



हर कदम, हर डगर  
किसानों का हमसफर  
भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद

*Agr*search with a human touch



THE WORLD BANK

ICAR-NBSS&LUP Sujala SWs-LRI Atlas No. 40

# Land Resource and Hydrological Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed for Watershed Planning and Development Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, Karnataka (AESR 6.2)

Sujala – III  
Karnataka Watershed Development Project- II  
Funded by World Bank



ICAR - NBSS & LUP



ICAR - National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Bangalore  
Watershed Development Department, Govt. of Karnataka, Bangalore



# About ICAR - NBSS&LUP

The National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR-NBSS&LUP), Nagpur, a premier Institute of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), was set up during 1976 with the objective to prepare soil resource maps at national, state and district levels and to provide research inputs in soil resource mapping and its applications, land evaluation, land use planning, land resource management, and database management using GIS for optimizing land use on different kinds of soils in the country.

The Bureau has been engaged in carrying out soil resource survey, agro-ecological and soil degradation mapping at the country, state and district levels for qualitative assessment and monitoring the soil health towards viable land use planning. The research activities have resulted in identifying the soil potentials and problems, and the various applications of the soil surveys with the ultimate objective of sustainable agricultural development. The Bureau has the mandate to correlate and classify soils of the country and maintain a National Register of all the established soil series. The Institute is also imparting in-service training to staff of the soil survey agencies in the area of soil survey, land evaluation and soil survey interpretations for land use planning. The Bureau in collaboration with Panjabrao Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola is running post-graduate teaching and research programme in land resource management, leading to M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees.

Citation: Rajendra Hegde, B.A. Dhanorkar,, S. Srinivas, K.V. Niranjana, R.S.Reddy and S.K. Singh (2019). "Land Resource and Hydrological Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed for Watershed Planning and Development, Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, Karnataka", Sujala SWs-LRI Atlas No. 40, ICAR – NBSS & LUP, RC, Bangalore. p.57.

## TO OBTAIN COPIES,

Please write to:

**Director, ICAR - NBSS & LUP,**

Amaravati Road, Nagpur,

Maharashtra - 440 033, India

Phone : +91-712-2500386, 2500545 (O)

Telefax : +91-712-2500534

E-Mail : [director.nbsslup@icar.gov.in](mailto:director.nbsslup@icar.gov.in)

Website URL : <https://www.nbsslup.in>

Or

**Head, Regional Centre, ICAR - NBSS & LUP,**

Hebbal, Bangalore,

Karnataka - 560 024, India

Phone : +91-80-23412242, 23410993 (O)

Telefax : +91-80-23510350

E-Mail : [hd\\_rcb.nbsslup@icar.gov.in](mailto:hd_rcb.nbsslup@icar.gov.in)

[nbssrcb@gmail.com](mailto:nbssrcb@gmail.com)



# **PART - A**

**Land Resource Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed  
for Watershed Planning and Development  
Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, Karnataka (AESR 6.2)**



# CONTENTS

Chapter	Page	Chapter	Page
Contributors	i-ii		
How to read and use the atlas	iii		
Physical, Cultural and Scientific symbols used	iv		
1.Introduction	1	7.Land Suitability for Major Crops	26-54
2.General Description of Sub-watershed	2-5	7.1. Land Suitability for Sorghum	26
2.1. Location and Extent	3	7.2. Land Suitability for Maize	27
2.2. Climate	4	7.3. Land Suitability for Redgram	28
2.3. Geology	5	7.4. Land Suitability for Bajra	29
3. Survey Methodology	6-9	7.5. Land Suitability for Drumstick	30
3.1.Database Used - Cadastral map	7	7.6. Land Suitability for Sunflower	31
3.2.Database Used - Satellite Image	8	7.7. Land Suitability for Cotton	32
3.3.Location of Wells	9	7.8. Land Suitability for Bengalgram	33
4.The Soils	10-13	7.9. Land Suitability for Groundnut	34
4.1. Mapping Unit Description	11-13	7.10. Land Suitability for Chilli	35
5.Soil Survey Interpretations	14-20	7.11. Land Suitability for Pomegranate	36
5.1. Land Capability Classification	14	7.12. Land Suitability for Tomato	37
5.2. Soil Depth	15	7.13. Land Suitability for Mulberry	38
5.3. Surface Soil Texture	16	7.14. Land Suitability for Bhendi	39
5.4. Soil Gravelliness	17	7.15. Land Suitability for Guava	40
5.5. Available Water Capacity	18	7.16. Land Suitability for Mango	41
5.6. Slope	19	7.17. Land Suitability for Sapota	42
5.7. Soil Erosion	20	7.18. Land Suitability for Jackfruit	43
6.Soil Fertility Status	21-24	7.19. Land Suitability for Jamun	44
6.1. Soil Reaction (pH)	21	7.20. Land Suitability for Musambi	45
6.2. Electrical Conductivity (EC)	22	7.21. Land Suitability for Lime	46
6.3. Organic Carbon	22	7.22. Land Suitability for Cashew	47
6.4. Available Phosphorous	22	7.23. Land Suitability for Custard Apple	48
6.5. Available Potassium	22	7.24. Land Suitability for Amla	49
6.6. Available Sulphur	23	7.25. Land Suitability for Tamarind	50
6.7. Available Boron	23	7.26. Land Suitability for Brinjal	51
6.8. Available Iron	23	7.27. Land Suitability for Onion	52
6.9. Available Manganese	23	7.28. Land Suitability for Marigold	53
6.10. Available Copper	24	7.29. Land Suitability for Chrysanthemum	54
6.11. Available Zinc	24	8.Soil and Water Conservation Measures	55
6.12. Correcting the Soil Nutrient Deficiencies	25	8.1. Soil & Water Conservation Plan	55
		9. Proposed Crop Plan (Table)	56-57



## Contributors

<b>Dr. Rajendra Hegde</b> Principal Scientist, Head & Project Leader, Sujala-III Project ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Regional Centre, Bangalore - 24	<b>Dr. P. Chandran</b> Director, ICAR-NBSS&LUP Coordinator, Sujala-III Project Nagpur - 33	
<b>Field Work, Mapping &amp; Report Preparation</b>		
Dr. B.A. Dhanorkar	Sh. R.S.Reddy	Sh. Somasekhar, T.N.
Dr. K.V. Niranjana	Dr. Mahendra Kumar, M.B.	Smt. Chaitra, S.P.
	Dr. Gopali Bardhan	Ms. Arpitha, G.M.
<b>Field Work</b>		
Sh. C.Bache Gowda	Sh. Ashok, S. Sindagi	Sh. Manohar, Y. Hosamane
Sh. Somashekar	Sh. Veerabhadrapa	Sh. Pramod, Navale
Sh. M. Jayaramaiah	Sh. Kailash.	Sh. Ramesh Hangargi
	Sh. Yogesh, H.N.	Sh. Rakesh, Achalkar
	Sh. Kamalesh, Avate.	
	Sh. Sharan Kumar Uppar	
	Sh. Kalaveerachari, Kammar	
	Sh. Arun, N. Kambar	
<b>GIS Work</b>		
Dr. S.Srinivas	Sh. A.G.Devendra Prasad	
Dr. M.Ramesh	Sh. Prakashanaik, M.K.	
Sh. D.H.Venkatesh	Smt. K.Karunya Lakshmi	
Smt. K.V.Archana	Ms. Seema, K.V.	
Sh. N. Maddileti	Ms. Karuna Kulkarani	
	Sh. Madappaswamy	
	Sh. Rajendra, D.	
	Smt. Prathibha, D.G.	
	Ms. Sowmya, K.B.	
	Ms. Vidya, P.C.	



<b>Laboratory Analysis</b>	
Dr. M. Lalitha	Ms. Vindhya, N.G.
Smt. Arti Koyal	Ms. P. Pavanakumari, P.
Smt. Parvathy, S.	Ms. Rashmi, N.
	Ms. Leelavathy, K.U.
	Smt. Usha Kiran, G.
	Ms. Chaithra, H.K.
	Ms. Gayathri Chalageri
<b>Soil &amp; Water Conservation</b>	
Sh. Sunil P. Maske	
<b>Watershed Development Department, GoK, Bangalore</b>	
Sh. Prabhash Chandra Ray, IFS Project Director & Commissioner, WDD	Dr. A. Natarajan NRM Consultant, Sujala-III Project
Sh. Padmaya Naik, A. Executive Director, WDD	

## How to read and use the Atlas

The Land Resource Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed (Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District) for Watershed Planning (AESR 6.2) was undertaken to provide comprehensive site-specific cadastral level information useful for farm level planning and integrated development of the area under Sujala – III, Karnataka Watershed Development Project- II.

This atlas contains the basic information on kinds of soils, their geographic distribution, characteristics and classification. The soil map and soil based thematic maps derived from soils data on soil depth, soil gravelliness, slope, land suitability for various crops and land use management maps are presented on 1:12,500 scale. The maps of fertility status (soil reaction, organic carbon, available phosphorus, available potassium, available sulphur, available calcium, available copper, available manganese, available zinc, available iron, available boron and salinity (EC) on 1:12,500 scale were derived from grid point sampling of the surface soils from the watersheds.

The atlas illustrates maps and tables that depict the soil resources of the watershed and the need for their sustainable management.

The user, depending on his/her requirement, can refer this atlas first by identifying his/her field and survey number on the village soil map and by referring the soil legend which is provided in tabular form after the soil map for details pertaining to his/her area of interest.

The atlas explains in simple terms the different kinds of soils present in the watershed, their potentials and problems through a series of thematic maps that help to develop site-specific plans as well as the need to conserve and manage this increasingly threatened natural resource through sustainable land use management. The Land Resource Atlas contains database collected at land parcel/ survey number level on soils, climate, water, vegetation, crops and cropping patterns, socio-economic conditions, marketing facilities *etc.* helps in identifying soil and water conservation measures required, suitability for crops and other uses and finally for preparing a viable and sustainable land use options for each and every land parcel.

For easy map reading and understanding the information contain in different maps, the physical, cultural and scientific symbols used in the maps are illustrated in the form of colors, graphics and tables.




# Physical, Cultural and Scientific symbols used in the Atlas

Each map in the atlas sheet is complemented with the physical, cultural and scientific symbols to facilitate easy map reading.


## Inset map

Inset provided in each map conveys its strategic location i.e. Taluk, Sub-watershed and Sub-watershed.




## Map title

Map title conveys the relevance of thematic information presented along with a graphical scale, geographical location and watershed details in text form.




## Legends and symbols

Two legends accompany each map, a map reference, which depicts geographic features and a thematic legend which portrays spatial information. Picking up the symbol and colour of a particular enables one to go to the legends to obtain the required information.



## Soil Units

The soil map may be read at different levels. The most detailed level is that of the soil phase. Soil phases are distinguished within soil series mainly based on differences in surface of soil texture, slope, gravelliness, erosion, etc.




## Map colours

Different shades of colours are used as an aid to distinguish the different classes of soils, crop suitability and other maps.

Soil Phase	Area in ha (%)	Soil Phase	Area in ha (%)
55, ANRIB2	84 (1.65)	22, JNKIB2	16 (0.31)
38, BLCIB2	191 (3.77)	153, KKRbB2g1	81 (1.59)
177, BGDIA1	19 (0.37)	175, KKRcB2	74 (1.47)
162, BDLH2g1	29 (0.58)	58, MDGIB2	109 (2.15)
4, BDLH2	75 (1.49)	149, MDGhB2g1	55 (1.09)
5, BDLIB2	31 (0.61)	133, MDRIB2	111 (2.2)
119, BDPiB3	35 (0.69)	163, NGPmA1	24 (0.48)
120, BDPH2	178 (3.51)	48, NGPiB2	23 (0.45)
118, BDPcB2	20 (0.4)	49, NGPmB2	325 (6.43)
64, BMDcB2	16 (0.31)	11, SBRcB2	15 (0.29)
62, BMNmB2	411 (8.13)	12, SBRc3g1	12 (0.23)
159, BMNmA1	25 (0.5)	10, VNKiB2	37 (0.73)
35, GWDiB2	152 (3.0)	8, VNkb2g1	61 (1.21)
127, GWDmB2	24 (0.47)	109, VNkmB2g1	19 (0.37)
165, HTKcB2	46 (0.9)	9, VNkcB2	59 (1.16)
32, HSLcB2	39 (0.77)	42, YDRcB2	328 (6.47)
33, HSLiB2	119 (2.36)	43, YDRiB2	197 (3.88)
111, HSLbB2	39 (0.77)	31, YLRiB2	63 (1.25)
126, HSLH2	57 (1.12)		
143, SGRIB2	17 (0.34)	992, Railway	3 (0.07)
999, Rock outcrops	1595 (31.51)	Others	248 (4.9)

## Soil and plot boundaries

Soil units shown on the map are represented by both the color and a numeral. The soil boundaries are superimposed on land parcel with revenue survey number boundaries to visualize its spatial extent.



## Map key

There are many thematic types to be differentiated on the map solely based on colour. Therefore soils and suitability types and their limitations are distinguished by colours with a combination of alpha-numeric characters.

TEXTURE	GRAVELLINESS
b - Loamy sand	g1 - Gravely (15-35 %)
c - Sandy loam	
h - Sandy clay loam	
i - Sandy clay	
m - Clay	
SLOPE	DEPTH
A - Nearly Level (0-1%)	BDP, KKR - Very shallow (10-25)
B - Very gently sloping (1-3%)	JNK, YLR, SBR - Moderately shallow (50-75 cm)
	BLC, HSL, GWD - Moderately deep (75-100 cm)
	BOD, MDG, YDR, NGP-ANR - Deep (100-150 cm)
	MDR, BMN, SGR, BMD - Very deep (>150 cm)
EROSION	
1 - Slight	
2 - Moderate	
3 - Severe	

Key
S1- Highly Suitable
S2- Moderately Suitable
S3- Marginally Suitable
N1- Currently Not Suitable
Limitations
n- nutrient availability
r- rooting condition
t- texture
z- excess salt/calcareousness

# 1. Introduction

Land is a scarce resource and basic unit for any material production. It can support the needs of the growing population, provided they use land in a rational and judicious manner. But what is happening in many areas of the state is a cause for concern to anyone involved in the management of land resources at the grassroots level. In India the area available for agriculture is about 51 per cent of the total area and more than 60 per cent of the people are still relying on agriculture for their livelihood. The limited land area is under severe stress and strain due to increasing population pressure and competing demands of various land uses. Due to this, every year there is a significant diversion of farm lands and water resources for non-agricultural purposes. Apart from this, due to lack of interest for farming among the farmers in many areas, large tracts of cultivable lands are turning into fallows and this trend is continuing at an alarming rate.

The watershed management programs are aimed at designing suitable soil and water conservation measures, productivity enhancement of existing crops, crop diversification with horticultural species, greening the wastelands with forestry species of multiple uses and improving the livelihood opportunities for landless people.

The objectives can be met to a great extent when an appropriate Natural Resources Management (NRM) plan is prepared and implemented. It is essential to have site specific Land Resources Inventory (LRI) indicating the potentials and constraints for developing such a site specific plan. LRI can be obtained by carrying out detailed characterization and mapping of all the existing land resources like soils, climate, water, minerals and rocks, vegetation, crops, land use pattern, socio-economic conditions, infrastructure, marketing facilities and various schemes and developmental works of the government. From the data collected at farm level, the specific problems and potentials of the area can be identified and highlighted, conservation measures required for the area can be planned on a scientific footing, suitability of the area for various uses can be worked out and finally viable and sustainable land use options suitable for each and every land holding can be prescribed to the farmer and other land users of the area.

The major landforms identified in the Sub-watershed are uplands and low lands. The database was generated by using cadastral map of the village as a base along with high resolution satellite imagery (IRS LISS IV and Cartosat-1). The objectives of the land resource survey, carried out in the Yaragal Sub-watershed covering an area of 5062.97 ha are indicated below.

- Detailed characterization of all the land resources like soil, water, land use, cropping pattern and other resources available at parcel level in the village.
- Delineation of homogenous areas based on soil-site characteristics into management units.
- Collection and interpretation of climatic and agronomical data for crop planning.
- Identification of problems and potentials of the area and strategies for their management.
- Assessment of the suitability of land resources for various crops and other uses.
- Establishment of village level digital land resources database in a GIS framework.
- Enable the watershed and other line departments to prepare an action plan for the integrated development of the watershed.




## 2. General Description of Sub-watershed

The Yadgir, popularly called as “Yadavagiri” by the local people, district came to existence on 30<sup>th</sup> Dec 2009 by carving out of erst-while Kalaburagi district of Karnataka with a geographical area of 5234.4 square kilometers, located in the northern part of the state. It lies between north latitudes’ 17<sup>00</sup>’ – 16<sup>055</sup>’ and east longitudes 77<sup>07</sup>’ – 77<sup>00</sup>’. The climate of the district is very hot and dry. The district has an average annual rainfall of 636 mm. Soils are well drained red sandy loam to medium deep black soils. This may be the weathering product of gneissic and granite terrain. Agriculture in Yadgir district is dependent upon rainfall, irrigation tanks, wells, streams etc. The major agricultural crops grown are Jowar, Groundnut, Cotton, Red gram, Bengal gram etc.

As a pilot study, **ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bangalore** carried out the generation of Sub-watershed (SWs) - LRI for the Yaragal SWs in Yadgir taluk, Yadgir district. It was selected for data base generation under Sujala III project. The Yaragal Sub-watershed (code– 4D5B2H ) is covering an area of 5062.97 ha and spread across Shivanagara, Yaragola, Bapunagara and Shankanura villages. This sub-watershed encompasses of 9 MWs namely Sankanur (4D5B2H1a), Yadgir Rf-1 (4D5B2H1d), Yadgir Rf-2 (4D5B2H1e), Yadgir Rf-3 (4D5B2H2a), Yadgir Rf-4 (4D5B2H2b), Yagapur Tanda-1 (4D5B2H1c), Yagapur Tanda-2 (4D5B2H1b), Yargol-1 (4D5B2H2c) and Yargol-2 (4D5B2H2d). Land Resource Inventory (LRI) was generated for all the nine micro-watersheds.

# 2.1. Location and Extent

## LOCATION MAP OF YARAGAL SUB-WATERSHED




Yaragal sub-watershed (Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District) is located between  $16^{\circ}53'14''$ - $16^{\circ}57'54''$  North latitudes and  $77^{\circ}1'48''$ -  $77^{\circ}7'7''$  East longitudes, covering an area of about 5062.97 ha.

**Agro Ecological Sub Region (AESR) 6.2:** Central and Western Maharashtra Plateau and North Karnataka Plateau and North Western Telangana Plateau, hot moist semi-arid ESR with shallow and medium loamy to clayey Black soils (medium and deep clayey Black soils as inclusion), medium to high AWC and LGP 120-150 days.

**Agro-climatic Zone 2: North-eastern Dry Zone:** The total geographic area of this zone is about 1.76 M ha covering 8 taluks of Gulbarga district and 3 taluks of Raichur. Net cultivated area in the zone is about 1.31 M ha of which about 0.09 M ha are irrigated. The mean elevation of the zone is 300-450 m MSL. The main soil type is deep to very deep soils with small pockets of shallow to medium black soils. The zone is cropped predominantly during rabi due to insufficient rainfall (465-785 mm). The principal crops of the zone are jowar, bajra, oilseeds, pulses, cotton and sugarcane.



## 2.2. Climate





Length of Growing Period (LGP) is varying from June 1<sup>st</sup> week to 3<sup>rd</sup> week of October (120 - 150 days)

Annual Rainfall : 829 mm. in the Hatthakuni Hobli, Yadgir Taluk & District

Source: KSNDMC (1980-2011)

## 2.3. Geology



### GEOLOGY - KARNATAKA STATE

Karnataka forms part of the Peninsular Shield, which is an ancient stable block of the earth's crust. The shield is composed of geologically ancient rocks of diverse origin. These rocks have undergone various degrees of metamorphism and crushing. Overlying these ancient rocks are Proterozoic, late Cretaceous to Palaeocene, Palaeocene to Recent, and Recent sediments.

In the stratigraphic succession of rocks in Karnataka the Archaean group is the oldest, followed by Proterozoic, Mesozoic and Cainozoic formations.

### GEOLOGY - YADGIR DISTRICT

#### Mesozoic Group

Towards the end of the Cretaceous Period there was tremendous volcanic activity in the Peninsular part of India with eruption of a series of lava flows which came out through fissures and cracks. This formation is known as the Deccan Trap.

**Deccan Trap:** The Deccan Trap covers an area of 25,000 sq. km. Eight lava flows have been identified in Karnataka, horizontally overlying the older formations. The thickness of the individual flows averages about five metres. The Deccan Trap is relatively uniform in petrographic character. The most common type is augite basalt. Dominant colour is greyish green; texture ranges from cryptocrystalline to glassy. The rock is often vesicular and scoriaceous.

#### Upper Proterozoic Group

Formations of the Upper Proterozoic in Karnataka are closepet granites, Chamundi granites, Kaladgi series and Bhima series.

#### Bhima series

This series, equivalent to the Kurnool formations, is named after the Bhima river and occurs in Bijapur and Gulbarga districts. It covers an area of about 4200 sq. km and is overlain by the Deccan trap. The group consists of horizontal, unfossiliferous, unmetamorphosed sedimentary rocks such as sandstones, green, purple and black shales, and cream and bluish limestones. The thickness is about 477 metres.

#### Dharwar schists

The Dharwar schists consist of a complex series of crystalline schists associated with ultrabasic rocks such as amphibolite, peridotites and dunites. These schists are found in long, narrow bands of various dimensions running NW-SE through the Peninsular Gneiss. The Dharwars are divided into Upper and Lower.

Upper Dharwars are equivalent to the Archaean to Lower Proterozoic, and are divided into Bababudan.

Lower Dharwars occur in Mysore district and include amphibolite schist, quartzite, ironstone and marble.

#### Peninsular Gneiss

Exposed over a large area of Karnataka in all the districts except Bidar is the Peninsular Gneiss which is a heterogeneous mixture of several types of granitic rocks such as banded gneisses, granitic gneisses, granites and gneissic granites, granodiorites and diorites. The banded gneisses consist of white bands of quartz-feldspar alternating with dark bands of biotite, hornblende, and minor accessories. The granite group includes granites of all shades with varying composition. Peninsular gneiss seems to have formed by the granitization of the older rocks.




## 3. Survey Methodology

### Sequence of activities in generation of LRI

- Traversing the watershed using cadastral maps and imagery as base
- Identifying landforms, geology, land use and other features
- Selecting fields representing land units
- Opening profiles to 2 m depth
- Studying soil and site characteristics
- Grouping similar areas based on their soil-site characteristics into land management units
- Preparation of crop, soil and water conservation plan
- Socio-economic evaluation

The required site and soil characteristics are described and recorded on a standard proforma by following the protocols and guidelines given in the soil survey manual and field guide. Collection of soil samples from representative pedons for laboratory characterization and collection of surface soil samples from selected fields covering most of the management units for macro and micro-nutrient analysis is being carried out (320m grid intervals). Further processing of data at chemical lab and GIS lab are carried out to generate various thematic maps for each of the study area.


### 3.1. Database Used - Cadastral map



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru




### 3.2. Database Used - Satellite Image




Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



### 3.3. Location of Wells



# 4. The Soils



\* - Habitation & Waterbody  
 Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



#### 4.1 Mapping unit description of Yaragal (4D5B2H) Sub-watershed in Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir district

Soil map unit No*	Soil Series	Soil Phase Symbol	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
<b>Soils of Granite and Granite gneiss Landscape</b>				
	BMD		Bomraddoddi soils are very deep (>150 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown to dark grey, reddish brown, dark brown and yellowish red, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>16 (0.31)</b>
64		BMDcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	16 (0.31)
	BMN		Bhimanahalli soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray, calcareous cracking clay black soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>436 (8.63)</b>
159		BMNmA1	Clay surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	25 (0.5)
62		BMNmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	411 (8.13)
	MDR		Madhwara soils are very deep (>150 cm), well drained, have very dark gray to very dark brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>111 (2.2)</b>
133		MDRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	111 (2.2)
	ANR		Anur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have dark gray to dark brown, calcareous sodic clay soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>84 (1.65)</b>
55		ANRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	84 (1.65)
	BGD		Belagundi soils are deep (100-150 cm) well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous clayey soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>19 (0.37)</b>
177		BGDiA1	Sandy clay surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	19 (0.37)
	MDG		Mundargi soils are deep (100-150 cm), well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown, sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>164 (3.24)</b>
149		MDGhB2g1	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	55 (1.09)
58		MDGiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	109 (2.15)
	NGP		Nagalapur soils are deep (100-150 cm), moderately well drained, have very dark gray to very dark grayish brown, black calcareous cracking clay soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>370 (7.36)</b>
48		NGPiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	23 (0.45)
163		NGPmA1	Clay surface, slope 0-1%, slight erosion	24 (0.48)
49		NGPmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	325 (6.43)
	YDR		Yadgir soils are deep (100-150 cm), well drained, have brown to dark yellowish brown and olive brown, sodic sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation	<b>525 (10.35)</b>
42		YDRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	328 (6.47)
43		YDRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	197 (3.88)




Soil map unit No*	Soil Series	Soil Phase Symbol	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
<b>Soils of Granite and Granite gneiss Landscape</b>				
	BLC	Balichakra soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), well drained, have reddish brown to dark reddish brown, sandy clay loam red soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>191 (3.77)</b>
38		BLCiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	191 (3.77)
	GWD	Gowdagera soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), moderately well drained, have dark grayish brown to very dark grayish brown, calcareous sodic sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>176 (3.47)</b>
35		GWDiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	152 (3.0)
127		GWDmB2	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	24 (0.47)
	HSL	Hosalli soils are moderately deep (75-100 cm), moderately well drained, have yellowish brown to dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>254 (5.0)</b>
111		HSLbB2	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	39 (0.77)
32		HSLcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	39 (0.77)
126		HSLhB2	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	57 (1.12)
33		HSLiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	119 (2.36)
	JNK	Jinkera soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark grayish brown, slightly calcareous sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>16 (0.31)</b>
22		JNKiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	16 (0.31)
	SBR	Sambara soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), somewhat excessively drained, have light gray to pink, loamy sand soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>27 (0.52)</b>
11		SBRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	15 (0.29)
12		SBRcC3g1	Sandy loam surface, slope 3-5%, severe erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	12 (0.23)
	YLR	Yalleri soils are moderately shallow (50-75 cm), well drained, have brown to reddish brown and dark reddish brown, clay red soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>63 (1.25)</b>
31		YLRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	63 (1.25)
	BDL	Badiyala soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark brown to very dark brown and dark yellowish brown, slightly calcareous sandy loam soils occurring on very gently to gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>136 (2.6)</b>
4		BDLhB2	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	75 (1.49)
162		BDLhB2g1	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	29 (0.58)
5		BDLiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	31 (0.61)

Soil map unit No*	Soil Series	Soil Phase Symbol	Mapping Unit Description	Area in ha (%)
<b>Soils of Granite and Granite gneiss Landscape</b>				
	HTK	Hattikuni soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark yellowish brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>46 (0.9)</b>
165		HTKcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	46 (0.9)
	VNK	Vanakanahalli soils are shallow (25-50 cm), well drained, have dark reddish brown, sandy clay red soils occurring on very gently to moderately sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>176 (3.4)</b>
8		VNKbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	61 (1.21)
9		VNKcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	59 (1.16)
10		VNKiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	37 (0.73)
109		VNKmB2g1	Clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	19 (0.37)
	BDP	Baddeppalli soils are very shallow (<25 cm), well drained, have dark brown to dark reddish brown, calcareous sandy clay loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>233 (4.6)</b>
118		BDPcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	20 (0.4)
120		BDPhB2	Sandy clay loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	178 (3.51)
119		BDPiB3	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, severe erosion	35 (0.69)
	KKR	Kakalawar soils are very shallow (<25 cm), well drained, have dark brown sandy loam soils occurring on very gently sloping uplands under cultivation		<b>155 (3.0)</b>
153		KKRbB2g1	Loamy sand surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion, gravelly (15-35%)	81 (1.59)
175		KKRcB2	Sandy loam surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	74 (1.47)
	SGR	Sangwar soils are very deep (>150 cm), moderately well drained, have dark gray to very dark gray, calcareous sodic cracking clay soils occurring on nearly level to very gently sloping lowlands under cultivation		<b>17 (0.34)</b>
143		SGRiB2	Sandy clay surface, slope 1-3%, moderate erosion	17 (0.34)
992		Railway	Railway line	3 (0.07)
999		Rock outcrops	Rock lands, both massive and bouldery with little or no soil	1595 (31.51)
1000		Others	Habitation and Waterbody	248 (4.9)

\* Soil map unit numbers are continuous for the taluk, not for the sub-watershed


# 5. Soil Survey Interpretations

## 5.1. Land Capability Classification






## 5.2. Soil Depth




### 5.3. Surface Soil Texture






# 5.4. Surface Soil Gravelliness




Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 5.5. Available Water Capacity




# 5.6.Slope



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru




# 5.7. Soil Erosion






# 6. Soil Fertility Status

## 6.1. Soil Reaction (pH)







## 6.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC)




## 6.3. Organic Carbon



## 6.4. Available Phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>)




## 6.5. Available Potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O)







## 6.6. Available Sulphur




## 6.7. Available Boron



## 6.8. Available Iron




## 6.9. Available Manganese






## 6.10. Available Copper



## 6.11. Available Zinc




## 6.12. Correcting the Soil Nutrient Deficiencies

1. Reclamation of Salt affected soils
  - a) When the soil is having neutral pH (6.5-7.5), no need of adding amendments (lime or gypsum)
  - b) If the soil pH is <6.5, apply burnt lime to soil as per specifically recommended dosage and again after 2 years proper change has to be made based on soil test results.
  - c) If the soil pH is 7.5-8.5 due to excess calcium content, drain out the excess calcium from the soil with good quality irrigation water.
  - d) If the soil pH is more than 8.5 due to higher sodium content in soil, apply specifically recommended dose of gypsum & drain out the excess salts with good quality irrigation water.
2. In case of low & high content of major nutrients in the soil, follow the modifications as given below:
  - N: P: K (N:  $P_2O_5$ :  $K_2O$ ) **For low N content**, add 25 % extra to the Recommended Dose of Fertilisers (RDF).  
**For high N content**, reduce 25% from the RDF and apply to soil.  
Eg:- if 100kg N, then we have to apply
    - 100+25% for deficient soil.
    - 100% for medium available N content soil.
    - 100-25% for higher N content soil.
  - Follow the same in case of P & K.
3. Use or Incorporation of biofertilizers like Rhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and mycorrhiza enhances normal available nutrients in soil to the plants and also reduce the input cost of cultivation.
4. For calcium deficient soil, apply N-fertilizers like calcium ammonium nitrate; Gypsum can also supply calcium ( $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ )
5. Apply 405kg  $MgSO_4$  per ha to the magnesium deficient soil. In case of perennial horticulture crops apply 150-200g/ plant.
6. In sulphur deficient acid soils (Humid region) apply phosphorus (in the form of) through SSP & use sulphur coated urea to the crops.
7. Apply 30-50kg ferrous sulfate ( $FeSO_4$ ) per ha to the iron deficient soils. In case of perennial Horticulture crops apply 3-5g/ litre  $FeSO_4$ /plant as foliar spray.
8. Apply 30-40kg/ha – manganese sulfate ( $MnSO_4$ ) as soil application to the manganese deficient soils. In case of perennial Horticulture crops apply 3-5 g/litre  $MnSO_4$  /plant as foilar application.
9. Apply Zinc – 10-25 kg/ha –  $ZnSO_4$  – soil application to the Zinc deficient soils. In case of perennial Horticulture crops apply 3-5g/ litre – foliar application.
10. Apply Copper – 5-10 kg /ha – copper sulfate ( $CuSO_4$ ) soil application for the copper deficient soils and for Perennial horticultural crops 3-5g/ litre –  $CuSO_4$ /plant as foliar application.
11. Apply borax 8-10 kg/ha in boron deficient soils and for Perennial horticultural crops as foliar application – 1g / litre.
12. Apply molybdenum – ammonium molybdate 200-250 gm/ha for Molybdenum deficient soils or dissolve 1g / litre ammonium molybdate for Foliar spray.
13. Soil sampling and testing needs to be done at every 2-3 years interval.

# 7. Land Suitability for Major Crops

## 7.1. Land Suitability for Sorghum




Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 7.2. Land Suitability for Maize

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR MAIZE

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable


**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2n	275 (5.44)
S2r	16 (0.31)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2rt	63 (1.25)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	101 (1.99)
S3r	176 (3.47)
S3t	27 (0.53)
S3nt	524 (10.35)
S3nz	176 (3.47)
S3rt	181 (3.58)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)


\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



**References**


- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary



# 7.3. Land Suitability for Redgram

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR REDGRAM

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**






**Key**  
S2- Moderately Suitable  
S3- Marginally Suitable  
N1- Currently Not Suitable  
N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**  
n- nutrient availability  
r- rooting condition  
t- texture  
z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S2r	254 (5.01)
S2t	35 (0.68)
S2rt	191 (3.77)
S2tn	275 (5.44)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	625 (12.34)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3nz	176 (3.47)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru






# 7.4. Land Suitability for Bajra

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR BAJRA

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable


**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2n	275 (5.44)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	625 (12.34)
S3r	311 (6.15)
S3t	27 (0.53)
S3nz	176 (3.47)
S3rt	46 (0.9)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)


\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru




**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary



# 7.5. Land Suitability for Drumstick



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru




# 7.6. Land Suitability for Sunflower

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR SUNFLOWER

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)

YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable


**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	19 (0.37)
S2r	254 (5.01)
S2t	16 (0.31)
S2z	809 (15.99)
S2rt	191 (3.77)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



**MICRO-WATERSHEDS**

- Sankanur
- Yadgir Rf1
- Yadgir Rf2
- Yadgir Rf3
- Yadgir Rf4
- Yagapur Tanda1
- Yagapur Tanda2
- Yargol1
- Yargol2

**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary

# 7.7. Land Suitability for Cotton

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR COTTON

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable




**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	19 (0.37)
S2r	317 (6.26)
S2z	809 (15.99)
S3n	101 (1.99)
S3r	176 (3.47)
S3t	223 (4.4)
S3nz	176 (3.47)
S3tn	275 (5.44)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N1t	732 (14.46)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)


\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru





# 7.8. Land Suitability for Bengalgram




Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.9. Land Suitability for Groundnut

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR GROUNDNUT Yaragal Sub-watershed (4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha) YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness


Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	207 (4.08)
S2r	16 (0.31)
S2t	254 (5.01)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	481 (9.51)
S3tz	437 (8.63)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary





# 7.10. Land Suitability for Chilli

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR CHILLI Yaragal Sub-watershed (4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha) YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



- MICRO-WATERSHEDS**
- Sankanur
  - Yadgir Rf1
  - Yadgir Rf2
  - Yadgir Rf3
  - Yadgir Rf4
  - Yagapur Tanda1
  - Yagapur Tanda2
  - Yargol1
  - Yargol2


- Key**
- S1- Highly Suitable
  - S2- Moderately Suitable
  - S3- Marginally Suitable
  - N1- Currently Not Suitable
  - N2- Permanently Not Suitable
- Limitations**
- n- nutrient availability
  - r- rooting condition
  - t- texture
  - z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

- References**
- Streams/Drainage
  - Road/Cart track
  - Habitation
  - Waterbody
  - Village boundary
  - Micro-watershed boundary
  - Sub-watershed boundary



# 7.11. Land Suitability for Pomegranate

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR POMEGRANATE Yaragal Sub-watershed (4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha) YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



- MICRO-WATERSHEDS**
- Sankanur
  - Yadgir Rf1
  - Yadgir Rf2
  - Yadgir Rf3
  - Yadgir Rf4
  - Yagapur Tanda1
  - Yagapur Tanda2
  - Yargol1
  - Yargol2


- Key**
- S1- Highly Suitable
  - S2- Moderately Suitable
  - S3- Marginally Suitable
  - N1- Currently Not Suitable
  - N2- Permanently Not Suitable
- Limitations**
- n- nutrient availability
  - r- rooting condition
  - t- texture
  - z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S2r	445 (8.79)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

- References**
- Streams/Drainage
  - Road/Cart track
  - Habitation
  - Waterbody
  - Village boundary
  - Micro-watershed boundary
  - Sub-watershed boundary







# 7.12. Land Suitability for Tomato

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR TOMATO

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**

0 0.5 1 2 km




**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture




Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	855 (16.89)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru


# 7.13. Land Suitability for Mulberry



**NOTE:** Mulberry suitability evaluation only for mulberry leaf, not for silkworm rearing




# 7.14. Land Suitability for Bhendi



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.15. Land Suitability for Guava



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru





# 7.16. Land Suitability for Mango

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR MANGO

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**

0 0.5 1 2 km



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	445 (8.79)
S3t	828 (16.36)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	851 (16.81)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary

\* - Habitation & Waterbody



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.17. Land Suitability for Sapota

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR SAPOTA

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km




**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S2r	445 (8.79)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3t	828 (16.36)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)



\* - Habitation & Waterbody



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 7.18. Land Suitability for Jackfruit

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR JACKFRUIT Yaragal Sub-watershed (4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha) YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



**Key**

S1- Highly Suitable  
S2- Moderately Suitable  
S3- Marginally Suitable  
N1- Currently Not Suitable  
N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

n- nutrient availability  
r- rooting condition  
t- texture  
z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S2r	445 (8.79)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3t	19 (0.37)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
S3tz	809 (15.99)
N1n	1076 (21.25)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary


\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.19. Land Suitability for Jamun

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR JAMUN

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness


Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S2rt	19 (0.37)
S3r	524 (10.35)
S3z	809 (15.99)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1n	1076 (21.25)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru


**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary





# 7.20. Land Suitability for Musambi






Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.21. Land Suitability for Lime

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR LIME

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**




Key	
S1- Highly Suitable	
S2- Moderately Suitable	
S3- Marginally Suitable	
N1- Currently Not Suitable	
N2- Permanently Not Suitable	
Limitations	
n- nutrient availability	
r- rooting condition	
t- texture	
z- excess salt/calcareousness	

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	35 (0.68)
S2r	445 (8.79)
S2z	809 (15.99)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	79 (1.56)
S3rt	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	745 (14.72)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru








# 7.22. Land Suitability for Cashew

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR CASHEW

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**




**Key**

- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture


Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S2n	16 (0.31)
S2rn	191 (3.77)
S3n	254 (5.01)
S3r	63 (1.25)
N1n	1154 (22.79)
N1r	609 (12.04)
N1t	828 (16.36)
N1tn	101 (1.99)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)



\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.23. Land Suitability for Custard Apple




Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 7.24. Land Suitability for Amla

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR AMLA

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**





Key	
S1- Highly Suitable	
S2- Moderately Suitable	
S3- Marginally Suitable	
N1- Currently Not Suitable	
N2- Permanently Not Suitable	
Limitations	
n- nutrient availability	
r- rooting condition	
t- texture	
z- excess salt/calcareousness	

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S3r	176 (3.47)
S3t	27 (0.53)
S3z	809 (15.99)
S3rt	181 (3.58)
N1n	1076 (21.25)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody



Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 7.25. Land Suitability for Tamarind

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR TAMARIND

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**



- MICRO-WATERSHEDS**
- Sankanur
  - Yadgir Rf1
  - Yadgir Rf2
  - Yadgir Rf3
  - Yadgir Rf4
  - Yagapur Tanda1
  - Yagapur Tanda2
  - Yargol1
  - Yargol2

**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable
- N2- Permanently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	16 (0.31)
S2rt	19 (0.37)
S3r	445 (8.79)
S3z	809 (15.99)
N1n	1076 (21.25)
N1r	851 (16.81)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)


- References**
- Streams/Drainage
  - Road/Cart track
  - Habitation
  - Waterbody
  - Village boundary
  - Micro-watershed boundary
  - Sub-watershed boundary

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru



# 7.26. Land Suitability for Brinjal





Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.27. Land Suitability for Onion

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR ONION

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**



**Key**

- S1- Highly Suitable
- S2- Moderately Suitable
- S3- Marginally Suitable
- N1- Currently Not Suitable

**Limitations**

- n- nutrient availability
- r- rooting condition
- t- texture
- z- excess salt/calcareousness


Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	483 (9.53)
S3tz	373 (7.36)
N1n	1076 (21.25)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

**References**

- Streams/Drainage
- Road/Cart track
- Habitation
- Waterbody
- Village boundary
- Micro-watershed boundary
- Sub-watershed boundary








# 7.28. Land Suitability for Marigold

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR MARIGOLD

**Yaragal Sub-watershed**  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)  
**YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT**



- MICRO-WATERSHEDS**
- Sankanur
  - Yadgir Rf1
  - Yadgir Rf2
  - Yadgir Rf3
  - Yadgir Rf4
  - Yagapur Tanda1
  - Yagapur Tanda2
  - Yargol1
  - Yargol2



- Key**
- S1- Highly Suitable
  - S2- Moderately Suitable
  - S3- Marginally Suitable
  - N1- Currently Not Suitable
  - N2- Permanently Not Suitable
- Limitations**
- n- nutrient availability
  - r- rooting condition
  - t- texture
  - z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

- References**
- Streams/Drainage
  - Road/Cart track
  - Habitation
  - Waterbody
  - Village boundary
  - Micro-watershed boundary
  - Sub-watershed boundary

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

# 7.29. Land Suitability for Chrysanthemum

## LAND SUITABILITY FOR CHRYSANTHEMUM Yaragal Sub-watershed (4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha) YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT

0 0.5 1 2 km



- MICRO-WATERSHEDS**
- Sankanur
  - Yadgir Rf1
  - Yadgir Rf2
  - Yadgir Rf3
  - Yadgir Rf4
  - Yagapur Tanda1
  - Yagapur Tanda2
  - Yargol1
  - Yargol2


- Key**
- S1- Highly Suitable
  - S2- Moderately Suitable
  - S3- Marginally Suitable
  - N1- Currently Not Suitable
  - N2- Permanently Not Suitable
- Limitations**
- n- nutrient availability
  - r- rooting condition
  - t- texture
  - z- excess salt/calcareousness

Suitability subclass	Area in ha (%)
S1	461 (9.1)
S2r	79 (1.56)
S2t	19 (0.37)
S2tz	809 (15.99)
S3n	275 (5.44)
S3r	357 (7.05)
S3t	27 (0.53)
N1n	800 (15.81)
N1r	388 (7.66)
N2	1595 (31.51)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

- References**
- Streams/Drainage
  - Road/Cart track
  - Habitation
  - Waterbody
  - Village boundary
  - Micro-watershed boundary
  - Sub-watershed boundary









# 8. Soil and Water Conservation Measures

## 8.1. Soil & Water Conservation Plan

### SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PLAN

Yaragal Sub-watershed  
(4D5B2H : Area - 5062.97 ha)

YADGIR TALUK & DISTRICT



Legend	Area in ha (%)
Trench cum bunding	679 (13.41)
Graded bunding	2468 (48.75)
Strengthening of existing bunds	69 (1.36)
Railway	3 (0.07)
Rock outcrops	1595 (31.51)
Others*	248 (4.9)

\* - Habitation & Waterbody

Source: ICAR-NBSS&LUP, Bengaluru

**9. Table.** Proposed Crop Plan for Yaragal Sub-watershed, Hatthakuni Hobli, Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District based on soil-site–crop suitability Assessment

LMU. No	Soil Map Units	Field Crops/ Commercial crops	Horticulture Crops (Rainfed/Irrigated)	Suitable Interventions
1	55.ANRiB2 35.GWDiB2 127.GWDmB2 143.SGRiB2 42.YDRcB2 43.YDRiB2 (Sodic soils)	-	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture</b> Ber, Aonla, Acacia sp. Dhaincha, Rhodes grass, Para grass ,Bermuda grass	Application of gypsum, iron pyrites and elemental sulphur. Addition of farm yard manures, green manures and providing subsurface drainage
2	177.BGDiA1 48.NGPiB2 163.NGPmA1 49.NGPmB2 159.BMNmA1 62.BMNmB2 111.HSLbB2 32.HSLcB2 126.HSLhB2 33.HSLiB2 ( Moderately deep to very deep, black clay soils)	Maize, sorghum, Sunflower, Cotton, Red gram, Bengalgram, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Lime, Musambi, Custard apple, Pomegranate <b>Vegetables:</b> Chilli, Bhendi <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
3	38.BLCiB2 64.BMDcB2 (Moderately deep to very deep, red sandy clay loam soils)	Sunflower, Sorghum, Maize, Groundnut, Red gram, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Mango, Musambi, Sapota, Tamarind, Pomegranate, Amla, Custard apple, Guava, Jackfruit, Jamun, Lime <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Onion, Bhendi, Chilli, Brinjal, Drumstick, Coriander <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
4	149.MDGhB2g1,58.MDGiB2 133.MDRiB2 (Deep to very deep, strongly alkaline soils)	Sorghum, Maize, Bajra	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture</b> Ber, Aonla, Acacia sp. Dhaincha, Rhodes grass, Para grass ,Bermuda grass	Application of gypsum, iron pyrites and elemental sulphur. Addition of farm yard manures, green manures and providing subsurface drainage



LMU. No	Soil Map Units	Field Crops/ Commercial crops	Horticulture Crops (Rainfed/Irrigated)	Suitable Interventions
5	11.SBRcB2, 12.SBRcC3g1 (Moderately shallow, loamy sand soils)	-	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture:</b> Hybrid Napier, <i>Styloxanthes hamata</i> , <i>Styloxanthes scabra</i>	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
6	31.YLRiB2 (Moderately shallow, red clay soils)	Maize, sorghum Groundnut, Bajra, Cotton	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Amla, Custard apple <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Chilli, Brinjal, Bhendi, Onion <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
7	22.JNKiB2 (Moderately shallow, sandy clay loam soils)	Maize, sorghum Groundnut, Bajra	<b>Fruit crops:</b> Amla, Custard apple <b>Vegetables:</b> Tomato, Chilli, Brinjal, Bhendi, Onion <b>Flowers:</b> Marigold, Chrysanthemum	Application of FYM, Biofertilizers and micronutrients, drip irrigation, Mulching, suitable soil and water conservation practices
8	4.BDLhB2,162.BDLhB2g1, 5.BDLiB2,165.HTKcB2, 8.VNKbB2g1,9.VNKcB2, 10.VNKiB2, 109.VNKmB2g1 (Shallow soils)	-	<b>Agri-Silvi-Pasture:</b> Hybrid Napier, <i>Styloxanthes hamata</i> , Dhaincha, Sunhemp, Glyricidia, <i>Styloxanthes scabra</i>	Use of short duration varieties, sowing across the slope and split application of nitrogen fertilizers
9	118.BDPcB2,120.BDPhB2 119.BDPiB3,153.KKRbB2g1 175.KKRcB2 (Very shallow soils)	-	<i>Styloxanthes hamata</i> , <i>Styloxanthes scabra</i>	Use of short duration varieties, sowing across the slope

## **PART - B**

# **Hydrological Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed, Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, Karnataka for Watershed Planning and Development**





Sujala - III

Karnataka Watershed Development Project-II  
Watershed Development Department  
Government of Karnataka



# Hydrological Inventory of Yaragal Sub-watershed, Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, Karnataka for Watershed Planning and Development



ICAR - NBSS & LUP

Prepared by

ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning  
Regional Centre, Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024

Phone:080-23412242

E-mail:nbssrcb@gmail.com



# Details of Hydrology Team of LRI Partner Responsible for Preparation of Atlas




Name	Designation
Dr. Rajendra Hegde	Principal Scientist & Head Coordinator
Dr. S. Srinivas	Principal Scientist
Dr. K .V. Niranjana	Chief Technical Officer
Sh. R.S.Reddy	Consultant
Sh. A.G.Devendra Prasad	Consultant
Smt. K.Karunya Lakshmi	Research Associate
Ms. Seema, K.V.	Senior Research Fellow
Dr. Sekhar Muddu (Reviewed and approved)	Professor & Lead Scientist, Dept. of Civil Engineering & ICWaR, IISc, Bangalore
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:hd_rcb.nbsslup@icar.gov.in">hd_rcb.nbsslup@icar.gov.in</a> <a href="mailto:nbssrcb@gmail.com">nbssrcb@gmail.com</a> <b>Phone: Office:</b> 080-23412242,23410993 <b>Fax:</b> 080-23510350</p>	



## INTRODUCTION

- The inventory and documentation of spatial and temporal changes in hydrological components of Yaragal sub-watershed (4D5B2H) in Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District, has been undertaken for integrated planning, development and management.
- Yaragal sub-watershed (Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District) is located between 16°53'14"-16°57'54" North latitudes and 77°1'48"- 77°7'7" East longitudes, covering an area of about 5062.97 ha.
- This sub-watershed encompasses of 9 MWs namely Sankanur (4D5B2H1a), Yadgir Rf-1 (4D5B2H1d), Yadgir Rf-2 (4D5B2H1e), Yadgir Rf-3 (4D5B2H2a), Yadgir Rf-4 (4D5B2H2b), Yagapur Tanda-1 (4D5B2H1c), Yagapur Tanda-2 (4D5B2H1b), Yargol-1 (4D5B2H2c) and Yargol-2 (4D5B2H2d). Land Resource Inventory (LRI) was generated for all the nine micro-watersheds.
- Average annual rainfall (1960-2014) of the Hobli (Block) pertaining to the sub-watershed is 887 mm.
- In this sub-watershed major *kharif* crops grown are Maize, Cotton, Sunflower, Groundnut, Red gram, Chilly, Soybean , Paddy and major *rabi* crops are Sorghum, Bengalgram, Bajra.
- Hydrological components namely rainfall (annual, *kharif*, *rabi* and summer), PET, AET, runoff, surface soil moisture, ground water status and water balance are presented.


# LOCATION MAP OF YARAGAL SUB-WATERSHED



Soil & Water Conservation Structures in Yaragal sub-watershed, Yadgir Taluk, Yadgir District




# RAINFALL INDEX




The average annual rainfall (1960-2014) recorded at the Yadgir station in Yadgir taluk of Yadgir district is 887 mm. The annual rainfall at Hattikuni station (Hobli H.Q.) is presented. During the years 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017 the annual rainfall was deficient by 22%, 42%, 46%, 3 % and 6% respectively.

The *kharif* rainfall (Jun–Sep) is an average about 80% of the annual rainfall and it typically follows the annual rainfall patterns. During the years 2009, 2012, 2014 and 2015 the annual rainfall was deficient by 20%, 11%, 30% and 34% respectively.




# RAINFALL INDEX






The average *rabi* rainfall (Oct-Jan) is about 12% of the Average annual rainfall. During the year 2009 high *rabi* rainfall was received, where as other years showed deficient rainfall.

The average summer rainfall (Feb-May) is about 8% of the average annual rainfall.







# EVAPOTRANSPIRATION




The average annual actual ET is lower than the average rainfall. During *kharif*, average rainfall and AET was found to be 674 mm and 335 mm respectively, whereas in *rabi* it was about 141 mm and 203 mm. The annual ET increased by 9% during 2010-2014 compared to 2001-2009 .


# EVAPOTRANSPIRATION INDEX



The average AET/P ratio was about 84%, which is slightly higher than the sustainable limit of about 80%. Even during extremely lower rainfall year of 2015, AET was 680 mm. This suggests the presence of water storage and utilization from other sources such as groundwater, which buffered the lower rainfall.




# SATELLITE RETRIEVED SOIL MOISTURE




The method developed for retrieving soil moisture from multi-satellite observations allowed to map surface soil moisture behavior in the micro-watershed. The available surface moisture was varied in the range of 17-29 % in *kharif* and 18-33% in *rabi* seasons of 2016 and 9-31% in *Kharif* and 19-32% in *rabi* seasons of 2017.

### Yaragal– Rabi Soil Moisture



### Yaragal– Kharif Soil Moisture






# WATER BALANCE

$$Q = P - E - R - S$$

- Q = Runoff
- P = Precipitation
- E = Evapotranspiration
- R = Groundwater recharge
- S = Soil moisture storage change




During July-October months, Precipitation is higher than Evapotranspiration, hence Runoff can occur in the watershed.

**P = 830 mm (average of 2009-2017)   ET = 679 mm   R = 71 mm   S = 145 mm   Q = 58 mm**


<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Average_2009 (mm)</b>
<b>1.</b>	Rainfall	878
<b>2.</b>	Runoff availability with existing conditions	103
<b>3.</b>	Runoff availability with effective interventions	72
<b>4.</b>	Runoff allowed as environmental flow at the outlet	14
<b>5.</b>	Runoff excess for harvesting by construction of structures	58

# RUNOFF



# GROUND WATER STATUS

## YERGOL STATION



The total number of wells present in Yaragal Sub-watershed as per LRI data is 16 Bore wells. The groundwater level shown above is from the data obtained from Dept. of Mines & Geology for the nearest station Yergol. The graph depicts the groundwater level during the years 2011-2017 were slightly varying, where as during the year 2014 was found constant.



## SUMMARY

- The average annual rainfall of 887 mm in the Yaragal sub-watershed as recorded from the Hattikuni station data.
- 80%, 12% and 8% of the annual rainfall occurs during *kharif*, *rabi* and summer seasons respectively and exhibited a higher temporal variability.
- The evapotranspiration estimation tool developed indicates that the watershed water balance is in deficit. The cropping & irrigation choices are not appropriate and need to be altered to shift the deficit water balance.
- The estimated runoff available to use is 58 mm for an average annual rainfall of 830 mm (2009-2017). The utilizable groundwater is 49.7 mm (70% of 71 mm recharge estimated). This means the total available water resource combining the soil moisture store for kharif & rabi (145 mm) and utilizable runoff plus recharge is 253 (=145+58+50)
- The average actual evapotranspiration estimated in the watershed based on the current land use and irrigation practices for the kharif and rabi seasons is 538 mm. Hence the amount of water use for kharif and rabi seasons may be estimated as 672 mm (i.e. 125% of AET). This demand for the two seasons is higher by 419 mm, i.e. (672-253). The AET in June-Sept months is only 50% of rainfall. Hence, there is a good opportunity to harvest the excess water through watershed management practices for utilizing during rabi season.
- The total number of wells present in Yaragal Sub-watershed as per LRI data is 16 Bore wells. The groundwater level data obtained from Dept. of Mines & Geology for the nearest station Yergol. The groundwater level during the years 2011-2017 were slightly varying, where as during the year 2014 was found constant.