Laboratory rearing of *Kerria lacca* (Kerr) (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Tachardiidae) on the fruits of pumpkin, *Cucurbita moschata*

K. Krishan Sharma

Division of Entomology, Indian Lac Research Institute, Namkum, Ranchi 834 010, India

The Indian lac insect, better known for the commercial value of its secretions such as resin, wax and dye, requires plant hosts for its propagation. Attempts to rear it on synthetic diet have not been successful. For the first time, we have reared lac insects on fruits of pumpkin in the laboratory. This would facilitate many experiments that were hitherto not easy.

THE Indian lac insect *Kerria lacca* (Kerr), a natural parasite on certain trees, is purposely cultivated for commercial value of its secretion. India produces approximately 17,000 m.t. of lac per annum (1986–1990 average) and 80–85% of that is exported in one form or another. Being an export-oriented commodity, lac has considerable foreign exchange value. Besides, it constitutes about 30% of total income budget of more than three million tribal families engaged in lac cultivation. The lac insect is phyto-succivorous and sedentary in habit and thus, requires a plant host for maintenance of its cultures.

Of the large assemblage of plant species recorded from India those of major importance are mostly tree species and a few are wild as well as cultivated plants[1]. Attempts to rear lac insects on synthetic diet in the laboratory have not met with the required success so far[2,3] and as such most of the research till now has been conducted rather under field conditions. Sheer physical size of the host plant coupled with sedentary nature of the lac insect poses problems in day-to-day observations and routine operations of experiments. Moreover, pests of host plant inflict severe damage to it and devitalize it which indirectly affects the lac insect performance. The proper management of host plant, thus becomes equally important involving considerable time and energy. A need was felt to rear lac insect away from plant which would facilitate laboratory studies.

Reports are available on cucurbit fruits being used to rear insects on them[4,5]. Pumpkin which has a thick rind and can be stored over quite a long period of time seemed to hold good promise as lac insect completes its life cycle in 4–8 months depending upon the season of propagation and the strain used.

The propagation of lac insects was carried out on pumpkins devoid of any injury and having a small stalk which made the handling easy. Any slight injury resulting out of handling was sealed with wax to prevent desiccation and attack of pathogens. The brood lac (gravid, mature female lac insects from which larvae of new generation are yet to emerge) taken directly from the field was placed over the upper part of pumpkins which were hung in a cage to avoid attack of parasites and predators. The cage used was a rectangular wooden box (105 cm × 45 cm × 30 cm) fixed with fine mesh wire netting on the lid and on the front and back sides of it to ensure free circulation of air. Some cotton was wrapped around the stalk of pumpkins to prevent straying away of emerged larvae moving in search of feeding site. Larvae being of gregarious nature settled in close proximity (Figure 1). Once the emergence was over, brood lac was removed. After one month of settlement the larvae were regularly sprayed with 0.1% solution of a fungicide, Dithane M-45, at fortnightly intervals as honey-dew secreted by the feeding larvae attracts fungus which kills them by blocking the respiratory pores.

Larvae, though well settled on fresh, green, immature pumpkins died within 2–5 days of settlement, showing no sign of feeding. However, the secretion of white wax filaments on ripened (stored for some time after harvesting) assured that the larvae had started feeding (Figure 2).

Both the strains of lac insect, *kusmi* (producing superior quality of lac, grown on *kusum*, *Schleichera oleosa* or on other host plants taking brood from *kusum*) as well as *rangeeni* (inferior to *kusmi*, grown on the host plants other than *kusum*, taking brood lac neither from *kusum* nor from the progeny of *kusmi* brood) were propagated in rainy season on the pumpkins which completed their respective life cycle normally (Table 1).

The finding is of great applied significance as it will: (i) facilitate ascertaining the specific nutritional requirements of lac insects which may be incorporated in the plant hosts for bringing about desired improvements in



Figure 1. Lac insects on pumpkin (enlarged) showing (a) freshly settled larvae, (b) cell of male lac insect from which male has emerged, (c) single mature lac insect female.



Figure 2. Mature lac insect females on pumpkin secreting white wax filaments.

Table 1. Various attributes of lac insect life cycle showing normal behaviour on pumpkin.

Strain used	Date of propagation	Male emergence (after settlement)	Total time taken (in days) to mature	Mean	No. of insects studied
Kusmi	14 July '90	7–8 weeks	142–160	153	83
Rangeeni	29 June '90	6–7 weeks	120–137	124	94

quality and quantity of lac. Another secondary objective of such study is to artificially rear lac insects on synthetic diet in laboratory to conduct certain studies on genetical, physiological and toxicological aspects of lac insect and its major predators; (ii) make ecological studies in controlled physical environment more convenient; (iii) make lac insect amenable to certain experimentation techniques; (iv) save much of labour and money as it requires practically nothing towards its maintenance.

Efforts are being made at this institute to standardize the rearing technique for further studies.

1. Roonwal, M. L., Raizada, M. B., Chatterji, R. N., Singh, B., in *Descriptive Account of the Host-Plants of the Lac Insect, Laccifer lacca (Kerr), and the Allied Plants in the Indian Region*, Part 1 and 2, Indian Lac Cess Committee, Ranchi, 1958, p. 9.
2. Naqvi, A. H. and Ramani, R., Annual Report 1979 and 1980, p.31, Indian Lac Research Institute, Ranchi.
3. Naqvi, A. H., Sen, A. K. and Thakur Prasad, *Indian Farming*, 1976, **27**, 33.
4. Ciochia, V., *Ann. Zool. Ecol. Anim.*, 1978, **10**, 641.
5. Alexandrakis, V. and Neuenschwander, P., *Ann. Zool. Ecol. Anim.*, 1979, **11**, 171.

Received 4 May 1991; revised accepted 4 September 1991