

### **Dear Author**

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and email the annotated PDF.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- Check the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- Check that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
- Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The printed version will follow in a forthcoming issue.

#### **Please note**

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0579-6

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to: <u>http://www.springerlink.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

### Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

1	Article Title	Optimization of weaning mix based on malted and extruded pearl millet and barley						
2	Article Sub- Title							
3	Article Copyright - Year	Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) 2011 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)						
4	Journal Name	Journal of Food S	ournal of Food Science and Technology					
5		Family Name	Balasubramanian					
6		Particle						
7		Given Name	S.					
8	Corresponding	Suffix						
9	Author	Organization	Central Institute of Post Harvest Engineering and Technology					
10		Division						
11		Address	Ludhiana 141 004, Punjab, India					
12		e-mail	balaciphet@gmail.com					
13		Family Name	Kaur					
14		Particle						
15		Given Name	Jaspreet					
16		Suffix						
17	Author	Organization	Central Institute of Post Harvest Engineering and Technology					
18		Division						
19		Address	Ludhiana 141 004, Punjab, India					
20	_	e-mail						
21		Family Name	Singh					
22		Particle						
23		Given Name	Deepak					
24	Author	Suffix						
25	Aution	Organization	Bundelkhand University					
26		Division						
27		Address	Jhansi 284 128, Uttar Pradesh, India					
28		e-mail						
29	Schedule	Received						

30		Revised	29 August 2011
31		Accepted	17 October 2011
32	Abstract	Weaning mix was millet ( <i>Pennisetum</i> composite rotatabl (pearl millet extrud extrudates), BME v ariables, i.e. light water absorption in used to conduct th obtained by combi milk powder (SMP) 4 ml 100 g <sup>-1</sup> mix. PMME 7.39%, BE content of optimize specified by PFA,	developed using extrudates of plain and malted pearl <i>typhoides</i> ) and barley ( <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> ) flour. Central le design (CCRD) with four independent variables PME lates), PMME (pearl millet malt extrudates), BE (barley (barley malt extrudates) at five level and five dependent eness, peak viscosity (PV), water solubility index (WSI), index (WAI) and overall acceptability (OAA) scores, were be experiments. Highly acceptable weaning mix was ining optimized ingredients with constant level of skim 0 25%, WPC-70 5%, sugar 6% and refined vegetable oil The optimized level of ingredients was PME 20.77%, 20.99%, BME 6.53% with 81.3% desirability. The nutrient ed weaning mix was in accordance with the standards 2004.
33	Keywords separated by ' - '	Weaning - Pearl m	illet - Barley - Malt - Peak viscosity - Optimization
34	Foot note information		

J Food Sci Technol DOI 10.1007/s13197-011-0579-6

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

32

7

8 9



# Optimization of weaning mix based on malted and extruded pearl millet and barley

6 S. Balasubramanian · Jaspreet Kaur · Deepak Singh

Revised: 29 August 2011 / Accepted: 17 October 2011 © Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) 2011

10 Abstract Weaning mix was developed using extrudates of plain and malted pearl millet (Pennisetum typhoides) and 11 barley (Hordeum vulgare) flour. Central composite rotat-12able design (CCRD) with four independent variables PME 13 14(pearl millet extrudates), PMME (pearl millet malt extrudates), BE (barley extrudates), BME (barley malt extru-15dates) at five level and five dependent variables, i.e. 16 17lightness, peak viscosity (PV), water solubility index (WSI), water absorption index (WAI) and overall accept-18 ability (OAA) scores, were used to conduct the experi-1920ments. Highly acceptable weaning mix was obtained by 21combining optimized ingredients with constant level of skim milk powder (SMP) 25%, WPC-70 5%, sugar 6% and 22refined vegetable oil 4 ml 100 g<sup>-1</sup> mix. The optimized level 23of ingredients was PME 20.77%, PMME 7.39%, BE 2420.99%, BME 6.53% with 81.3% desirability. The nutrient 2526content of optimized weaning mix was in accordance with the standards specified by PFA, 2004. 27

Keywords Weaning · Pearl millet · Barley · Malt · Peak
 viscosity · Optimization

#### 30 Introduction

31 Child under nutrition continues to be a major problem in 32 several low and middle-income countries (Black et al.

> S. Balasubramanian (⊠) · J. Kaur Central Institute of Post Harvest Engineering and Technology, Ludhiana 141 004 Punjab, India e-mail: balaciphet@gmail.com

D. Singh Bundelkhand University, Jhansi 284 128 Uttar Pradesh, India 2008). Owing to high costs of raw material and lack of 33 proper processing technologies, good quality weaning 34 foods remain out of reach of the general population in such 35countries. Weaning/complementary foods, introduced to 36 children between the ages of 6 months to 3 years, are 37 liquids and semisolids, which are later replaced by solid 38 foods. In addition to providing adequate nutrition, weaning 39 foods should possess proper functional properties. Accord-40 ing to WHO (2003), good quality weaning food must have 41 high nutrient density, low bulk density, low viscosity and 42appropriate texture along with high energy, protein and 43micronutrient contents and have a consistency that allows 44 easy consumption. 45

Extrusion technology is used specifically to produce 46nutritionally balanced or enriched foods, like weaning 47foods, dietetic foods, and meat replacers (Plahar et al. 48 2003). Extrusion cooking is a high-temperature, short-time 49process that plasticizes and cooks moistened, expansive, 50starchy and/or protein-rich food materials in a tube by a 51combination of moisture, pressure, temperature and me-52chanical shear, resulting in molecular transformation and 53chemical reactions (Castells et al. 2005). Extrusion cooking 54also causes substantial viscosity reduction in cereal gruels 55and enhances its nutrient density (De Muelenaere 1989). 56Sumathi et al. (2007) developed and evaluated a pearl 57millet based extrusion cooked supplementary food. 58Pelembe et al. (2002) developed sorghum cowpea instant 59porridge by extrusion process. 60

Several dairy ingredients such as skim milk powder and 61 whey protein concentrate have been a used as ingredients in 62 weaning foods. Kshirsagar et al. (1994) developed weaning 63 foods using ragi, green gram, defatted ground nut and skim 64 milk powder while Ghavidel and Prakash (2010) used 65 germinated, dried and dehulled legumes, green gram, lentil, 66 wheat, rice, carrot and skim milk. Whey proteins, being a 67 source of high quality proteins may be used as nutritional
and functional ingredients in weaning foods. Onwulata and
Konstance (2002) developed weaning food from extruded
taro flour and whey proteins.

72Among cereals, millets have tremendous potential as ingredients in weaning foods. Sorghum, pearl millet, and 73finger millet flours were blended with toasted mung bean 7475flour and nonfat dry milk and extruded to make ready-to-eat weaning foods (Malleshi et al. 1996). Pearl millet is a course 76cereal grain and has equivalent or even superior nutrient 77content to other cereals (Obilana and Manvasa 2002). It has 7879 high levels of calcium, iron, zinc, lipids and high quality proteins. Similarly, barley, a crop of temperate climate, 80 possesses good nutritional attributes such as high protein, 81 mineral and fibre contents. It is rich in beta-gluten that has 82 unique functional characteristics. Both pearl millet and 83 barley have low cost of cultivation. Their nutritional profile 84 of foods can be further enhanced by malting, a low-cost 85 86 processing technology that improves the physico-chemical, nutritional and functional properties (Pelembe et al. 2003). 87

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a collection of 88 statistical and mathematical techniques for developing, 89 90 improving and optimizing product/processes (Myers and Montgomery 2002). This statistical tool has been success-91fully used in the product/process optimization studies such 9293 as sweet potato based pasta (Singh et al. 2004), soyfortified instant upma mix (Yadav and Sharma, 2008), 94baking parameters of chapatti (Yadav et al. 2008), and 9596 natural polymeric enteral feed formula (Vijayakumar and 97 Deepa 2010).

98 An attempt has been made to optimize a weaning mix 99 made using locally available and low cost as well as 100 nutritious raw materials, pearl millet and barley, in the 101 malted and extruded form.

- **Q1** 102 **Materials and methods** 
  - 103 Selection of ingredients

Pearl millet (var. PHB-2168) and barley (var. PL-807) 104 grains, grown in the year 2010, were obtained from Punjab 105Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. Grains 106107 were cleaned and destoned using Destoner (Model 6276; Indosaw, Ambala, India) and stored in gunny bags at 10 °C 108until further use. Skim milk powder (SMP, protein 35.21%, 109 fat 1.51%, minerals 8.31% and carbohydrates 51.5%) and 110 whey protein concentrate (WPC-70) were obtained from 111National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India. Sugar and 112vegetable oil were procured from the local market. Sugar 113114 was ground in a mixer-grinder before use. All the chemicals used for chemical analysis were of analytical grade and 115obtained from Central Drug House, New Delhi. 116

Preparation of pearl millet flour

Whole pearl millet grains were soaked in water for 2 h at 118 ambient temperature until the grains attained  $30\pm2\%$ 119moisture and steamed at 1.05 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> for 15 min in order 120 to minimize anti-nutritional factors (Shobhana and Malleshi 1212007). They were dried to 15% moisture at 60 °C in a hot 122air oven. Subsequently, they were pearled in a millet pearler 123(Mathesis Engineers, Hyderabad, India) with 80% pearling 124efficiency and milled to flour using pulverizer (Lakshmi 125Industries, Ludhiana, India). Flour obtained was sieved to 126obtain 390 µm sized particles using sieve shaker (Indosaw, 127Ambala, India). Pearl millet flour had proximate composi-128tion of moisture 9.1%, protein 11.5%, fat 4.26%, ash 1291.34%, fibre 0.8% and carbohydrates 73.0%. 130

Preparation of barley flour

Whole barley grains were conditioned to 12% moisture by 132 adding calculated amount of water for 2 h and pearled in a 133 millet pearler (Mathesis Engineers, Hyderabad, India) with 134 75% pearling efficiency. Flour obtained was sieved to 135 obtain 390 µm sized particles using sieve shaker (Indosaw, 136 Ambala, India). Barley flour had moisture 10.46%, protein 137 11.3%, fat 1.27%, ash 0.9%, and carbohydrates 76.07%. 138

Preparation of malted flours

Grains of pearl millet and barley were steeped in static 140water at ambient temperature until they absorbed water. The 141water was changed every 2 h over a period of 8 h. The 142barley & millet grains were allowed to germinate in the 143humidity chamber (125 ECO, Macro Scientific Works Pvt. 144Ltd., Ambala) at 22 °C and 90% humidity for sprouting 145(Pelembe et al. 2003). To prevent matting and to even up 146the growth, the grains were repeatedly turned. The 147germinated pearl millet and barley grains were dried for 14824 h at 50 °C in tray dryer (capacity: 24 trays of size 78 cm 149x 40 cm., 5 K.W, Indosaw, Ambala). Pearling of malted 150grains was done in a millet pearler (Mathesis Engineers, 151Hyderabad, India) for removal of outer covering of grains. 152Malted and pearled pearl millet and barley grains were 153reduced to flour (390 µm) in a pulverizer (Lakshmi 154Industries, Ludhiana). 155

Extrusion

Raw and malted pearl millet and barley flour were 157 separately conditioned to 18–20% moisture and each 158 extruded using co-rotating twin-screw extruder (7.5 HP 159 motor, 400 V, 50 cycle, L-TSE model, Basic Technologies 160 Private Ltd. Kolkata) with die opening 3.55 mm., screw 161 speed 350 rpm, feeder speed 23 rpm, temperature for 162

131

139

156

J Food Sci Technol

163 extrusion: 130 °C for raw flour and 120 °C for malt. The four
164 types of extrudates thus obtained i.e. pearl millet extrudates
165 (PME), pearl millet malt extrudates (PMME), barley extru166 dates (BE) and barley malt extrudates (BME) obtained were
167 cooled to room temperature, ground to 180–500 µm size and

168 sealed in separate polyethylene bags until further use.

169 Experimental design

Response surface methodology was used to optimize the 170levels of PME, PMME, BE and BME. Based on formulae 171172given in literature (Espinola et al. 1998) and for conformity to PFA (2004) rules, WPC-70, SMP, sugar, and vegetable 173oil were kept constant at 5%, 25%, 6% and 4 ml/100 g mix, 174respectively, for each experiment. Formulation of weaning 175mix was done to match the commercially available product. 176177After preliminary tests, upper and lower levels for these variables were established. A central composite rotatable 178179design (CCRD) (Table 1) was prepared to select variables level i.e. extruded pearl millet and barley: 20-25% each, 180malted and extruded pearl millet and barley 6-9% each, in 181 each experiment. Experiments were conducted in random-182183 ized fashion. For the analysis of experimental design by the response surface, it was assumed that n-mathematical 184functions,  $f_k$  (k=1, 2...., n),  $Y_k$  in terms of m independent 185186 processing factors  $X_i$  (*i*=1,2, ..., m) existed for each response variable. 187

$$Y_k = f_k(X_1, X_2, ..., X_m)$$

199 In this case, n=5, m=4

Full second-order equation was fitted in each response to describe it mathematically and to study the effect of variables. The equation was as follows:

$$Y_{K} = \beta_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i} X_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} \beta_{ij} X_{I} X_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{ii} X^{2}_{i}$$

194 where,  $Y_k$ =response variable,  $\beta_0$  is the value of the fitted 196 response at the centre point of the design i.e. (0,0) and  $\beta_i$ , 197  $\beta_{ij}$ ,  $\beta_{ii}$  are the linear, quadratic and interactive regression 198 coefficients, respectively.  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are the coded indepen-199 dent variable.

```
200 Peak Viscosity (PV)
```

The PV of weaning mix was evaluated by Rapid Visco 201 Analyser (RVA<sup>TM</sup>), operated with Themocline 3.0 for 202203 Windows (TCW) software. In RVA, the short temperature profile (13 min) was used and the mixture was stirred at 204205960 rpm for 10 s and then at 160 rpm for the remainder of 206 the test. A mixture of 3.0 g extrudate powder and 30.0 ml water was held at 50 °C for 1 min and subsequently, heated 207to 95 °C at 12.2 °C/min. Holding time at 95 °C was 208

2.5 min, subsequently the sample was cooled to 50 °C at2091.2 °C/min, where it was kept for 2.1 min (Deffenbaugh210and Walker 1990).211

Colour

The colour values of weaning mix, in terms of L 213 (lightness), was measured using HunterLab LabScan XE 214 (Hunter Associates Laboratory Inc., Reston, Virginia, 215 USA) (NR-3000;  $10^{\circ}/D65$ ). Colour values were recorded 216 as L (0 = black, 100 = white) 217

Water Solubility Index (WSI) and Water Absorption Index 218 (WAI) 219

WSI and WAI were determined according to the method 220 developed for cereals (Anderson et al. 1969; Yagci and 221Gogus 2008). The ground weaning mix was suspended in 222water at room temperature for 30 min, gently stirred during 223this period, and then centrifuged at 3,000 g for 15 min by 224refrigerated centrifuge. The supernatants were decanted into 225an evaporating dish of known weight. The WSI was the 226weight of dry solids in the supernatant expressed as a 227percentage of the original weight of sample. The WAI was 228the weight of gel obtained after removal of the supernatant 229per unit weight of original dry solids. Water solubility index 230(WSI) and water absorption index (WAI) were expressed as 231follows:-232

$$WSI(\%) = \frac{\text{Weight of dry solids in supernatant}}{\text{Dry weight of sample}}$$

234

212

$$WAI(g/g) = \frac{\text{Weight gain by gel}}{\text{Dry weight of sample}}$$

236Sensory analysis238

Weaning mix (25 g) was mixed with 60 ml of lukewarm water 239 (70 °C) (Thathola and Srivastava 2002) and served in 240 numbered plates to a semi-trained panel of judges (ten) 241 selected from the Institute staff. Four samples were presented 242 at a time to the judges at separate booth and asked to rate the 243 samples in terms of taste, mouth feel and overall accept-244 ability (OAA) using nine point hedonic scale (Larmond 245

1977) from liked extremely (9) to disliked extremely (1).

Chemical analysis

247

246

The raw pearl millet and barley grains and weaning mix 248 were analysed for moisture (method 44–19), protein 249 (method 46–12), fat (method 30–25) and ash (method 8– 250 01) using AACC (2000) methods. Carbohydrate was 251

#### JmIID 13197 ArtID 579 0/2011

Factors				Responses	Responses				
Exp.No.	PME,%	PMME,%	BE,%	BME,%	Lightness	PV, cP	WSI,%	WAI, g/g	OAA
1	20.00	6.00	20.00	6.00	80.45	146.50	41.08	2.72	7.80
2	25.00	6.00	20.00	6.00	80.4	152.00	40.35	2.75	7.95
3	20.00	9.00	20.00	6.00	79.79	128.00	41.25	2.66	7.90
4	25.00	9.00	20.00	6.00	79.29	135.50	41.23	2.63	7.90
5	20.00	6.00	25.00	6.00	80.6	148.20	40.69	2.78	8.00
6	25.00	6.00	25.00	6.00	80.39	150.50	41.50	2.86	8.10
7	20.00	9.00	25.00	6.00	79.87	134.00	42.12	2.56	7.75
8	25.00	9.00	25.00	6.00	79.35	145.00	42.68	2.69	7.90
9	20.00	6.00	20.00	9.00	79.87	133.50	43.66	2.53	7.95
10	25.00	6.00	20.00	9.00	79.68	140.00	44.06	2.56	7.80
11	20.00	9.00	20.00	9.00	76.8 <sup>a</sup>	117.00 <sup>a</sup>	46.01	2.36	6.65
12	25.00	9.00	20.00	9.00	77.67	121.50	43.95	2.43	6.95
13	20.00	6.00	25.00	9.00	79.13	145.50	43.91	2.54	7.45
14	25.00	6.00	25.00	9.00	79.95	141.00	42.11	2.53	7.80
15	20.00	9.00	25.00	9.00	77.8	127.50	44.19	2.44	6.85
16	25.00	9.00	25.00	9.00	77.69	129.00	43.30	2.51	7.95
17	17.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	79.88	126.00	40.04	2.61	7.60
18	27.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	79.53	153.00	41.14	2.68	7.70
19	22.50	4.50	22.50	7.50	82.17 <sup>b</sup>	165.00 <sup>b</sup>	39.65 <sup>a</sup>	2.91 <sup>b</sup>	7.90
20	22.50	10.50	22.50	7.50	77.12	130.50	47.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.31	6.60 <sup>a</sup>
21	22.50	7.50	17.50	7.50	79.13	128.50	41.10	2.60	7.80
22	22.50	7.50	27.50	7.50	80.6	144.00	41.10	2.69	7.90
23	22.50	7.50	22.50	4.50	81.39	153.50	39.80	2.89	8.00
24	22.50	7.50	22.50	10.50	79.13	129.00	46.99	2.30 <sup>a</sup>	6.70
25	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	80.21	136.50	41.23	2.54	8.00
26	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	81.04	137.50	41.91	2.53	8.05
27	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	81.17	136.00	42.03	2.54	8.19
28	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	80.61	133.50	41.41	2.56	8.20 <sup>b</sup>
29	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	80.79	133.50	41.68	2.52	8.10
30	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	80.41	134.50	41.19	2.60	7.95
31	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	80.35	136.50	41.38	2.58	8.15
32	22.50	7.50	22.50	7.50	81	137.00	42.82	2.58	7.90

t1.1 Table 1 Central composite design arrangement and responses

> <sup>a</sup> Minimum, <sup>b</sup> Maximum, PME Pearl millet extrudates, PMME Pearl millet malt extrudates, BE barley extrudates, BME Barley malt extrudates, PV Peak viscosity, WSI Water solubility index, WAI Water absorption index, OAA Overall acceptability

252calculated by subtracting the sum of moisture, protein, fat 253and ash from 100 (Merrill and Watt 1973). Calcium, iron 254and phosphorus contents of the weaning mix were also analyzed using AOAC (1995) procedures. 255

Statistical analysis 256

tion of the polynomials thus fitted was done by numeric 262techniques, using the numerical optimization technique given in the software package (Design expert (r) software version 8.0.4.1, 2010; Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Response surface methodology (RSM) was adopted in 257experimental design and analysis (Khuri and Cornell 1987). 258259Data were modelled by multiple regression analysis and statistical significance of the terms was examined by analysis 260of variance for each response. Maximization and minimiza-261

263264265

#### **Results and discussion**

Diagnostic checking of the fitted models 267

266

The responses obtained from each set of designed experi-268ments were fitted in to the general form of quadratic 269

J Food Sci Technol

polynomial model (Equation 2). This model incorporated 270the individual linear, quadratic and interactive influences of 271the experimental variables on the measured response. 272273Response fit analyses, regression coefficient estimations 274and model significance evaluations were conducted. The estimated regression coefficients of the fitted quadratic 275276equation as well as the correlation coefficients for each model are given in Table 2. The adequacy of the models 277was tested using F-ratio and coefficient of determination 278 $(R^2)$ . The models were considered adequate when the 279calculated value was more than the table one and  $R^2$  was 280more than 80%. (Henika 1982). The R<sup>2</sup> values for the 281 responses i.e. lightness, PV, WSI, WAI and OAA were 28289.04, 92.01, 82.31 88.87 and 86.21%, respectively, 283indicating that the models have satisfactory adequacy in 284fitting the experimental data. The calculated F-values were 285more than the table value (2.4) for all the responses 286indicating that the models were significant. Thus, all the 287288five responses were considered adequate to describe the effect of variables on the quality of weaning mix. 289

Effect of variables on lightness 290

The observed lightness (hunter L value) of the weaning mix, 291with different combinations of the ingredients (Table 1), 292293varied between 76.8 and 82.17 within the combination of variables studied. Maximum lightness of the weaning mix 294

t2.1Table 2 Estimated coefficients of the fitted quadratic equation for different response

t2.2	Estimate	Estimated coefficients								
t2.3	Factors	Lightness	PV, cP	WSI,%	WAI, g/g	OAA				
t2.4	β <sub>0</sub>	22.89	205.16	5.28	7.69	6.88				
t2.5	$\beta_1$	2.24	2.85**	1.89	-0.16	0.01				
t2.6	$\beta_2$	-2.52**	-31.96**	-2.73**	-0.18**	0.64**				
t2.7	$\beta_3$	2.06	3.63**	1.04	-0.16	-0.29				
t2.8	$\beta_4$	0.89**	-5.35**	2.17**	-0.13**	0.68**				
t2.9	$\beta_{12}$	-0.01	0.25	-0.02	0.002	0.02				
t2.10	$\beta_{13}$	-0.002	-0.14	0.01	0.002	0.01				
t2.11	$\beta_{14}$	0.04	-0.31	-0.08	0.0008	0.02				
t2.12	$\beta_{23}$	0.02	0.34	0.01	0.0005	0.02				
t2.13	$\beta_{24}$	-0.14*	-0.29	0.001	0.004	-0.06*				
t2.14	$\beta_{34}$	0.005	0.26	-0.12	0.0002	0.008				
t2.15	$\beta_{11}$	-0.05**	0.05	-0.03	0.003	-0.01				
t2.16	$\beta_{22}$	-0.16**	1.05**	0.23*	0.004	-0.08**				
t2.17	β33	-0.05**	-0.08	-0.01	0.003	-0.005				
t2.18	$\beta_{44}$	-0.09	0.33	0.22*	0.002	-0.07**				
t2.19	R <sup>2</sup> ,%	89.04	92.01	82.31	88.87	86.21				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at  $p \le 0.01$ , \*Significant at  $p \le 0.05$ , PV Peak viscosity, WSI Water solubility index, WAI Water absorption index, OAA Overall acceptability

was observed at experiment number 19 with PME and BE 295each at 22.5% and PMME and BME at 4.5 and 7.5%, 296respectively. This shows that lightness had a higher value at 297 low malt level. Table 2 reveals that negative coefficient of 298



Fig. 1 Response surface plots showing effect of pearl millet and barley malt extrudates on a lightness, b PV (peak viscosity) and c OAA (overall acceptability) of weaning mix. PME Pearl millet extrudates, PMME Pearl millet malt extrudates

### AUTHOR B7PR OPP2011

299linear term of PMME and positive coefficient of linear term of BME significantly ( $p \le 0.01$ ) affected the lightness of the 300 weaning food. Interaction of PMME and BME had a 301 302 significant (p < 0.05) negative effect on the lightness. At the 303 quadratic level, PME, PMME and BE had a highly significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) negative effect on the lightness of the 304 weaning mix. The three dimensional response plots (Fig. 1a) 305 306 further depicts the effect of the independent variables on the lightness of the weaning mix. The characteristic dark colour 307 of the malts may have lead to fall in hunter L values. This 308 reduction in lightness may be attributed to the change in the 309 310 colour from the characteristic grey of pearl millet grain to the light brown (tan) of the pearl millet malt. This occurs 311 because of changes in colour of phenolic pigments in the 312pearl millet during malting process (Pelembe et al. 2003). 313

#### 314 Effect of variables on PV

315The experiment number 19 (PME 22.5%, PMME 4.5%, BE 22.5% and BME 7.5%) recorded maximum PV of 165 cP 316 and lowest value of 117 cP was recorded for experiment 317 number 11 (PME 20.0%, PMME 9.0, BE 20.0% and BME 318 319 9.0%). Table 2 depicts that each of the independent variables had a highly significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) effect on the 320 PV of the weaning mix at the linear level. PMME and BME 321 322 had negative linear effect. However, PMME showed significantly  $(p \le 0.01)$  positive quadratic effect. Figure 1 323 (b) depicts the effect of independent variables on the PV of 324 325 the weaning mix. The results clearly suggest that the malt 326 significantly  $(p \le 0.05)$  lowered viscosity. Reduction in viscosity due addition of sorghum malt in pearl millet-327 328 cowpea weaning food was reported by Almeida-Dominguez et al. (1993). Lower viscosity is preferred in 329 weaning foods for consumption by infants due to their 330

336

limited stomach capacity and the ability to chew (Pelembe 331 et al. 2002). Moreover by using liquefying malt on a 332 cereal paste of high solids concentration, nutrient density 333 could be increased without increasing the product 334 viscosity (Malleshi et al. 1989). 335

#### Effect of variables on WSI and WAI

Water solubility index (WSI) determines the amount of free 337 polysaccharide or polysaccharide released from the granule 338 on addition of excess water. A high WSI indicated that 339 starch underwent extensive conversion. The observed WSI 340 with different combinations of the ingredients (Table 1) 341varied between 39.65% and 47.25%. Table 2 depicts that 342 negative linear coefficients of PMME had highly significant 343  $(p \le 0.01)$  effect on WSI. However, for BME, linear 344 coefficients had highly significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) positive effect. 345At quadratic level, both PMME and BME had highly ( $p \le$ 346 0.05) positive effect on WSI. Thus, results clearly suggest 347 that samples having higher malt fraction had a higher WSI 348 as compared to those having lesser malt. Increase in WSI 349due to malting in pearl millet was also reported by Pelembe 350 et al. (2003). This may have occurred as more soluble 351materials such as amylase, amylopectin and amino acids got 352released during malting. This may be attributed to the 353 enzymatic breakdown of materials, particularly storage 354components such as starch and proteins within the grain 355during germination, into smaller, soluble and more usable 356 forms for the growing grain (Parvathy and Sadasivam 1982 357 and Ashworth and Draper 1992). 358

The observed WAI with different combinations of the 359 ingredients (Table 1) varied between 2.3 and 2.91 g/g, 360 respectively within the combination of variables studied. 361 WAI shows a maximum level at a certain amount of starch 362

t3.1 t3.2	Table 3         Analysis of variance           for different models	Response	Sources of variance	d.f.	Sum of squares	Mean square	F-value
t3.3		Lightness	Model	14	43.62	3.12	9.86**
t3.4			Residual	17	5.37	0.32	
t3.5			Cor.Total	31	48.99		
t3.6		PV	Model	14	3088.56	220.61	13.99**
t3.7			Residual	17	268.04	15.77	
t3.8			Cor.Total	31	3356.59		
t3.9		WSI	Model	14	93.48	6.68	5.65**
t3.10			Residual	17	20.08	1.18	
t3.11			Cor.Total	31	113.56		
t3.12		WAI	Model	14	0.60	0.043	9.69**
t3.13			Residual	17	0.075	0.004	
t3.14	**0'		Cor.Total	31	0.67		
t3.15	Peak viscosity $WSI$ Water solu-	OAA	Model	14	5.67	0.40	7.59**
t3.16	bility index, <i>WAI</i> Water absorp-		Residual	17	0.91	0.05	
t3.17	tion index, <i>OAA</i> Overall acceptability		Cor.Total	31	6.57		

J Food Sci Technol

t4.1 t4.2	Table 4         Constraints, criteria for           optimization, solution along         with predicted and actual re	Constraints	Goal	Lower limit	Upper limit	Predicted values	Actual response values
t4.3	sponse values.	PME,%	is in range	20.00	25.00	20.77	-
t4.4		PMME,%	is in range	6.00	9.00	7.39	-
t4.5		BE,%	is in range	20.00	525.00	20.99	-
t4.6	PME Pearl millet extrudates,	BME,%	is in range	6.00	9.00	6.53	-
t4.7	PMME Pearl millet malt extru-	Lightness	maximize	76.80	82.17	80.82	$80.10 {\pm} 0.15$
t4.8	dates, BE Barley extrudates,	PV, cP	is target=125	117.00	165.00	135.18	133.5±2.5
t4.9	Peak viscosity WSI Water solu-	WSI,%	is in range	39.65	47.25	40.58	41.20±0.35
t4.10	bility index, <i>WAI</i> Water absorp-	WAI, g/g)	is in range	2.30	2.91	2.64	$2.63 {\pm} 0.02$
t4.11	tion index, <i>OAA</i> Overall acceptability	OAA	Maximize	6.60	8.20	8.14	$8.2 {\pm} 0.05$

363 conversion. This maximum is when the starch granules are sufficiently damaged for these to imbibe water without 364 365 disintegration (Mitchell et al. 1997). PMME and BME had highly significant (p < 0.01) negative linear effect on the 366 WAI (Table 2). Reduction in WAI due to malting in pearl 367 368 millet has also been reported by Pelembe et al. (2003). Lower water absorption in weaning foods was also 369 advocated by Mahgoub (1999) in order to produce a more 370 371 nutritious and suitable weaning food. This in turn may be 372 achieved by reducing the viscosity of the starchy components by malting (Malleshi and Desikachar (1981). 373

Effect of variables on overall acceptability 374

375Overall acceptability of weaning mix was rated between 6.6 376 and 8.2 by the sensory evaluation panel (Table 1). The positive 377 coefficient of the first order terms of PMME and BME (Table 2) indicated highly significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) positive effect 378 on OAA. However, the interaction terms showed significant 379  $(p \le 0.05)$  negative effect. The negative quadratic coefficients 380 of PMME and BME caused highly significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) 381effect on OAA. Figure 1(c) depicts the relationship between 382 PMME, BME and OAA. This revealed that malt, both in the 383 form of PMME and BME had significant effect on OAA of 384 the weaning mix. This was probably because of the effect of 385 malts on the lightness of the weaning mix. The slightly bitter 386 taste of the malt containing samples may have also resulted 387 in lower overall acceptability scores of the samples with 388 higher malt levels. However, at lower level, malt flavor was 389highly acceptable. Wambugu et al. (2003) explained that it 390 was important to strike a balance between the positive and 391negative effects of malt in weaning food. Pelembe et al. 392 (2003) also advocated the use of malting in pearl millet to 393 improve palatability and utilization of pearl millet. 394



Fig. 2 Overlay plot showing the level of ingredients and the corresponding response values. PME Pearl millet extrudates, PMME Pearl millet malt extrudates

### AUTIPIOR B7PR 00102011

395 Analysis of variance

After selecting the model, analysis of variance was calculated (Table 3) to assess how well the responses represented the data. F-value for all the responses i.e. lightness, PV, WSI, WAI and OAA was highly significant  $(p \le 0.01)$  (Table 3). Consequently, it can be derived that the selected models adequately represented the data for lightness, PV, WSI, WAI and OAA.

#### 403 **Optimization of the level of independent variables**

Optimization of the level of variables was done by selecting 404 the responses i.e. lightness, PV, WSI, WAI and OAA. On 405the basis that the responses had direct effect on the quality 406 407 and acceptability of the weaning mix as shown by their respective R<sup>2</sup> values, numerical as well as graphical 408 optimization was done. Table 4 shows the criteria used. 409upper and lower limit, predicted and actual values of the 410 responses. The importance of level 3 was given to the 411 constraints of lightness, PV, WSI and WAI and OAA. The 412 413 overlay contour plot (Fig. 2) was drawn keeping PME and BE constant (at optimum level obtained by numerical 414optimization) and the optimized values were PME 20.77%, 415416 PMME 7.39%, BE 20.99%, BME 6.53% each keeping a constant level of skim milk powder 25%, WPC-70 5%, 417 sugar 6% and refined vegetable oil 4 ml/100 g) with 81.3% 418 419desirability. Weaning mix was prepared using the recom-420 mended level of ingredients and the responses were measured. The measured responses had proximity to the 421422 predicted ones reconfirming the adequacy of the models.

The proximate composition of weaning mix was moisture 424 4.59%, protein 14.73%, fat 9.88%, minerals 2.85%, carbohy-425 drates 67.95%, Ca 354 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>, P 251.2 mg 100 g<sup>1</sup>, Fe 5.92 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> and was in agreement with the PFA (2004) 427 guidelines for milk cereal based weaning foods.

#### 428 Conclusion

429 Weaning mix was prepared from malted and extruded pearl millet and barley flours and successfully optimized using 430431response surface methodology. From the study, it may be concluded that locally available low cost ingredients 432 available in the developing countries have a great potential 433in developing highly nutritious and acceptable weaning 434foods. Addition of malt in weaning food improved 435functional and nutritional qualities. Such a protein and 436energy dense weaning food would help in eradication of 437 438 malnutrition in children. Such products would also promote utilization of pearl millet and barley which are slowly 439losing importance to wheat and rice. 440

442

#### References

health attributes."

446

484

485

#### AACC (2000) Approved methods of the AACC, 10th edn. American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul 449

Acknowledgement The authors thankfully acknowledge the finan-

cial support from the World Bank for this work which is part of the

NAIP project "A value chain on composite dairy foods with enhanced

- Almeida-Dominguez HD, Gomez MH, Serna-Saldivar SO, Waniska450RD, Rooney LR, Lusas EW (1993) Extrusion cooking of pearl451millet for production of millet-cowpea weaning foods. Cereal452Chem 70:214-219453
- Anderson RA, Conway HF, Pfeifer VF, Griffin EJ (1969) Roll and extrusion cooking of grain sorghum grits. Cereal Sci Today 14:372–376 456
- AOAC (1995) Official methods of analysis, 16th edn. Association of 457 Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington 458
- Ashworth A, Draper A (1992) The potential of traditional technologies for increasing the energy density of weaning foods. A critical review of existing knowledge with particular reference to malting and fermentation. WHO/CCD/EDP 92.4. Centre for Human Nutrition, London, UK, pp 1–50 463
- Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA (2008) Maternal and child464undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health con-<br/>sequences. Lancet 371:243–260466
- Castells M, Marin S, Sanchis V, Ramos AJ (2005) Fate of mycotoxins 467 in cereals during extrusion cooking: a review. Food Addit 468 Contam 22:150–157 469
- De Muelenaere HJH (1989) Extrusion: A first and third world tool. In:470Technology and the Consumer, Vol 1. Proceedings of the SAAFoST471Tenth Biennial Congress and a Cereal Science Symposium. Natal472Tecknikon Printers, Durban, South Africa, pp 22–42473
- Deffenbaugh LB, Walker CE (1990) Use of the rapid visco-analyzer to measure starch pasting properties. Part II: Effects of emulsifiers and sugar emulsifier interactions. Starke 42:89–95 476
- Espinola N, Creed-Kanashiro H, Ugaz ME, van Hal M (1998) 477 Development of a food supplement with sweet potato for children from 6 months to 3 years. Department of Social Sciences Working Paper 1998–8. International Potato Center (CIP), Lima, Peru 481

Ghavidel RA, Prakash J (2010) Composite weaning mixes: formulation and quality characteristics. Food Sci Technol Res 16:65–70 483

- Henika RG (1982) Use of response surface methodology in sensory evaluation. Food Technol 36(11):96–101
- Khuri AI, Cornell JA (1987) Response surfaces: design and analysis. 486 New York, Marcel Dekker, pp 127–145 487
- Kshirsagar RB, Pawar VD, Upadhye VP, Pawar VS, Devi R (1994)488Studies on formulation and evaluation of weaning food based on<br/>locally available foods. Int J Food Sci Nutr 31:211–214489
- Larmond E (1977) Laboratory methods for sensory evaluation of 491 foods. Publication No. 1637, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa, 492 pp 17–22 493
- Mahgoub SEO (1999) Production and evaluation of weaning foods494based on sorghum and legumes. Plants Foods Hum Nutr 54:29-49542496

Malleshi NG, Desikachar HSR (1981) Studies on suitability of roller497flour mill, hammer mill and plate grinder for obtaining a refined498flour from malted ragi. J Food Sci Technol 18:37–39499

- Malleshi NG, Daodu MA, Chandrasekhar A (1989) Development of<br/>weaning food formulations based on malting and roller drying of<br/>sorghum and cowpea. Int J Food Sci Technol 24:511–519500<br/>502
- Malleshi NG, Hadimani NA, Chinnaswamy R, Klopfenstein CF 503 (1996) Physical and nutritional qualities of extruded weaning 504

J Food Sci Technol

- 505foods containing sorghum, pearl millet, or finger millet blended 506with mung beans and nonfat dried milk. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 50749:181-189 508Merrill AL, Watt BK (1973) Energy value of foods: basis and 509derivation. In: Agriculture Handbook No. 74, United States 510Department of Agriculture, Washington, pp 2-4 511Mitchell JR, Hill SE, Paterson LA, Vallès-Pàmies B, Barclay F, 512Blanshard JMV (1997) The role of molecular weight in the 513conversion of starch. In: Frazier et al (eds) Starch: structure and 514functionality. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp 68 - 76
- Myers RH, Montgomery DC (2002) Response surface methodology. 516517Wiley-Interscience, New York
- 518Obilana A, Manyasa E (2002) Millets. In: Belton PS, Taylor JRN 519(eds) Pseudocereals and less common cereals. Springer, Berlin, 520JRN, pp 177–214
- Onwulata CI, Konstance RP (2002) Viscous properties of taro flour extruded with whey proteins to simulate weaning foods. J Food 523Process Pres 26:179-194
- 524Parvathy K, Sadasivam S (1982) Comparison of amylase activity and 525carbohydrate profile in germinating seeds of Sertaria italica, 526Echinochloa frumentacea, and Panicum miliaceum. Cereal Chem 5279:543-544
- Pelembe LAM, Erasmus C, Taylor JRN (2002) Development of 528 529protein-rich composite sorghum-cowpea instant porridge by 530extrusion cooking process. Lebensm Wiss Technol 35:120-127
- 531Pelembe LAM, Dewar J, Taylor JRN (2003) Food products from 532malted pearl millet. http://www.afripro.org.uk accessed on 20/ 53305/03
- 534PFA (2004) The Prevention of Food Adulteration Act & Rules (as on 5351.10.2004) dbtbiosafety.nic.in accessed on 19/05/11
- 536 Plahar WA, Onuma Okezie B, Gyato CK (2003) Development of a high protein weaning food by extrusion cooking using peanuts, 537538maize and soybeans. Plant Food Hum Nutr 58:1-12

UNCORF

- Shobhana S, Malleshi NG (2007) Preparation and functional proper-539ties of decorticated finger millet (Eleucine coracana). J Food Eng 54079:529-538 541
- Singh S, Raina CS, Bawa AS, Saxena DC (2004) Sweet potato based 542pasta product: optimization of ingredient levels using response 543surface methodology. Int J Food Sci Tech 39:191-200 544
- Sumathi A, Ushakumari SR, Malleshi NG (2007) Physico-chemical 545characteristics, nutritional quality and shelf-life of pearl millet 546based extrusion cooked supplementary foods. Int J Food Sci Nutr 54758:350-362 548
- Thathola A, Srivastava S (2002) Physicochemical properties and 549nutritional traits of millet-based weaning food suitable for infants of 550the Kumaon hills, Northern India. Asia Pacific J Clin Nutr 11:28-32 551
- Vijayakumar PT, Deepa M (2010) Optimization of natural polymeric 552enteral feed formula using response surface methodology. Asian J 553Sci Technol 2:39-43 554
- Wambugu SM, Taylor JRN, Dewar J (2003) Effect of addition of malted 555and fermented sorghum flours on proximate composition, viscosity, 556pH and consumer acceptability of extruded sorghum weaning 557porridges. http://www.afripro.org.uk accessed on 20/05/03 558
- WHO (2003) Feeding and nutrition of infants and young children: 559Guidelines for the WHO European region with emphasis on the 560former Soviet Union. WHO Regional Publications, European 561Series, No 87, pp 296 562
- Yadav DN, Sharma GK (2008) Optimization of soy-fortified instant 563upma mix ingredients using response surface methodology. J 564Food Sci Technol 45:56-60 565
- Yadav DN. Patki PE. Mahesh C. Sharma GK. Bawa AS (2008) 566Optimization of baking parameters of chapati with respect to 567 vitamin B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> retention and quality. Int J Food Sci Tech 56843:1474-1483 569
- Yagci S, Gogus F (2008) Response surface methodology for 570 evaluation of physical and functional properties of exruded snack 571foods developed from food-by-products. J Food Eng 86:122-132 572

515

521522

573

### AUTHOR QUERY

#### AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER QUERY.

Q1. Please check captured section levels if appropriate.

unconnection