

13. Gibbons, M., Spanner, T. and Kholia, B. S., *Trachycarpus takil* Becc. in Kumaon. *Curr. Sci.*, 2008, **94**, 444–447.
14. Basu, S. K. and Chakarwarty, R. K., *A Manual of Cultivated Palms of India*, Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta, 1994.
15. Hussain, T. and Garg, A., *Trachycarpus takil* Becc. is not a rare palm. *Curr. Sci.*, 2004, **86**, 633–634.
16. IUCN, *Red list categories and criteria*, version 3.1, Switzerland, 2001.
17. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trachycarpus takil](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trachycarpus_takil)
18. <http://www.france-palmier.com>
19. Lorek, M. and Pradhan, K. C., A new species of *Trachycarpus* (Arecaceae) with remark on its unusual habitat. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.*, 2006, **126**, 419–426.
20. Lorek, M., The Indian species *Trachycarpus takil* in the garden of Villa Beccari, Florence, Italy. *Curr. Sci.*, 2007, **93**, 295–297.
21. Osmaston, A. E., *Forest Flora of Kumaon*, Allahabad, 1927.
22. Blatter, E. B., *Palms of British India and Ceylone*, 1926.
23. Bailey, L. H., *Manual of Cultivated Plants, Most Commonly Grown in the Continental United States and Canada*, The Macmillan Company (Reprinted Edition), 1971.
24. Benthum, G. and Hooker, J. D., *Genera Plantarum* L. Reeve & Co. London, vol. III, 1980.
25. Beccari, O. and Hooker, J. D., Order CLXIII. Palmae. In *Flora of British India*, L. Reeve & Co. London, vol. VI, 1894, pp. 402–483.
26. Griffith, W., *Palms of British East India*, Calcutta, 1850.
27. Brandis, D., *Indian Trees*, Archbald Constable and Co. Ltd, London, 1906.
28. Berzychudek, P., The demography of jack-in-the-pulpit, a forest perennial that changes sex. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 1982, **52**, 335–351.
29. Lovett Doust, J. and Cavers, P. B., Sex and gender dynamics in jack-in-the-pulpit, *Arisaema triphyllum* (Araceae). *Ecology*, 1982, **63**, 797–808.
30. Lloyd, D. G. and Bawa, K. S., Modification of the gender of seed plants in varying conditions. *Evol. Biol.*, 1984, **17**, 225–338.
31. Kinoshita, E., Size–sex relationship and sexual dimorphism in Japanese *Arisamea* (Araceae). *Ecol. Res.*, 1986, **1**, 157–171.
32. Kinoshita, E., Sex change and population dynamics in *Arisamea* (Araceae) I. *Arisaema serratum* (Thunb.) Schott. *Plant Species Biol.*, 1987, **1**, 15–28.
33. Schlessman, M. A., Size, gender, and sex change in dwarf ginseng, *Panax trifolium* (Araliaceae). *Oecologia*, 1991, **87**, 588–595.
34. Yamashita, A. and Abe, T., Size distribution, growth and inter-year variation in sex expression of *Bischofia javanica*, an invasive tree. *Ann. Bot.*, 2002, **90**, 599–605.
35. Menninger, E. A., *Fantastic Trees*, Viking Press, New York, 1967.
36. Van Wyk, A. E. and Claassen, M. I., Sex reversal in *Encephalartos umbeluziensis*, *Veld Flora*, 1981, December issue (internet version).
37. Freeman, D. C., Harper, K. T. and Charnova, E. L., Sex change in plants; Old and new observations and new hypothesis. *Oecologia*, 1980, **47**, 222–232.
38. Primack, R. B. and McCall, C., Gender variation in a red maple population (*Acer rubrum*; Aceraceae): a seven-year study of a 'polygamodioecious' species. *Am. J. Bot.*, 1986, **73**, 1239–1248.
39. Satoshi, N., Kawaguchi, H. and Yamakura, T., Sex change towards female in dying *Acer rufinerve* trees. *Ann. Bot.*, 2004, **93**, 733–740.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I thank Mr Kamal Bhakuni, Govt P. G. College, Pithoragarh for help in the field. Dr M. Sanjappa, Director, Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata and Dr M. Ahmadullah, Botanic Garden of Indian Republic, Noida are acknowledged for providing support and facilities.

Received 27 February 2008; revised accepted 23 October 2008

Water repellency of soils in the lower Himalayan regions of India: impact of land use

Debashis Mandal* and J. Jayaprakash

Central Soil and Water Conservation Research and Training Institute, 218, Kaulagarh Road, Dehradun 248 195, India

Although soils are generally considered to wet readily, some are actually water-repellent at the surface. This communication presents the recent progress in relating the severity of water repellency to different soil management practices and land uses under the lower Himalayan region of India. All soils under sal forest, chrysopogon and cropland had less water drop penetration time (<5 s) and therefore were classified as wettable. However soils under eucalyptus plantation and panicum stand showed considerable hydrophobicity. This is considered as being caused by differences in organic matter composition rather than amount of organic carbon. If planted indiscriminately and particularly where there is significant competition for land area, nutrients or water, notable problems can occur under the eucalyptus stand.

Keywords: Environmental implications, land use, soil hydrophobicity, soil infiltration rate, water repellency.

ALTHOUGH soils are generally considered to wet readily under rainfall or irrigation, some soils exhibit a reduced, or no affinity to water (water repellency) at the surface and within the root zone. This phenomenon occurs at low to moderate moisture content and has been reported from soils under a range of vegetation types and from many regions around the globe¹. Water repellency in soils can have serious environmental implications, including reduced seed germination and plant growth as well as irrigation efficiency, accelerated soil erosion and enhanced leaching of agrochemicals through preferential flow^{2–5}. Soils containing a large amount of hydrophobic materials (such as plant litter, residue and microbial by-products) may become water-repellent or less wettable^{6,7}. These are generally thought to be present as a coating on soil particles or aggregates⁸. The accumulation of hydrophobic waxes on soil particles⁹, humic and/or fulvic acid soil coatings¹⁰ and other long-chained organic compounds on or between soil particles^{11,12} are all accepted as factors contributing to this negative-impact phenomenon. Soil water repellency often leads to severe run-off and erosion, rapid leaching of surface-applied agrochemicals and loss of water and nutrient availability for crops. The degree of repellency and wettability is traditionally judged using the water-solid contact angle (γ). A solid is classified as being water-repellent if $\gamma > 90^\circ$ and water wettable if

*For correspondence. (e-mail: dmondal@cswwrtiddn.org)