Effect of FMD vaccination on various semen quality parameters in Frieswal crossbred bulls

A S SIROHI¹, N CHAND², S TYAGI³, N SRIVASTAVA⁴, A SHARMA⁵ and HEMLATA⁶

ICAR-Central Institute for Research on Cattle, Meerut Cantt, Uttar Pradesh 250 001 India

Received: 9 November 2015; Accepted: 21 January 2016

Key words: FMD vaccination, Frieswal bull, Semen quality

Many outbreaks of foot and mouth disease (FMD), an endemic disease of cloven footed animals, occur all over the country every year. To prevent its occurrence at semen stations, breeding bulls are vaccinated for FMD as a regular prophylactic measure (Bhakat et al. 2011). The semen quality may be affected by vaccination due to vaccine stress and anaphylactic shock (Murugavel et al. 1997). There are various reports on the effect of FMD vaccination on the semen quality in different breeds of cattle and buffaloes (Mathur et al. 2003, Bhakat et al. 2010, Perumal et al. 2013). Mangurkar et al. (2000) observed that vaccination did not significantly affect the semen quality. On the contrary, other workers found increased incidence of sperm abnormalities following vaccination (Bhakat et al. 2011, Perumal et al. 2013). All stages of spermatogenesis (Anderson 2001) are susceptible and severity of damage depends on the extent and duration of the thermal stress (Waites and Setchell 1990). However, the results of vaccination impact on semen quality are conflicting in breeding bulls which might be due to characteristics of that particular breed or its level of inheritance in crossbreds. Therefore, present study was carried out to assess semen quality parameters after FMD

vaccination in Frieswal bulls (Holstein Friesian × Sahiwal).

Adult breeding bulls (95) were kept in individual pens under loose housing system and were managed with uniform management practices. All the bulls were vaccinated with FMD vaccine @ 2.0 ml by deep I/M route, which contained FMDV strains O, A and Asia 1. Injections (10 ml) of vitamin A, D₃ & E were given on alternate days to all bulls before (1 injection) and after (2 injections) vaccination. A 21 day sexual rest was given to all the bulls after vaccination. Semen samples were collected by artificial vagina technique twice in a week from each bull. The fresh ejaculates (3,537) were subjected to evaluation for volume, sperm concentration, initial motility and post-thaw motility as per standard procedures. The concentration of spermatozoa was measured with Accucell photometer. The observations were recorded for 1 month of pre-vaccination and 5 months post vaccination.

The experimental data were analyzed using analysis of variance, followed by a Duncan's post hoc test to determine significant differences in all the parameters recorded between groups using the SPSS/PC computer programme. Differences with values of P<0.05 were considered to be

Table 1. Means ± SE of semen quality parameters during pre and post FMD vaccination period

Parameter	Pre-vaccination	Post-vaccination months						
		1	2	3	4	5		
Volume (ml)	$4.58^{a} \pm 0.07$	$4.96^{b} \pm 0.13$	$4.76^{ab} \pm 0.08$	$4.38^{d} \pm 0.06$	$4.01^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	$3.97^{\circ} \pm 0.12$		
Concentration (million/ml)		$905.20^{b} \pm 28.41$	$926.17^{b} \pm 17.73$	$1019.23^{a} \pm 17.88$	$1055.18^{a} \pm 16.26$	$921.15^{b} \pm 32.02$		
Initial motility (%)	$62.50^{\rm b} \pm 0.74$	$57.14^{ac} \pm 1.25$	$57.14^{\circ} \pm 0.83$	$54.31^{a} \pm 0.71$	$57.52^{bc} \pm 0.65$	$60.13^{bc} \pm 1.43$		
Post thaw motility (%)	$41.54^{a} \pm 0.73$	$45.00^{bc} \pm 1.63$	$42.73^{ab} \pm 0.95$	$43.51^{ab} \pm 0.66$	$44.53^{bc} \pm 0.51$	47.25 ^c ± 4.38		

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P< 0.05) in rows.

Present address: ^{1,2,4}Senior Scientist (ajaysirohi35@ gmail.com, n_chand75@rediffmail.com), ³Principal Scientist (shrikanttyagi07@gmail.com, sangnee15@yahoo.co.in), ⁵Research Associate (ankur_09412630690@yahoo.co.in), ⁶Young Professional (payal.chaudhary.00007@gmail.com). statistically significant.

Average values of semen quality parameters from Frieswal crossbred bulls during pre- and post- FMD vaccination period are given in Table 1. A significant increase (P<0.05) in volume of semen was observed in bulls

Period	Live % of spermatozoa	Sperm abnormalities (%)				
	-	Head	Mid piece	Tail	Total	
Pre-vaccination Post-vaccination (First month)	69.39 ± 3.17 76.71± 1.71	3.50 ± 1.14^{b} 09.37 ± 1.14^{a}	09.20 ± 1.04 12.98 ± 1.05	1.80 ± 0.36 2.97 ± 0.31	14.50 ± 1.45 25.32 ± 2.17	

Table 2. Means ± SE of live percentage and abnormalities of spermatozoa during pre- and post- FMD vaccination period

Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P< 0.05) in column.

during first month of post-vaccination than in prevaccination period. Venkatareddy et al. (1991) reported similar findings in Ongole, Jersey and Ongole × Jersey breeds. Volume of semen, increased slightly during postvaccination period in Karan Fries and Murrah buffalo bulls (Bhakat et al. 2010). However, Bhakat et al. (2008), Mangurkar et al. (2000) and Singh et al. (2003) found no change in volume of semen due to FMD vaccination. A steadily decrease in the volume of semen was observed in this study during remaining post-vaccination period.

Concentration of spermatozoa did not change significantly due to vaccination. However, slightly higher concentration was recorded during post-vaccination period, which might be due to the presence of increased number of casts and subsequent counting by photometer. However, Bhakat et al. (2008) reported a significant decrease in sperm concentration/ml of semen following FMD vaccination in Sahiwal bulls. No significant change was also observed for live percentage of spermatozoa during post-vaccination period (Table 2). However, percentage of head abnormalities increased significantly (P<0.05) in first month of postvaccination period. Effect of temperature on the fully formed epididymal spermatozoa could give rise to secondary abnormalities (Venkataswami and Rao 1970) with increase in sperm tail and mid-piece abnormalities as in testicular degeneration or partial hypoplasia of testes (Sullivam 1978).

Initial motility of spermatozoa decreased significantly (P<0.05) following the FMD vaccination in Frieswal bulls up to third month, but started increasing from fourth month of post-vaccination. Bhakat et al. (2008) reported nonsignificant decrease in initial motility following FMD vaccination in Sahiwal bulls. The per cent motility declined significantly after IBR and HS and BQ vaccinations in Frieswal bulls (Mathur et al. 2003). Venkatareddy et al. (1991) reported that decrease in sperm motility might be due to the anaphylactic stress effect of vaccination, which was expressed by the significant rise in body temperature, as well as temperature of testes causes derangement in epididymal function and spermatogenesis by vaccination induced testicular degeneration.

No difference was observed in post-thaw motility of ejaculates selected for freezing during first 3 months of post vaccination. Sufficient reports are unavailable in support of findings of post-thaw motility during post-vaccination period. Singh et al. (2003) observed that the FMD vaccination produces adverse effects on the semen quality

of buffalo bulls and the effect was observed up to 1 month after vaccination. Our findings revealed adverse effects on semen quality parameters of Frieswal crossbred bulls up to 3 months after FMD vaccination.

SUMMARY

The primary objective of the present study was to assess the semen quality parameters in Frieswal crossbred bulls (95) after vaccination against foot and mouth disease (FMD). The fresh ejaculates (3,537) were subjected to evaluation for volume, concentration, initial motility and post-thaw motility as per standard procedures. The observations were recorded for 1 month of pre-vaccination and 5 months post-vaccination. A steadily decrease in the volume of semen was observed during post-vaccination period. No significant change was observed in concentration and live percentage of spermatozoa during post-vaccination period. However, percentage of total abnormal spermatozoa increased from 14.50% in pre-vaccination period to 25.32% in first month of post-vaccination period. Initial motility of spermatozoa decreased significantly following the FMD vaccination in bulls up to third month but started increasing from fourth month of post-vaccination. No difference was observed in post-thaw motility of ejaculates selected for freezing during first 3 months of post-vaccination. It was evident in the present study that semen quality parameters of Frieswal crossbred bulls improved after three months of FMD vaccination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR-Central Institute for Research on Cattle and Director, Frieswal Project for providing necessary facilities for conducting the experiment. The assistance of technical staff is duly acknowledged for evaluation of semen quality parameters.

REFERENCES

- Anderson J. 2001. The Semen of Animals and its Use for Artificial Insemination. Greenworld Publishers, Lucknow, India, First Indian Reprint.
- Bhakat M, Mohanty T K, Gupta A K, Raina V S, Brahma B and Mahapatra R K. 2010. Effect of FMD vaccination on semen quality parameters in Karan Fries and Murrah buffalo bulls. Tropical Animal Health and Production 42: 1363-66.
- Bhakat M, Mohanty T K, Raina V S, Gupta A K and Brahma B. 2011. Effect of TRIOVAC vaccination on semen quality parameters in Karan Fries and Murrah buffalo bulls. Indian Veterinary Journal 88 (3): 33-34.

905

- Bhakat M, Mohanty T K, Gupta A K, Raina V S, Mondal G and Khan H M. 2008. Effect of FMD vaccination on various semen characteristics of Sahiwal bulls. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science* **45**: 327–32.
- Bhakat M, Mohanty T K, Gupta A K, Chakravarty A K, Singh P and Abdullah M. 2015. Effect of HS and BQ vaccination on semen quality parameters of Murrah buffalo bulls. *Journal of Infection and Molecular Biology* 3 (1): 24–27.
- Mangurkar B R, Phadnis Y P and Bhosrekar M R. 2000. Effect of foot and mouth disease vaccination on semen characteristics of exotic and crossbred bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* **21**: 135–37.
- Mathur A K, Tyagi S K, Mandal D K and Singh S P. 2003. Effect of multiple vaccinations on the semen quality of Frieswal bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **73**: 864–66.
- Murugavel K, Veerapandian C and Subramanian A. 1997. Effect of black quarter vaccination on semen quality in Murrah bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **67**: 597–98.
- Perumal P, Khate K and Rajkhowa C. 2013. Effect of foot and mouth disease vaccination on seminal and biochemical profiles

of Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) semen. *Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction* **2** (3): 178–84.

- Singh R, Verma H K and Kumar S. 2003. Effect of the foot-andmouth disease vaccination on the semen quality of buffalo bulls. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 73 (12): 1319–23.
- Sullivam J J. 1978. Morphology and mortality of spermatozoa. *Physiology of Reproduction and Artificial Insemination of Cattle*. 2nd edn, Pp.286–328. (Eds) Salisbury G W, Van Demark N L and Lodge J R. W. H. Free Man, San Francisco.
- Venkatareddy J, Venkatamunichetty A, Ramachandran S V and Sreeraman. 1991. Effect of foot and mouth disease vaccination on semen quality. *Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction* **12**: 13–14.
- Venkataswami V and Rao V J. 1970. Preliminary report on the effect of foot and mouth disease vaccination on semen quality of crossbred bulls-1. *Indian Veterinary Journal* 47: 23–29.
- Waites G M H and Setchell B P. 1990. Physiology of the mammalian testis. *Marshall's Physiology of Reproduction in the Male.* Pp.1–105. (Ed.) Lamming G E. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh.