
5.2	Soil Depth map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	52
5.3	Surface Soil Texture map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	53
5.4	Soil Gravelliness map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	54
5.5	Soil Available Water Capacity map Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	55
5.6	Soil Slope map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	56
5.7	Soil Erosion map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	57
6.1	Soil Reaction (pH) map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	60
6.2	Electrical Conductivity (EC) map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	60
6.3	Soil Organic Carbon (OC) map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	61
6.4	Soil Available Phosphorus map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	62
6.5	Soil Available Potassium map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	63
6.6	Soil Available Sulphur map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	63
6.7	Soil Available Boron map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	64
6.8	Soil Available Iron map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	64
6.9	Soil Available Manganese map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	65
6.10	Soil Available Copper map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	65
6.11	Soil Available Zinc map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	66

7.1	Land suitability for Sorghum	68
7.2	Land suitability for Maize	69
7.3	Land suitability for Bajra	70
7.4	Land suitability for Groundnut	71
7.5	Land suitability for Sunflower	72
7.6	Land suitability for Redgram	73
7.7	Land suitability for Bengal gram	74
7.8	Land suitability for Cotton	75
7.9	Land suitability for Chilli	76
7.10	Land suitability for Tomato	77
7.11	Land suitable for Brinjal	78
7.12	Land suitable for Onion	79
7.13	Land suitable for Bhendi	80
7.14	Land suitable for Drumstick	81
7.15	Land suitability for Mango	82
7.16	Land suitability for Guava	83
7.17	Land suitability for Sapota	84
7.18	Land suitability for Pomegranate	85
7.19	Land suitability for Musambi	86
7.20	Land suitability for Lime	87
7.21	Land suitability for Amla	88
7.22	Land suitability for Cashew	89
7.23	Land suitability for Jackfruit	90
7.24	Land suitability for Jamun	91
7.25	Land suitability for Custard apple	92
7.26	Land suitability for Tamarind	93
7.27	Land suitability for Mulberry	94
7.28	Land suitability for Marigold	95
7.29	Land suitability for Chrysanthemum	96
7.30	Land management units map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	128
9.1	Soil and water conservation plan map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed	140

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

*The land resource inventory of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed was conducted using village cadastral maps and IRS satellite imagery on 1:7920 scale. The false colour composites of IRS imagery were interpreted for physiography and the physiographic delineations were used as base for mapping soils. The soils were studied in several transects and a soil map was prepared with phases of soil series as mapping units. Random checks were made all over the area outside the transects to confirm and validate the soil map unit boundaries. The soil map shows the geographic distribution and extent, characteristics, classification, behavior and use potentials of the soils in the microwatershed.*

*The present study covers an area of 626 ha in Yadgir taluk & district, Karnataka. The climate is semiarid and categorized as drought-prone with an average annual rainfall of 866 mm, of which about 652 mm is received during south-west monsoon, 138 mm during north-east and the remaining 76 mm during the rest of the year. An area of 569 ha in the microwatershed is covered by soils, An area of about 57 ha by others (Habitation and water bodies). The salient findings from the land resource inventory are summarized briefly below.*

- ❖ *The soils belong to 14 soil series and 23 soil phases (management units) and 6 land management units.*
- ❖ *The length of crop growing period is about 120-150 days starting from 1<sup>st</sup> week of June to 4<sup>th</sup> week of October.*
- ❖ *From the master soil map, several interpretative and thematic maps like land capability, soil depth, surface soil texture, soil gravelliness, available water capacity, soil slope and soil erosion were generated.*
- ❖ *Soil fertility status maps for macro and micronutrients were generated based on the surface soil samples collected at every 320 m grid interval.*
- ❖ *Land suitability for growing 29 major agricultural and horticultural crops was assessed and maps showing the degree of suitability along with constraints were generated.*
- ❖ *An area about 569 ha (91%) in the microwatershed is suitable for agriculture.*
- ❖ *About 61 per cent area of the microwatershed has soils that are moderately deep to very deep (75 - >150 cm) whereas <1 per cent soils are moderately shallow (50 -75 cm). Shallow (25-50cm) and very shallow (<25cm) soil cover 22 and 7 percent respectively.*
- ❖ *About 17 per cent area in the microwatershed has sandy soils, 30 per cent area in the microwatershed has loamy soils and 44 per cent clayey soils at the surface.*
- ❖ *About 66 per cent area in the microwatershed has non gravelly (<15%) soils and 25 percent gravelly (15-35%) soils.*

- ❖ *About 49 per cent area of the microwatershed is very high (>200 mm/m) in available water capacity, 9 per cent is low (51-100 mm/m) and 30 per cent area very low (<51 mm/m) in available water capacity.*
- ❖ *About 81 per cent area of the microwatershed has very gently sloping (1-3% slope) land and 10 per cent is nearly level sloping (0-1%) soils.*
- ❖ *An area of about 1 per cent area is severely (e3) eroded, about 79 per cent area is moderately (e2) eroded and 10 percent soils are slightly eroded (e1).*
- ❖ *An area of about 25 per cent soils are neutral (pH 6.5-7.3) in soil reaction, about 25 per cent soil are slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) soils and 41 per cent soil are moderately alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) soils.*
- ❖ *The Electrical Conductivity (EC) of the soils in the entire area of the microwatershed is dominated by  $<2 \text{ dsm}^{-1}$  indicating that the soils are non-saline.*
- ❖ *About 33 per cent of the soils are low (<0.5%) in organic carbon and 57 per cent medium (0.5-0.75%) soils.*
- ❖ *About 49 percent medium (23-57 kg/ha) in available phosphorus and 42 percent soils are low (<23 kg/ha) in available phosphorus.*
- ❖ *About 88 percent of the soils are medium (145-337kg/ha) in available potassium and 3 percent area low (<145 kg/ha) in available potassium.*
- ❖ *Entire area in the microwatershed has low (<10 ppm) in available sulphur*
- ❖ *Available boron is low (<0.5 ppm) in an area of about 90 per cent and medium (0.5-1.0 ppm) of about <1 per cent. soils*
- ❖ *Available iron is sufficient (>4.5ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed.*
- ❖ *Available manganese and copper are sufficient in all the soils of the microwatershed.*
- ❖ *Available zinc is deficient (<0.6 ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed.*
- ❖ *The land suitability for 29 major crops grown in the microwatershed were assessed and the areas that are highly suitable (S1) and moderately suitable (S2) are given below. It is however to be noted that a given soil may be suitable for various crops but what specific crop to be grown may be decided by the farmer looking to his capacity to invest on various inputs, marketing infrastructure, market price and finally the demand and supply position.*

**Land suitability for various crops in the Microwatershed**

<b>Crop</b>	<b>Suitability Area in ha (%)</b>		<b>Crop</b>	<b>Suitability Area in ha (%)</b>	
	<b>Highly suitable (S1)</b>	<b>Moderately suitable (S2)</b>		<b>Highly suitable (S1)</b>	<b>Moderately suitable (S2)</b>
<i>Sorghum</i>	255(41)	77(12)	<i>Sapota</i>	-	56(9)
<i>Maize</i>	-	332(53)	<i>Pomegranate</i>	-	330(53)
<i>Bajra</i>	-	332(53)	<i>Musambi</i>	274(44)	56(9)
<i>Groundnut</i>	-	58(9)	<i>Lime</i>	274(44)	56(9)
<i>Sunflower</i>	255(41)	75(12)	<i>Amla</i>	54(9)	278(44)
<i>Redgram</i>	-	331(53)	<i>Cashew</i>	-	-
<i>Bengal gram</i>	274(44)	58(9)	<i>Jackfruit</i>	-	56(9)
<i>Cotton</i>	274(44)	58(9)	<i>Jamun</i>	-	274(44)
<i>Chilli</i>	-	313(50)	<i>Custard apple</i>	330(53)	2(<1)
<i>Tomato</i>	-	259(41)	<i>Tamarind</i>	-	274(44)
<i>Drumstick</i>	-	331(53)	<i>Mulberry</i>	-	56(9)
<i>Mango</i>	-	61(10)	<i>Marigold</i>	-	333(53)
<i>Guava</i>	-	56(9)	<i>Chrysanthemum</i>	-	333(53)
<i>Brinjal</i>	61(10)	271(43)	<i>Bhendi</i>	61(10)	272(43)
<i>Onion</i>	-	154(24)			

- ❖ *Apart from the individual crop suitability, a proposed crop plan has been prepared for the identified LMUs by considering only the highly and moderately suitable lands for different crops and cropping systems with food, fodder, fiber and horticulture crops.*
- ❖ *Maintaining soil-health is vital to crop production and conserve soil and land resource base for maintaining ecological balance and to mitigate climate change. For this, several ameliorative measures have been suggested to these problematic soils like saline/alkali, highly eroded, sandy soils etc.*
- ❖ *Soil and water conservation treatment plan has been prepared that would help in identifying the sites to be treated and also the type of structures required.*
- ❖ *As part of the greening programme, several tree species have been suggested to be planted in marginal and submarginal lands, field bunds and also in the hillocks, mounds and ridges. This would help in not only supplementing the farm income but also provide fodder and fuel to generate lot of biomass which would help in maintaining an ecological balance and also contribute to mitigating the climate change.*





## **INTRODUCTION**

Land is a scarce resource and basic unit for any material production. It can support the needs of the growing population, provided they use the land in a rational and judicious manner. But what is happening in many areas of the state is a cause for concern to everyone involved in the management of land resources at the grassroots level. The area available for agriculture is about 51 per cent of the total geographical area and more than 60 per cent of the people are still dependant on agriculture for their livelihood. The limited land area is under severe stress and strain due to increasing population pressure and competing demands of various land uses. Due to this, every year there is significant diversion of farm lands and water resources for non-agricultural purposes. Apart from this, due to lack of interest in farmers for farming, large tracts of cultivable lands are turning into fallows in many areas and this trend is continuing at an alarming rate.

Further, land degradation has emerged as a serious problem which has already affected about 38 lakh ha of cultivated area in the state. Soil erosion alone has degraded about 35 lakh ha. Almost all the uncultivated areas are facing various degrees of degradation, particularly soil erosion. Salinity and alkalinity has emerged as a major problem in more than 3.5 lakh ha in the irrigated areas of the state. Nutrient depletion and declining factor productivity is common in both rainfed and irrigated areas. The degradation is continuing at an alarming rate and there appears to be no systematic effort among the stakeholders to contain this process. In recent times, an aberration of weather due to climate change phenomenon has added another dimension leading to unpredictable situations to be tackled by the farmers.

In this critical juncture, the challenge before us is not only to increase the productivity per unit area which is steadily declining and showing a fatigue syndrome, but also to prevent or at least reduce the severity of degradation. If the situation is not reversed at the earliest, then the sustainability of the already fragile crop production system and the overall ecosystem will be badly affected in the state. The continued neglect and unscientific use of the resources for a long time has led to the situation observed at present in the state. It is a known fact and established beyond doubt by many studies in the past that the cause for all kinds of degradation is the neglect and irrational use of the land resources. Hence, there is an urgent need to generate a detailed site-specific farm level database on various land resources for all the villages/watersheds in a time bound manner that would help to protect the valuable soil and land resources and also to stabilize the farm production.

Therefore, the land resource inventory required for farm level planning is the one which investigates not only the surface but also consider the other parameters which are critical for productivity *viz.*, soils, climate, water, minerals and rocks, topography, geology, hydrology, vegetation, crops, land use pattern, animal population, socio-

economic conditions, infrastructure, marketing facilities and various schemes and developmental works of the government etc. From the data collected at farm level, the specific problems and potentials of the area can be identified and highlighted, conservation measures required for the area can be planned on a scientific footing, suitability of the area for various uses can be worked out and finally viable and sustainable land use options suitable for each and every land holding can be prescribed.

The Land Resource Inventory is basically done for identifying the potential and problem areas, developing sustainable land use plans, estimation of surface run off and water harvesting potential, preparation of soil and water conservation plans, land degradation/desertification etc. The Bureau is presently engaged in developing an LRI methodology using high resolution satellite remote sensing data and Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data to prepare Landscape Ecological Units (LEU) map representing agro-ecosystem as a whole. The LEU is preferred over landform as the base map for LRI. LEU is the assemblage of landform, slope and land use. An attempt has already been made to upscale the soil resource information from 1:250000 and 1:50000 scale to the LEU map in Goa and other states.

The land resource inventory aims to provide site specific database for Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed in Yadgir Taluk & District, Karnataka State for the Karnataka Watershed Development Department. The database was generated by using cadastral map of the village as a base along with high resolution IRS LISS IV and Cartosat-1 merged satellite imagery. Later, an attempt will be made to uplink this LRI data generated at 1:7920 scale under Sujala-III Project to the proposed Landscape Ecological Units (LEUs) map.

The study was organized and executed by the ICAR- National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Regional Centre, Bangalore under Generation of Land Resource Inventory Data Base Component-1 of the Sujala-III Project funded by the World Bank.

## GEOGRAPHICAL SETTING

### 2.1 Location and Extent

The Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed is located in the north part of Karnataka in Yadgir Taluk & District, Karnataka State (Fig.2.1). It comprises parts of Arakera.K , Ganapura, Gopalapura and Panchasheelanagar village. It lies between 16<sup>0</sup> 44' and 16<sup>0</sup> 45' North latitudes and 77<sup>0</sup> 15' and 77<sup>0</sup> 18' East longitudes, covering an area of about 626 ha. It is about 17 km central of Yadgir town and is surrounded by Arakera.K on the northwestern, Ganapura on east, Gopalapura on south and Panchasheelanagar on northwestern side of the microwatershed.




Fig.2.1 Location map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

### 2.2 Geology

Major rock formations observed in the microwatershed are granite gneiss (Figs.2.2). Granite gneisses are essentially pink to gray and are coarse to medium grained. They

consist primarily of quartz, feldspar, biotite and hornblende. The gray granite gneisses are highly weathered, fractured and fissured upto a depth of about 10 m. Dolerite dykes and quartz veins are common with variable width and found to occur in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed. The most widespread and characteristic development of alluvium in the watershed region lying between the rivers Krishna and Bhima is a wide belt, the underlying formation is gneiss and alluvial soils occur over gneiss, limestone and shale. The thickness of the alluvium generally is limited to less than a meter, except in river valleys where it is very deep extending to tens of meters. Such soils are transported and represent palaeo black soils originally formed at higher elevation, but now occupying river valleys.



Fig.2.2 Granite and granite gneiss rocks



Fig. 2.2b Alluvium

### **2.3 Physiography**

Physiographically, the area has been identified as granite gneiss and alluvial landscape based on geology. The area has been further subdivided into five landforms, viz; mounds/ridges, summits, side slopes and very gently sloping uplands, plains and valleys based on slope and its relief features. The elevation ranges from 427-474 m above MSL. The mounds and ridges are mostly covered by rock outcrops.

### **2.4 Drainage**

The area is drained by several parallel streams like Bori, Amerja and Kanga which finally join the river Bhima along its course. Though, they are not perennial, during rainy season they carry large quantities of rain water. The microwatershed has only few small tanks which are not capable of storing the water that flows during the rainy season. Due to this, the ground water recharge is very much affected. This is reflected in the failure of many bore wells in the villages. If the available rain water is properly harnessed by constructing new tanks and recharge structures at appropriate places in the villages, then the drinking and irrigation needs of the area can be easily met. The drainage network is parallel to sub parallel and dendritic.

### **2.5 Climate**

The Yadgir district lies in the northern plains of Karnataka and falls under semiarid tract of the state and is categorized as drought- prone with total annual rainfall of 866 mm (Table 2.1). Of the total rainfall, maximum of 652 mm is received during the south–west monsoon period from June to September, the north-east monsoon from October to early December contributes about 138 mm and the remaining 76 mm during the rest of the year. The summer season starts during the middle of February and continues up to the first week of June. The period from December to the middle of February is the coldest season. December is the coldest month with mean daily maximum and minimum temperatures being 29.5<sup>0</sup>C and 10<sup>0</sup>C respectively. During peak summer, temperature shoots up to 45<sup>0</sup>C. Relative humidity varies from 26% in summer to 62% in winter. Rainfall distribution is shown in Figure 2.3. The average Potential Evapo-Transpiration (PET) is 141 mm and varies from a low of 81 mm in December to 199 mm in the month of May. The PET is always higher than precipitation in all the months except end of June to end of September. Generally, the Length of crop Growing Period (LGP) is 120-150 days and starts from 1<sup>st</sup> week of June to 4<sup>th</sup> week of October.

**Table 2.1 Mean Monthly Rainfall, PET, 1/2 PET at Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District**

Sl. No.	Months	Rainfall	PET	1/2 PET
1	January	4.30	86.0	43.0
2	February	2.30	125.5	62.7
3	March	15.10	166.0	83.0
4	April	18.50	179.8	89.9
5	May	36.0	198.8	97.9
6	June	118.0	175.1	87.5
7	July	171.80	156.3	78.1
8	August	182.9	150.3	75.1
9	September	179.7	142.0	71.0
10	October	105.3	138.5	69.2
11	November	26.4	97.60	48.6
12	December	6.0	80.90	40.4
<b>Total</b>		<b>866.3</b>		




Fig 2.3 Rainfall distribution in Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District

## 2.6 Natural Vegetation

The natural vegetation is sparse comprising few tree species, shrubs and herbs. The mounds, ridges and boulders occupy very sizeable area which is under thin to moderately thick forest vegetation. Still, there are some remnants of the past forest cover which can be seen in patches in some ridges and hillocks in the microwatershed (Fig 2.4).

Apart from the continuing deforestation, the presence of large population of goats, sheep and other cattle in the microwatershed is causing vegetative degradation of whatever little vegetation left in the area. The uncontrolled grazing has left no time for the regeneration of the vegetative cover. This leads to the accelerated rate of erosion on the hill slopes resulting in the formation of deep gullies in the foot slopes that eventually result in the heavy siltation of tanks and reservoirs in the microwatershed.





Fig 2.4 Natural vegetation of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

## 2.7 Land Utilization

About 72 per cent area (Table 2.2) in Yadgir district is cultivated at present. An area of about 2 per cent is permanently under pasture, 20 per cent under current fallows and 6 per cent under non-agricultural land and 5 per cent under currently barren. Forests occupy an area of about 7 per cent and the tree cover is in a very poor state. Most of the mounds, ridges and bouldery areas have very poor vegetative cover. Major crops grown in the area are sorghum, maize, cotton, sunflower, groundnut, red gram, mango, pomegranate, marigold and sapota. While carrying out land resource inventory, the land use/land cover particulars are collected from all the survey numbers and a current land use map of the microwatershed is prepared. The current land use map prepared shows the arable and non-arable lands, other land uses and different types of crops grown in the area. The current land use map of Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed is presented in Fig.2.5. The location of wells in the Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed is shown in fig. 2.6. The different crops and cropping systems adopted in the microwatershed are presented in Figures 2.7 a & b.

**Table 2.2 Land Utilization in Yadgir District**

Sl. No.	Agricultural land use	Area ( ha)	Per cent
1	Total geographical area	516088	-
2	Total cultivated area	373617	72.4
3	Area sown more than once	74081	14.3
4	Cropping intensity	-	119.8
5	Trees and grooves	737	0.14
6	Forest	33773	6.54
7	Cultivable wasteland	2385	0.46
8	Permanent Pasture land	11755	2.28
9	Barren land	27954	5.41
10	Non- Agriculture land	29623	5.73
11	Current Fallows	105212	20.4




Fig.2.5 Current Land Use map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.2.6 Location of wells map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed.






Fig. 2.7 a. Different Crops and Cropping Systems in Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed





Fig. 2.7 b. Different Crops and Cropping Systems in Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The purpose of land resource inventory is to delineate similar areas (soil series and phases), which respond or expected to respond similarly to a given level of management. This was achieved in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed by the detailed study of all the soil characteristics (depth, texture, colour, structure, consistence, coarse fragments, porosity, soil reaction, soil horizons etc.) and site characteristics (slope of the land, erosion, drainage, occurrence of rock fragments etc.) followed by grouping of similar areas based on soil-site characteristics into homogeneous (management units) units, and showing the area extent and their geographic distribution on the microwatershed cadastral map. The detailed survey at 1:7920 scale was carried out in an area of 626 ha. The methodology followed for carrying out land resource inventory was as per the guidelines given in Soil Survey Manual (IARI, 1971; Soil Survey Staff, 2006; Natarajan *et al.*, 2015) which is briefly described below.

### 3.1 Base Maps

The detailed survey of the land resources occurring in the microwatershed was carried out by using digitized cadastral and IRS satellite imagery map as base supplied by KRSRAC. The cadastral map shows field boundaries with their survey numbers, location of tanks, streams and other permanent features of the area (Fig. 3.1). Apart from the cadastral map, remote sensing data products from Cartosat-1 and LISS IV merged at the scale of 1:7920 were used in conjunction with the cadastral map to identify the landscapes, landforms and other surface features. The imagery helped in the identification and delineation of boundaries between hills, uplands and lowlands, water bodies, forest and vegetated areas, roads, habitations and other cultural features of the area (Fig. 3.2). The cadastral map was overlaid on the satellite imagery (Fig. 3.3) that helps to identify the parcel boundaries and other permanent features. Apart from cadastral maps and images, toposheets of the area (1:50,000 scale) were also used for initial traversing, identification of geology and landforms, drainage features, present land use and also for selection of transects in the microwatershed.

### 3.2 Image Interpretation for Physiography

False Colour Composites (FCCs) of Cartosat-I and LISS-IV merged satellite data covering microwatershed area was visually interpreted using image interpretation elements and all the available collateral data with local knowledge. The delineated physiographic boundaries were transferred on to a cadastral map overlaid on satellite imagery. Physiographically, the area has been identified as granite and granite gneiss and alluvial landscape. It was divided into five landforms, *viz*; ridges and mounds, gently and very gently sloping uplands and lowlands based on slope and image characteristics. They

were further subdivided into physiographic/image interpretation units based on image characteristics. The image interpretation legend for physiography is given below.

## **Image Interpretation Legend for Physiography**

### **G- Granite Gneiss Landscape**

G1	Hills/ Ridges/ Mounds
G11	Summits
G12	Side slopes
G121	Side slopes with dark grey tones
G2	Uplands
G21	Summits
G22	Gently sloping uplands
G221	Gently sloping uplands, yellowish green (eroded)
G222	Gently sloping uplands, yellowish white (severely eroded)
G23	Very gently sloping uplands
G231	Very gently sloping uplands, yellowish green
G232	Very gently sloping uplands, medium green and pink
G233	Very gently sloping uplands, pink and green (scrub land)
G234	Very gently sloping uplands, medium greenish grey
G235	Very gently sloping uplands, yellowish white (eroded)
G236	Very gently sloping uplands, dark green
G237	Very gently sloping uplands, medium pink (coconut garden)
G238	Very gently sloping uplands, pink and bluish white (eroded)
G24	Valleys/ lowlands
G241	Valleys, pink tones
G242	Valleys gray mixed with pink tones

### **DSe – Alluvial Landscape**

#### **DSe 1 – Summit**

DSe 11 –

DSe 12 –

#### **DSe 2 – Very gently sloping**

DSe 21 – Very gently sloping, dark gray tone

DSe 22 – Very gently sloping, medium gray tone

DSe 23 – Very gently sloping, yellowish grey tone

DSe 24 – Very gently sloping, whitish grey tone

DSe 25 – Very gently sloping, whitish/ eroded/ calcareous tone

DSe 26- Very gently sloping, medium pink

#### **DSe 3 – Valley/ Lowland**

DSe 31 – Whitish gray/Calcareous

DSe 32 – Gray with pink patches

DSe 33 – Medium gray tone

DSe 34 – Lightish gray tone

DSe 35 – Dark gray tone






Fig 3.1 Scanned and Digitized Cadastral map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.3.2 Satellite Image of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.3.3 Cadastral map overlaid on IRS PAN+LISS IV merged imagery of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

### 3.3 Field Investigation

The field boundaries and survey numbers given on the cadastral sheet were located on the ground by following permanent features like roads, cart tracks, *nallas*, streams, tanks etc., and wherever changes were noticed, they were incorporated on the microwatershed cadastral map. Preliminary traverse of the microwatershed was carried out with the help of cadastral map, imagery and toposheets. While traversing, landforms and physiographic units identified were checked and preliminary soil legend was prepared by studying soils at few selected places. Then, intensive traversing of each physiographic unit like hills, ridges, uplands and valleys was carried out. Based on the variability observed on the surface, transects (Fig. 3.4) were selected across the slope covering all the landform units in the microwatershed (Natarajan and Dipak Sarkar, 2010).




Fig: 3.4. Location of profiles in a transect

In the selected transect, soil profiles were located (Fig. 3.4) at closely spaced intervals to take care of any change in the land features like break in slope, erosion, gravel, stones etc. In the selected sites, profiles (vertical cut showing the soil layers from surface to the rock) were opened upto 200 cm or to the depth limited by rock or hard substratum and studied in detail for all their morphological and physical characteristics. The soil and site characteristics were recorded for all profile sites on a standard proforma as per the guidelines given in USDA Soil Survey Manual (Soil Survey Staff, 2012). Apart from the transect study, profiles were also studied at random, almost like in a grid pattern, outside the transect areas.

Based on the soil characteristics, the soils were grouped into different soil series. Soil series is the most homogeneous unit having similar horizons and properties and behaves similarly for a given level of management. Soil depth, texture, colour, kind of horizon and horizon sequence, calcareousness, amount and nature of gravel present, nature of substratum etc, were used as the major differentiating characteristics for identifying soil series occurring in the area. The differentiating characteristics used for identifying the soil series are given in Table 3.1. Based on the above characteristics, 14 soil series were identified in the Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed.

**Table 3.1 Differentiating Characteristics used for identifying Soil Series**  
(Characteristics are of Series Control Section)

<b>Soils of Granite gneiss Landscape</b>							
<b>Sl. no</b>	<b>Soil Series</b>	<b>Depth (cm)</b>	<b>Colour (moist)</b>	<b>Texture</b>	<b>Gravel (%)</b>	<b>Horizon sequence</b>	<b>Calcareousness</b>
<b>Soil of Granite and Granite Gneiss Landscape</b>							
1	KKR/KKW (Kakalawar)	<25	7.5YR 4/3 10YR 6/3	sl	10-15	Ap-AC	-
2	BDL (Badiyala)	25-50	7.5YR2.5/3,2.5/ 3/3,10YR 3/4,4/3	sl	<15	Ap-Bw	e
3	HTK (Hattikuni)	25-50	10YR 4/6, 4/4 7.5YR 4/4, 3/3	sl	10-25	Ap-AC	-
4	VNK (Vanakanahalli)	25-50	2.5YR 3/4	sc	<15	Ap-Bt- Cr	-
5	YLR (Yalleri)	50-75	2.5YR 3/4,4/4 5YR 3/4 7.5 YR4/4	c	15-35	Ap-Bt	-
6	HSL (Hosalli)	75- 100	10YR 5/4,4/4,4/6	sc	<15	Ap-Bw	e
7	ANR (Anur)	100- 150	10YR 4/3,4/1	c	<15	Ap-Bw	es
8	MDG (Mundargi)	100- 150	10YR 4/4,3/3 7.5YR 4/4	scl	<15	Ap-Bw	-
9	NGP/NPR (Naglapur)	100- 150	10YR 3/2,3/1,2/1	c	<15	Ap-Bss	es
10	BMN (Bhimanahalli)	>150	10YR 3/1	c	<15	Ap-Bss	es
11	SGR (Sangwar)	>150	10 YR 3/1,4/1	c	<15	Ap-Bss	es
<b>Soils of Alluvial Landscape</b>							
12	RHN (Rachanalli)	75- 100	10 YR 3/2,4/3	scl	<15	Ap-Bw	e
13	KDR (Kudlura)	100- 150	10YR 3/1,3/2,4/1,5/2	c	<15	Ap-Bw	es
14	HGN (Hegganakera)	>150	10 YR 4/2,4/1,3/1,4/1	c	<15	Ap-BA- Bss	e

### 3.4 Soil Mapping

The area under each soil series was further separated into soil phases and their boundaries delineated on the cadastral map based on the variations observed in the texture of the surface soil, slope, erosion, presence of gravel, stoniness etc. A soil phase is a subdivision of soil series based mostly on surface features that affect its use and management. The soil mapping units are shown on the map (Fig.3.5) in the form of symbols. During the survey about many profile pits, few minipits and a few auger bores representing different landforms occurring in the microwatershed were studied. In



addition to the profile study, spot observations in the form of minipits, road cuts, terrace cuts etc., were studied to validate the soil boundaries on the soil map.

The soil map shows the geographic distribution of 23 mapping units representing 14 soil series occurring in the microwatershed. The soil map unit (soil legend) description is presented in Table 3.2. The soil phase map (management units) shows the distribution of 23 soil phases mapped in the microwatershed. Each mapping unit (soil phase) delineated on the map has similar soil and site characteristics. In other words, all the farms or survey numbers included in one phase will have similar management needs and have to be treated accordingly.

### **3.5 Land Management Units**

The 23 soil phases identified and mapped in the microwatershed were grouped into 6 Land Management Units (LMU's) for the purpose of preparing a Proposed Crop Plan for sustained development of the microwatershed. The database (soil phases) generated under LRI was utilized for identifying Land Management Units (LMU's) based on the management needs. One or more than one soil site characteristic having influence on the management have been chosen for identification and delineation of LMUs. For Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed, five soil and site characteristics, namely soil depth, soil texture, slope, erosion and gravel content have been considered for defining LMUs. The land use classes are expected to behave similarly for a given level of management.

### **3.6 Laboratory Characterization**

Soil samples were collected from representative master profiles for laboratory characterization by following the methods outlined in the Laboratory Manual (Sarma *et al*, 1987). Surface soil samples collected from farmer's fields (59 samples) for fertility status (major and micronutrients) at 320 m grid interval in the year 2017 were analyzed in the laboratory (Katyal and Rattan, 2003). By linking the soil fertility data to the survey numbers through GIS, soil fertility maps were generated by using Kriging method for the microwatershed.

**Table 3.2 Soil map unit description of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed**

*Soil map unit No.	Soil Series	Soil Phase	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
<b>Soils of Granite and Granite Gneiss Landscape</b>				
	KKR		Kakalawar soils are very shallow (<25 cm), well drained, have dark brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>46</b> <b>(7.39)</b>
153		KKRbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	46 (7.39)
	BDL		Badiyala soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark brown and dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy loam soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>84</b> <b>(13.27)</b>
162		BDLhB2g1	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	57 (9.02)
5		BDLiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	27 (4.25)
	HTK		Hattikuni soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark yellowish brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>4</b> <b>(0.66)</b>
156		HTKbB2	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	3 (0.49)
161		HTKbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	1 (0.17)
	VNK		Vanakanahalli soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown, sandy clay red soils occurring on very gently to moderately sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>54</b> <b>(8.54)</b>
8		VNKbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	54 (8.54)
	YLR		Yalleri soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have brown to reddish brown and dark reddish brown, clay red soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>2</b> <b>(0.39)</b>
31		YLRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	2 (0.39)
	HSL		Hosalli soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), moderately well drained, have yellowish brown to dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>56</b> <b>(8.84)</b>
33		HSLiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	56 (8.84)
	ANR		Anur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have dark gray to brown, calcareous cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>44</b> <b>(6.98)</b>
168		ANRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	43 (6.83)
55		ANRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate	1 (0.15)

*Soil map unit No.	Soil Series	Soil Phase	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
			erosion	
	MDG	Mundargi soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown, sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>60</b> <b>(9.64)</b>
57		MDGcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	60 (9.6)
58		MDGiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	0 (0.04)
	NGP	Nagalapur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to very dark grayish brown, black calcareous cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>19</b> <b>(3.08)</b>
163		NGPmA1	Clay surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	19 (3.08)
	BMN	Bhimanahalli soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray, calcareous cracking clay black soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>96</b> <b>(15.29)</b>
62		BMNmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	96 (15.29)
	SGR	Sangwar soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have dark gray to very dark gray, calcareous sodic cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping lowlands under cultivation		<b>31</b> <b>(4.97)</b>
158		SGRiA1	Sandy clay surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	31 (4.97)
<b>Soils of Alluvial Landscape</b>				
	RHN	Rachanalli soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), well drained, have very dark grayish brown to dark brown, slightly calcareous sodic cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping plains under cultivation		<b>19</b> <b>(3.12)</b>
135		RHNhB2	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	10 (1.67)
79		RHNmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	9 (1.45)
	KDR	Kudlura soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to grayish brown, calcareous sodic cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping plains under cultivation		<b>53</b> <b>(8.44)</b>
84		KDRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	4 (0.58)
86		KDRhA1	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	14 (2.28)
87		KDRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	6 (0.96)

<b>*Soil map unit No.</b>	<b>Soil Series</b>	<b>Soil Phase</b>	<b>Mapping Unit Description</b>	<b>Area in ha (%)</b>
88		KDRiB3	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, severe erosion	8 (1.3)
89		KDRmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	21 (3.32)
	HGN	Hegganakera soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping plains under cultivation		<b>1 (0.24)</b>
95		HGNmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	1 (0.24)
1000	Others	Habitation and Water body		<b>57 (9.15)</b>




Fig 3.5 Soil Phase or Management Units - Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed



## THE SOILS

Detailed information pertaining to the nature, extent and their distribution of different kinds of soils occurring in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed is provided in this chapter. The microwatershed area has been identified as granite gneiss and alluvial landscape based on geology. In all, 14 soil series are identified. Soil formation is the result of the combined effect of environmental and terrain factors that are reflected in soil morphology. In the granite gneiss landscape, it is by parent material, relief and climate.

A brief description of each of the 14 soil series identified followed by 23 soil phases (management units) mapped under each series are furnished below. The physical and chemical characteristics of soil series identified in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed are given in Table 4.1 along with soil classification. The soils in any one map unit differ from place to place in their depth, texture, slope, gravelliness, erosion or any other site characteristic that affect management. The soil phase map can be used for identifying the suitability of areas for growing specific crops or for other alternative uses and also for deciding the type of conservation structures needed. The detailed information on soil and site-characteristics like soil depth, surface soil texture, slope, erosion, gravelliness, AWC, LCC etc, with respect to each of the soil phase identified is given village/survey number wise for the microwatershed in Appendix-I.

### 4.1 Soils of granite gneiss landscape

In this landscape, 11 soil series are identified and mapped. Of these, BMN series occupies maximum area of 96 ha (15%) followed by BDL 84 ha (13%), MDG 60 ha (10%), HSL 56 ha (9%), VNK 54 ha (9%), KKR 46 ha (7%), ANR 44 ha (7%), SGR 31 ha (5%), NGP 19 ha (3%), HTK 4 ha (<1%), YLR 2 ha (<1%), Brief description of each series identified and number of soil phases mapped is given below.

**4.1.1 Kakalawar (KKR) Series:** Kakalawar soils are very shallow (<25cm), well drained, have dark brown to light brown, loamy sand soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Kakalawar series has been classified as a member of the mixed, isohyperthermic family of Lithic Ustipsamments.

The thickness of the soil is less than 25 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 4 to 6 and chroma 3 to 4. The texture varies from loamy sand to sand. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). One phase was identified and mapped.





Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Kakalawar (KKR) Series

**4.1.2 Badiyala (BDL) Series:** Badiyala soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have very dark brown, dark yellow brown and dark brown, slightly calcareous sandy loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Badiyala series has been classified as a member of the coarse-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts

The thickness of the solum ranges from 28 to 50 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 4 to 12 cm. Its colour is in 10YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. The texture is loamy sand, sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 27 to 45 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. Its texture is sandy loam to sandy clay loam and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is very low (<50mm/m). Two phases were indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Badiyala (BDL) Series



**4.1.3 Hattikuni (HTK) Series:** Hattikuni soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to dark yellowish brown loamy sand soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Hattikuni series has been classified as a member of the mixed, isohyperthermic family of Lithic Ustipsamments.

The thickness of the soil ranges from 36 to 50 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 12 cm. Its colour is in 10YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 4 to 6. The texture varies from loamy sand to sandy loam. The thickness of subsurface horizon ranges from 28 to 42 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR and 7.5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 4 to 6. Its texture varies from loamy sand to sand and sandy loam. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). Two phases were indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Hattikuni (HTK) Series

**4.1.4 Vanakanahalli (VNK) Series:** Vanakanahalli soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown sandy clay red soils. They have developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Vanakanahalli series has been classified as a member of the clayey mixed isohyperthermic family of Paralithic Haplustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 25 to 49 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 7 to 16 cm. Its colour is in 2.5 YR and 5 YR with value 3 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture is sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 20 to 40 cm. Its colour is in 2.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 3 to 4. Its texture is sandy clay. The available water capacity is very low (<50 mm/m). One phase was indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Vanakanahalli (VNK) Series

**4.1.5 Yalleri (YLR) Series:** Yalleri soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have very dark reddish brown to dark brown, gravelly clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Yalleri series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Paleustalfs.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 50 to 74 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 10 to 13 cm. Its colour is in 7.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value and chroma 2 to 4. The texture is sandy loam, loamy sand, and sandy clay loam. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 45 to 64 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR, 7.5 YR and 5 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. Its texture is clay with gravel content of 15-35 per cent. The available water capacity is low (51-100 mm/m). One phase was identified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Yalleri (YLR) Series



**4.1.6 Hosalli (HSL) Series:** Hosalli soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), moderately well drained, have dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Hosalli series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 76 to 100 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 15 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR and 7.5 YR with value 3 to 5 and chroma 2 to 4. Its texture varies from loamy sand to sandy loam and sandy clay loam. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 62 to 93 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. Its texture varies from sandy clay loam to sandy clay and clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is medium (101-150 mm/m). One phase was indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Hosalli (HSL) Series

**4.1.7 Anur (ANR) Series:** Anur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have dark gray to dark brown, calcareous sodic clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Anur series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed (calcareous), isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 102 to 148 cm. The thickness of A-horizon ranges from 9 to 17 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture ranges from loamy sand to sandy clay loam and sandy clay and are calcareous. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 102 to 135 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 6. Texture is sandy clay loam to sandy clay and clay and is calcareous sodic soils. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Two phases were indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Anur (ANR) Series

**4.1.8 Mundargi (MDG) Series:** Mundargi soils are deep (100-150 cm), well drained, dark brown to dark yellowish brown, sandy clay loam soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Mundargi series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 100 to 149 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 8 to 20 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 and chroma 1 to 4. The texture ranges from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 105 to 140 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. The texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Two phases were indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Mundargi (MDG) Series



**4.1.9 Naglapur (NGP) Series:** Naglapur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have black to very dark grayish brown, calcareous cracking clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Naglapur series has been classified as a member of the very fine, smectitic (calcareous), isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplusterts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 110 to 150 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 25 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 3. The texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay and clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 110 to 141 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 2 to 3 and chroma 1 to 2. Texture is clay and is calcareous. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). One phase was indentified and mapped



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Naglapur (NGP) Series

**4.1.10 Bhimanahalli (BMN) Series:** Bhimanahalli soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, very dark gray calcareous cracking clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation. The Bhimanahalli series has been classified as a member of the fine, smectitic (calcareous), isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplusterts.

The thickness of the solum is more than 150 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 13 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 2 with clay texture. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 163 to 176 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 1. Its texture is clay and is calcareous. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). One phase was indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Bhimanahalli (BMN) Series

**4.1.11 Sangwar (SGR) Series:** Sangwar soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to dark gray, sodic calcareous cracking clay soils. They are developed from weathered granite gneiss and occur on very gently to gently sloping lowlands under cultivation. The Sangwar series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed (calcareous), isohyperthermic family of Sodic Haplusterts.

The thickness of the solum is more than 150 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 9 to 20 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 5 and chroma 1 to 2 with sandy clay loam to sandy clay and clay texture. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 157 to 174 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 2. Its texture varies from sandy clay to clay and is calcareous sodic soils. They are sodic with ESP ranging from 29 - 65%. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). One phase was indentified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Sangwar (SGR) Series



## 4.2 Soils of alluvial landscape

In this landscape, 3 soil series are identified and mapped. Of these, KDR occupies 53 ha (8%) followed by RHN 19 ha (3%) and HGN 1 ha (<1%), Brief description of each series identified and number of soil phases mapped is given below.

**4.2.1 Rachanalli (RHN) Series:** Rachanalli soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), well drained, have very dark grayish brown to dark brown, slightly calcareous sodic sandy clay loam soils. They have developed from alluvium and occur on very gently sloping plains under cultivation. The Rachanalli series has been classified as a member of the fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 76 to 100 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 13 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR with value 3 to 4 and chroma 2 to 4. Its texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and sandy clay. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 66 to 92 cm. Its colour is in hue 10 YR with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 3. Its texture varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam and is slightly calcareous sodic soils. The available water capacity is medium (101-150 mm/m). Two phases were identified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Rachanalli (RHN) Series

**4.2.2 Kudlura (KDR) Series:** Kudlura soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to grayish brown, calcareous cracking clay soils. They have developed from alluvium and occur on nearly level to very gently sloping plains under cultivation. The Kudlura series has been classified as a member of the fine, mixed, (calcareous), isohyperthermic family of Fluventic Haplustepts.

The thickness of the solum ranges from 110 to 149 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 6 to 22 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 2. The texture ranges from sandy loam, sandy clay loam, sandy clay and clay. The

thickness of B horizon ranges from 115 to 143 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 3. Texture is sandy clay loam, sandy clay to clay and is calcareous soils. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). Five phases were identified and mapped.



Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Kudlura (KDR) Series

**4.2.3 Hegganakera (HGN) Series:** Hegganakera soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous cracking clay soils. They have developed from alluvium and occur on very gently sloping plains under cultivation. The Hegganakera series has been classified as a member of the very fine, smectitic, isohyperthermic family of Typic Haplusterts.

The thickness of the solum is more than 150 cm. The thickness of A horizon ranges from 7 to 9 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 3 to 4 and chroma 1 to 3 with clay texture. The thickness of B horizon ranges from 152 to 175 cm. Its colour is in 10 YR hue with value 2 to 4 and chroma 1 to 3. Its texture is clay and is slightly calcareous. The available water capacity is very high (>200 mm/m). One phase was identified and mapped.





Landscape and Soil Profile characteristics of Hegganakera (HGN) Series

**Table: 4.1 Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Soil Series identified in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed**

**Soil Series:** Kakalawar (KKR), Pedon: R-7

**Location:** 16°50'25.9"N 77°15'97.1"E, Yampada village, Gurumitkal hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Mixed, isohyperthermic, Lithic Ustipsamments

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-22	Ap	83.81	10.37	5.82	17.31	20.65	17.91	5.67	22.27	10-20	ls	9.77	4.65

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-22	5.85	-	-	0.027	0.19	-	0.72	0.21	0.62	0.03	1.58	2.6	0.45	60.90	1.17

*Contd...*

**Soil Series:** Badiyala (BDL) **Pedon:** R-5

**Location:** 16°37'10.0"N 77°20'21.5", Gudalagunta village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Coarse-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic, Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-12	Ap	87.13	7.04	5.83	10.03	24.32	23.61	23.51	5.67	<15	ls	6.27	2.44
12-28	Bw1	64.63	13.30	22.07	6.74	13.07	22.30	17.01	5.50	<15	scl	16.34	7.83
28-52	BC	73.11	12.02	14.87	3.93	16.03	26.89	18.41	7.86	<15	sl	12.94	5.47

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>					%	%		
0-12	6.20	-	-	0.074	1.00	0.00	2.80	0.98	0.14	0.01	3.92	4.20	0.72	93	0.20
12-28	9.04	-	-	0.253	0.80	3.20	-	-	0.16	0.69	-	16.90	0.77	100	4.09
28-52	9.41	-	-	0.364	1.10	3.60	-	-	0.16	1.39	-	11.10	0.75	100	12.52

*Contd...*

**Soil Series:** Hattikuni (HTK), Pedon: R-7

**Location:** 16°50'46.5"N 77°10'16.4"E, Yaddalli village, Hattikuni hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Mixed, isohyperthermic, Lithic Ustipsamments

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-12	Ap	90.89	5.62	3.49	8.50	13.46	29.86	29.55	9.51	20	s	7.73	3.16
12-22	A1	89.97	6.53	3.50	7.19	13.48	29.48	29.79	10.03	20	s	8.00	3.05
22-45	A2	87.20	6.43	6.38	11.09	14.42	31.55	7.16	22.98	40	ls	7.67	3.96

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-12	6.81	-	-	0.062	0.07	-	2.35	0.50	0.16	0.01	3.02	3.0	0.86	100	0.38
12.0-22	6.80	-	-	0.050	0.21	-	1.67	0.30	0.09	0.01	2.07	2.4	0.69	86.30	0.45
22-45	6.85	-	-	0.044	0.19	-	1.82	0.42	0.10	0.06	2.40	2.6	0.41	92.41	2.17

*Contd...*

**Soil Series:** Vanakanahalli (VNK) **Pedon:** R-15

**Location:** 16°43'49.5"N 77°17'17.9"E, Yaleri village, Balichakra hobli, Yadgiri taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Clayey, mixed isohyperthermic Paralithic Haplustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-18	Ap	82.61	8.09	9.30	6.77	8.59	21.13	34.58	11.53	-	ls	8.85	3.53
18-61	Bt	54.51	8.73	36.77	4.93	6.18	14.15	20.75	8.49	-	sc	18.88	11.63

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>					%	%		
0-18	5.37	-	-	0.11	0.60	0.00	2.96	1.45	0.13	0.14	4.68	6.27	0.67	75	2.22
18-61	4.71	-	-	0.05	0.81	0.00	5.56	2.24	0.10	0.05	7.95	13.31	0.36	60	0.38

*Contd...*

Yalleri (YLR) **Pedon:** R-16

**Location:** 16°32'54.3"N 77°22'71.2"E, Duppalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Paleustalfs

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-5	Ap	81.69	5.44	12.87	6.10	8.65	33.88	21.57	11.50	-	sl	8.60	3.37
5-34	Bt1	38.78	6.73	54.49	3.38	9.91	12.42	8.93	4.14	-	c	25.33	15.82
34-75	Bt2	40.35	2.90	56.75	12.91	6.83	10.30	7.48	2.82	35-60	c	24.49	16.20

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-5	6.91	-	-	0.069	0.70	0.00	5.29	1.37	0.28	0.03	6.96	6.90	0.54	100	0.45
5-34	7.05	-	-	0.053	0.62	0.00	16.43	3.89	0.26	0.09	20.67	21.60	0.40	96	0.42
34-75	7.25	-	-	0.058	0.59	0.00	15.22	3.46	0.25	0.14	19.06	19.90	0.35	96	0.69

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Hosalli (HSL) **Pedon:** R-3

**Location:** 16°46'60.3"N 77°05'47.6"E, Mudhanala village, Yadgir hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-10	Ap	88.43	5.15	6.42	5.69	6.40	36.04	27.31	12.99	-	s	7.40	2.74
10-30	Bw1	58.47	7.24	34.29	4.26	9.37	19.91	19.28	5.64	-	scl	19.07	11.57
30-50	Bw2	51.43	12.67	35.90	3.49	8.89	16.72	15.87	6.46	<15	sc	21.64	12.44
50-90	Bw3	49.89	13.64	36.47	2.43	2.96	20.61	16.17	7.72	<15	sc	21.12	12.95

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-10	7.16	-	-	0.117	0.48	0.00	2.83	1.50	0.15	0.29	4.76	4.90	0.76	97	5.94
10-30	6.91	-	-	0.040	0.36	0.00	10.64	5.43	0.10	0.26	16.43	17.80	0.52	92	1.47
30-50	8.17	-	-	0.182	0.24	1.43	-	-	0.12	0.22	-	19.90	0.55	100	1.08
50-90	8.60	-	-	0.148	0.20	4.29	-	-	0.13	0.16	-	19.70	0.54	100	0.81

Contd...



**Soil Series:** Anur (ANR) **Pedon:** R-15

**Location:** 16°32'45.0"N 77°23'57.4"E, Duppalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed (calcareous), isohyperthermic Typic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-18	Ap	64.60	13.44	21.96	7.33	10.42	18.68	20.12	8.05	<15	scl	16.59	7.96
18-49	Bw1	56.66	12.19	31.15	4.73	9.80	18.66	17.02	6.45	-	scl	33.38	13.51
49-95	Bw2	39.94	17.81	42.25	3.09	3.30	15.44	10.65	7.45	<15	c	44.68	25.23
95-123	Bw3	30.65	17.58	51.77	1.50	5.57	10.18	9.65	3.75	<15	c	54.94	32.07

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP			
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	Ca	Mg					K	Na	Total
										cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>								
0-18	10.17	-	-	0.365	0.48	6.11	-	-	0.25	3.52	-	19.90	0.91	100	7.08			
18-49	10.32	-	-	1.38	0.30	6.76	-	-	0.21	16.03	-	24.60	0.79	100	26.07			
49-95	10.08	-	-	2.55	0.17	6.11	-	-	0.33	21.49	-	32.60	0.77	100	26.36			
95-123	9.92	-	-	2.56	0.12	7.93	-	-	0.51	26.03	-	36.00	0.70	100	28.92			

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Mundargi (MDG) **Pedon:** R-2

**Location:** 16°46'82.4"N 77°04'85.2"E, Thumakura village, Yadgir hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-Loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-9	Ap	81.23	12.97	5.80	4.84	10.19	14.83	37.94	13.42	<15	ls	11.75	3.31
9-20	A2	76.82	16.19	6.98	4.96	10.12	20.75	27.53	13.46	-	ls	14.52	3.99
20-46	Bw1	42.43	17.43	40.15	2.26	5.59	11.49	14.93	8.16	-	c	34.90	21.14
46-90	Bw2	54.51	16.56	28.93	4.72	5.03	19.92	16.67	8.18	-	scl	36.73	18.88
90-110	Bw3	53.69	11.00	35.30	9.57	9.89	16.23	13.01	4.99	-	sc	38.72	20.53

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-9	8.2	-	-	0.399	0.44	0.78	-	-	0.16	0.38	-	4.90	0.84	100	3.08
9-20	8.44	-	-	0.075	0.29	1.82	-	-	0.05	0.35	-	4.90	0.70	100	2.88
20-46	9.39	-	-	0.451	0.32	2.73	-	-	0.12	5.22	-	20.77	0.52	100	10.06
46-90	9.75	-	-	0.616	0.24	3.25	-	-	0.12	5.72	-	16.56	0.57	100	13.82
90-110	9.72	-	-	0.725	0.24	3.64	-	-	0.14	6.84	-	19.76	0.56	100	13.836

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Naglapur (NGP) **Pedon:** R-8

**Location:** 16°52'84.1"N 77°22'99.4"E, Gurumitkal village, Gurumitkal hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Very fine, smectitic (calcareous), isohyperthermic Typic Haplusterts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-10	Ap	7.53	19.88	72.59	1.00	0.78	0.89	2.10	2.77	-	c	44.31	32.79
10-35	Bss1	6.55	18.76	74.68	0.80	0.92	0.80	1.72	2.30	-	c	43.09	31.62
35-60	Bss2	6.58	21.05	72.37	0.69	0.46	1.04	1.50	2.89	-	c	46.52	32.52
60-102	Bss3	7.48	19.74	72.78	1.61	1.38	0.69	1.61	2.19	-	c	51.12	35.62

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP			
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	Ca	Mg					K	Na	Total
										cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>								
0-10	7.42	-	-	0.24	0.84	1.30	-	-	0.84	0.15	-	67.10	0.92	100	0.22			
10-35	8.52	-	-	0.291	0.64	2.86	-	-	0.17	0.29	-	65.20	0.87	100	0.45			
35-60	7.89	-	-	0.134	0.62	4.55	-	-	0.15	0.20	-	65.00	0.90	100	0.30			
60-102	8.68	-	-	0.213	0.54	8.32	-	-	0.17	0.15	-	64.10	0.88	100	0.24			

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Bhimanahalli (BMN) **Pedon:** R-3

**Location:** 16°31'82.4"N 77°12'70.8"E, Bheemanahalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, smectitic (calcareous), isohyperthermic Typic Haplusterts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-8	Ap	20.34	19.94	59.72	2.68	5.03	3.75	5.25	3.64	-	c	50.19	33.49
8-40	Bss1	19.61	22.76	57.62	1.94	2.59	5.28	4.96	4.85	-	c	43.22	29.05
40-70	Bss2	21.25	17.65	61.10	3.02	5.26	3.91	5.48	3.58	-	c	44.30	30.25
70-120	Bss3	19.08	22.29	58.63	1.75	5.04	3.84	5.15	3.29	-	c	43.26	30.31
120-170	Bss4	11.11	20.44	68.45	2.04	1.93	1.70	2.83	2.61	-	c	51.33	33.51

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-8	8.2	-	-	0.284	0.72	4.94	-	-	1.20	0.34	-	52.70	0.88	100	0.65
8-40	8.44	-	-	0.139	0.40	7.28	-	-	0.30	0.48	-	52.06	0.90	100	0.93
40-70	8.32	-	-	0.202	0.40	6.37	-	-	0.18	0.40	-	52.52	0.86	100	0.77
70-120	9.3	-	-	0.282	0.36	6.89	-	-	0.27	0.38	-	50.97	0.87	100	0.75
120-170	8.47	-	-	0.305	0.37	8.19	-	-	0.28	0.91	-	58.19	0.85	100	1.57

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Sangwar (SGR) **Pedon:** R-4

**Location:** 16°32'25.9"N 77°12'52.6"E, Bheemanahalli village, Sydhapura hobli, Yadgir taluka and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed (calcareous), isohyperthermic Sodic Haplusterts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-8	Ap	37.30	18.18	44.52	4.91	6.76	12.10	4.80	8.72	-	c	32.36	23.18
8-30	BA	42.04	17.77	40.19	8.28	16.34	7.42	6.13	3.87	-	c	29.89	20.87
30-70	Bss1	33.77	18.63	47.60	5.45	11.66	6.21	6.75	3.70	-	c	37.04	26.13
70-100	Bss2	26.95	18.65	54.40	5.39	9.79	4.95	4.07	2.75	-	c	43.07	32.05
100-150	Bss3	14.35	17.32	68.33	2.69	4.15	2.35	2.69	2.47	-	c	55.74	38.19

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP			
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	Ca	Mg					K	Na	Total
										cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>								
0-8	8.3	-	-	6.49	1.48	6.69	-	-	1.32	10.09	-	34.77	0.78	100	11.61			
8-30	9.09	-	-	2.54	0.64	6.76	-	-	0.75	10.00	-	33.76	0.84	100	11.85			
30-70	9.23	-	-	2.6	0.28	6.63	-	-	0.42	11.55	-	38.98	0.82	100	11.86			
70-100	9.39	-	-	3.01	0.36	6.89	-	-	0.73	27.73	-	42.46	0.78	100	26.132			
100-150	9.28	-	-	4	0.24	7.15	-	-	0.80	27.78	-	47.67	0.70	100	23.308			

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Rachanalli (RHN) **Pedon:** R-2

**Location:** 16°44'40.9"N 77°17'35.0"E, Gopalpura village, Gurumitkal hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine-loamy, mixed, isohyperthermic Typic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-8	Ap	77.72	14.09	8.19	6.31	13.12	18.82	27.16	12.31	-	sl	10.76	3.53
8-43	AB	76.00	10.38	13.62	13.29	17.92	16.99	20.60	7.21	-	sl	21.48	7.91
43-87	Bw	52.64	19.95	27.41	2.69	4.66	16.79	16.89	11.61	-	scl	40.80	16.55

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5)	O.C.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation	ESP
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
				dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	%	cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>					%	%		
0-8	8.16	-	-	0.22	0.38	1.20	5.43	2.49	0.16	0.79	8.87	8.99	1.10	99	3.52
8-43	9.63	-	-	0.26	0.19	0.60	6.25	4.72	0.09	4.31	15.37	14.66	1.08	105	11.77
43-87	10.09	-	-	1.01	0.15	5.76	-	-	0.21	11.77	-	24.08	0.88	100	19.55

Contd...

**Soil Series:** Kudlura (KDR) **Pedon:** T<sub>1</sub>/P<sub>2</sub>

**Location:** 16°34'03.1"N 77°14'71.7"E, Kyathanala village, Sydhapura Hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Fine, mixed (calcareous), isohyperthermic Fluventic Haplustepts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-6	Ap	49.52	14.58	35.90	5.71	7.41	14.81	15.66	5.93	-	sc	26.86	12.10
6-26	BA	50.79	13.31	35.90	7.41	9.10	15.56	13.12	5.61	-	sc	25.65	12.24
26-67	Bw1	43.49	15.97	40.54	5.86	7.38	13.56	10.85	5.86	-	c	31.22	16.48
67-115	Bw2	37.42	18.93	43.66	6.51	6.83	10.95	8.68	4.45	-	c	36.13	22.34
115-144	Bw3	39.74	18.88	41.38	8.16	7.84	10.63	8.70	4.40	-	c	35.83	20.57

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-6	8.34	-	-	0.15	0.72	3.55	-	-	0.42	0.07	-	33.20	0.92	100	0.09
6-26	8.55	-	-	0.11	0.85	4.90	-	-	0.33	0.25	-	32.70	0.91	100	0.30
26-67	9.08	-	-	0.17	0.60	5.02	-	-	0.18	1.34	-	36.20	0.89	100	1.48
67-115	9.44	-	-	0.37	0.52	6.61	-	-	0.25	6.72	-	39.30	0.90	100	6.836
115-144	9.53	-	-	0.43	0.56	6.10	-	-	0.26	7.85	-	33.70	0.81	100	9.316

Contd...



**Soil Series:** Hegganakera (HGN) **Pedon:** R-12

**Location:** 16°46'19.9"N 77°04'34.0"E, Thumakura village, Yadgir hobli, Yadgir taluk and district

**Analysis at:** NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bengaluru **Classification:** Very fine, smectitic, isohyperthermic Typic Haplusterts

Depth (cm)	Horizon	Size class and particle diameter (mm)								Coarse fragments w/w (%)	Texture Class (USDA)	% Moisture	
		Total			Sand							1/3 Bar	15 Bar
		Sand (2.0-0.05)	Silt (0.05-0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	Very coarse (2.0-1.0)	Coarse (1.0-0.5)	Medium (0.5-0.25)	Fine (0.25-0.1)	Very fine (0.1-0.05)				
0-8	Ap	20.20	25.22	54.58	2.32	2.76	3.53	8.17	3.42	-	c	42.47	25.59
8-24	BA	21.18	21.70	57.12	2.07	3.28	4.69	7.31	3.82	-	c	41.88	24.67
24-50	Bss1	18.76	21.67	59.57	1.20	2.51	3.93	7.09	4.03	-	c	40.46	23.34
50-86	Bss2	16.74	22.24	61.02	0.88	1.53	4.27	6.02	4.05	-	c	42.18	24.76
86-146	Bss3	18.64	20.20	61.16	2.30	2.41	3.73	6.36	3.84	-	c	40.03	28.61
146-170	Bss4	16.08	19.33	64.59	0.88	2.75	3.41	5.95	3.08	-	c	40.28	29.90

Depth (cm)	pH (1:2.5)			E.C. (1:2.5) dS m <sup>-1</sup>	O.C. %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Exchangeable bases					CEC	CEC/Clay	Base saturation %	ESP %
	Water	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	M KCl				Ca	Mg	K	Na	Total				
0-8	8.77	-	-	1.33	1.16	8.19	-	-	1.10	5.21	-	36.23	0.66	100	14.38
8-24	8.93	-	-	1.11	0.64	5.46	-	-	0.87	4.23	-	35.50	0.62	100	11.93
24-50	8.85	-	-	0.984	0.32	3.38	-	-	0.71	3.78	-	36.69	0.62	100	10.30
50-86	8.54	-	-	0.562	0.24	3.38	-	-	0.58	3.07	-	39.16	0.64	100	7.84
86-146	8.45	-	-	0.526	0.24	3.38	-	-	0.62	2.82	-	38.52	0.63	100	7.31
146-170	8.64	-	-	0.517	0.20	4.29	-	-	0.60	2.99	-	36.87	0.57	100	8.12



## INTERPRETATION FOR LAND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The most important soil and site characteristics that affect the land use and conservation needs of an area are land capability, soil depth, soil texture, coarse fragments, available water capacity, soil slope, soil erosion, soil reaction etc. These are interpreted from the data base generated through land resource inventory and several thematic maps are generated. These would help in identifying the areas suitable for growing crops and, soil and water conservation measures and structures needed thus helping to maintain good soil health for sustained crop production. The various interpretative and thematic maps generated are described below.

### 5.1 Land Capability Classification

Land capability classification is an interpretative grouping of soil map units (soil phases) mainly based on inherent soil characteristics, external land features and environmental factors that limit the use of land for agriculture, pasture, forestry, or other uses on a sustained basis (IARI, 1971). The land and soil characteristics used to group the land resources in an area into various land capability classes, subclasses and units are

*Soil Characteristics:* Depth, texture, gravelliness, calcareousness.

*Land characteristics:* Slope, erosion, drainage, rock outcrops.

*Climate:* Total rainfall and its distribution, and length of crop growing period.

The Land capability classification system is divided into land capability classes, subclasses and units based on the level of information available. Eight land capability classes are recognized. They are

*Class I:* They are very good lands that have no limitations or very few limitations that restrict their use.

*Class II:* They are good lands that have minor limitations and require moderate conservation practices.

*Class III:* They are moderately good lands that have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of crops or that require special conservation practices.

*Class IV:* They are fairly good lands that have very severe limitations that reduce the choice of crops or that require very careful management.

*Class V:* Soils in these lands are not likely to erode, but have other limitations like wetness that are impractical to remove and as such not suitable for agriculture, but suitable for pasture or forestry with minor limitations.

*Class VI:* The lands have severe limitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivation, but suitable for pasture or forestry with moderate limitations.

*Class VII:* The lands have very severe limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation, but suitable for pasture or forestry with major limitations.

*Class VIII:* Soil and other miscellaneous areas (rock lands) that have very severe limitations that nearly preclude their use for any crop production, but suitable for wildlife, recreation and installation of wind mills.

The land capability subclasses are recognised based on the dominant limitations observed within a given land capability class. The subclasses are designated by adding a lower case letter like 'e', 'w', 's', or 'c' to the class numeral. The subclass "e" indicates that the main hazard is risk of erosion, "w" indicates drainage or wetness as a limitation for plant growth, "s" indicates shallow soil depth, coarse or heavy textures, calcareousness, salinity/alkalinity or gravelliness and "c" indicates limitation due to climate.

The land capability subclasses have been further subdivided into land capability units based on the kinds of limitations present in each subclass. Ten land capability units are used in grouping the soil map units. They are stony or rocky (0), erosion hazard (slope, erosion) (1), coarse texture (sand, loamy sand, sandy loam) (2), fine texture (cracking clay, silty clay) (3), slowly permeable subsoil (4), coarse underlying material (5), salinity/alkali (6), stagnation, overflow, high ground water table (7), soil depth (8) and fertility problems (9). The capability units thus identified have similar soil and land characteristics that respond similarly to a given level of management. The soils of the microwatershed have been classified upto land capability subclass level.

The 23 soil map units identified in the Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed are grouped under 3 land capability classes and 5 subclasses. An area about 569 ha (91%) in the microwatershed is suitable for agriculture (Fig. 5.1). An area about 57 ha covered by others (water bodies).




Fig. 5.1 Land Capability map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

Good cultivable lands (Class II) cover a maximum area of about 375 ha (59%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed with minor problems of soil, drainage and erosion. Moderately good cultivable lands (Class III) cover an area of about 149 ha (24%) and are distributed in the northern, eastern, southeastern, southern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with moderate problems of soil and erosion. Fairly good cultivable lands (Class IV) cover an area of about 46 ha (7%) and are distributed in the northern and northwestern, part of the microwatershed with moderate problems of soil and erosion.

## 5.2 Soil Depth

Soil depth refers to the depth of the soil occurring above the parent material or hard rock. The depth of the soil determines the effective rooting depth for plants and in accordance with soil texture, mineralogy and gravel content, the capacity of the soil column to hold water and nutrient availability. Soil depth is one of the most important soil characteristic that is used in differentiating soils into different soil series. The soil depth classes used in identifying soils in the field are very shallow (<25 cm), shallow (25-50 cm), moderately shallow (50-75 cm), moderately deep (75-100 cm), deep (100-150 cm) and very deep (>150 cm). They were used to classify the soils into different depth classes and a soil depth map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is given in Fig. 5.2.




Fig. 5.2 Soil Depth map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

Very shallow (<25 cm) soils occur in an area of 46 ha (7%) and are distributed in the northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. Shallow (25-50 cm) soils occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern and southern part of the microwatershed. Moderately shallow (50-75 cm) soils cover an area of 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. Moderately Deep (75-100 cm) soils cover an area of 75 ha (12%) and are distributed in the southern, southwestern and southern part of the microwatershed. Deep (100-150 cm) soils cover an area of 177 ha (28%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. Very deep (>150 cm) soils cover an area of 129 ha (21%) and are distributed in the eastern, southeastern and southwestern part of the microwatershed.

The most productive lands 306 ha (49%) with respect to soil rooting depth where all climatically adapted annual and perennial crops can be grown are deep to very deep (100 to >150 cm depth) soils occurring in major part of the microwatershed. The problematic soils covered an area about 187 ha (29%) which occupies northern and northwestern and southern part of the microwatershed, where the soils are shallow and very shallow suitable for medium and short duration crops.

### 5.3 Surface Soil Texture

Texture is an expression to indicate the coarseness or fineness of the soil as determined by the relative proportion of primary particles of sand, silt and clay. It has a direct bearing on the structure, porosity, adhesion and consistence. The surface layer of a

soil to a depth of about 25 cm is the layer that is most used by crops and plants. The surface soil textural class provides a guide to understanding soil-water retention and availability, nutrient holding capacity, infiltration, workability, drainage, physical and chemical behaviour, microbial activity and crop suitability. The textural classes used for LRI were used to classify and a surface soil texture map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.3.




Fig. 5.3 Surface Soil Texture map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

An area of about 104 ha (17%) has soils that are sandy at the surface and are distributed in the northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 188 ha (30%) area is loamy and is distributed in the northwestern, southeastern and eastern part of the microwatershed. An area of 278 ha (44%) has soils that are clayey at the surface and occur in the major part of the microwatershed.

Major area of (44%) the microwatershed has most productive with respect to surface soil texture. The clayey soils (44%) have high potential for soil-water retention and availability, and nutrient retention and availability, but have more problems of drainage, infiltration, workability and other physical problems. The other productive lands are loamy soils (30%) which also have high potential for soil-water retention and nutrient availability but have no drainage or other physical problems. The sandy soils (17%) are also problematic but productive for root and tuber crops, but these soils have the major limitation of moisture and nutrient retention capacity, hence frequent and



shallow irrigation with balanced fertilizer application is to be followed in order to get better crop yields.

#### 5.4 Soil Gravelliness

Gravel is the term used for describing coarse fragments between 2 mm and 7.5 cm diameter and stones for those between 7.5 cm and 25 cm. The presence of gravel and stones in soil reduces the volume of soil responsible for moisture and nutrient storage, drainage, infiltration and runoff, and hinders plant growth by impeding root growth and seedling emergence, intercultural operations and farm mechanization. The gravelliness classes used in LRI were used to classify the soils and using these classes a gravelliness map was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution in the microwatershed is shown in Figure 5.4.




Fig. 5.4 Soil Gravelliness map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

Maximum area of non gravelly (<15%) soils cover an area of about 413 ha (66%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of gravelly (15-35%) soils about 158 ha (25%) and are distributed in the northwestern and northern part of the microwatershed.

The most productive soils (66%) that are non gravelly (<15%), where all climatically adapted long duration crops can be grown.

## 5.5 Available Water Capacity

The soil available water capacity (AWC) is estimated based on the ability of the soil column to retain water between the tensions of 0.33 and 15 bar in a depth of 100 cm or the entire solum if the soil is shallower. The AWC of the soils (soil series) as estimated by considering the soil texture, mineralogy, soil depth and gravel content (Sehgal *et al.*, 1990) and accordingly the soil map units were grouped into five AWC classes *viz.*, very low (<50 mm/m), low (50-100 mm/m), medium (100-150 mm/m), high (150-200 mm/m) and very high (>200 mm/m) and using these values, an AWC map was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different AWC classes in the microwatershed is given in Figure 5.5.




Fig. 5.5 Soil Available Water Capacity map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

An area of about 188 ha (30%) and 58 ha (9%) in the microwatershed has soils that are very low (<50 mm/m) and low (51-100 mm/m) available water capacity and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, southeastern and eastern part of the microwatershed. Medium (101-150 mm/m) in an area of 20 ha (3%) and are distributed in the eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed. Very high (>200 mm/m) in an area of 306 ha (49%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed.

About 246 ha (39%) area in the microwatershed has soils that are problematic with regard to available water capacity. Here, only short duration crops can be grown and the probability of crop failure is very high. These areas are best put to other alternative

uses. An area of 306 ha (49%) are potential areas with regard to AWC where all climatically adapted annual and perennial crops can be grown.

### 5.6 Soil Slope

Soil slope refers to the inclination of the surface of the land. It is defined by gradient, shape and length, and is an integral feature of any soil as a natural body. Slope is considered important in soil genesis, land use and land development. The length and gradient of slope influences the rate of runoff, infiltration, erosion and deposition. The soil map units were grouped into two slope classes and a slope map was generated showing the area extent and their geographic distribution in the microwatershed (Fig. 5.6).

Maximum area of about 506 ha (81%) falls under very gently sloping (1-3% slope) lands and is distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 65 ha (10%) falls under nearly level sloping (0-1% slope) lands and is distributed in the northwestern, northern and southern part of the microwatershed.




Fig. 5.6 Soil Slope map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

An entire area in the microwatershed, have high potential in respect of soil slopes. In these areas, all climatically adapted annual and perennial crops can be grown without much soil and water conservation and other land development measures.

## 5.7 Soil Erosion

Soil erosion refers to the wearing away of the earth's surface by the forces of water, wind and ice involving detachment and transport of soil by raindrop impact. It is used for accelerated soil erosion resulting from disturbance of the natural landscape by burning, excessive grazing and indiscriminate felling of forest trees and tillage, all usually by man. The erosion classes showing an estimate of the current erosion status as judged from field observations in the form of rills, gullies or a carpet of gravel on the surface are recorded. Four erosion classes, viz, slight erosion (e1), moderate erosion (e2), severe erosion (e3) and very severe erosion (e4) are recognized. The soil map units were grouped into different erosion classes and a soil erosion map generated. The area extent and their spatial distribution in the microwatershed is given in Figure 5.7.

Soils that are slightly eroded (e1 class) cover an area of 65 ha (10%) and are distributed in the northwestern, northern and southern part of the microwatershed. Soils that are moderately eroded (e2 class) cover a maximum area of 498 ha (79%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. Soils that are severely eroded (e3 class) cover an area of 8 ha (1%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed.

An area of 506 ha (81%) in the microwatershed is problematic because of moderate to severe erosion. For these areas, taking up soil and water conservation and other land development measures are needed.




Fig. 5.7 Soil Erosion map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed



## FERTILITY STATUS

Soil fertility plays an important role in increasing crop yield. The adoption of high yielding varieties that require high amounts of nutrients has resulted in deficiency symptoms in crops and plants due to imbalanced fertilization and poor inherent fertility status as these areas are characterised by low rainfall and high temperatures. Hence, it is necessary to know the fertility (macro and micro nutrients) status of the soils of the watersheds for assessing the kind and amount of fertilizers required for each of the crop intended to be grown. For this purpose, the surface soil samples collected from the grid points (one soil sample at every 320 m interval) all over the microwatershed through land resource inventory in the year 2017 were analysed for pH, EC, organic carbon, available phosphorus and potassium, and for micronutrients like zinc, boron, copper, iron and manganese, and secondary nutrient sulphur.

Soil fertility data generated has been assessed and individual maps for all the nutrients for the microwatershed have been generated using Kriging method under GIS. The village/survey number wise fertility data for the microwatershed is given in Appendix-II.

### 6.1 Soil Reaction (pH)

The soil analysis of the Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed for soil reaction (pH) showed that an area of about 154 ha (25%) is neutral (pH 6.5-7.3) and are distributed in the northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 158 ha (25%) is slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 258 ha (41%) is moderately alkaline (pH 7.8-8.4) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. (Fig.6.1). In all, major area of about 416 ha is alkaline, 154 ha is under neutral soils.

### 6.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The Electrical Conductivity of the soils in the microwatershed area is  $<2 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$  (Fig 6.2) and as such the soils are non-saline.

### 6.3 Organic Carbon

The soil organic carbon content (an index of available Nitrogen) in the soils of the microwatershed is low ( $<0.5\%$ ) covering an area of about 210 ha (33%) and are distributed in the northwestern, eastern and southern part of the microwatershed. About 361 ha (57%) is medium (0.5-0.75%) in organic carbon and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.3).






Fig.6.1 Soil Reaction (pH) map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC) map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed






Fig.6.3 Soil Organic Carbon map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

#### 6.4 Available Phosphorus

Available phosphorus content is medium (23-57 kg/ha) which covers a maximum area of about 309 ha (49%) and occur in major part of the microwatershed. Low (<23 kg/ha) which covers an area of about 262 ha (42%) and occur in eastern, southern, and southeastern part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.4).

#### 6.5 Available Potassium

Available potassium content is medium (145-337 kg/ha) in an area of 551 ha (88%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed the microwatershed. Low (<145 kg/ha) which covers an area of about 19 ha (3%) and occur in the southern and northwestern part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.5).

#### 6.6 Available Sulphur

Available sulphur is low (<10 ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed. (Fig. 6.6).

#### 6.7 Available Boron

Available boron content is medium (0.5-1.0 ppm) in an area of 6 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. Maximum area of about 565 ha (90%) is low (<0.5 ppm) in available boron and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed (Fig. 6.7).

### 6.8 Available Iron

Available iron content is sufficient (>4.5 ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed. (Fig 6.8).

### 6.9 Available Manganese

Available manganese content is sufficient (>1.0 ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed (Fig 6.9).

### 6.10 Available Copper

Available copper content is sufficient (>0.2 ppm) in the entire microwatershed area (Fig 6.10).




Fig.6.4 Soil Available Phosphorus map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.5 Soil Available Potassium map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.6 Soil Available Sulphur map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.7 Soil Available Boron map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.8 Soil Available Iron map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed






Fig.6.9 Soil Available Manganese map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed




Fig.6.10 Soil Available Copper map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

## 6.11 Available Zinc

Available zinc content is deficient (<0.6 ppm) in the entire area of the microwatershed (Fig 6.11).




Fig.6.11 Soil Available Zinc map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR MAJOR CROPS

The soil and land resource units (soil phases) of Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed were assessed for their suitability for growing food, fodder, fibre and other horticulture crops by following the procedure as outlined in FAO, 1976 and 1983. Crop requirements were developed for each of the crop from the available research data and also by referring to Naidu *et. al.* (2006) and Natarajan *et. al* (2015). The soil and land characteristics were matched with the crop requirement to arrive at the crop suitability. The soil and land characteristics (Table 7.1) and crop requirement (Table 7.2 to 7.30) are given at the end of the chapter. In FAO land suitability classification, two orders are recognized. Order S-Suitable and Order N-Not suitable. The orders have classes, subclasses and units. Order-S has three classes, Class S1-Highly Suitable, Class S2-Moderately Suitable and Class S3- Marginally Suitable. Order N has two classes, N1-Currently not Suitable and N2-Permanently not Suitable. There are no subclasses within the Class S1 as they will have very minor or no limitations for crop growth. Classes S2, S3 and N1 are divided into subclasses based on the kinds of limitations encountered. The limitations that affect crop production are ‘c’ for erratic rainfall and its distribution and length of growing period (LGP), ‘e’ for erosion hazard, ‘r’ for rooting condition, ‘t’ for lighter or heavy texture, ‘g’ for gravelliness or stoniness, ‘n’ for nutrient availability, ‘l’ for topography, ‘m’ for moisture availability, ‘w’ for drainage and ‘z’ for calcareousness. These limitations are indicated as lower case letters to the Class symbol. For example, moderately suitable lands with the limitations of soil depth and erosion are designated as S2re. For the microwatershed, the soil mapping units were evaluated and classified up to subclass level.

Using the above criteria, the soil map units of the microwatershed were evaluated and land suitability maps for 29 major annual and perennial crops were generated. The detailed information on the kind of suitability of each of the soil phase for the crops assessed are given village/ survey number wise for the microwatershed in Appendix-IV.

### 7.1 Land Suitability for Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*)

Sorghum is one of the major crop grown in Karnataka in an area of 10.47 lakh ha in Bijapur, Gulbarga, Raichur, Bidar, Belgaum, Dharwad, Bellary, Chitradurga, Mysore and Tumakuru districts. The crop requirements for growing sorghum (Table 7.2) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) of the soils of the microwatershed and a land suitability map for growing sorghum was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.1.

An area of about 255 ha (41%) is highly suitable (Class S1) for growing sorghum and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 77 ha (12%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing sorghum and are distributed in the eastern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of



rooting depth, texture, drainage and calcareousness. An area of about 192 ha (31%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing sorghum and are distributed in the northern, eastern and southern part of the microwatershed with moderate limitations of rooting depth, nutrient availability, drainage and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 46 ha (7%) and are distributed in the northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.+12+




Fig. 7.1 Land Suitability map of Sorghum

## 7.2 Land Suitability for Maize (*Zea mays*)

Maize is one of the most important food crop grown in an area of 13.37 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing maize (Table 7.3) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing maize was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.2.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing maize cover an area of about 332 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing maize occupy an area about 192 ha (31%) and occur in the northern, southern eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, drainage, nutrient availability and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 46 ha (7%) and are distributed in the

northwestern and northern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.




Fig. 7.2 Land Suitability map of Maize

### 7.3 Land Suitability for Bajra (*Pennisetum glaucum*)

Bajra is one of the most important millet crop grown in an area of 2.34 lakh ha in the northern districts of Karnataka state. The crop requirements for growing bajra (Table 7.4) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing bajra was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.3.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing bajra cover an area of about 332 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, drainage and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing bajra occupy an area about 192 ha (31%) and occur in the northern, southern eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness, nutrient availability and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 46 ha (7%) and are distributed in the northwestern and northern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.




Fig. 7.3 Land Suitability map of Bajra

#### 7.4 Land Suitability for Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*)

Groundnut is one of the major oilseed crop grown in an area of 6.54 lakh ha in Karnataka in most of the districts either as rainfed or irrigated crop. The crop requirements for growing groundnut (Table 7.5) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) of the soils of the microwatershed and a land suitability map for growing groundnut was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.4.

An area of about 58 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for groundnut and are distributed in the northern, eastern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing groundnut occupy maximum area of about 416 ha (66%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, texture and drainage. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.4 Land Suitability map of Groundnut

## 7.5 Land Suitability for Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*)

Sunflower is one of the most important oilseed crop grown in an area of 3.56 lakh ha in the State in all the districts. The crop requirements for growing sunflower (Table 7.6) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing sunflower was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.5.

An area of about 255 ha (41%) is highly suitable (Class S1) for growing sunflower and is distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 75 ha (12%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for sunflower and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, drainage and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing sunflower occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 239 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, southern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of nutrient availability and rooting depth.






Fig. 7.5 Land Suitability map of Sunflower

### 7.6 Land suitability criteria for Red gram (*Cajanus Cajan*)

Redgram is one of the most important pulse crop grown in an area of 7.28 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing red gram (Table 7.7) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing redgram was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.6.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing redgram cover an area of about 331 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, drainage and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing redgram occupy an area about 190 ha (30%) and occur in the northern, southern eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness, nutrient availability and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 51 ha (8%) and are distributed in the northwestern and northern part of the microwatershed with severe limitation of rooting depth.




Fig. 7.6 Land Suitability map of Redgram

### 7.7 Land Suitability for Bengal gram (*Cicer aerativum*)

Bengal gram is one of the most important pulse crop grown in about 9.39 lakh ha area in Bijapur, Raichur, Kalaburgi, Dharwad, Belgaum and Bellary districts. The crop requirements for growing Bengal gram (Table 7.8) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing Bengal gram was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.7.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing bengal gram occur in an area of 274 ha (44%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 58 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing bengal gram and are distributed in the central and northern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing bengalgram occupy an area of about 188 ha (30%) and occur in the southern , eastern, southeastern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 50 ha (8%) and are distributed in the northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture and rooting depth.




Fig. 7.7 Land Suitability map of Bengal gram.

### 7.8 Land Suitability for Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*)

Cotton is one of the most important fibre crop grown in the State in about 8.75 lakh ha area in Raichur, Dharwad, Belgaum, Kalaburgi, Bijapur, Bidar, Bellary, Chitradurga and Chamarajnagar districts. The crop requirements for growing cotton (Table 7.9) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing cotton was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.8.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing cotton occur in an area of 274 ha (44%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 58 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing cotton and are distributed in the central and northern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, texture and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing cotton occupy an area of about 188 ha (30%) and occur in the southern, eastern, southeastern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 50 ha (8%) and are distributed in the northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of texture and rooting depth.






Fig. 7.8 Land Suitability map of Cotton

## 7.9 Land Suitability for Chilli (*Capsicum annuum*)

Chilli is one of the most important vegetable and spice crop grown in about 0.42 lakh ha in Karnataka State. The crop requirements for growing chilli (Table 7.10) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing chilli was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.9.

An area of about 313 ha (50%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing chilli and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, drainage, rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) occupy an area of about 160 ha (26%) and are distributed in northern, central eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of texture, drainage and rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.9 Land Suitability map of Chilli

### 7.10 Land Suitability for Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*)

Tomato is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in about 0.61 lakh ha covering almost all the district of the state. The crop requirements for growing tomato (Table 7.11) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing tomato was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.10.

An area of about 259 ha (41%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing tomato and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, drainage, rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) occupy an area of about 215 ha (35%) and are distributed in northern, southern, southeastern, eastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of texture, drainage and rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.10 Land Suitability map of Tomato

### 7.11 Land Suitability for Brinjal (*Solanum melongena*)

Brinjal is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in the state. The crop requirements for growing brinjal (Table 7.12) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing Brinjal was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.11.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing brinjal occur in an area of 61 ha (10%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 271 ha (43%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing brinjal and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and texture. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing brinjal occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.11 Land Suitability map of Brinjal

## 7.12 Land Suitability for Onion (*Allium cepa L.*)

Onion is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in the state. The crop requirements for growing onion (Table 7.13) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing onion was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.12.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing onion occur in an area of 154 ha (24%) and are distributed in the central, northern, northwestern, eastern and southern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, texture and drainage. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) occupy an area of about 319 ha (51%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of texture and rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.






Fig 7.12 Land Suitability map of Onion

### 7.13 Land Suitability for Bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus*)

Bhendi is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in the state. The crop requirements for growing bhendi (Table 7.14) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing bhendi was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.13.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing bhendi occur in an area of 61 ha (10%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 272 ha (43%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing bhendi and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, drainage and texture. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing bhendi occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.13 Land Suitability map of BhenDi

#### 7.14 Land Suitability for Drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*)

Drumstick is one of the most important vegetable crop grown in about 2403 ha in the state. The crop requirements for growing drumstick (Table 7.15) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing drumstick was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.14.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing drumstick cover an area of about 331 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth, drainage and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing drumstick occupy an area about 2 ha (<1%) and occur in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 238 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.14 Land Suitability map of Drumstick

### 7.15 Land suitability for Mango (*Mangifera indica*)

Mango is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 1.73 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements (Table 7.16) for growing mango were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing mango was generated. The area extent and their geographic distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.15.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing mango occur in an area of 61 ha (10%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitation of rooting depth. An area of about 270 ha (43%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing mango and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 241 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.






Fig. 7.15 Land Suitability map of Mango

### 7.16 Land suitability for Guava (*Psidium guajava*)

Guava is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 0.06 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements (Table 7.17) for growing guava were matched with the soil-site characteristics (7.1) and a land suitability map for growing guava was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.16.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing guava occur in an area of 56 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. An area of about 276 ha (44%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing guava and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 238 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.16 Land Suitability map of Guava

### 7.17 Land suitability for Sapota (*Manilkara zapota*)

Sapota is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 29373 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements (Table 7.18) for growing sapota were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing sapota was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.17.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing sapota occur in an area of 56 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. An area of about 276 ha (44%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing sapota and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 239 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.17 Land Suitability map of Sapota

### 7.18 Land Suitability for Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*)

Pomegranate is one of the most important fruit crop commercially grown in about 18488 ha in Karnataka, mainly in Bijapur, Bagalkot, Koppal, Gadag and Chitradurga districts. The crop requirements for growing pomegranate (Table 7.19) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing pomegranate was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.18.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing pomegranate cover an area of about 330 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of texture, rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 239 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig 7.18 Land Suitability map of Pomegranate

### 7.19 Land Suitability for Musambi (*Citrus limetta*)

Musambi is one of the important fruit crop grown in an area of 3446 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing musambi (Table 7.20) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing musambi was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.19.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing musambi occur in an area of 274 ha (44%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 56 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing musambi and are distributed in the central and northern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing musambi occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 239 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.






Fig. 7.19 Land Suitability map of Musambi

## 7.20 Land Suitability for Lime (*Citrus sp*)

Lime is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 0.11 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing lime (Table 7.21) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing lime was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7. 20.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing lime occur in an area of 274 ha (44%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. An area of about 56 ha (9%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing lime and are distributed in the central and northern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing lime occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 239 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.20 Land Suitability map of Lime

### 7.21 Land Suitability for Amla (*Phyllanthus emblica*)

Amla is one of the medicinal fruit crop grown in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing amla (Table 7.22) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing amla was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.21.

Highly (Class S1) suitable lands for growing amla occur in an area of 54 ha (9%) and are distributed in the southern part of the microwatershed. An area of about 278 ha (44%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) for growing amla and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness and texture. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing amla occupy an area of about 141 ha (23%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.






Fig. 7.21 Land Suitability map of Amla

## 7.22 Land Suitability for Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*)

Cashew is one of the most important plantation nut crop grown in an area of 0.7 lakh ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing cashew (Table 7.23) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing cashew was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.22.

Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing cashew occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and occur in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitation of rooting depth. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands for growing cashew occur a maximum area of 568 ha (91%) and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, calcareousness, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.22 Land Suitability map of Cashew

### 7. 23 Land Suitability for Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*)

Jackfruit is one of the most important fruit crop grown in an area of 5368 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing jackfruit (Table 7.24) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing jackfruit was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.23.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing jackfruit occur in an area of 56 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. An area of about 276 ha (44%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing jackfruit and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 238 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.23 Land Suitability map of Jackfruit

## 7.24 Land Suitability for Jamun (*Syzygium cumini*)

Jamun is an important fruit crop grown in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing jamun (Table 25) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing jamun was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.24.

An area of about 274 ha (44%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing jamun and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitation of texture. Marginally (Class S3) suitable lands for growing jamun occur in an area of 58 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern, eastern and central part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 238 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.24 Land Suitability map of Jamun

### 7.25 Land Suitability for Custard Apple (*Annona reticulata*)

Custard apple is one of the most important fruit crop grown in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements for growing custard apple (Table 7.26) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing custard apple was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.25.

Highly suitable (Class S1) lands for growing custard apple cover an area of about 330 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. Moderately suitable lands (Class S2) occupy an area of about 2 ha (<1%) and are distributed in the eastern part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitation of rooting depth. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing custard apple occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.






Fig. 7.25 Land Suitability map of Custard Apple

### 7.26 Land Suitability for Tamarind (*Tamarindus indica*)

Tamarind is one of the most important spice crop grown in almost all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing tamarind (Table 7.27) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing tamarind was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Fig. 7.26.

An area of about 274 ha (44%) is moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing tamarind and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitation of texture. Marginally (Class S3) suitable lands for growing tamarind occur in an area of 56 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 241 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.26 Land Suitability map of Tamarind

### 7.27 Land Suitability for Mulberry (*Morus nigra*)

Mulberry is the important crop grown for rearing of silkworms in about 1.6 lakh ha area in all the districts of the state. The crop requirements for growing mulberry (Table 7.28) were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing mulberry was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed is given in Figure 7.27.

Moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for growing mulberry occur in an area of 56 ha (9%) and are distributed in the northern and central part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth and calcareousness. An area of about 277 ha (44%) is marginally suitable (Class S3) for growing mulberry and are distributed in the major part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth, drainage and texture. Currently not suitable (class N1) lands occur in an area of 238 ha (38%) and are distributed in the northern, northwestern, southern, eastern and southeastern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth, texture and nutrient availability.






Fig 7.27 Land Suitability map of Mulberry

### 7.28 Land suitability for Marigold (*Tagetes sps.*)

Marigold is one of the most important flower crop grown in an area of 9108 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements (Table 7.29) for growing marigold were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing marigold was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.28.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing marigold cover an area of about 333 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing marigold occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.28 Land Suitability map of Marigold

### 7.29 Land Suitability for Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora*)

Chrysanthemum is one of the most important flower crop grown in an area of 4978 ha in almost all the districts of the State. The crop requirements (Table 7.30) for growing chrysanthemum were matched with the soil-site characteristics (Table 7.1) and a land suitability map for growing chrysanthemum was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution of different suitability subclasses in the microwatershed are given in Figure 7.29.

Moderately suitable (Class S2) lands for growing chrysanthemum cover an area of about 333 ha (53%) and occur in the major part of the microwatershed. They have minor limitations of rooting depth, texture, calcareousness and drainage. Marginally suitable lands (Class S3) for growing chrysanthemum occupy an area of about 141 ha (22%) and occur in the northern, eastern, southeastern and northwestern part of the microwatershed. They have moderate limitations of rooting depth and texture. Currently not suitable (Class N1) lands occur in an area of 97 ha (15%) and are distributed in the southern, northern and northwestern part of the microwatershed with severe limitations of rooting depth and nutrient availability.




Fig. 7.29 Land Suitability map of Chrysanthemum

### Appendix-III

**Table 7.1 Soil-Site Characteristics of Arakeri Khurd-2 Microwatershed**

Soil Map Units	Climate (P) (mm)	Growing period (Days)	Drain-age Class	Soil depth (cm)	Soil texture		Gravelliness		AWC (mm/m)	Slope (%)	Erosion	pH	EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	ESP (%)	CEC [Cmol (p <sup>+</sup> )kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	BS (%)
					Sur-face	Sub-surface	Surface (%)	Sub-surface (%)								
KKRbB2g1	866	150	WD	<25	sl	sl	15-35	10-15	<50	1-3	moderate	5.85	0.027	1.17	2.6	60.90
BDLhB2g1	866	150	WD	25-50	scl	sl	15-35	<15	<50	1-3	moderate	6.20	0.074	0.20	4.20	93
BDLiB2	866	150	WD	25-50	sc	sl	<15	<15	<50	1-3	moderate	6.20	0.074	0.20	4.20	93
HTKbB2	866	150	WD	25-50	ls	sl	<15	10-25	<50	1-3	moderate	6.81	0.062	0.38	3.0	100
HTKbB2g1	866	150	WD	25-50	ls	sl	15-35	10-25	<50	1-3	moderate	6.81	0.062	0.38	3.0	100
VNKbB2g1	866	150	WD	25-50	ls	sc	15-35	<15	<50	1-3	moderate	5.37	0.11	2.22	6.27	75
YLRiB2	866	150	WD	50-75	sc	c	<15	15-35	51-100	1-3	moderate	6.91	0.069	0.45	6.90	100
HSLiB2	866	150	MWD	75-100	sc	sc	<15	<15	101-150	1-3	moderate	7.16	0.117	5.94	4.90	97
ANRcB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	sl	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	10.17	0.365	7.08	19.90	100
ANRiB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	sc	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	10.17	0.365	7.08	19.90	100
MDGcB2	866	150	WD	100-150	sl	scl	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.20	0.399	3.08	4.90	100
MDGiB2	866	150	WD	100-150	sc	scl	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.20	0.399	3.08	4.90	100
NGPmA1	866	150	MWD	100-150	c	c	<15	<15	>200	0-1	Slight	7.42	0.24	0.22	67.10	100
BMNmB2	866	150	MWD	>150	c	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.20	0.284	0.65	52.70	100
SGRiA1	866	150	MWD	>150	sc	c	<15	<15	>200	0-1	slight	8.30	6.49	11.61	34.77	100
RHNhB2	866	150	WD	75-100	scl	scl	<15	<15	101-150	1-3	moderate	8.16	0.22	3.52	8.99	99
RHNmB2	866	150	WD	75-100	c	scl	<15	<15	101-150	1-3	moderate	8.16	0.22	3.52	8.99	99
KDRcB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	sl	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.34	0.15	0.09	33.20	100
KDRhA1	866	150	MWD	100-150	scl	c	<15	<15	>200	0-1	slight	8.34	0.15	0.09	33.20	100
KDRiB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	sc	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.34	0.15	0.09	33.20	100
KDRiB3	866	150	MWD	100-150	sc	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.34	0.15	0.09	33.20	100
KDRmB2	866	150	MWD	100-150	c	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.34	0.15	0.09	33.20	100
HGNmB2	866	150	MWD	>150	c	c	<15	<15	>200	1-3	moderate	8.77	1.33	14.38	36.23	100

\*Symbols and abbreviations are according to Field Guide for LRI under Sujala-III Project, Karnataka

**Table 7.2 Land suitability criteria for Sorghum**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	26–30	30–34; 24–26	34–40; 20–24	>40; <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red), c (black)	scl, cl	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	10-15
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.3 Land suitability criteria for Maize**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-34	35-38 26-30	38-40 26-20	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc	c (red), c (black)	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	-
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10



**Table 7.4 Land suitability criteria for Bajra**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-38 24-27	39-40 20-23	<20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm	500-750	400-500	200-400	<200
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl,sc,c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-5.5 7.8-9.0	5.5-6.0 >9.0	
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	15-35	35-60	>60	
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	1-3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.5 Land suitability criteria for Groundnut**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–33	22–24; 33–35	20–22; 35–40	<20; >40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl	sl,cl, sc	c (red), c (black), ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<35	35-60	>60	
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.6 Land suitability criteria for Sunflower**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–30	30–34; 20–24	34–38; 16–20	>38; <16
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	mod. Well drained	-	Poorly to very drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	cl, sc,c (red), c (black)	scl	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.5-7.8	7.8-8.4 5.5-6.5	8.4-9.0; 5.0-5.5	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.7 Land suitability criteria for Redgram**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-35(G) 20-25(AV) 15-18 (F&PS) 35-40(M)	25-30(G) 20-25 (AV) 12-15 (F&PS) 30-35(M)	20-25(G) 15-20(AV) 10-12 (F&PS) 25-30(M)	< 20 <15 <10 <25
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red)	c (black),sl, scl, cl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-9.0	5.0-5.5 >9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-50	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<1.0	1.0-2.0	>2.0	
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.8 Land suitability criteria for Bengal gram**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	20–25	25–30; 15–20	30–35; 10–15	>35; <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. Well drained	Poorly drained	Very Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	c(black)	-	c (red), scl, cl, sc	ls, sl
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0 7.8-9.0	>9.0	-
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	-
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.9 Land suitability criteria for Cotton**

Land use requirement		Rating				
Soil –site characteristics	Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)	
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	22-32	>32	<19	-
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well to moderately well	Poorly drained/Some what excessively drained	-	very poorly/excessively drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, c (red,black)	cl	scl	ls, sl
	pH	1:2.5	6.5-7.8	7.8-8.4	5.5-6.5 8.4->9.0	<5.5
	CEC	C mol (p+)Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	50-100	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	5-10	10-15	>15	
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	-	>5



**Table 7.10 Land suitability criteria for Chilli**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-32	33-35 20-25	35-38 <20	>38
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc	c (black), sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.11 Land suitability criteria for Tomato**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-28	29-32 20-24	15-19 33-36	<15 >36
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	ls, c(black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.12 Land suitability criteria for Brinjal**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class				
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, scl, cl, sc c (red)	-	ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	7.3-8.4 5.0-6.0	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.13 Land suitability criteria for Onion**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	20-30	30-35	35-40	>40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately/imperfectly	-	Poorly to V poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl,cl,sc,c (red)	-	c (Black),ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<1.0	1.0-2.0	2.0-4.0	<4
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.14 Land suitability criteria for Bhendi**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	25-28	29-32 20-24	15-19 33-36	<15 >36
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Imperfectly drained	Poorly to very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl,sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.15 Land suitability criteria for Drumstick**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, scl, cl, c (red)	sl, c (black)	ls	s
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<35	35-60	60-80	>80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m				
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-10	-	>10



**Table 7.16 Land suitability criteria for Mango**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	24-27 33-35	36-40	20-24
	Min temp. before flowering	°C	10-15	15-22	>22	-
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration	Days				
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	ls, sl, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	75-100	<75
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.17 Land suitability criteria for Guava**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-36 24-27	37-42 20-23	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	sl	c (black), ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.18 Land suitability criteria for Sapota**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-32	33-36 24-27	37-42 20-23	>42 <18
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	-	Poorly to very drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	sl	ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.19 Land suitability criteria for Pomegranate**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	30-34	35-38 25-29	39-40 15-24	
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl,cl, sc, c (red)	c (black),sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.8	7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.20 Land suitability criteria for Musambi**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-30	31-35 24-27	36-40 20-23	>40 <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately drained	poorly	Very poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c	sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.21 Land suitability criteria for Lime**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	28-30	31-35 24-27	36-40 20-23	>40 <20
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately drained	poorly	Very poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c	sl	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.5-6.0 7.8-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10



**Table 7.22 Land suitability criteria for Amla**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls, sl	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15-35	35-60	60-80	-
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.23 Land suitability criteria for Cashew**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	32 to 34	28 to 32; 34 to 38	24 to 28; 38 to 40	<20; >40
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	moderately well drained	Poorly drained	Very poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	sl, ls	c (black)
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-6.5	5.0-5.5 6.5-7.3	7.3-7.8	>7.8
	CEC	C mol (p+)/ Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-10	>10	-

**Table 7.24 Land suitability criteria for Jackfruit**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well	Poorly	V. Poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl, cl, sc, c (red)	-	sl, ls, c (black)	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10-

**Table 7.25 Land suitability criteria for Jamun**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well	Mod. well	Poorly	V.Poorly
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl, sc, c(red)	sl, c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.8	5.0-6.0	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	50-100	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	>60
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.26 Land suitability criteria for Custard apple**

Land use requirement		Rating				
Soil –site characteristics	Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)	
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod. well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	Scl, cl, sc, c (red), c (black)	-	Sl, ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.5-6.0 7.3-8.4	5.0-5.5 8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15-35	35-60	60-80	-
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	>5	-

**Table 7.27 Land suitability criteria for Tamarind**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C				
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Mod.well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	scl, cl,sc, c (red)	sl, c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-7.8	7.8-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>150	100-150	75-100	<75
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10



**Table 7.28 Land suitability criteria for Mulberry`**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	24–28	22–24; 28–32	32–38; 22–18	>38; <18
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. tempt. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V. Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sc, cl, scl	c (red)	c (black), sl, ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	5.5-7.3	5.0-5.5 7.8-8.4	7.3-8.4	>8.4
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO3 in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>100	75-100	50-75	<50
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	0-35	35-60	60-80	>80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2	2-4	4-8	>8
	Sodicity (ESP)	%	<5	5-10	10-15	>15
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	0-3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.29 Land suitability criteria for Marigold**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	18-23	17-15 24-35	35-40 10-14	>40 <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%				
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

**Table 7.30 Land suitability criteria for Chrysanthemum**

Land use requirement			Rating			
Soil –site characteristics		Unit	Highly suitable (S1)	Moderately suitable (S2)	Marginally suitable (S3)	Not suitable (N1)
Climatic regime	Mean temperature in growing season	°C	18-23	17-15 24-35	35-40 10-14	>40 <10
	Mean max. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean min. temp. in growing season	°C				
	Mean RH in growing season	%				
	Total rainfall	mm				
	Rainfall in growing season	mm				
Land quality	Soil-site characteristic					
Moisture availability	Length of growing period for short duration	Days				
	Length of growing period for long duration					
	AWC	mm/m				
Oxygen availability to roots	Soil drainage	Class	Well drained	Moderately well drained	Poorly drained	V.Poorly drained
	Water logging in growing season	Days				
Nutrient availability	Texture	Class	sl,scl, cl, sc, c (red)	c (black)	ls	-
	pH	1:2.5	6.0-7.3	5.0-6.0 7.3-8.4	8.4-9.0	>9.0
	CEC	C mol (p+)/Kg				
	BS	%				
	CaCO <sub>3</sub> in root zone	%		<5	5-10	>10
	OC	%				
Rooting conditions	Effective soil depth	cm	>75	50-75	25-50	<25
	Stoniness	%				
	Coarse fragments	Vol %	<15	15-35	35-60	60-80
Soil toxicity	Salinity (EC saturation extract)	ds/m	<2.0	2-4	4-8	>8.0
	Sodicity (ESP)	%				
Erosion hazard	Slope	%	<3	3-5	5-10	>10

### 7.30 Land Management Units (LMUs)

The 23 soil map units identified in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed have been grouped into 6 Land Management Units (LMUs) for the purpose of preparing a Proposed Crop Plan. Land Management Units are grouped based on the similarities in respect of the type of soil, the depth of the soil, the surface soil texture, gravel content, AWC, slope, erosion etc. and a Land Management Units map (Fig. 7.30) has been generated. These Land Management Units are expected to behave similarly for a given level of management.

The map units that have been grouped into 6 Land Management Units along with brief description of soil and site characteristics are given below.

LMU	Soil map units	Soil and site characteristics
1	158.SGRiA1	Very deep, sodic clay soils (>150cm), 0-1 % slopes, non-gravelly (<15 %), slight erosion.
2	57.MDGcB2 58.MDGiB2 135.RHNhB2 79.RHNmB2	Deep to moderately deep, sandy clay loam soils (100-150 to 75-100 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non-gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion.
3	168.ANRcB2 55.ANRiB2 62.BMNmB2 84.KDRcB2 86.KDRhA1 87.KDRiB2 88.KDRiB3 89.KDRmB2 163.NGPmA1	Deep to very deep, black calcareous clay soils (100 - >150cm), 0-3% slopes, non-gravelly (<15%) slight to moderate erosion.
4	95.HGNmB2 33.HSLiB2	Moderately deep to very deep, black clay soils (75 - >150 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non- gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion.
5	31.YLRiB2	Moderately shallow red clay soils (50 -75 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non -gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion
6	162.BDLhB2g1 5.BDLiB2 156.HTKbB2 161.HTKbB2g1 153.KKRbB2g1 8.VNKbB2g1	Shallow to very shallow, sandy clay loam to sandy loam soils (25-50cm to <25 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non gravelly to gravelly (<15-35%) moderate erosion.




Fig. 7.30 Land Management Units Map- Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

### 7.31 Proposed Crop Plan for Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

After assessing the land suitability for the 29 crops, the Proposed Crop Plan has been prepared for the 6 identified LMUs by considering only the highly (Class S1) and moderately (Class S2) suitable lands for each of the 29 crops. The resultant proposed crop plan is presented below in Table 7.31.

**Table 7.31 Proposed Crop Plan for Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed**

LMU	Soil Map Units	Survey Number	Soil and site characteristics	Field Crops/ Commercial crops	Horticulture Crops (Rainfed/Irrigated )	Suitable Interventions
1	158.SGRiA1	<b>ArakeraK:</b> 1/1,2,3,4,5/1,5/2,5/3,6,14,15,16,17/1,17/2,17/3,18/1,18/2,18/3,22,23,24,25,26,27,28, 29,138,139,141	Very deep, sodic clay soils (>150cm), 0-1 % slopes, non-gravelly (<15 %), slight erosion.	-	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture:</b> Ber, Aonla, Acacia sp. Dhaincha, Rhodes grass, Para grass ,Bermuda grass	Application of gypsum, iron pyrites and elemental sulphur. Addition of farm yard manures, green manures and providing subsurface drainage
2	57.MDGcB2 58.MDGiB2 135.RHNhB2 79.RHNmB2	<b>Arakera K:</b> 218 <b>Ganapura:</b> 96,97,98,110,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,121,122,123,124 <b>Gopalapura:</b> 182,183,184,185,187,188,189	Deep to moderately deep sandy clay loam soils (100-150 to 75-100 cm) 1-3 % slopes, non-gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion.	Sunflower, Sorghum, Maize, Groundnut, Red gram, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Mango, Musambi,Sapota,Tamarind,Pomegranate, Amla, Custard apple, Guava, Jackfruit, Jamun, Lime <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Onion, Bhendi, Chilli, Brinjal, Drumstick,, Coriander <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Bio fertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
3	168.ANRcB2 55.ANRiB2 62.BMNmB2 84.KDRcB2 86.KDRhA1 87.KDRiB2 88.KDRiB3 89.KDRmB2 163.NGPmA1	<b>ArakeraK:</b> 163/4,168,169,170,171/2,171/4,172,173,178/2,186,188,189,201,207,208,209,210,211,212,213,214,219,221,222,306/1,306/2,307 <b>Ganapura:</b> 67,68,70,75,76,77,78,80,83,84,87,88,89,90,91,93,94,95,99,100,101,102,103,104,111,112, 113 <b>Gopalapura:</b> 3,4,5,6,8,135,136,145,146,147,150,151,152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,166,168,169,170,171	Deep to very deep, black calcareous clay soils (100 - >150cm) 0-1 to 1-3% slopes, non-gravelly (<15%) slight to moderate erosion.	Maize, Sorghum, Sunflower, Cotton, Red gram, Bengalgram, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Lime, Musambi, Custard apple, Pomegranate <b>Vegetables:</b> Chilli, Bhendi <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Bio fertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices



LMU	Soil Map Units	Survey Number	Soil and site characteristics	Field Crops/ Commercial crops	Horticulture Crops (Rainfed/Irrigated )	Suitable Interventions
		1,172,173,174,175,186,190,194,195,196,197,200,201,202,203,204,205,206,207/1,207/2, 207/3,207/4				
4	95.HGNmB2 33.HSLiB2	<b>ArakeraK:</b> 160,161/2,161/4,174,175,176/1,176/2,177/1,187,203,204,205/1,205/2,205/3,206,220,223,225,233 <b>Gopalapura :</b> 7,11,12, 98	Moderately deep to very deep, black clay soils (75-100 to >150 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non- gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion.	Sorghum, Maize, Sunflower, Red gram, Bajra, Bengal gram, Safflower, Linseed	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Musambi, Sapota, Pomegranate, Amla, Custard apple, Guava, Jackfruit, Lime <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Onion, Bhendi, Chilli, Brinjal, Drumstick,, Coriander <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
5	31.YLRiB2	<b>Ganapura :</b> 108,109	Moderately shallow red clay soils (50 -75 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non -gravelly (<15%), moderate erosion	Maize, Sorghum, Cotton, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Amla, Custard apple <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Onion, Bhendi, Chilli, Brinjal <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Drip irrigation, mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices (Crescent Bunding with Catch Pit etc)
6	162.BDLhB2g1 5.BDLiB2 156.HTKbB2 161.HTKbB2g1 153.KKRbB2g1 8.VNKbB2g1	<b>ArakeraK:</b> 7/1,7/2,7/3,8/1,9,140,142,143,144,145,157,158,159,161/1,161/3,162,163/1,163/2,163/3,164,165/1,165/2,166,167/2,167/3,171/1,171/3,177/2,178/1,179,180/1,180/2,182,183,184,185,190,191,192,193,195,196,197,198,199,200,202,226,227,228, 229,230,231,232, 235 <b>Ganapura:</b> 65,66,72,73,74,79,81,85, 86 <b>Panchasheelanagara:</b> 12/1,14,15/1, 15/2,15/4	Shallow to very shallow, sandy clay loam to sandy loam soils (25-50cm to <25 cm), 1-3 % slopes, non gravelly (<15%) to gravelly (15-35%) moderate erosion	-	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture:</b> <i>Styloxanthes hamata</i> , <i>Styloxanthes scabra</i>	Use of short duration varieties, sowing across the slope, drip irrigation and mulching is recommended

## SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT

### 8.1 Soil Health

Soil health is basic to plant health and plant health is basic to human and bovine health. Soil is fundamental to crop production. Without soil, no food could be produced nor would livestock be fed on a large scale. Because it is finite and fragile, soil is a precious resource that requires special care from its users.

Soil health or the capacity of the soil to function is critical to human survival. Soil health has been defined as: “the capacity of the soil to function as a living system without adverse effect on the ecosystem”. Healthy soils maintain a diverse community of soil organisms that help to form beneficial symbiotic associations with plant roots, recycle essential plant nutrients, improve soil structure with positive repercussions for soil, water and nutrient holding capacity and ultimately improve crop production and also contribute to mitigating climate change by maintaining or increasing its carbon content.

Functional interactions of soil biota with organic and inorganic components, air and water determine a soil’s potential to store and release nutrients and water to plants and to promote and sustain plant growth. Thus, maintaining soil health is vital to crop production and conserve soil resource base for sustaining agriculture.

#### **The most important characteristics of a healthy soil are**

- Good soil tilth
- Sufficient soil depth
- Good water storage and good drainage
- Adequate supply, but not excess of nutrients
- Large population of beneficial organisms
- Small proportion of plant pathogens and insect pests
- Low weed pressure
- Free of chemicals and toxins that may harm the crop
- Resistance to degradation
- Resilience when unfavorable conditions occur

#### **Characteristics of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed**

- ❖ The soil phases identified in the microwatershed belonged to the soil series of BMN 96 ha (15%) followed by BDL 84 ha (13%), MDG 60 ha (10%), HSL 56 ha (9%), VNK 54 ha (9%), KDR 53 ha (8%), KKR 46 ha (7%), ANR 44 ha (7%), SGR 31 ha (5%), RHN 19 ha (3%), NGP 19 ha (3%), HTK 4 ha (<1%), YLR 2 ha (<1%), HGN 1 ha (<1%).
- ❖ As per land capability classification an area of 569 ha in the microwatershed falls under arable land category (Class II, III and IV). The major limitations identified in the arable lands were soil, drainage and erosion.

- ❖ On the basis of soil reaction an area of 154 ha (25%) is neutral (pH 6.5 -7.3), about 158 ha (25%) is slightly alkaline (pH 7.3-7.8) and 258 ha (41%) is moderately alkaline (pH 7.8-8.4) in the microwatershed.

#### ❖ **Soil Health Management**

The following actions are required to improve the current land husbandry practices that provide a sound basis for the successful adoption of sustainable crop production system.

#### **Alkaline soils**

Slightly to moderately alkaline soils cover an area about 416 ha in the microwatershed

1. Regular addition of organic manure, green manuring, green leaf manuring, crop residue incorporation and mulching needs to be taken up to improve the soil organic matter status.
2. Application of Biofertilizers (Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Rhizobium).
3. Application of 25% extra N and P (125 % RDN&P).
4. Application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> – 12.5 kg/ha (once in three years).
5. Application of Boron – 5kg/ha (once in three years).

#### **Neutral soils**

About 154 ha is under neutral soils.

1. Regular addition of organic manure, green manuring, green leaf manuring, crop residue incorporation and mulching needs to be taken up to improve the soil organic matter status.
2. Application of Biofertilizers, (Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Rhizobium).
3. Application of 100 per cent RDF.
4. Need based micronutrient applications.

Besides the above recommendations, the best transfer of technology options are also to be adopted.

#### **Soil Degradation**

Soil erosion is one of the major factors affecting the soil health in the microwatershed. Out of total 626 ha area in the microwatershed, about 506 ha is suffering from moderate to severe erosion. These areas need immediate soil and water conservation and, other land development and land husbandry practices for restoring soil health.

#### **Dissemination of Information and Communication of Benefits**

Any large scale implementation of soil health management requires that supporting information is made available widely, particularly through channels familiar to farmers and extension workers. Given the very high priority attached to soil-health especially by the Central Government on issuing Soil-Health Cards to all the farmers, media outlets like Regional, State and National Newspapers, Radio and Dooradarshan

programs in local languages but also modern information and communication technologies such as Cellular phones and the Internet, which can be much more effective in reaching the younger farmers.

### **Inputs for Net Planning (Saturation Plan) and Interventions needed**

Net planning (Saturation Plan) in IWMP is focusing on preparation of

1. Soil and Water Conservation Plan for each plot or farm.
2. Productivity enhancement measures/ interventions for existing crops/livestock/other farm enterprises.
3. Diversification of farming mainly with perennial horticultural crops and livestock.
4. Improving livelihood opportunities and income generating activities.

In this connection, how various outputs of Sujala-III are of use in addressing these objectives of Net Planning (Saturation Plan) are briefly presented below.


- ❖ **Soil Depth:** The depth of a soil decides the amount of moisture and nutrients it can hold, what crops can be taken up or not, depending on the rooting depth and the length of growing period available for raising any crop. Deeper the soil, better for a wide variety of crops. If sufficient depth is not available for growing deep rooted crops, either choose medium or short duration crops or deeper planting pits need to be opened and additional good quality soil brought from outside has to be filled into the planting pits.
- ❖ **Surface Soil Texture:** Lighter soil texture in the top soil means, better rain water infiltration, less run-off and soil moisture conservation, less capillary rise and less evaporation losses. Lighter surface textured soils are amenable to good soil tilth and are highly suitable for crops like groundnut, root vegetables (carrot, raddish, potato etc) but not ideal for crops that need stagnant water like lowland paddy. Heavy textured soils are poor in water infiltration and percolation. They are prone for sheet erosion; such soils can be improved by sand mulching. The technology that is developed by the AICRP-Dryland Agriculture, Vijayapura, Karnataka can be adopted.
- ❖ **Gravelliness:** More gravel content is favorable for run-off harvesting but poor in soil moisture storage and nutrient availability. It is a significant parameter that decides the kind of crop to be raised.
- ❖ **Land Capability Classification:** The land capability map shows the areas suitable and not suitable for agriculture and the major constraints in each of the plot/survey number. Hence, one can decide what kind of enterprise is possible in each of these units. In general, erosion and soil are the major constraints in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed.
- ❖ **Organic Carbon:** The OC content (an index of available Nitrogen) is Medium (0.5-0.75%) in 361 ha (57%) area and low (<0.5%) in 210 ha (33%). The areas that are medium and low in OC needs to be further improved by applying farmyard manure and rotating crops with cereals and legumes or mixed cropping.

- ❖ **Promoting Green Manuring:** Growing of green manuring crops costs Rs. 1250/ha (green manuring seeds) and about Rs. 2000/ha towards cultivation that totals to Rs. 3250/- per ha. On the other hand, application of organic manure @ 10 tons/ha costs Rs. 5000/ha. The practice needs to be continued for 2-3 years or more. Nitrogen fertilizer needs to be supplemented by 25% in addition to the recommended level in 571 ha area where OC is low to medium (<0.5-0.75%). For example, for rainfed maize, recommended level is 50 kg N per ha and an additional 12 kg /ha needs to be applied for all the crops grown in these plots.
- ❖ **Available Phosphorus:** Available Phosphorus is medium (23-57 kg/ha) in an area of 309 ha (49%) and low (<23 kg/ha) in an area of 262 ha (42%) of the microwatershed. For all the crops 25% additional P needs to be applied where available P is low and medium.
- ❖ **Available Potassium:** Available potassium is medium (145-337 kg/ha) in an area of 551 ha (88%) and low (<145 kg/ha) area of 19 ha (3%) the microwatershed. All the plots, where available potassium is low and medium, for all the crops, additional 25% potassium may be applied.
- ❖ **Available Sulphur:** Available sulphur is a very critical nutrient for oilseed crops, it is low in the entire microwatershed area. Low areas need to be applied with magnesium sulphate or gypsum or Factamphos (p) fertilizer (13% sulphur) for 2-3 years for the deficiency to be corrected.
- ❖ **Available Boron:** An area of 565 ha (90%) is low and 6 ha (<1%) is medium in available boron. For these areas, application of sodium borate @ 10 kg/ha as soil application or 0.2 % borax as foliar spray is recommended.
- ❖ **Available Iron:** Available iron is sufficient (>4.5ppm) in the entire microwatershed area.
- ❖ **Available Manganese:** Entire area of the microwatershed is sufficient in available manganese content.
- ❖ **Available Copper:** Entire area of the microwatershed is sufficient in available copper content.
- ❖ **Available Zinc:** Entire area of the microwatershed is deficient (<0.6 ppm) in available zinc content,. Application of zinc sulphate 25 kg/ha is recommended for the deficient areas.
- ❖ **Land Suitability for various crops:** Areas that are highly, moderately and marginally suitable for growing various crops are indicated. Along with the suitability, various constraints that are limiting the productivity are also indicated. For example, in case of cotton, gravel content, rooting depth and salinity/alkalinity are the major constraints in various plots. With suitable management interventions, the productivity can be enhanced. In order to increase the water holding capacity of light textured soils, growing of green manure crops and application of organic manure is recommended.

## SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION TREATMENT PLAN

For preparing soil and water conservation treatment plan for Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed, the land resource inventory database generated under Sujala-III project has been transformed as information through series of interpretative (thematic) maps using soil phase map as a base. The various thematic maps (1:7920 scale) generated were

- Soil depth
- Surface soil texture
- Available water capacity
- Soil slope
- Soil gravelliness
- Land capability
- Present land use and land cover
- Crop suitability
- Rainfall
- Hydrology
- Water Resources
- Socio-economic data
- Contour plan with existing features- network of waterways, pothissa boundaries, cut up/ minor terraces etc.
- Cadastral map (1:7920 scale)
- Satellite imagery (1:7920 scale)



Apart from these, Hand Level/ Hydro Marker/ Dumpy Level/ Total Station and Kathedars' List to be collected.

### Steps for Survey and Preparation of Treatment Plan

The boundaries of Land User Groups' and Survey No. boundaries are traced in the field.

- Naming of user groups and farmers
- Identification of arable and non arable lands
- Identification of drainage lines and gullies
- Identification of non treatable areas
- Identification of priority areas in the arable lands
- Treatment plan for arable lands
- Location of water harvesting and recharge structures

## 9.1 Treatment Plan

The treatment plan recommended for arable lands is briefly described below

### 9.1.1 Arable Land Treatment


#### A. BUNDING

Steps for Survey and Preparation of Treatment Plan	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cadastral map (1:7920 scale) is enlarged to a scale of 1:2500 scale</li> <li>• Existing network of waterways, pothissa boundaries, grass belts, natural drainage lines/ watercourse, cut ups/ terraces are marked on the cadastral map to the scale</li> <li>• Drainage lines are demarcated into</li> </ul>	
Small gullies	(up to 5 ha catchment)
Medium gullies	(5-15 ha catchment)
Ravines	(15-25 ha catchment) and
Halla/Nala	(more than 25ha catchment)

#### USER GROUP-1

##### CLASSIFICATION OF GULLIES

##### ಕೊರಕಲಿನ ವರ್ಗೀಕರಣ



#### Measurement of Land Slope

Land slope is estimated or determined by the study and interpretation of contours or by measurement in the field using simple instruments like Hand Level or Hydromarker.



Vertical and Horizontal intervals between bunds as recommended by the Watershed Development Department.

Slope percentage	Vertical interval (m)	Corresponding Horizontal Distance (m)
2 - 3%	0.6	24
3 - 4%	0.9	21
4 - 5%	0.9	21
5 - 6%	1.2	21
6 - 7%	1.2	21



**Note:** (i) The above intervals are maximum.

(ii) Considering the slope class and erosion status (A1... A=0-1 % slope, 1= slight erosion) the intervals have to be decided.

**Bund length recording:** Considering the contour plan and the existing grass belts/partitions, the bunds are aligned and lengths are measured.

### Section of the Bund

Bund section is decided considering the soil texture class and gravelliness class (bg<sub>0</sub>... b=loamy sand, g<sub>0</sub> = <15% gravel). The recommended Sections for different soils are given below.

#### Recommended Bund Section

Top width (m)	Base width (m)	Height (m)	Side slope (Z:1;H:V)	Cross section (sq m)	Soil Texture	Remarks
0.3	0.9	0.3	01:01	0.18	Sandy loam	Vegetative bund
0.3	1.2	0.3	1.5:1	0.225	Sandy clay	
0.3	1.2	0.5	0.9:1	0.375	Red gravelly soils	
0.3	1.2	0.6	0.75:1	0.45		
0.3	1.5	0.6	01:01	0.54	Red sandy loam	
0.3	2.1	0.6	1.5:1	0.72	Very shallow black soils	
0.45	2	0.75	01:01	0.92		
0.45	2.4	0.75	1.3:1	1.07	Shallow black soils	
0.6	3.1	0.7	1.78:1	1.29	Medium black soils	
0.5	3	0.85	1.47:1	1.49		

### Formation of Trench cum Bund

Dimensions of the Borrow Pits/Trenches to be excavated (machinery are decided considering the Bund Section).

Details of Borrow Pit dimensions are given below:

#### TRENCH CUM BUND

WATER STORAGE AREA

0.45 Sq.m section

IDEAL FOR HORTICULTURE CROPS

#### 'A' FRAME FOR INTERBUND MANAGEMENT

1. ಸಮವಾತಳ ಉಳುವೆ
2. ಸಮವಾತಳ ಬಿತ್ತನೆ/ನಾಟಿ

### Size of Borrow Pits/ Trench recommended for Trench cum Bund (by machinery)

Bund section	Bund length	Earth quantity	Pit				Berm (pit to pit)	Soil depth class
			L(m)	W(m)	D(m)	Quantity (m <sup>3</sup> )		
m <sup>2</sup>	m	m <sup>3</sup>					m	
0.375	6	2.25	5.85	0.85	0.45	2.24	0.15	Shallow
0.45	6	2.7	5.4	1.2	0.43	2.79	0.6	Shallow
0.45	6	2.7	5	0.85	0.65	2.76	1	Moderately Shallow
0.54	5.6	3.02	5.5	0.85	0.7	3.27	0.1	Moderately shallow
0.54	5.5	2.97	5	1.2	0.5	3	0.5	Shallow
0.72	6.2	4.46	6	1.2	0.7	5.04	0.2	Moderately shallow
0.72	5.2	3.74	5.1	0.85	0.9	3.9	0.1	Moderately deep

#### B. Water Ways

1. Existing waterways are marked on the cadastral map (1:7920 scale) and their dimensions are recorded.
2. Considering the contour plan of the MWS, additional waterways/ modernization of the existing ones can be thought of.
3. The design details are given in the Manual.

#### C. Farm Ponds

Waterways and the catchment area will give an indication on the size of the Farm Pond. Location of the pond can be decided based on the contour plan/ field condition and farmers' need/desire.

#### D. Diversion Channel

Existing EPT/ CPT are marked on the cadastral map. Looking to the need, these can be modernized or fresh diversion channel can be proposed and runoff from this can be stored in Gokatte/ Recharge ponds.

#### 9.1.2 Non-Arable Land Treatment

Depending on the gravelliness and crops preferred by the farmers, the concerned authorities can decide appropriate treatment plan. The recommended treatments may be Contour Trench, Staggered Trench, Crescent Bund, Boulder Bund or Pebble Bund.

### **9.1.3 Treatment of Natural Water Course/ Drainage Lines**

- a) The cadastral map has to be updated as regards the network of drainage lines (gullies/ *nalas*/ *hallas*) and existing structures are marked to the scale and storage capacity of the existing water bodies are documented.
- b) The drainage line will be demarcated into Upper Reach, Middle Reach and Lower Reach.
- c) Considering the Catchment, *Nala* bed and bank conditions, suitable structures are decided.
- d) Number of storage structures (Check dam/ *Nala* bund/ Percolation tank) will be decided considering the commitments and available runoff from water budgeting and quality of water in the wells and site suitability.
- e) Detailed Leveling Survey using Dumpy Level / Total Station has to be carried out to arrive at the site-specific designs as shown in the Manual.
- f) The location of ground water recharge structures are decided by examining the lineaments and fracture zones from geological maps.
- g) Rainfall intensity data of the nearest Rain Gauge Station is considered for Hydrologic Designs.
- h) Silt load to the Storage/Recharge structures is reduced by providing vegetative, boulder and earthen checks in the natural water course. Location and design details are given in the Manual.

### **9.2 Recommended Soil and Water Conservation Measures**

The appropriate conservation structures best suited for each of the land parcel/ survey number (Appendix-I) are selected based on the slope per cent, severity of erosion, amount of rainfall, land use and soil type. The different kinds of conservation structures recommended are:

1. Graded / Strengthening of Bunds
2. Trench cum Bunds (TCB)
3. Trench cum Bunds / Strengthening
4. Crescent Bunds

A map (Fig. 9.1) showing soil and water conservation plan with different kinds of structures recommended has been prepared which shows the spatial distribution and extent of area. An area about 56 ha (9%) requires trench cum bunding, about 450 ha (72%) needs Graded Bunding and 65 ha (10%) requires strengthening of existing bunds.

The conservation plan prepared may be presented to all the stakeholders including farmers and after considering their suggestions, the conservation plan for the microwatershed may be finalised in a participatory approach.




Fig. 9.1 Soil and Water Conservation Plan map of Arakera Khurd-2 Microwatershed

### 9.3 Greening of Microwatershed

As part of the greening programme in the watersheds, it is envisaged to plant a variety of horticultural and other tree plants that are edible, economical and produce lot of biomass which helps to restore the ecological balance in the watersheds. The lands that are suitable for greening programme are non-arable lands (land capability classes V, VI VII and VIII) and also the lands that are not suitable or marginally suitable and field bunds for growing annual and perennial crops. The method of planting these trees is given below.

It is recommended to open pits during the 1<sup>st</sup> week of March along the contour and heap the dug out soil on the lower side of the slope in order to harness the flowing water and facilitate weathering of soil in the pit. Exposure of soil in the pit also prevents spread of pests and diseases due to scorching sun rays. The pits should be filled with mixture of soil and organic manure during the second week of April and keep ready with sufficiently tall seedlings produced either in poly bags or in root trainer nurseries so that planting can be done during the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> week of April depending on the rainfall.

The tree species suitable for the area considering rainfall, temperature and adaptability is listed below; waterlogged areas are recommended to be planted with species like Nerale (*Syzgium cumini*) and Bamboo. Dry areas are to be planted with species like Honge, Bevu, Seetaphal etc.

<b>Dry Deciduous Species</b>			<b>Temp (°C)</b>	<b>Rainfall (mm)</b>
1.	Bevu	<i>Azadiracta indica</i>	21–32	400 –1,200
2.	Tapasi	<i>Holoptelia integrifolia</i>	20-30	500 - 1000
3.	Seetaphal	<i>Anona Squamosa</i>	20-40	400 - 1000
4.	Honge	<i>Pongamia pinnata</i>	20 -50	500– 2,500
5.	Kamara	<i>Hardwickia binata</i>	25 -35	400 - 1000
6.	Bage	<i>Albezzia lebbek</i>	20 - 45	500 - 1000
7.	Ficus	<i>Ficus bengalensis</i>	20 - 50	500–2,500
8.	Sisso	<i>Dalbargia Sissoo</i>	20 - 50	500 -2000
9.	Ailanthus	<i>Ailanthus excelsa</i>	20 - 50	500 - 1000
10.	Hale	<i>Wrightia tinctoria</i>	25 - 45	500 - 1000
11.	Uded	<i>Steriospermum chelanoides</i>	25 - 45	500 -2000
12.	Dhupa	<i>Boswella Serrata</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
13.	Nelli	<i>Embllica Officinalis</i>	20 - 50	500 -1500
14.	Honne	<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
<b>Moist Deciduous Species</b>			<b>Temp (°C)</b>	<b>Rainfall (mm)</b>
15.	Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	20 - 50	500-5000
16.	Nandi	<i>Legarstroemia lanceolata</i>	20 - 40	500 - 4000
17.	Honne	<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i>	20 - 40	500 - 3000
18.	Mathi	<i>Terminalia alata</i>	20 -50	500 - 2000
19.	Shivane	<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	20 -50	500 -2000
20.	Kindal	<i>T.Paniculata</i>	20 - 40	500 - 1500
21.	Beete	<i>Dalbargia latifolia</i>	20 - 40	500 - 1500
22.	Tare	<i>T. belerica</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
23.	Bamboo	<i>Bambusa arundinasia</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2500
24.	Bamboo	<i>Dendrocalamus strictus</i>	20 – 40	500 – 2500
25.	Muthuga	<i>Butea monosperma</i>	20 - 40	400 - 1500
26.	Hippe	<i>Madhuca latifolia</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
27.	Sandal	<i>Santalum album</i>	20 - 50	400 - 1000
28.	Nelli	<i>Embllica officinalis</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
29.	Nerale	<i>Sizygium cumini</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
30.	Dhaman	<i>Grevia tilifolia</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
31.	Kaval	<i>Careya arborea</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000
32.	Harada	<i>Terminalia chebula</i>	20 - 40	500 - 2000



## References

1. FAO (1976) Framework for Land Evaluation, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.72 pp.
2. FAO (1983) Guidelines for Land Evaluation for Rainfed Agriculture, FAO, Rome, 237 pp.
3. IARI (1971) Soil Survey Manual, All India Soil and Land Use Survey Organization, IARI, New Delhi, 121 pp.
4. Katyal, J.C. and Rattan, R.K. (2003) Secondary and Micronutrients; Research Gap and Future Needs. Fert. News 48 (4); 9-20.
5. Naidu, L.G.K., Ramamurthy, V., Challa, O., Hegde, R. and Krishnan, P. (2006) Manual Soil Site Suitability Criteria for Major Crops, NBSS Publ. No. 129, NBSS & LUP, Nagpur, 118 pp.
6. Natarajan, A. and Dipak Sarkar (2010) Field Guide for Soil Survey, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR), Nagpur, India.
7. Natarajan, A., Rajendra Hegde, Raj, J.N. and Shivananda Murthy, H.G. (2015) Implementation Manual for Sujala-III Project, Watershed Development Department, Bengaluru, Karnataka.
8. Sarma, V.A.K., Krishnan, P. and Budihal, S.L. (1987) Laboratory Manual, Tech. Bull. 23, NBSS &LUP, Nagpur.
9. Sehgal, J.L. (1990) Soil Resource Mapping of Different States of India; Why and How?, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, 49 pp.
10. Shivaprasad, C.R., R.S. Reddy, J. Sehgal and M. Velayuthum (1998) Soils of Karnataka for Optimizing Land Use, NBSS Publ. No. 47b, NBSS & LUP, Nagpur, India.
11. Soil Survey Staff (2006) Keys to Soil Taxonomy, Tenth edition, U.S. Department of Agriculture/ NRCS, Washington DC, U.S.A.
12. Soil Survey Staff (2012) Soil Survey Manual, Handbook No. 18, USDA, Washington DC, USA.





**Appendix-I**  
**Arakera khurd-2 (6B1c) Microwatershed**  
**Soil Phase Information**

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	1/1	0.08	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	1/2	0.08	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	2	5.75	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	3	1.05	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	4	5.76	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	5/1	2.36	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	5/2	1.42	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	5/3	0.55	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	6	0.55	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	6/1	25.21	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	6/2	0.06	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	6/3	0.95	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	8/1	14.91	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Forest (Fo)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	9	0.25	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	14	0.71	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	15	0.95	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	16	1.45	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	17/1	0.08	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	17/2	0.22	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	17/3	0.34	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	18/1	0.06	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	18/2	0.42	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	18/3	0.33	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	19	0.92	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	20	0.16	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	21	0.87	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	22	0.76	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	23	0.5	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	24	0.92	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	25	0.22	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	26	0.23	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	27	0.09	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	28	0.18	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	29	0.18	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	138	0.64	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	139	0.23	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	140	1.11	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	141	2.73	SGRiA1	LMU-1	Very deep (>150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	1 Borewells	IIw	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	142	3.38	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	143	4.71	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	144	0.97	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	145	0.32	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton+Greengram (Ct+Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	157	0.27	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	158	0.06	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	159	1.37	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Greengram (Pd+Gg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	160	1.6	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	161/1	2.41	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	161/2	0.98	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	161/3	0.52	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	161/4	1.52	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	162	0.84	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	163/1	0.39	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	163/2	1.05	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	163/3	1.76	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	163/4	0.27	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	164	1.31	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	165/1	1.17	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	165/2	1.48	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	166	1.31	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	167/1	1.76	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Arakera .K	167/2	1.37	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	167/3	0.39	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	168	3	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	169	2.48	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	170	2.66	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	171/1	0.9	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	171/2	0.58	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	171/3	0.84	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	171/4	0.49	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	172	0.57	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	173	0.51	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	174	5.59	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	175	4.47	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Redgram (Pd+Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	176/1	1.88	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	176/2	1.76	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	177/1	3.87	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	177/2	0.29	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	178/1	2.06	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	178/2	1.61	NGPmA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	179	3.55	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	180/1	0.17	HTKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	180/2	0.02	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	182	0.97	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	183	3.78	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	184	2.73	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	185	2.55	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	186	7.28	NGPmA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	187	4.31	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	188	5.26	NGPmA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Redgram+No Crop(Rg+NC)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	189	3.22	NGPmA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	190	7.58	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton+Paddy (Ct+Pd)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	191	4.32	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	192	3.34	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	193	2.06	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIs	Trench cum bunding



Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	195	2.26	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	196	3.71	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIes	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	197	5.07	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	198	4.4	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIes	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	199	3.62	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	IIes	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	200	4.37	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	201	3.67	NGPmA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Cotton+Paddy (Ct+Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	202	5.14	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	203	4.76	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	204	1.77	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	205/1	0.5	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	205/2	1.42	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	205/3	2.37	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	206	3.37	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	207	6.51	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Redgram (Pd+Rg)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	208	3.76	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	209	1.76	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	210	0.95	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	211	1.06	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	212	2.61	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	213	4.57	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	214	0.03	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	218	0.21	MDGiB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	219	0.14	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Arakera .K	220	3.18	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	221	6.35	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	222	6.22	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	223	4.56	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	225	3.63	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	226	3.26	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	227	8.68	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIles	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	228	5.24	VNKbB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIles	Trench cum bunding
Arakera .K	229	6.06	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	230	2.1	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton+No Crop (Ct+NC)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	231	0.2	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	232	4.36	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	No Crop (NC)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	233	0.83	HSLiB2	LMU-4	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	235	0.48	BDLhB2g1	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	306/1	1.39	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	306/2	0.09	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Arakera .K	307	0.01	ANRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	56	0.13	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	57	0.15	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	58	0.19	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	60	0.14	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	63	0.07	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	64	0.21	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	65	3.68	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding



Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ganapura	66	3.08	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	67	1.56	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	68	5.75	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	69	0.36	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	70	0.76	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	71	0.25	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	72	0.35	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	73	0.39	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	74	1.37	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	75	3.42	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	76	2.52	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	77	4.45	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	78	4.92	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	79	2.68	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	80	13.05	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	81	3.98	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	82	4.52	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	83	1.3	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	84	1.56	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	85	5.86	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	86	6.2	BDLiB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	IIes	Graded bunding
Ganapura	87	3.1	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	88	2.79	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding
Ganapura	89	0.2	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Ies	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ganapura	90	3.76	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	91	4.75	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	92	8.16	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Others	Others
Ganapura	93	4.82	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	94	2.69	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	95	4.87	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	96	2.15	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	97	2.65	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	98	7.51	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	99	3.58	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	100	4.17	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	101	2.38	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	102	3.32	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	103	3.88	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Cotton (Pd+Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	104	0.29	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Cotton (Pd+Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	108	0.31	YLRiB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Trench cum bunding
Ganapura	109	1.12	YLRiB2	LMU-5	Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Low (51-100 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Cotton (Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Trench cum bunding
Ganapura	110	2.62	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	111	0.75	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	112	12.95	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy+Cotton (Pd+Ct)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	113	0.18	BMNmB2	LMU-3	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	114	4.86	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	115	2.13	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Ganapura	116	6.35	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	117	4.63	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	118	2.94	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	119	0.31	RHNhB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	120	8.64	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	121	5.77	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Groundnut (Gn)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	122	2.76	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	123	2.21	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Jowar (Jw)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Ganapura	124	2.88	MDGcB2	LMU-2	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	1	1.39	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	2	0.96	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	3	1.07	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	4	0.2	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	5	0.5	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	6	0.48	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	7	0.57	HGNmB2	LMU-4	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	8	1	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	9	0.68	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	10	0.13	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	11	0.39	HGNmB2	LMU-4	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	12	0.13	HGNmB2	LMU-4	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Not Available (NA)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	98	0.07	HGNmB2	LMU-4	Very deep (>150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	99	0.01	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	100	0.01	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Gopalapura	135	0.94	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	136	0.41	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	145	0.58	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	146	0.81	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	147	0.82	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	150	0.18	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	151	0.67	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	152	0.45	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	153	0.82	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	154	0.2	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	155	1.1	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	156	0.49	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	157	0.63	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	158	0.07	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	159	0.01	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	166	0.34	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	168	0.18	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	169	0.71	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	170	0.23	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	171	0.9	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	172	0.3	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	173	0.47	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	174	0.21	ANRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIs	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	175	1.92	KDRiB3	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIs	Graded bunding

Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Gravelliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Gopalapura	182	0.21	RHNhB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Scrub land (Sl)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	183	2.32	RHNhB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	2 Borewells	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	184	1.15	RHNmB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	185	2	RHNmB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	No Crop (NC)	1 Borewells	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	186	2.57	KDRiB3	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	1 Borewells	IIles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	187	4.93	RHNhB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Scrub land (Sl)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	188	4.03	RHNhB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Scrub land (Sl)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	189	3.11	RHNmB2	LMU-2	Moderately deep (75-100 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Medium (101-150 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Scrub land (Sl)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	190	2.71	KDRiB3	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Severe	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	191	9.31	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	192	2.24	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	193	3.75	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	194	1.41	KDRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	195	1.27	KDRcB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	196	1.03	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	197	3.12	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	198	2.4	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	199	5.39	Waterbody	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Waterbody	Not Available	Others	Others
Gopalapura	200	0.11	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ils	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	201	0.67	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ils	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	202	0.38	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ils	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	203	0.52	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	204	1.34	KDRhA1	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay loam	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Nearly level (0-1%)	Slight	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Ils	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	205	4.36	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding



Village	Survey Number	Area (ha)	Soil Phase	LMU	Soil Depth	Surface Soil Texture	Soil Graveliness	Available Water Capacity	Slope	Soil Erosion	Current Land Use	Wells	Land Capability	Conservation Plan
Gopalapura	206	0.87	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	207/1	0.68	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	207/2	0.51	KDRmB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Redgram (Rg)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	207/3	1.73	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	207/4	0.81	KDRiB2	LMU-3	Deep (100-150 cm)	Sandy clay	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very high (>200 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Paddy (Pd)	Not Available	Iles	Graded bunding
Gopalapura	208	0.33	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	12/1	1.8	KKRbB2g1	LMU-6	Very shallow (<25 cm)	Loamy sand	Gravelly (15-35%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Habitation	Not Available	IVes	Graded bunding
Panchashee lanagara	12/2	0.72	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	12/3	1.25	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/1	1.48	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/2	0.33	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/3	1.4	Habitation	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Habitation	Not Available	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	14	0.68	HTKbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Panchashee lanagara	15/1	0.82	HTKbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Greengram (Gg)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Panchashee lanagara	15/2	0.97	HTKbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Fallow land (Fl)	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding
Panchashee lanagara	15/4	0.38	HTKbB2	LMU-6	Shallow (25-50 cm)	Loamy sand	Non gravelly (<15%)	Very low (<50 mm/m)	Very gently sloping (1-3%)	Moderate	Habitation	Not Available	IIIes	Graded bunding





























Village	Survey Number	Soil Reaction	Salinity	Organic Carbon	Available Phosphorus	Available Potassium	Available Sulphur	Available Boron	Available Iron	Available Manganese	Available Copper	Available Zinc
Panchashee lanagara	12/3	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	13/3	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchashee lanagara	14	Neutral (pH 6.5 - 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Low (< 0.5 %)	Medium (23 - 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 - 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Panchashee lanagara	15/1	Neutral (pH 6.5 - 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Low (< 0.5 %)	Medium (23 - 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 - 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Panchashee lanagara	15/2	Neutral (pH 6.5 - 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Low (< 0.5 %)	Medium (23 - 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 - 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)
Panchashee lanagara	15/4	Neutral (pH 6.5 - 7.3)	Non saline (<2 dsm)	Low (< 0.5 %)	Medium (23 - 57 kg/ha)	Medium (145 - 337 kg/ha)	Low (<10 ppm)	Low (< 0.5 ppm)	Sufficient (>4.5 ppm)	Sufficient (> 1.0 ppm)	Sufficient (> 0.2 ppm)	Deficient (< 0.6 ppm)

**Appendix III**  
**Arakera khurd-2 (6B1c) Microwatershed**  
**Soil Suitability Information**

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry	
Arakera .K	1/1	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	1/2	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs
Arakera .K	2	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	3	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	4	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	5/1	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	5/2	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	5/3	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	6	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	6/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	6/2	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	6/3	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	8/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	9	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	14	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	15	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	16	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	17/1	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	17/2	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	17/3	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	18/1	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	18/2	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	18/3	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Arakera .K	19	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry		
Arakera .K	20	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	
Arakera .K	21	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	Othe rs	
Arakera .K	22	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	23	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	24	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	25	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	26	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	27	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	28	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	29	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	138	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	139	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	140	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	141	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nw	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1tn	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3nz	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Arakera .K	142	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	143	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	144	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	145	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	157	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	158	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	159	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	160	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz		
Arakera .K	161/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	161/2	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz		
Arakera .K	161/3	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt		
Arakera .K	161/	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz		





Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Arakera .K	176/1	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	176/2	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	177/1	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	177/2	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	178/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	178/2	S3t	S2t	S3t	S2w	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S2w	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S3tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	179	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	180/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	180/2	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	182	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	183	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	184	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	185	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	186	S3t	S2t	S3t	S2w	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S2w	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S3tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	187	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	188	S3t	S2t	S3t	S2w	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S2w	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S3tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	189	S3t	S2t	S3t	S2w	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S2w	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S3tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	190	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	191	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	192	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	193	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	195	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	196	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	197	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	198	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Arakera .K	199	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r
Arakera .K	200	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Arakera .K	201	S3t	S2t	S3t	S2w	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S2w	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S3tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	202	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt
Arakera .K	203	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	204	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	205/1	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	205/2	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	205/3	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	206	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	207	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	208	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	209	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	210	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	211	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	212	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	213	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	214	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	218	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Arakera .K	219	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	220	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	221	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	222	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Arakera .K	223	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	225	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz
Arakera .K	226	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry	
Arakera .K	227	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r	
Arakera .K	228	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	N1r	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3r	S3r	S3r	N1r	N1r	
Arakera .K	229	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Arakera .K	230	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Arakera .K	231	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Arakera .K	232	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Arakera .K	233	S3rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S2rz	S2tz	S2rz	S1	N1tz	S3rz	S2rz	S2z	S2w	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2z	S2rz	S2z	S2t	S2w	S2rz	S2rz	
Arakera .K	235	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Arakera .K	306/1	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Arakera .K	306/2	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Arakera .K	307	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	56	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	57	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	58	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	60	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	63	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	64	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	65	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	66	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	67	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	68	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	69	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	70	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	71	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	72	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	73	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry	
Ganapura	74	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	75	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	76	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	77	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	78	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	79	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	80	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	81	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	82	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	83	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	84	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	85	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	86	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3r	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	N1rt	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1rt	N1rt	
Ganapura	87	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	88	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	89	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	90	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	91	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	92	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Ganapura	93	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	94	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	95	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	96	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t	
Ganapura	97	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t	
Ganapura	98	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t	
Ganapura	99	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Ganapura	100	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Ganapura	101	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	102	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	103	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	104	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	108	N1r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ganapura	109	N1r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	N1r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S3r	S3r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S2r	S2r	S2r	S3r	S3r
Ganapura	110	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	111	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	112	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	113	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Ganapura	114	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	115	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	116	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	117	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	118	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	119	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n
Ganapura	120	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	121	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	122	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	123	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Ganapura	124	S2r	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2t	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3t	S3t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S1	S1	S2t	S3t
Gopalapura	1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	3	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	4	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	5	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	6	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry	
Gopalapura	7	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	8	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	9	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	10	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	11	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	12	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	98	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	99	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	100	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	135	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	136	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	145	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	146	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	147	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	150	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	151	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	152	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	153	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	154	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	155	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	156	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	157	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	158	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	159	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	166	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	168	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	169	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	

Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry	
Gopalapura	170	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	171	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	172	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	173	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	174	S3tz	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S2t	S3t	S1	N1t	S2t	S1	S3tw	S3t	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2tz	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	175	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	182	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	183	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	184	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	185	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	186	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	187	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	188	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	189	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	S3n	N1n	N1n	N1n	N1n	
Gopalapura	190	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	191	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	
Gopalapura	192	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	193	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	194	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	195	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	196	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	197	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	198	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	199	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Gopalapura	200	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	201	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	
Gopalapura	202	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	



Village	Survey Number	Mango	Maize	Sapota	Sorghum	Guava	Cotton	Tamarind	Lime	Bengal gram	Sunflower	Red gram	Amla	Jackfruit	Custard-apple	Cashew	Jamun	Musambi	Groundnut	Onion	Chilly	Tomato	Marigold	Chrysanthemum	Pomegranate	Bajra	Brinjal	Bhendi	Drumstick	Mulberry
Gopalapura	203	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	204	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	205	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	206	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	207/1	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	207/2	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	207/3	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	207/4	S3t	S2t	S3t	S1	S3t	S1	S2t	S1	S1	S1	S2tw	S1	S3t	S1	N1tz	S2t	S1	S3tw	S2t	S2tw	S3tw	S2tw	S2tw	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2t	S2tw	S3tw
Gopalapura	208	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	12/1	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r	N1r
Panchasheel anagara	12/2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	12/3	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	13/1	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	13/2	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	13/3	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others	Others
Panchasheel anagara	14	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Panchasheel anagara	15/1	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Panchasheel anagara	15/2	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r
Panchasheel anagara	15/4	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	N1t	N1r	N1r	S3rt	N1r	S3rt	N1rt	N1r	N1r	S3rt	S3r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	S3r	N1r	S3rt	S3rt	S3r	N1r	N1r



# **PART-B**

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS**



## CONTENTS

1.	Findings of the socio-economic survey	1-3
2.	Introduction	5
3.	Methodology	7-8
4.	Salient features of the survey	9-29
5.	Summary	31-35



## LIST OF TABLES

1	Households sampled for socio economic survey	9
2	Population characteristics	9
3	Age wise classification of household members	9
4	Education level of household members	10
5	Occupation of household heads	10
6	Occupation of family members	10
7	Institutional participation of household members	11
8	Type of house owned by households	11
9	Durable assets owned by households	11
10	Average value of durable assets owned by households	12
11	Farm implements owned by households	12
12	Average value of farm implements	12
13	Livestock possession by households	13
14	Average labour availability	13
15	Adequacy of hired labour	13
16	Distribution of land (ha)	13
17	Average land value (Rs./ha)	14
18	Status of bore wells	14
19	Source of irrigation	14
20	Depth of water	14
21	Irrigated area (ha)	14
22	Cropping pattern	15
23	Cropping intensity	15
24	Possession of bank account and saving	15
25	Borrowing status	15
26	Source of credit	16
27	Avg. Credit amount	16
28	Purpose of credit borrowed - Institutional Credit	16
29	Purpose of credit borrowed - Private Credit	16
30	Repayment status of credit borrowed from institutional Source	16
31	Repayment status of credit borrowed from non- institutional Source	17



32	Opinion on institutional sources of credit	17
33	Opinion on Non-institutional sources of credit	17
34.a	Cost of cultivation of Red gram	18
34.b	Cost of cultivation of Cotton	19
34.c	Cost of cultivation of Green gram	20
34.d	Cost of cultivation of Groundnut	21
34.e	Cost of cultivation of Paddy	22
35	Adequacy of fodder	23
36	Average Annual gross income	23
37	Average annual expenditure	23
38	Horticulture species grown	23
39	Interest towards cultivation of horticulture crops	24
40	Forest species grown	24
41	Average additional investment capacity	24
42	Source of funds for additional investment	24
43	Marketing of the agricultural produce	25
44	Marketing channels used for sale of agricultural produce	25
45	Mode of transport of agricultural produce	25
46	Incidence of soil and water erosion problems	26
47	Interest shown towards soil testing	26
48	Soil and water conservation practices and structures	26
49	Status soil and water conservation structures	26
50	Agencies involved in the soil and water conservation structures	27
51	Usage pattern of fuel for domestic use	27
52	Source of drinking water	27
53	Source of light	27
54	Existence of sanitary toilet facility	27
55	Possession of public distribution system (PDS) card	28
56	Participation in NREGA programme	28
57	Adequacy of food items	28
58	Inadequacy of food items	29
59	Farming constraints experienced	29

**FINDINGS OF THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY**

- ❖ *The survey was conducted in Arakera Khurd-2 is located at North latitude 16<sup>o</sup> 46' 28.312" and 16<sup>o</sup> 44' 33.005" and East longitude 77<sup>o</sup> 18' 41.936" and 77<sup>o</sup> 15' 31.785" covering an area of about 627.75 ha coming under Arekera. K, Ganapura and Gopalapura Villages of Yadagiri taluk.*
- ❖ *Socio-economic analysis of Arakera Khurd-2 micro watersheds of Gopalapur sub-watershed, Yadgiri taluk & District indicated that, out of the total sample of 36 farmers were sampled in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed among households surveyed 14 (38.89%) were marginal, 7 (19.44%) were small, 8 (22.22 %) were semi medium and 2 (5.56 %) were medium farmers. 5 landless farmers were also interviewed for the survey.*
- ❖ *The population characteristics of households indicated that, there were 101 (61.59%) men and 63 (38.41 %) were women. The average population of landless was 4.6, marginal farmers were 4.7, small farmers were 3.9, semi medium farmers 4.8 and medium farmers were 5.*
- ❖ *Majority of the respondents (44.51%) were in the age group of 16-35 years.*
- ❖ *Education level of the sample households indicated that, there were 46.34 per cent of illiterates, 30.49 per cent of them had primary school education, 3.66 per cent middle school education, 5.49 per cent high school education, 9.15 per cent of them had PUC education, 2.44 per cent attained graduation and 1.83 them had other education.*
- ❖ *About, 86.11 per cent of household heads practicing agriculture and 13.89 per cent of the household heads were engaged as agricultural labourers.*
- ❖ *Agriculture was the major occupation for 62.20 per cent of the household members.*
- ❖ *In the study area, 63.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 22.22 per cent possess pucca house.*
- ❖ *The durable assets owned by the households showed that, 58.33 per cent possess TV, 11.11 per cent possess mixer grinder, 91.67 per cent possess mobile phones and 25.00 per cent possess motor cycles.*
- ❖ *Farm implements owned by the households indicated that, 2.78 per cent of the households possess Bullock Cart, 30.56 per cent possess plough and 11.11 per cent possess Seed/Fertilizer Drill and Sprinkler, 30.56 per cent possess Sprayer and 27.78 per cent possess Weeder.*
- ❖ *Regarding livestock possession by the households, 11.11 per cent possess local cow and 8.33 per cent possess buffalo.*
- ❖ *The average labour availability in the study area showed that, own labour men available in the micro watershed was 1.6, women available in the micro watershed*

was 1.29, hired labour (men) available was 5.6 and hired labour (women) available was 5.60.

- ❖ Out of the total land holding of the sample respondents 67.82 per cent (38.98 ha) of the area is under dry condition and the remaining 32.18 per cent area is irrigated land.
- ❖ There were 6.00 live bore wells and 5.00 dry bore wells among the sampled households.
- ❖ Bore well was the major source of irrigation for 19.45 per cent of the households.
- ❖ The major crops grown by sample farmers are Red gram, Cotton, Green gram, Groundnut and Paddy and cropping intensity was recorded as 83.31 per cent.
- ❖ Out of the sample households 85.71 percent possessed bank account and 82.86 per cent of them have savings in the account.
- ❖ About 69.44 per cent of the respondents borrowed credit from various sources.
- ❖ Among the credit borrowed by households, 87.50 per cent have borrowed loan from commercial banks and 12.50 per cent from co-operative/Grameena bank.
- ❖ Majority of the respondents (100.00%) have borrowed loan for agriculture purpose.
- ❖ Regarding the opinion on institutional sources of credit, 100.00 per cent of the households opined that credit helped to perform timely agricultural operations.
- ❖ The per hectare cost of cultivation for Red gram, Cotton, Green gram, Groundnut and Paddy was Rs.31094.90 , 18891.58, 24416.19, 25262.78, and 32071.34 with benefit cost ratio of 1:2.40, 1: 2.90, 1: 1.60, 1: 0.80, and 1:4.80 , respectively.
- ❖ Further, 16.67 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate.
- ❖ The average annual gross income of the farmers was Rs. 113202.78 in micro-watershed, of which Rs. 43933.33 comes from agriculture.
- ❖ Sampled households have grown 9 horticulture trees and 49 forestry trees together in the fields and back yards.
- ❖ About 2.78 per cent of the households shown interest to cultivate horticultural crops.
- ❖ Households have an average investment capacity of Rs. 277.78 for land development and Rs. 1388.89 for irrigation facility.
- ❖ Source of funds for additional investment is concerned, 5.56 per cent depends on bank loan for land development activities.
- ❖ Regarding marketing channels, 72.22 per cent of the households have sold agricultural produce to the local/village merchants, while, 11.11 per cent have sold in regulated markets.
- ❖ Further, 47.22 per cent of the households have used tractor for the transport of agriculture commodity.
- ❖ Majority of the farmers (38.89%) have experienced soil and water erosion problems in the watershed and 80.56 per cent of the households were interested towards soil testing.

- ❖ *Fire was the major source of fuel for domestic use for 75.00 per cent of the households and 19.44 per cent households has LPG connection.*
- ❖ *Piped supply was the major source for drinking water for 77.78 per cent of the households.*
- ❖ *Electricity was the major source of light for 94.44 per cent of the households.*
- ❖ *In the study area, 47.22 per cent of the households possess toilet facility.*
- ❖ *Regarding possession of PDS card, 88.89 per cent of the households possessed BPL card and 5.56 per cent of the household's possessed APL card.*
- ❖ *Households opined that, the requirement of cereals (91.67%), pulses (77.78%) and oilseeds (80.56%) are adequate for consumption.*
- ❖ *Farming constraints experienced by households in the micro watersheds were lower fertility status of the soil (91.67%) wild animal menace on farm field (77.78%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (80.56%), inadequacy of irrigation water (83.33%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (80.56%), high rate of interest on credit (80.56%), low price for the agricultural commodities (77.78%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (75.00%), inadequate extension services (50.00%), lack of transport for safe transport of the agricultural produce to the market (80.56%).*



## INTRODUCTION

Soil and water are the two precious natural resources which are essential for crop production and existence of life on earth. Rainfed agriculture is under severe stress due to various constraints related to agriculture like uneven and erratic distribution of rainfall, indiscriminate use of fertilizers, chemicals and pesticides, adoption of improper land management practices, soil erosion, decline in soil fertility, decline in ground water resources leading to low crop productivity. The area under rainfed agriculture has to be managed effectively using the best available practices to enhance the production of food, fodder and fuel. This is possible if the land resources are characterized at each parcel of land through detailed land resource inventory using the best available techniques of remote sensing, GPS and GIS. The watershed development programs are aimed at the sustainable distribution of its resources and the process of creating and implementing plans, programs, and projects to sustain and enhance watershed functions that affect the plant, animal and human communities within a watershed boundary.

World Bank funded KWDP II, SUJALA III project was implemented in with Broad objective of demonstrating more effective watershed management through greater integration of programmes related to rain-fed agriculture, innovative and science based approaches and strengthen institutional capacities and If successful, it is expected that the systems and tools could be mainstreamed into the overall IWMP in the State of Karnataka and in time, throughout other IWMP operations in India. With this background the socio-economic survey has been carried out with following specific objectives:

1. To understand the demographic features of the households in the micro-watershed
2. To understand the extent of family labour available and additional employment opportunities available within the village.
3. To know the status of assets of households in the micro-watershed for suggesting possible improvements.
4. To study the cropping pattern, cropped area and productivity levels of different households in micro-watershed.
5. To determine the type and extent of livestock owned by different categories of HHs
6. Availability of fodder and level of livestock management.

### **Scope and importance of survey**

Survey helps in identification of different socio-economic and resource use-patterns of farmers at the Micro watershed. Household survey provides demographic features, labor force, and levels of education; land ownership and asset position (including livestock and other household assets) of surveyed households; and cropping patterns, input intensities, and average crop yields from farmers' fields. It also discusses crop utilization and the degree of commercialization of production in the areas; farmers' access to and utilization of credit from formal and informal sources; and the level of adoption and use of soil, water, and pest management technologies.





## METHODOLOGY

The description of the methods, components selected for the survey and procedures followed in conducting the baseline survey are furnished under the following heads.

### **1. Description of the study area**

Yadgir District is one of the 30 districts of Karnataka state in southern India. This district was carved out from the erstwhile Gulbarga district as the 30th district of Karnataka on 10 April 2010. Yadgir town is the administrative headquarters of the district. The district comprises of 3 taluks namely, Shahapur, Yadgiri and Shorapur (There are 16 hoblies, 117 Gram Panchayats, 4 Municipalities, 8 Towns/ Urban agglomeration and 487 inhabited & 32 un-inhabited villages The district occupies an area of 5,160.88 km<sup>2</sup>.

Yadgir district is the second smallest district in the state, area wise is very rich in cultural traditions. The vast stretch of fertile black soil of the district is known for bumper red gram and jowar crops. The district is a "Daal bowl" of the state. The district is also known for cluster of cement industries and a distinct stone popularly known as "Malakheda Stone". Two main rivers, Krishna and Bhima, and a few tributaries flow in this region. Krishna and Bhima Rivers drain the district. They constitute the two major river basins of the district. Kagna and Amarja are the two sub - basins of Bhima River, which occur within the geographical area of the district

According to the 2011 census Yadgir district has a population of 1, 172,985, roughly equal to the nation of Timor-Leste or the US state of Rhode Island. This gives it a ranking of 404th in India (out of a total of 640). The district has a population density of 224 inhabitants per square kilometre (580/sq mi). Its population growth rate over the decade 2001-2011 was 22.67%. Yadgir has a sex ratio of 984 females for every 1000 males, and a literacy rate of 52.36%.

### **2. Locale of the survey and description of the micro-watershed and**

The study was conducted in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed (Gopalapur sub-watershed, Yadgiri taluk & District) is located at North latitude 16<sup>o</sup> 46' 28.312" and 16<sup>o</sup> 44' 33.005" and East longitude 77<sup>o</sup> 18' 41.936" and 77<sup>o</sup> 15' 31.785" covering an area of about 627.75 ha bounded by under Arekera. K, Ganapura and Gopalapura villages.

### **3. Selection of the respondents for the study**

The micro-watershed is marked with 320 square meters grids. One farmer from every alternate grid in the micro-watershed was selected for the study and interviewed for socio-economic data. Totally 36 households were interviewed for the survey.

#### **4. The parameters considered for socio-economic survey of households**

Two forms of data were collected from the micro-watershed which includes primary data from the farm households and secondary data about the villages under the micro-watershed jurisdiction.

The following parameters were considered for the primary data collection about the socio-economic data of the households, (1) Demographic information, (2) Farm and durable assets owned by households, (3) Livestock possession, (4) Labour availability, (5) Level of migration in the village, Land holding, (7) Cropping pattern, (8) Source of irrigation, (9) Borrowing status, (10) Cost of cultivation of major crops, (11) Economics of subsidiary activities, (12) Fodder availability, (13) Family annual income from different sources, (14) Horticulture and forestry species grown, (15) Additional investment capacity, (16) Marketing practices, (17) Status of soil and water conservation structure, (18) Access to basic needs and (19) Constraints and suggestion.

The following parameters were considered for the secondary data regarding the villages under the micro-watershed jurisdiction, (1) Number of villages in each micro-watershed jurisdiction, (2) Village wise number of households, (3) Geographical area of the villages, (4) Cultivable area including rainfed and irrigated, (5) Number and type of house in each village, (6) Human and livestock population, (7) Facilities in the village such as roads, transport facility for conveyance, drinking water supply, street light and (8) Community based organizations in the villages.

#### **5. Development of interview schedule and data collection**

Taking into the consideration the objectives of the survey, an interview schedule was prepared after thorough consultation with the experts in the field of social sciences. A comprehensive interview schedule covering all the major parameters for measuring the socio-economic situation was developed.

#### **6. Tools used to analyze the data**

The statistical components such as frequency and percentage were used to analyze the data.

#### **Abbreviations used in the report**

LL=Landless

MF=Marginal Farmers

SF=Small farmers

SMF=Semi medium farmers

MDF=Medium farmers

LF=Large Farmers

## FINDINGS OF THE SURVEY

This chapter deals with systematic presentation of results of the survey. Keeping in view the objectives, the salient features of the survey are presented under the following headings.

**Households sampled for socio-economic survey:** The data on households sampled for socio economic survey in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 1 and it indicated that 36 farmers were sampled in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed among households surveyed 14 (38.89%) were marginal, 7 (19.44%) were small, 8 (22.22 %) were semi medium and 2 (5.56 %) were medium farmers. 5 landless farmers were also interviewed for the survey.

**Table 1. Households sampled for socio economic survey in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Farmers	5	13.9	14	38.9	7	19.4	8	22.2	2	5.56	36	100

**Population characteristics:** The population characteristics of households sampled for socio-economic survey in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 2. The data indicated that, there were 101 (61.59%) men and 63 (38.41%) were women. The average population of landless was 4.6, marginal farmers were 4.7, small farmers were 3.9, semi medium farmers 4.8 and medium farmers were 5.

**Table 2. Population characteristics in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (23)		MF (66)		SF (27)		SMF (38)		MDF (10)		All (164)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Men	13	56.5	39	59	17	63	26	68.4	6	60	101	61.6
2	Women	10	43.5	27	41	10	37	12	31.6	4	40	63	38.4
Total		23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100
Average		4.6		4.7		3.9		4.8		5.0		4.6	

**Age wise classification of population:** The age wise classification of household members in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 3. The indicated that, 28 (17.07%) of population were 0-15 years of age, 73 (44.51%) were 16-35 years of age, 55(33.54%) were 36-60 years of age and 8 (4.88 %) were above 61 years of age.

**Table 3: Age wise classification of members of the household in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (23)		MF (66)		SF (27)		SMF (38)		MDF (10)		All (164)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	0-15 years of age	10	43.5	16	24.2	0	0	2	5.26	0	0	28	17.07
2	16-35 years of age	6	26.1	27	40.9	14	51.9	20	52.63	6	60	73	44.51
3	36-60 years of age	7	30.4	20	30.3	9	33.3	15	39.47	4	40	55	33.54
4	> 61 years	0	0	3	4.55	4	14.8	1	2.63	0	0	8	4.88
Total		23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100

**Education level of household members:** Education level of household members in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 4. The results indicated that, there were 46.34 per cent of illiterates, 30.49 per cent of them had primary school education, 3.66 per cent middle school education, 5.49 per cent high school education, 9.15 per cent of them had PUC education, 2.44 per cent attained graduation and 1.83 them had other education.

**Table 4. Education level of members of the household in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (23)		MF (66)		SF (27)		SMF (38)		MDF (10)		All (164)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Illiterate	7	30.4	28	42.4	17	63	18	47.4	6	60	76	46.3
2	Primary School	9	39.1	22	33.3	4	14.8	12	31.6	3	30	50	30.5
3	Middle School	1	4.35	4	6.06	0	0	1	2.63	0	0	6	3.66
4	High School	2	8.7	5	7.58	1	3.7	1	2.63	0	0	9	5.49
5	PUC	3	13	3	4.55	5	18.5	4	10.5	0	0	15	9.15
6	ITI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	1	0.61
7	Degree	1	4.35	1	1.52	0	0	2	5.26	0	0	4	2.44
8	Others	0	0	3	4.55	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1.83
Total		23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100

**Occupation of head of households:** The data regarding the occupation of the household heads in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 5. The results indicate that, 86.11 per cent of households heads were practicing agriculture and 13.89 per cent of the household heads were agricultural Labour.

**Table 5: Occupation of heads of households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture	0	0	14	100	6	85.71	9	113	2	100	31	86.11
2	Agricultural Labour	5	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	13.89
Total		5	100	14	100	6	100	9	100	2	100	36	100

**Table 6: Occupation of members of the household in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (23)		MF (66)		SF (27)		SMF (38)		MDF (10)		All (164)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture	0	0	42	63.6	23	85.19	29	76.32	8	80	102	62.2
2	Agricultural Labour	11	47.8	0	0	0	0	1	2.63	1	10	13	7.93
3	General Labour	0	0	2	3.03	1	3.7	0	0	0	0	3	1.83
4	Private Service	0	0	0	0	1	3.7	2	5.26	0	0	3	1.83
5	Student	12	52.2	19	28.8	2	7.41	6	15.79	0	0	39	23.8
6	Housewife	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	1	0.61
7	Children	0	0	3	4.55	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1.83
Total		23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100

**Occupation of the members of the household:** The data regarding the occupation of the household members in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 6. The results indicate that, agriculture was the major occupation for 62.20 per cent of the

household members, 7.93 per cent were agricultural labour, 1.83 per cent were general labour, 23.78 per cent were working in pursuing education, 0.61 per cent were involved as housewife and 1.83 per cent were children.

**Institutional Participation of household members:** The data regarding the institutional participation of the household members in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 7. The results show that, out of the total family members in the households 100 per cent of them were not participating in any of the institutions.

**Table 7: Institutional Participation of household member in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (23)		MF (66)		SF (27)		SMF (38)		MDF (10)		All (164)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	No Participation	23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100
	Total	23	100	66	100	27	100	38	100	10	100	164	100

**Type of house owned:** The data regarding the type of house owned by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 8. The results indicate that, 13.89 percent possess thatched house, 63.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 22.22 per cent possess pacca house.

**Table 8. Type of house owned by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Thatched	1	20	1	7.1	1	14.29	2	25	0	0	5	13.89
2	Katcha	0	0	11	79	5	71.43	5	62.5	2	100	23	63.89
3	Pucca/RCC	4	80	2	14	1	14.29	1	12.5	0	0	8	22.22
	Total	5	100	14	100	7	100	8	100	2	100	36	100

**Durable assets owned by the households:** The data regarding the Durable Assets owned by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 9. The results shows that, 58.33 per cent possess TV, 11.11 per cent possess mixer grinder, 11.11 per cent possess Bicycle, 25.00 per cent possess motor cycle and 91.67 per cent possess mobile phones.

**Table 9. Durable assets owned by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Television	2	40	9	64	3	42.9	5	63	2	100	21	58.33
2	Mixer/Grinder	0	0	4	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11.11
3	Bicycle	0	0	4	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11.11
4	Motor Cycle	2	40	3	21	2	28.6	2	25	0	0	9	25
5	Mobile Phone	4	80	14	100	7	100	6	75	2	100	33	91.67
6	Blank	1	20	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	2	5.56

**Average value of durable assets:** The data regarding the average value of durable assets owned by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 10. The result shows that, the average value of television was Rs.5595.00, mixer grinder was

Rs.1050.00, bicycle was Rs.1250.00, motor cycle was Rs.48444.00 and mobile phone was Rs.2023.00.

**Table 10. Average value of durable assets owned in Arakera Khurd-2 microwatershed**  
Average Value (Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
1	Television	7000	5333	5833	4800	7000	5595
2	Mixer/Grinder	0	1050	0	0	0	1050
3	Bicycle	0	1250	0	0	0	1250
4	Motor Cycle	50000	41666	48500	57000	0	48444
5	Mobile Phone	3125	1550	2500	1833	2500	2023

**Farm implements owned:** The data regarding the farm implements owned by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 11. About 2.78 per cent of the households possess Bullock Cart, 30.56 per cent possess plough and 11.11 per cent possess Seed/Fertilizer Drill and Sprinkler, 30.56 per cent possess Sprayer and 27.78 per cent possess Weeder.

**Table 11. Farm implements owned in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Bullock Cart	0	0	1	7.14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.78
2	Plough	0	0	4	28.6	3	42.86	4	50	0	0	11	30.56
3	Seed/Fertilizer Drill	0	0	1	7.14	1	14.29	2	25	0	0	4	11.11
4	Irrigation Pump	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12.5	2	100	3	8.33
5	Sprayer	0	0	4	28.6	2	28.57	3	37.5	2	100	11	30.56
6	Weeder	0	0	5	35.7	2	28.57	3	37.5	0	0	10	27.78
7	Blank	5	100	7	50	3	42.86	3	37.5	0	0	18	50

**Average value of farm implements:** The data regarding the average value of farm Implements owned by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 12. The results show that the average value of plough was Rs.3854.00, bullock Cart was Rs.20000.00, seed/fertilizer drill was Rs.6181.00, sprayer and weeder was Rs.126.00.

**Table 12. Average value of farm implements in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**  
Average Value (Rs.)

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
1	Bullock Cart	0	20000	0	0	0	20000
2	Plough	0	2875	4766	4150	0	3854
3	Seed/Fertilizer Drill	0	4000	2500	3250	0	3250
4	Irrigation Pump	0	0	0	5000	611	855
5	Sprayer	0	2650	2800	2600	22000	6181
6	Weeder	0	127	100	150	0	126

**Livestock possession by the households:** The data regarding the Livestock possession by the households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 13. The indicate that, 30.56 per cent of the households possess bullocks, 11.11 per cent possess local cow,

8.33 per cent possess buffalo, 5.56 per cent possess sheep, 2.78 per cent possess goat and 2.78 per cent were poultry birds.

**Table 13. Livestock possession by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Bullock	0	0	4	29	2	28.57	5	63	0	0	11	30.56
2	Local cow	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	3	38	0	0	4	11.11
3	Buffalo	1	20	0	0	1	14.29	1	13	0	0	3	8.33
4	Sheep	1	20	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	2	5.56
5	Goat	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	1	2.78
6	Pigs	0	0	1	7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.78
7	Poultry birds	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	1	2.78
8	blank	4	80	9	64	4	57.14	2	25	2	100	21	58.33

**Average Labour availability:** The data regarding the average labour availability in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 14. The indicated that, own labour men available in the micro watershed was 1.6, women available in the micro watershed was 1.29, hired labour (men) available was 5.6 and hired labour (women) available was 5.60.

**Table 14. Average labour availability in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Hired labour Female	0	6.62	6.43	6.25	7.5	5.6
2	Own Labour Female	0	1.54	1.43	1.38	2	1.29
3	Own labour Male	0	1.77	2.14	2	1	1.6
4	Hired labour Male	0	6.62	6.43	6.25	7.5	5.6

**Adequacy of hired labour:** The data regarding the adequacy of hired labour in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 15. The results indicate that, 97.22 per cent of the household opined that hired labour was adequate.

**Table 15. Adequacy of hired labour in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Adequate	5	100	13	92.9	7	100	8	100	2	100	35	97.2

**Distribution of land (ha):** The data regarding the distribution of land (ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 16. The results indicate that, 26.44 ha (67.82%) of dry land and 12.55 ha (32.18 %) of irrigated land.

**Table 16. Distribution of land (ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Dry	0	0	7.41	90.15	8.1	90.92	10.93	71.05	0	0	26.44	67.82
2	Irrigated	0	0	0.81	9.85	0.81	9.08	4.45	28.95	6.48	100	12.55	32.18
	Total	0	100	8.22	100	8.91	100	15.38	100	6.48	100	38.98	100



**Average value of land (ha):** The data regarding the average land value (Rs./ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 17. The results show that the average value of dry land was Rs.465039.03 and the average value of irrigated land was Rs.358548.39.

**Table 17. Average value of land (ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Dry	0	647515	555194.8	2744444.4	0	465039
2	Irrigated	0	741000	617500	404181.8	247000	358548.4

**Status of bore wells:** The data regarding the status of bore wells in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 18. The results indicate that, there were 5 De-functioning bore wells and 6 functioning bore wells among the sampled households in micro watershed.

**Table 18. Status of bore wells in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	De-functioning	0	1	1	1	2	5
2	Functioning	0	1	1	2	2	6

**Source of irrigation:** The data regarding the source of irrigation in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 19. The results that bore well were major source of irrigation for 16.67 per cent of the households and Canal for 2.78 per cent of the households.

**Table 19. Source of irrigation in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
		1	Bore Well	0	0	1	7.14	1	14.29	2	25	2	100
2	Canal	0	0	1	7.14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.78

**Depth of water (Avg. In meters):** The data regarding the depth of water in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 20. The results revealed that, the depth of depth of bore well was 9.67 meter.

**Table 20. Depth of water (Avg. In meters) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Bore Well	0	5.66	9.67	12.95	48.77	9.67

**Irrigated Area (ha):** The data regarding the irrigated area (ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 21. The results indicate that, the availability of irrigation water was used for kharif crops was 10.12 ha.

**Table 21. Irrigated Area (ha) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
1	Kharif	0	0.4	0.81	2.43	6.48	10.12
	Total	0	0.4	0.81	2.43	6.48	10.12

**Cropping pattern:** The data regarding the cropping pattern in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 22. The results indicate that, farmers have grown Red gram (15.18 ha), Green gram (12.71 ha), Cotton (5.06 ha), Cotton (2.83 ha), Paddy (1.62 ha), Groundnut (1.21 ha) and Maize (0.81 ha).

**Table 22. Cropping pattern in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
1	Kharif - Red gram (togari)	0	3.64	2.63	8.91	0	15.18
2	Kharif - Green gram	0	2.59	3.64	0	6.48	12.71
3	Kharif - Cotton	0	0.4	1.42	3.24	0	5.06
4	Rabi - Cotton	0	0	1.21	1.62	0	2.83
5	Kharif - Paddy	0	0	0	1.62	0	1.62
6	Rabi - Groundnut	0	0	1.21	0	0	1.21
7	Rabi - Maize	0	0.81	0	0	0	0.81

**Cropping intensity:** The data regarding the cropping intensity in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 23. The results indicate that, the cropping intensity was 83.31 per cent.

**Table 23. Cropping intensity (%) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
1	Cropping Intensity	0	50.61	100	100	100	83.31

**Possession of bank account and savings:** The data regarding the possession of bank account and saving in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 24. The results indicate that, 85.71 cent of the households posses bank account and 82.86 per cent of them have savings.

**Table 24. Possession of Bank account and savings in Arakera Khurd-2microwatershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Account	0	0	8	100	12	100	9	100	1	100	30	85.71
2	Savings	0	0	8	100	11	91.67	9	100	1	100	29	82.86

**Borrowing status:** The data regarding the borrowing status in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 25. The results indicate that, 69.44 percent of the sample farmers have borrowed credit from different sources.

**Table 25. Borrowing status in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Credit Availed	5	100	10	71.43	3	42.9	5	62.5	2	100	25	69.44

**Source of credit:** The data regarding the source of credit availed by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 26. The result shows that, 87.50 per cent have borrowed loan from commercial banks, 12.50 per cent have borrowed loan from Friends/Relatives and 12.50 per cent have borrowed loan from Grameena Bank.

**Table 26. Source of credit borrowed by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)		MF (4)		SF (3)		SMF (1)		MDF (0)		All (8)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Commercial Bank	0	0	3	75	3	100	1	100	0	0	7	87.5
2	Friends/Relatives	0	0	1	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12.5
3	Grameena Bank	0	0	0	0	1	33.3	0	0	0	0	1	12.5

**Avg. Credit amount:** The data regarding the avg. Credit amount in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 27. The results show that, farmers have borrowed Avg. Credit of Rs.64375.00 from different sources.

**Table 27. Avg. Credit amount in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)	MF (4)	SF (3)	SMF (1)	MDF (0)	All (8)
		N	N	N	N	N	N
1	Average Credit	0	80000	51666.7	40000	0	64375

**Purpose of credit borrowed (institutional Source):** The data regarding the purpose of credit borrowed - Institutional Credit in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 28. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent of the households have borrowed loan for agriculture.

**Table 28. Purpose of credit borrowed (institutional Source) by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

SN	Particulars	MF (3)		SF (4)		SMF (1)		All (8)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture production	3	100	4	100	1	100	8	100

**Purpose of credit borrowed (Private Source):** The data regarding the purpose of credit borrowed – Private Source in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed is presented in Table 29. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent of the households have borrowed loan for agriculture.

**Table 29. Purpose of credit borrowed (Private Source) by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)		MF (1)		All (1)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Agriculture production	0	0	1	100	1	100

**Repayment status of household (institutional Source):** The data regarding the repayment status of credit borrowed from institutional Source by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 30. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent have unpaid.

**Table 30. Repayment status of household (institutional Source) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)		MF (3)		SF (4)		SMF (1)		MDF (0)		All (8)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Un paid	0	0	3	100	4	100	1	100	0	0	8	100

**Repayment status of household (Private Source):** The data regarding the repayment status of credit borrowed from private sources by households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 31. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent of the households have partially paid.

**Table 31. Repayment status of household (Private Source) in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)		MF (1)		SF (0)		SMF (0)		MDF (0)		All (1)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Partially paid	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100

**Opinion regarding institutional sources of credit:** The data regarding the opinion on institutional sources of credit in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 32. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent of the households opined that credit helped to perform timely agricultural operations.

**Table 32. Opinion regarding institutional sources of credit in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	MF (3)		SF (4)		SMF (1)		All (8)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Helped to perform timely agricultural operations	3	100	4	100	1	100	8	100

**Opinion regarding Non- institutional sources of credit:** The data regarding the opinion on non-institutional sources of credit in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 33. The results indicate that, 100.00 per cent of the households opined that credit helped to perform timely agricultural operations.

**Table 33. Opinion regarding Non- institutional sources of credit in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (0)		MF (1)		SF (0)		SMF (0)		MDF (0)		All (1)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Easy accessibility of credit	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100

**Cost of Cultivation of Red gram:** The data regarding the cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) of Red gram in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 34.a. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) for Red gram was Rs. 31094.90. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 73101.45. The net income from Red gram cultivation was Rs.42006.55, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.40.

**Table 34(a). Cost of Cultivation of Red gram in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Particulars	Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
<b>I</b>	<b>Cost A1</b>				
1	Hired Human Labour	Man days	37.89	9923.37	31.91
2	Bullock	Pairs/day	3.09	2329.98	7.49
3	Tractor	Hours	4.35	3918.79	12.6
4	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)	Kgs (Rs.)	12.57	1507.88	4.85
5	Fertilizer + micronutrients	Quintal	3.9	3287.44	10.57
6	Pesticides (PPC)	Kgs / liters	1.33	680.57	2.19
7	Depreciation charges		0	104.45	0.34
<b>II</b>	<b>Cost B1</b>				
8	Interest on working capital			657.11	2.11
9	<b>Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)</b>			22409.59	72.07
<b>III</b>	<b>Cost B2</b>				
10	Rental Value of Land			283.33	0.91
11	<b>Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)</b>			22692.92	72.98
<b>IV</b>	<b>Cost C1</b>				
12	Family Human Labour		20.46	5575.16	17.93
13	<b>Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)</b>			28268.09	90.91
<b>V</b>	<b>Cost C2</b>				
14	Risk Premium			0	0
15	<b>Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)</b>			28268.09	90.91
<b>VI</b>	<b>Cost C3</b>				
16	Managerial Cost			2826.81	9.09
17	<b>Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)</b>			31094.9	100
<b>VII</b>	<b>Economics of the Crop</b>				
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		17.73	72302.88
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			4078.57
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		1.11	798.56
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			721.43
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)			73101.45	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)			42006.55	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)			1754.05	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)			1:2.4	

**Cost of Cultivation of Cotton:** The data regarding the cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) of Cotton in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 34.b. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) for Cotton was Rs. 18891.58. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 54375.70. The net income from Cotton cultivation was Rs.35484.12, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:2.90.

**Table 34(b). Cost of Cultivation of Cotton in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Particulars	Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
<b>I</b>	<b>Cost A1</b>				
1	Hired Human Labour	Man days	19.79	5120.87	27.11
2	Bullock	Pairs/day	1.91	1434.99	7.6
3	Tractor	Hours	1.29	1161.7	6.15
4	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)	Kgs (Rs.)	8.4	1389.75	7.36
5	Fertilizer + micronutrients	Quintal	3.96	3667.39	19.41
6	Pesticides (PPC)	Kgs / liters	1.32	660.26	3.49
7	Depreciation charges		0	84.65	0.45
<b>II</b>	<b>Cost B1</b>				
8	Interest on working capital			686.09	3.63
9	<b>Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)</b>			14205.7	75.2
<b>III</b>	<b>Cost B2</b>				
10	Rental Value of Land			283.33	1.5
11	<b>Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)</b>			14489.03	76.7
<b>IV</b>	<b>Cost C1</b>				
12	Family Human Labour		10.27	2685.13	14.21
13	<b>Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)</b>			17174.16	90.91
<b>V</b>	<b>Cost C2</b>				
14	Risk Premium			0	0
15	<b>Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)</b>			17174.16	90.91
<b>VI</b>	<b>Cost C3</b>				
16	Managerial Cost			1717.42	9.09
17	<b>Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)</b>			18891.58	100
<b>VII</b>	<b>Economics of the Crop</b>				
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		13.33	54375.7
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			4080
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)			54375.7	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)			35484.12	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)			1417.5	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)			1:2.9	

**Cost of Cultivation of Green gram:** The data regarding the cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) of Green gram in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 34.c. The results indicate, the total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) for Green gram was Rs.24416.19. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 39414.63. The net income from Green gram cultivation was Rs. 14998.44, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:1.60.

**Table 34(c). Cost of Cultivation of Green gram in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Particulars	Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
<b>I</b>	<b>Cost A1</b>				
1	Hired Human Labour	Man days	25.64	5800.01	23.75
2	Bullock	Pairs/day	2.38	2006.88	8.22
3	Tractor	Hours	4.07	4125.88	16.9
4	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)	Kgs (Rs.)	9.75	763.07	3.13
5	Fertilizer + micronutrients	Quintal	3.26	2938.27	12.03
6	Pesticides (PPC)	Kgs / liters	1.42	711.55	2.91
7	Irrigation	Number	3.09	0	0
8	Depreciation charges		0	109.7	0.45
<b>II</b>	<b>Cost B1</b>				
9	Interest on working capital			529.55	2.17
10	<b>Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)</b>			16984.9	69.56
<b>III</b>	<b>Cost B2</b>				
11	Rental Value of Land			337.5	1.38
12	<b>Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)</b>			17322.4	70.95
<b>IV</b>	<b>Cost C1</b>				
13	Family Human Labour		17.94	4874.13	19.96
14	<b>Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)</b>			22196.53	90.91
<b>V</b>	<b>Cost C2</b>				
15	Risk Premium			0	0
16	<b>Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)</b>			22196.53	90.91
<b>VI</b>	<b>Cost C3</b>				
17	Managerial Cost			2219.65	9.09
18	<b>Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)</b>			24416.19	100
<b>VII</b>	<b>Economics of the Crop</b>				
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		9.9	39396.34
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			3977.78
	By Product	e) Main Product (q)		0.18	18.3
		f) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			100
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)			39414.63	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)			14998.44	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)			2465.26	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)			1:1.6	



**Cost of Cultivation of Groundnut:** The data regarding the cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) of Groundnut in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 34.d. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) for Groundnut was Rs. 25262.78. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs.21406.67. The net income from Groundnut cultivation was Rs. -3856.12, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:0.80.

**Table 34(d). Cost of Cultivation of Groundnut in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Particulars	Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
<b>I</b>	<b>Cost A1</b>				
1	Hired Human Labour	Man days	19.76	4199	16.62
2	Bullock	Pairs/day	0	0	0
3	Tractor	Hours	0	0	0
4	Machinery	Hours	0	0	0
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)	Kgs (Rs.)	57.63	11526.67	45.63
6	Fertilizer + micronutrients	Quintal	3.29	3046.33	12.06
7	Pesticides (PPC)	Kgs / liters	0.82	411.67	1.63
8	Depreciation charges		0	95.51	0.38
9	Land revenue and Taxes		0	0	0
<b>II</b>	<b>Cost B1</b>				
10	Interest on working capital			1798.16	7.12
11	<b>Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)</b>			21077.33	83.43
<b>III</b>	<b>Cost B2</b>				
12	Rental Value of Land			283.33	1.12
13	<b>Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)</b>			21360.67	84.55
<b>IV</b>	<b>Cost C1</b>				
14	Family Human Labour		6.59	1605.5	6.36
15	<b>Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)</b>			22966.17	90.91
<b>V</b>	<b>Cost C2</b>				
16	Risk Premium			0	0
17	<b>Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)</b>			22966.17	90.91
<b>VI</b>	<b>Cost C3</b>				
18	Managerial Cost			2296.62	9.09
19	<b>Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)</b>			25262.78	100
<b>VII</b>	<b>Economics of the Crop</b>				
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)	8.23	21406.67	
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)		2600	
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)			21406.67	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)			-3856.12	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)			3068.35	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)			1:0.8	

**Cost of Cultivation of Paddy:** The data regarding the cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) of Paddy in Arakera Khurd-2 micro watershed is presented in Table 34.e. The results indicate that, the total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) for Paddy was Rs.32071.34. The gross income realized by the farmers was Rs. 153757.50. The net income from Paddy cultivation was Rs. 121686.16, thus the benefit cost ratio was found to be 1:4.80.

**Table 34(e). Cost of Cultivation of Paddy in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Particulars	Units	Phy Units	Value(Rs.)	% to C3
<b>I</b>	<b>Cost A1</b>				
1	Hired Human Labour	Man days	9.26	2223	6.93
2	Bullock	Pairs/day	1.24	926.25	2.89
3	Tractor	Hours	1.85	1667.25	5.2
4	Machinery	Hours	0	0	0
5	Seed Main Crop (Establishment and Maintenance)	Kgs (Rs.)	61.75	8645	26.96
6	Seed Inter Crop	Kgs.	0	0	0
7	FYM	Quintal	1.24	3705	11.55
8	Fertilizer + micronutrients	Quintal	3.71	3427.13	10.69
9	Pesticides (PPC)	Kgs / liters	1.85	926.25	2.89
10	Irrigation	Number	2.47	0	0
13	Depreciation charges		0	111.15	0.35
14	Land revenue and Taxes		0	0	0
<b>II</b>	<b>Cost B1</b>				
16	Interest on working capital			2004.41	6.25
17	<b>Cost B1 = (Cost A1 + sum of 15 and 16)</b>			23635.43	73.7
<b>III</b>	<b>Cost B2</b>				
18	Rental Value of Land			333.33	1.04
19	<b>Cost B2 = (Cost B1 + Rental value)</b>			23968.76	74.74
<b>IV</b>	<b>Cost C1</b>				
20	Family Human Labour		19.76	5187	16.17
21	<b>Cost C1 = (Cost B2 + Family Labour)</b>			29155.76	90.91
<b>V</b>	<b>Cost C2</b>				
22	Risk Premium			0	0
23	<b>Cost C2 = (Cost C1 + Risk Premium)</b>			29155.76	90.91
<b>VI</b>	<b>Cost C3</b>				
24	Managerial Cost			2915.58	9.09
25	<b>Cost C3 = (Cost C2 + Managerial Cost)</b>			32071.34	100
<b>VII</b>	<b>Economics of the Crop</b>				
a.	Main Product	a) Main Product (q)		74.1	148200
		b) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			2000
	By Product	c) Main Product (q)		3.71	5557.5
		d) Main Crop Sales Price (Rs.)			1500
b.	Gross Income (Rs.)			153757.5	
c.	Net Income (Rs.)			121686.16	
d.	Cost per Quintal (Rs./q.)			432.81	
e.	Benefit Cost Ratio (BC Ratio)			1:4.8	

**Adequacy of fodder:** The data regarding the adequacy of fodder in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 35. The results indicate that, 16.67 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate.

**Table 35. Adequacy of fodder in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Adequate-Dry Fodder	0	0	2	14.29	1	14.29	3	37.5	0	0	6	16.67

**Average annual gross income:** The data regarding the annual gross income in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 36. The results indicate that, the farmers have annual gross income of Rs. 113202.78 in micro-watershed, of which Rs. 43933.33 is from agriculture itself.

**Table 36. Average annual gross income in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Service/salary	0	0	11428.6	43750	60000	15277.8
2	Wage	50000	50357.1	90714.3	30000	25000	52222.2
3	Agriculture	0	32057.1	71114.3	78375	4000	43933.3
4	Dairy Farm	1140	0	1142.86	0	0	380.56
5	Goat Farming	10000	0	0	0	0	1388.89
Income(Rs.)		61140	82414.3	174400	152125	89000	113203

**Average annual Expenditure:** The data regarding the average annual expenditure in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 37. The results indicate that, the farmers have annual gross expenditure of Rs. 460505.95 in micro-watershed, of which Rs. 23212.50 is from agriculture itself.

**Table 37. Average annual Expenditure in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Service/salary	0	0	25000	100000	45000	4722.22
2	Wage	24400	21821.4	41000	29666.7	20000	22875
3	Agriculture	0	16975	34428.6	46714.3	30000	23212.5
4	Dairy Farm	3500	0	2000	0	0	152.78
5	Goat Farming	20000	0	0	0	0	555.56
Total		47900	38796.4	102429	176381	95000	460506

**Table 38. Horticulture species grown in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B
1	Coconut	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	0
2	Mango	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0

\*F= Field B=Back Yard

**Horticulture species grown:** The data regarding horticulture species grown in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 38. The results indicate that, the total

number of horticultural trees grown (both field and backyard) by the sampled households were coconut (7) and Mango (2).

**Interest towards cultivation of horticulture crops:** The data regarding Table (39) indicates that, 2.78 per cent of the households shown interest to cultivate horticultural crops.

**Table 39. Interest towards cultivation of horticulture crops in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Interested towards cultivation of horticulture crops	0	0	1	7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2.78

**Forest species grown:** The data regarding forest species grown in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 40. The results indicate that, households have planted 5 teak trees, 37 neem trees, 2 tamarind trees and 5 acacia trees together in both field and backyard.

**Table 40. Forest species grown in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B	F	B
1	Teak	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
2	Neem	0	0	9	1	8	0	19	0	0	0	36	1
3	Tamarind	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
4	Acacia	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0

\*F= Field B=Back Yard

**Average additional investment capacity:** The data regarding average additional investment capacity in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 41. The results indicate that, households have an average investment capacity of Rs. 277.78 for land development, Rs. 1388.89 for creation of irrigation facility and Rs.555.56 for adoption of improved crop production.

**Table 41. Average additional investment capacity of households in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)	MF (14)	SF (7)	SMF (8)	MDF (2)	All (36)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Land development	0	0	0	1250	0	277.78
2	Irrigation facility	0	0	7142.86	0	0	1388.89
3	Improved crop production	0	0	0	2500	0	555.56

**Table 42. Source of funds for additional investment in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Item	Land development		Irrigation facility		Improved crop production	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Bank Loan	2	5.56	0	0	1	2.78
2	Own Funds	0	0	1	2.78	0	0

**Source of funds for additional investment:** The data regarding source of funds for additional investment in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 42. The results indicate that, the sources of finance raised from bank as a loan and from own sources for land development was 5.56.

**Marketing of agricultural produce:** The data regarding marketing of the agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 43. The results indicated that, 87.01 per cent of output of Cotton was sold in the market with average price of Rs. 12180.00; 66.32 per cent of output of Green gram was sold in the market with average price of Rs. 5114.29; 100.00 percent of output of Groundnut was sold in the market with average price of Rs. 2600.00; 40.00 percent of output of Maize was sold in the market with average price of Rs. 4500.00 and 91.67 percent of output of Paddy was sold in the market with average price of Rs. 1400.00.

**Table 43. Marketing of agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Crops	Output obtained (q)	Output retained (q)	Output sold (q)	Output sold (%)	Avg. Price obtained (Rs/q)
1	Cotton	77	10	67	87	12180
2	Green gram	95	32	63	66	5114
3	Groundnut	10	0	10	100	2600
4	Maize	20	12	8	40	4500
5	Paddy	120	10	110	92	1400
6	Red gram	194	61	133	69	3973

**Marketing channels used for sale of agricultural produce:** The data regarding marketing channels used for sale of agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 44. The results indicated that, 72.22 cent of the households have sold agricultural produce to the local/village merchants and 11.11 per cent of regulated market.

**Table 44. Marketing channels used for sale of agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Local/village Merchant	0	0	14	100	6	85.7	5	62.5	1	50	26	72.22
2	Regulated Market	0	0	1	7.1	1	14.3	2	25	0	0	4	11.11

**Table 45. Mode of transport of agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Tractor	0	0	8	57	3	42.9	6	75	0	0	17	47.22
2	Truck	0	0	7	50	4	57.1	1	12.5	1	50	13	36.11

**Mode of transport of agricultural produce;** The data regarding mode of transport of agricultural produce in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 45. The results indicated that, 47.22 cent of the households have used tractor and 36.11 per cent have used Truck for the transport of agriculture commodity.

**Incidence of soil and water erosion problems:** The data regarding incidence of incidence of soil and water erosion problems in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 46. The results indicate that, 38.89 per cent of the households have experienced soil and water erosion problems.

**Table 46. Incidence of soil and water erosion problems in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Soil and water erosion problems in the farm	0	0	6	43	5	71.4	3	38	0	0	14	38.89

**Interest towards soil testing:** The data regarding Interest shown towards soil testing in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 47. The results indicated that, 80.56 per cent of the households were interested towards soil testing.

**Table 47. Interest regarding soil testing in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Interest in soil test	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	88	1	50	29	80.56

**Soil and water conservation practices and structures adopted:** The data regarding soil and water conservation practices and structures adopted in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 48. The results indicated that 100 per cent of farmers practicing summer ploughing as soil and water conservation practice.

**Table 48. Soil and water conservation practices and structures adopted in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Field Bunding	0	0	1	7.1	1	14	1	12.5	0	0	3	8.33

**Status of soil and water conservation structures:** The data regarding status soil and water conservation structures adopted in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 49. The results indicated that, the households have adopted field bunding as a soil and water conservation structures out of which 33.33 per cent was in good condition, 33.33 per cent was slightly damaged and 33.33 percent were needs full replacement.

**Table 49. Status of soil and water conservation structures in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No	Item	Good		Slightly Damaged		Full Replacement Required	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Field Bunding	1	33.33	1	33.33	1	33.33

**Agencies involved in the soil and water conservation structures:** The data regarding Agencies involved in the soil and water conservation structures adopted in Arakera Khurd-2

Micro watershed is presented in Table 50. The results indicated that, 8.33 per cent were done by Govt.

**Table 50. Agencies involved in the soil and water conservation structures in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Govt.	0	0	1	7.1	1	14.29	1	13	0	0	3	8.33

**Usage pattern of fuel for domestic use:** The data on usage pattern of fuel for domestic use in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 51. The results indicated that, firewood was the major source of fuel for domestic use for 75.00 per cent of the households followed by LPG (19.44%).

**Table 51. Usage pattern of fuel for domestic use in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Fire Wood	2	40	12	85.7	5	71.4	7	87.5	1	50	27	75
2	LPG	3	60	2	14.3	2	28.6	0	0	0	0	7	19.44

**Source of drinking water:** The data on source of drinking water in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 52. The results indicated that, piped waters supply was the major source for drinking water for 77.78 per cent of the households followed by bore well water (13.89%).

**Table 52. Source of drinking water in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Piped supply	5	100	10	71.4	6	85.71	6	75	1	50	28	77.78
2	Bore Well	0	0	4	28.6	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	5	13.89

**Source of light:** The data on source of light in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 53. The results indicated that, electricity was the major source of light for 94.44 per cent of the households.

**Table 53. Source of light in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Electricity	5	100	14	100	7	100	7	88	1	50	34	94.4

**Table 54. Existence of sanitary toilet facility in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Sanitary toilet facility	4	80	6	43	3	42.86	3	38	1	50	17	47.2

**Existence of sanitary toilet facility:** The data on availability of toilet facility in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 54. The results indicated that, 47.22 per cent of the households possess toilets.



**Possession of PDS card:** The data regarding possession of PDS card in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 55. The results indicated that, 88.89 per cent of the households possessed BPL card and 5.56 per cent possessed APL card.

**Table 55. Possession of PDS card in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	APL	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	13	0	0	2	5.56
2	BPL	5	100	14	100	6	85.71	6	75	1	50	32	88.89

**Participation in NREGA programme:** The data regarding Participation in NREGA programme in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 56. The results indicated that, only 30.56 per cent of the households have participated in NREGA programme.

**Table 56. Participation in NREGA programme in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl. No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Participation in NREGA programme	0	0	6	42.9	3	42.9	1	12.5	1	50	11	30.6

**Adequacy of food items:** The data regarding adequacy of food items in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 57. The results indicated that, the extent of adequacy of food items for cereals, pulses, Oilseeds and vegetables were 91.67, 77.78, 80.56, 75.00 per cent respectively, similarly for Fruits (52.78%), milk (11.11%), Egg (36.11%), and Meat (2.78%).

**Table 57. Adequacy of food items in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Cereals	1	20	16	114	8	114.3	7	87.5	1	50	33	91.67
2	Pulses	0	0	14	100	7	100	6	75	1	50	28	77.78
3	Oilseed	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	29	80.56
4	Vegetables	1	20	12	85.7	6	85.71	7	87.5	1	50	27	75
5	Fruits	0	0	12	85.7	3	42.86	3	37.5	1	50	19	52.78
6	Milk	1	20	1	7.14	1	14.29	1	12.5	0	0	4	11.11
7	Egg	1	20	3	21.4	4	57.14	4	50	1	50	13	36.11
8	Meat	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	1	2.78

**Inadequacy of food items:** The data regarding in adequacy of food items in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 58. The results indicated that, the extent of in adequacy of food items for cereals, pulses, Oilseeds and vegetables were 11.11, 16.67, 13.89, 13.89 and 91.67 per cent respectively, similarly for fruits (38.89%), milk (83.33%), egg (50.00%) and meat (91.67%).

**Table 58. Inadequacy of food items in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

Sl.No.	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%

1	Cereals	4	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11.11
2	Pulses	5	100	0	0	0	0	1	12.5	0	0	6	16.67
3	Oilseed	5	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	13.89
4	Vegetables	4	80	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	5	13.89
5	Fruits	5	100	2	14.3	4	57.14	3	37.5	0	0	14	38.89
6	Milk	4	80	13	92.9	6	85.71	6	75	1	50	30	83.33
7	Egg	5	100	9	64.3	2	28.57	2	25	0	0	18	50
8	Meat	5	100	14	100	6	85.71	7	87.5	1	50	33	91.67

**Farming constraints:** The data regarding farming constraints experienced by households in Arakera Khurd-2 Micro watershed is presented in Table 59. The results indicated that, lower fertility status of the soil was the constraint experienced by (91.67 %) per cent of the households, wild animal menace on farm field (77.78%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (80.56%), inadequacy of irrigation water (83.33%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (80.56%), high rate of interest on credit (80.56%), low price for the agricultural commodities (77.78 %), lack of marketing facilities in the area (75.00%), inadequate extension services (50.00 %) and lack of transport for safe transport of the agricultural produce to the market (80.56%).

**Table 59. Farming constraints experienced in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed**

SN	Particulars	LL (5)		MF (14)		SF (7)		SMF (8)		MDF (2)		All (36)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	Lower fertility status of the soil	0	0	16	114.29	8	114.29	8	100	1	50	33	91.67
2	Wild animal menace on farm field	0	0	13	92.86	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	28	77.78
3	Frequent incidence of pest and diseases	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	29	80.56
4	Inadequacy of irrigation water	0	0	14	100	7	100	8	100	1	50	30	83.33
5	High cost of Fertilizers and plant protection chemicals	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	29	80.56
6	High rate of interest on credit	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	29	80.56
7	Low price for the agricultural commodities	0	0	13	92.86	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	28	77.78
8	Lack of marketing facilities in the area	0	0	14	100	6	85.71	6	75	1	50	27	75
9	Inadequate extension services	0	0	9	64.29	4	57.14	4	50	1	50	18	50
10	Lack of transport for safe transport of the Agril produce to the market.	0	0	14	100	7	100	7	87.5	1	50	29	80.56

**SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS**

In order to socio-economic condition of the farmers in the watershed 36 households located in the micro watershed were interviewed for the survey. The study was conducted in

Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed (Gopalapur sub-watershed, Yadgiri taluk & District) is located at North latitude 16° 46' 28.312" and 16° 44' 33.005" and East longitude 77° 18' 41.936" and 77° 15' 31.785" covering an area of about 627.75 ha bounded by under Arekera, K, Ganapura and Gopalapura Villages.

Socio-economic analysis of Arakera Khurd-2 micro watersheds of Gopalapur sub-watershed, Yadgiri taluk & District indicated that, out of the total sample of 36 farmers were sampled in Arakera Khurd-2 micro-watershed among households surveyed 14 (38.89%) were marginal, 7 (19.44%) were small, 8 (22.22 %) were semi medium and 2 (5.56 %) were medium farmers. 5 landless farmers were also interviewed for the survey. The population characteristics of households indicated that, there were 101 (61.59%) men and 63 (38.41 %) were women. The average population of landless was 4.6, marginal farmers were 4.7, small farmers were 3.9, semi medium farmers 4.8 and medium farmers were 5. Majority of the respondents (44.51%) were in the age group of 16-35 years.

Education level of the sample households indicated that, there were 46.34 per cent of illiterates, 30.49 per cent of them had primary school education, 3.66 per cent middle school education, 5.49 per cent high school education, 9.15 per cent of them had PUC education, 2.44 per cent attained graduation and 1.83 them had other education. About, 86.11 per cent of household heads practicing agriculture and 13.89 per cent of the household heads were engaged as agricultural labourers.

Agriculture was the major occupation for 62.20 per cent of the household members. In the study area, 63.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house and 22.22 per cent possess pucca house. The durable assets owned by the households showed that, 58.33 per cent possess TV, 11.11 per cent possess mixer grinder, 91.67 per cent possess mobile phones and 25.00 per cent possess motor cycles.

Farm implements owned by the households indicated that, 2.78 per cent of the households possess Bullock Cart, 30.56 per cent possess plough and 11.11 per cent possess Seed/Fertilizer Drill and Sprinkler, 30.56 per cent possess Sprayer and 27.78 per cent possess Weeder. Regarding livestock possession by the households, 11.11 per cent possess local cow and 8.33 per cent possess buffalo.

The average labour availability in the study area showed that, own labour men available in the micro watershed was 1.6, women available in the micro watershed was 1.29, hired labour (men) available was 5.6 and hired labour (women) available was 5.60. Out of the total land holding of the sample respondents 67.82 per cent (38.98 ha) of the area is under dry condition and the remaining 32.18 per cent area is irrigated land. There were 6.00 live bore wells and 5.00 dry bore wells among the sampled households.

Bore well was the major source of irrigation for 19.45 per cent of the households. The major crops grown by sample farmers are Red gram, Cotton, Green gram, Groundnut and Paddy and cropping intensity was recorded as 83.31 per cent. Out of the sample

households 85.71 percent possessed bank account and 82.86 per cent of them have savings in the account. About 69.44 per cent of the respondents borrowed credit from various sources.

Among the credit borrowed by households, 87.50 per cent have borrowed loan from commercial banks and 12.50 per cent from co-operative/Grameena bank. Majority of the respondents (100.00%) have borrowed loan for agriculture purpose. Regarding the opinion on institutional sources of credit, 100.00 per cent of the households opined that credit helped to perform timely agricultural operations.

The per hectare cost of cultivation for Red gram, Cotton, Green gram, Groundnut and Paddy was Rs.31094.90 , 18891.58, 24416.19, 25262.78, and 32071.34 with benefit cost ratio of 1:2.40, 1: 2.90, 1: 1.60, 1: 0.80, and 1:4.80 , respectively. Further, 16.67 per cent of the households opined that dry fodder was adequate. The average annual gross income of the farmers was Rs. 113202.78 in micro-watershed, of which Rs. 43933.33 comes from agriculture.

Sampled households have grown 9 horticulture trees and 49 forestry trees together in the fields and back yards. About 2.78 per cent of the households shown interest to cultivate horticultural crops. Households have an average investment capacity of Rs. 277.78 for land development and Rs. 1388.89 for irrigation facility. Source of funds for additional investment is concerned, 5.56 per cent depends on bank loan for land development activities.

Regarding marketing channels, 72.22 per cent of the households have sold agricultural produce to the local/village merchants, while, 11.11 per cent have sold in regulated markets. Further, 47.22 per cent of the households have used tractor for the transport of agriculture commodity. Majority of the farmers (38.89%) have experienced soil and water erosion problems in the watershed and 80.56 per cent of the households were interested towards soil testing.

Firewood was the major source of fuel for domestic use for 75.00 per cent of the households and 19.44 per cent households has LPG connection. Piped supply was the major source for drinking water for 77.78 per cent of the households. Electricity was the major source of light for 94.44 per cent of the households. In the study area, 47.22 per cent of the households possess toilet facility. Regarding possession of PDS card, 88.89 per cent of the households possessed BPL card and 5.56 per cent of the household's possessed APL card. Households opined that, the requirement of cereals (91.67%), pulses (77.78%) and oilseeds (80.56%) are adequate for consumption.

Farming constraints experienced by households in the micro watersheds were lower fertility status of the soil (91.67%) wild animal menace on farm field (77.78%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (80.56%), inadequacy of irrigation water (83.33%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (80.56%), high rate of interest on credit

(80.56%), low price for the agricultural commodities (77.78%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (75.00%), inadequate extension services (50.00%), lack of transport for safe transport of the agricultural produce to the market (80.56%).

### **Implications of the survey**

- ✓ Result indicated that, there were 46.34 per cent were illiterate hence, extension methodologies such as demonstration, street play, drama, video shows will be effective in dissemination of the technologies in the micro watershed.
- ✓ The data indicate that, 63.89 per cent of the households possess katcha house. Hence, the development department while implementing the watershed plan should focus on agriculture to enhance the productivity of major crops in the area to increase the income of the farmers.
- ✓ Results indicated that the local institutional participation of the household members in the micro watershed is minimal hence, activities like membership campaign, awareness creation about the benefits of membership in local institutions and strengths of organized groups must be conveyed.
- ✓ Majority of the households in the watershed have experience in use of mobile phones, and television hence, these mass media can be effectively utilized for transfer of technology as well as for information dissemination.
- ✓ The farm machinery/implement possession in the micro watershed was found to be minimum the reasons may lack of knowledge or lack of financial ability which can be addressed through training on use of different farm implements, providing information on different sources of finance for purchase of farm implements.
- ✓ The possession of livestock such as crossbred cow found is less hence, farmers must be made aware of the benefits of crossbred cow in increased milk production.
- ✓ The possession of livestock such as sheep, goat and poultry was found to be low hence, farmers may be informed the role of subsidiary enterprises in enhancing the income and information on financial support for subsidiary activities.
- ✓ Households possess 26.44ha (67.82 %) of dry land and 12.55ha (32.18 %) of irrigated land hence, the availability of the dry land agricultural technologies such as short duration crops, high yielding drought resistance crop varieties, drip irrigation technology and subsidy information will be helpful for the farmers to enhance the productivity of land and as well as farmers income.
- ✓ Few of the bore well in micro watershed found non functional hence, farmers may be trained on possibility of bore well rejuvenation.
- ✓ Bore well was major source of irrigation for 16.67 per cent of the households. hence, in order to increase the area under irrigation as well as to increase the water use efficiency farmers may trained on drip irrigation and provide the information on subsidy for drip irrigation equipment's along with the information on different agencies which provides the financial assistance for drip irrigation.

- ✓ The cropping intensity in the micro watershed was found to be (83.31 %) hence, care must be taken by the implementing agency to bring uncultivated land into cultivation through suitable measures.
- ✓ Many of the household members have borrowed loan from cooperative banks which has higher rate of interest hence, farmers may be sensitized on the different sources of credit with lesser interest rate such SHGs etc.
- ✓ The results indicated the non availability of both green and dry fodder throughout the year hence, fodder development activities can be taken up in the micro watershed.
- ✓ The average annual gross income of the households Rs.43933.33 from agriculture and Rs. 52222.22 from wages and. Agriculture was found to be the major source of income for households hence; the development activities should focus on productivity enhancement, marketing arrangements and agricultural technology dissemination to have a direct impact on the farmers.
- ✓ The cultivation of forest species is found minimal hence, information and production technology related to agro-forestry and integrated farming system.
- ✓ The data indicated that, 38.89 per cent of the households have experienced soil and water erosion problems. Hence, those farmers who reported the soil and water erosion problems may be given attention while implementation of the watershed development plan.
- ✓ The data indicated that, 80.56 per cent of the households have interest in soil testing hence, farmers must be provided with the information on various institutions which are involved in soil testing for the benefit of the farmers.
- ✓ Except summer ploughing the adoption of other soil and water conservation structures is minimum hence, the farmers in the micro watershed should be sensitized on the use of different conservation structures for soil water conservation.
- ✓ Cereals and pulses found be adequate for per cent of the households respectively hence, farm households and the farm women must be trained on importance of balanced nutrition and role of vegetable, milk, egg, meat in balanced diet.
- ✓ Lower fertility status of the soil (91.67%), wild animal menace on farm field (77.78%), frequent incidence of pest and diseases (80.56%), high cost of fertilizers and plant protection chemicals (80.56%), high rate of interest on credit (80.56%), low price for the agricultural commodities (77.78%), lack of marketing facilities in the area (75.00%), inadequate extension services (50.00%), lack of transport for safe transport of the agricultural produce to the market (80.56%) were the major farming constraints experienced hence, these constraints must be addressed immediately for the welfare of the farmers. Awareness to be created among the farmers to approach nearest KVKs/RSKs and other developmental departments for technical and for subsidized inputs and utilize the well established regulated markets, approaching the contract firms, direct markets to avoid the involvement of middlemen.