Occurrence and characterization of Bipolaris setariae associated with leaf blight of browntop millet (Brachiaria ramosa) in India
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Abstract
A new leaf blight disease of browntop millet (Brachiaria ramosa) was noticed during rainy season (Kharif) 2018 at small millet experimental field, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra (GKVK), Bengaluru, India. To assess the disease severity, an intensive roving survey was conducted during the 2019 cropping season. Based on the morphological characterization, the causal agent of leaf blight disease was identified as Bipolaris spp. Further sequencing and combined gene analysis of ITS (internal transcribed spacer of rDNA), GAPDH (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and LSU (large subunit) of all the nine isolates confirmed the pathogen as B. setariae. Pathogenicity study showed that all the isolates were pathogenic and caused leaf blight symptoms on browntop millet. The B. setariae isolates showed marked variability with respect to disease incidence on browntop millet (cv. Dundu korale) under artificial inoculation conditions. However, the host range was limited only to browntop millet and found non-pathogenic to other six small millets examined. To our knowledge, this is the first completely described study on characterization of B. setariae causing leaf blight disease of browntop millet in India.
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INTRODUCTION
The genus Bipolaris (Shoemaker, 1959), with teleomorphic state in Cochliobolus (Drechsler, 1934), contains many plant pathogens with a broad host range mainly in Poaceae. The pathogenic species of the genus Bipolaris are of great importance in various countries around the world, including India, and have been reported to cause fatal diseases in economically important crops such as rice, wheat and maize (Jeevan et al., 2020; Manamgoda et al., 2014).

Traditionally, morphological characteristics have been used to identify Bipolaris species (Pham et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2020). However, researchers have been confused for years between three genera, Cochliobolus, Bipolaris and Curvularia, due to repeated name changes, refinements, and most crucially, similar or intermediate morphological traits between Curvularia and Bipolaris asexual phases (Manamgoda et al., 2012; Sivanesan, 1987). Concurrently, the taxonomy of the Helminthosporium species complex was also ambiguous. In the type species of graminicolous Helminthosporium, conidia are found at the tip of the geniculate conidiophore, and they continue to proliferate through sympodial extension from the subapical region (Alcorn, 1988), whereas conidia formed by Helminthosporium velutinum appear through small pores in the walls of distal and intercalary
cells of conidiophores, and conidiophore growth stops with the development of terminal conidia (Goh et al., 1998; Luttrell, 1963). Based on these distinctive morphological difference, graminicolous *Helminthosporium* species were split into four genera, namely *Bipolaris*, *Curvularia*, *Drechslera* and *Exserohilum* (Luttrell, 1963; Shoemaker, 1959; Sivanesan, 1987). However, recently, molecular techniques have evolved to circumvent the challenges of conventional taxonomy in case of many complex groupings of plant pathogenic fungus. The species relationships in the genus *Bipolaris* can be better understood using a combination of morphological data and molecular taxonomy based on the ITS, GAPDH, TEF1α and LSU genes. (Berbee et al., 1999; Cai et al., 2011; Manamgoda et al., 2014; Udayanga et al., 2011).

Browntop millet (*Brachiaria ramosa*) is an important nutri-cereal that belongs to Poaceae family. The crop is gluten-free and rich in dietary nutrients, micronutrients, protein, fibre and vitamin B complex (Sarita, 2016). Due to its high nutritional value, low vulnerability to biotic stresses and extensive adaptation to climatic change, this crop is grown in India’s marginal land, hills, tribal and rain-fed areas mostly by resource-poor farmers (Maitra, 2020).

Leaf blight symptoms on browntop millet (*Brachiaria ramosa*) were noticed for the first time during September 2018 in the All India Coordinated Research Projects (AICRP) on small millet experimental field (13.0784 N, 77.5793º E) at the University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, India (Ramesh et al., 2021). Despite the fact that Misra and Prakash (1972) identified. *Helminthosporium seetariae* as a plant pathogen in Bihar (North India), they did not present any scientific data or substantiate Koch’s postulates. Furthermore, no cases of browntop millet leaf blight disease have been documented from the Indian subcontinent or anywhere else in the world. Therefore, the current study is the first to provide a comprehensive account of *Bipolaris* spp. on browntop millet, with the following objectives in mind: (1) Conduct a survey to determine the occurrence and severity of the disease; (2) Describe the causal agent using morphological and molecular techniques; and (3) Conduct laboratory tests to determine the pathogenicity and host range of the pathogen.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Field survey and sampling

An intensive roving survey was conducted in 12 locations (65 fields) covering five major browntop millet growing states of India from September to November 2019 to assess the occurrence and distribution of leaf blight disease (Table 1). In each field, a W-shaped pattern was used to cover the entire area by making five stops, and ten plants were assessed for disease severity at each stop. The severity was determined visually using a 1–9 disease scale, with 1 =very slight infection, one or two small brown speckles of pinhead size (0.1–1.0 mm), and less than 1% leaf area affected; 2 =light infection, brown lesions covering 1%–5% leaf area; 3 =6%–10%, 4 =moderate infection covering 11%–20% leaf area, 5 =21%–30%, 6 =31%–40%, 7 =heavy infection, abundant spots covering 41%–50%, 8 =51%–75% and many leaves dead; and 9 =very heavy infestation, lesions abundant on almost all leaves covering >75% leaf area, or plants dry prematurely (Figure 1), and the per cent disease index was calculated using Wheeler (1969).

\[
PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical ratings}}{\text{Total number of leaves examined}} \times \frac{100}{\text{Maximum grade value}}
\]

Representative leaf samples from each location exhibiting typical brown spot or leaf blight symptoms (Figure 2) were collected from tillering to maturing stage and transported to the laboratory for further analysis.

### 2.2 Isolation and purification of fungal isolates

Fungal pathogen was isolated from the collected leaf samples on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium amended with streptomycin sulphate (500 ppm) to prevent the bacterial contamination (Kumar et al., 2011; Kusai et al., 2016). Isolates were purified on

### TABLE 1 List of isolates, locality and severity of leaf blight disease on browntop millet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling location (No. of fields surveyed)</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Disease severity (%)</th>
<th>Designation of recovered isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bengaluru (5)</td>
<td>Bengaluru</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>BTMH-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengaluru (3)</td>
<td>Bengaluru Rural</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. C. Farm (6)</td>
<td>Mandya</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>BTMH-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arasikere (4)</td>
<td>Hassan</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>BTMH-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipturu (5)</td>
<td>Tumakuru</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>BTMH-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiknayakanhalli (4)</td>
<td>Tumakuru</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balajigapade (5)</td>
<td>Chikkaballapura</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berhampur (7)</td>
<td>Ganjam</td>
<td>Odisha</td>
<td>58.50</td>
<td>BTMH-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranichauri (6)</td>
<td>Tehri Garhwal</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>BTMH-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nandyal (7)</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>BTMH-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gajularega (6)</td>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>BTMH-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanke (7)</td>
<td>Ranchi</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>BTMH-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2% water agar medium using a modified single spore suspension method described by Chomnunti et al., (2011). In a test tube containing sterile water, a loop full of mycelial bit along with spore was placed and continuously stirred to release the spores. Using a pipette, the spore suspension was then evenly distributed on the surface of water agar media. After 12 hr of incubation at 26 ± 1°C, the plates were examined under a microscope to identify germinated conidia, and germinated spores were transferred to PDA plates individually (Choi et al., 1999).

2.3 | Morphology

For morphological studies, cultures were transferred to fresh Czapek Dox Agar medium after 10 days of incubation in the dark at 25°C. This study employed a total of nine isolates. Conidial masses from a 10-day-old culture were mounted in lactophenol and photographed with an Olympus BX 51 microscope and a Progres 2.7 version (Jenoptik, USA) digital camera. As parameters, macromorphological characteristics, such as colony colour, appearance, mycelial density and biomass, and micromorphological characteristics, such as conidial germination, size shape, conidiophore and hilum, were used.

2.4 | DNA extraction, PCR amplification and phylogenetic analysis

The CTAB method, as described by Murray and Thompson (1980), was used to extract genomic DNA from all nine Bipolaris isolates, with minor modifications. The NanoDrop™ 1,000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to estimate the quality and quantity of genomic DNA. Following that, the DNA samples were diluted with nuclease-free water to a concentration of 100 ng/μl for PCR amplification. In a thermal cycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler V ProTM), gene fragments of ITS, GAPDH and LSU were amplified using primer pairs ITS1/ITS4 (White et al., 1990), gpd1/gpd2 (Berbee et al., 1999) and LR5/LROR (Schoch et al., 2009), respectively. PCR reactions were carried out in a 25 μl reaction volume, contained 100 ng of DNA template, 2 mM of each dNTPs (Fermentas), 10 pmol of each forward and reverse primer, 25 mM MgCl₂ (Fermentas) 1X Taq buffer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas) and nuclease-free water. The following were the PCR cycles: An initial 5 min denaturation at 94°C was followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 45 s at 94°C, primer annealing for 45 s at 52°C and extension for 1 min at 72°C, followed by a final 10 min extension at 72°C. The amplified PCR products were separated by electrophoresis along with the 1kb DNA ladder (Bio Prep™ Cat No: BP010-R500) in 1.2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (10 mg/ml). The gels were documented using a gel documentation system after electrophoresis (InGenius3 gel Doc-Syngene) Sequencing was outsourced to Chromous Biotech Pvt. Ltd., a Bengaluru-based private company.

The available sequences were then assembled and aligned using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA X) sequence alignment software. The aligned DNA sequences (ITS, LSU and GAPDH) of all the nine isolates were deposited in NCBI GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). MEGA-X software was used to perform phylogenetic analysis for concatenated and individual genes, with 1,000 bootstrap repetitions (Kumar et al., 2018). The ITS, GAPDH and LSU gene reference sequences were obtained from NCBI GenBank and included in the tree. Table 2 lists the isolates from this study and the representative strains.

![FIGURE 1](image1) Disease rating scale for the assessment of leaf blight disease severity on browntop millet leaves [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

![FIGURE 2](image2) Disease symptoms on browntop millet caused by *B. setariae* (a) Small brown lesions with yellow halo on leaves. (b) Abundant lesions on almost all leaves. (c) Initial symptoms on leaf petiole. (d) Sever symptoms on plant stem [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
2.5 | Pathogenicity and host range

Browntop millet (cv. Dundu korale) seedlings were raised in sterilized earthen pots with autoclaved sandy loam soil and fully decomposed vermicompost in a 2:1 volume ratio. For inoculation, a 10 ml conidial suspension was prepared separately from 10 days old pure cultures for each isolate (Table 1) by scraping pathogen colonies from the agar surface with a sterile spatula and sterile water, and the conidial solution was adjusted to $10^6$ spores/ml. (Cipollone et al., 2020). Using an electric fine atomizer, the inoculum of corresponding isolates was sprayed equally on the foliage of 15-day-old browntop millet seedlings. The control plants, on the other hand, were only sprayed with

**TABLE 2** Details of isolates used for the multi-gene DNA sequence analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Strain/Isolate</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GenBank accession numbers</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Bipolaris bicolor</td>
<td>CBS 690.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>KJ909762 KM042893 KM243287</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bipolaris oryzae</td>
<td>MFLUCC 100,715</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>JX256416 JX276430 JX256384</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Bipolaris panic-miliacei</td>
<td>CBS 199.29</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>KJ909773 KM042896 KM243281</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Bipolaris sorokiniana</td>
<td>CBS 120.24</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>KJ909776 KM034821 KM243278</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Bipolaris victoriae</td>
<td>CBS 327.64</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>KJ909778 KM034811 KM243271</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Bipolaris peregianensis</td>
<td>BRIP 12,790</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>JN601034 JN600977 JN601000</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Bipolaris eleusines</td>
<td>CBS 274.91</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>KJ909768 KM034820 KM243289</td>
<td>Berbee et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Bipolaris setariae</td>
<td>CBS 141.31</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>EF452444 EF513206 MH866609</td>
<td>Andrie et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Exserohilum curvatum</td>
<td>CBS 505.90</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>KT265252 LT715889 LT715620</td>
<td>Hernandez-Restrepo et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Alternaria alternata</td>
<td>CBS 965.95</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>KP124323 KP124178 KP124475</td>
<td>Woudenberg et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Curvularia nodulosa.</td>
<td>CBS 160.58</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>JN601033 JN600975 JN600997</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>C. brachyspora</td>
<td>CBS 186.50</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>KJ922372 KM061784 KM243268</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>C. geniculata</td>
<td>CBS 187.50</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>KJ909781 KM083609 KM243260</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>C. gladioli</td>
<td>ICMP 6,160</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>JX256426 JX276438 JX256393</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>C. trifolii</td>
<td>ICMP 6,149</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>KM230395 KM083607 KM243262</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>C. tuberculata</td>
<td>CBS 146.63</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>JX256433 JX276445 JX256401</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>C. tripogonis</td>
<td>BRIP 12,375</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>JN192388 JN600980 JN601002</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>C. protuberata</td>
<td>CBS 376.65</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>KJ922376 KM083605 KM243264</td>
<td>Manamgoda et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-1</td>
<td>BTMH-1</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750299 MT896700 MT755709</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-2</td>
<td>BTMH-2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750300 MT896701 MT755710</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-3</td>
<td>BTMH-3</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750301 MT896702 MT755711</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-4</td>
<td>BTMH-4</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750302 MT896703 MT755712</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-5</td>
<td>BTMH-5</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750303 MT896704 MT755713</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-6</td>
<td>BTMH-6</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750304 MT896705 MT755714</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-7</td>
<td>BTMH-7</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750298 MT896706 MT755715</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-8</td>
<td>BTMH-8</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT750297 MT896707 MT755716</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Bipolaris spp. BTMH-9</td>
<td>BTMH-9</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>MT755708 MT896708 MT755717</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stereile water. Inoculated seedlings were maintained in the greenhouse at 25 ± 2°C and 80%-85% relative humidity with proper care. Observation on reaction of each isolate was recorded 2–12 days after postinoculation (DPI). Inoculation test was performed three times for each isolate, and the experiment was repeated twice. To prove Koch's postulates, the pathogen was re-isolated from symptomatic leaf tissues and morphological features were compared to the original isolates (Farag & Attia, 2020).

Host range was assessed by spraying the conidial suspension of virulent Bipolaris isolate (BTMH-5) followed by inoculation as described above on browntop millet and other small millets in the Poaceae family including Little millet (Panicum sumatrense Roth ex Roem. and Schult.), Barnyard millet (Echinochloa frumentacea Link), Finger millet (Eleusine coracana Gaerthn.), Foxtail millet (Setaria italica (L.) P. Beauv.), Kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum L.) and Proso millet (Panicum miliaceum L.).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Field survey

A total of 65 browntop millet fields in five major browntop millet growing states were inspected (Table 1). Bengaluru had the highest disease severity (60.00%), and Mandya had the lowest (18.00%) among the locations surveyed (Table 1). Most common symptoms include small brown pinhead size spots on leaves and stems, surrounded by yellow halo on both sides of leaves. As the disease

FIGURE 3 Morphological characteristics of B. setariae. (a) Colony appearance, (b) conidia on conidiophores, (c) multicelled conidia with protruded hilum, (d) unipolar and (e) bipolar conidial germination [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 3 Cultural characteristics of Bipolaris setariae isolates on Czapek Dox Agar media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate code</th>
<th>Days to cover full plate</th>
<th>Dates to full pigmentation</th>
<th>Colony colour</th>
<th>Surface and Topography</th>
<th>Margin</th>
<th>Mycelial density</th>
<th>Mycelium biomass (mg 100 ml⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Greyish green</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Olive green</td>
<td>Convex</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dark grey or greyish black</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Greyish green</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Greyish green</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Olive green with white spots</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Greyish white</td>
<td>Umbonate</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Dense at centre and thin at corners</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dark grey or greyish black</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMH-9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Greenish white</td>
<td>Convex</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All parameters are considered after observing three replicates per isolate.
progress, the spots grow larger and coalesce, resulting in a blighted appearance (Figure 2).

### 3.2 | Isolation and morphological identification of fungal pathogen

Leaf blight infected samples collected during survey from different locations were subjected to pathogen isolation. *Bipolaris* isolates formed light grey to greyish white colonies with irregular margin and black colour pigmentation on reverse side of Petri plate (Figure 3a). A total of nine fungal pathogens isolates were recovered, and the isolates were given the designations BTMH-1 to 9 (Table 1). Mycelium was light brown to brown in colour, thin, septate and branched profusely. Conidiophores can be up to 120 μm long and 4.92–6.04 μm thick, dark brown in colour with intercalary and terminal conidia (Figure 3b). Conidia were fusoid, pale brown to dark brown, cylindrical with a slight curvature, with up to 8 pseudosepta and a slightly protruded hilum (Figure 3c). On the conidiophore, conidia were formed singly or in whorl. Seven days after inoculation, sporulation was seen. Conidia germinated in one of two ways: unipolar or bipolar (Figure 3d,e).

### 3.3 | Cultural and morphological characterization of *Bipolaris setariae* isolates

Czapek Dox Agar medium was used for cultural and morphological characterization of *B. setariae* isolates. In the medium, it took 6–13 days for isolates to develop 90 mm in diameter and 7–16 days for black pigmentation to appear. Slow growth was seen in isolates BTMH-8 and BTMH-9 (13 days), and fast growth was seen in isolate BTMH-5 (6 days). As indicated, the pigmentation of the incubation was described before, three isolates were greyish green (BTMH-1, BTMH-4 and BTMH-5), two isolates each were olive green (BTMH-2 and BTMH-6), dark grey (BTMH-3 and BTMH-8) and greyish white (BTMH-7 and BTMH-9). On the medium, isolates produced flat, convex or elevated colonies. Four isolates (BTMH-1, BTMH-3, BTMH-4 and BTMH-8) showed poor and dense mycelial density and remaining five isolates had dense mycelium. Tables 3 and 4 offer a summary of the cultural and morphological data for all *Bipolaris* isolates.

### 3.4 | Molecular characterization

Clear bands of approximately 550 bp (ITS), 550 bp (GAPDH) and 900 bp (LSU) were obtained using those PCR primer pairs. The NCBI BLAST algorithm was used to confirm the identity of the generated sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of ITS sequences data showed maximum sequence similarity with two species namely *B. bicolora* and *B. setariae* but could not display any strong interspecies discrimination among the *Bipolaris* species studied. Similarly, sequence analysis of the LSU gene showed heterogeneous grouping of *Bipolaris* species. The GAPDH gene was found to be a better region for grouping the *Bipolaris* species, as it shared 99 per cent similarities with *Bipolaris setariae*, resulting in a single cluster (data not presented). However, maximum parsimony analysis of concatenated genes (ITS, GAPDH and LSU) revealed better interspecies differentiation than...
any individual data set. All nine Bipolaris isolates were grouped with the type strain Bipolaris setariae and clustered into a single clade with clear resolution among the taxa studied, with all Bipolaris spp. clustered in group 1 and the sister genera Curvularia spp. clustered in group 2 (Figure 4).

### 3.5 Pathogenicity and host range of B. setariae isolates

Pathogenicity tests demonstrated that under artificial inoculation conditions, all nine B. setariae isolates were pathogenic to browntop millet (cv. Dundu korale). Each of the nine isolates produced the same symptoms. Specifically, initially minute brown colour spots surrounded by a yellow halo appeared on the leaves; then, these minute brown spots grew in size and shape, resulting in a blighted appearance. Isolates BTMH-2, 3, 5 produced initial symptoms 2 DPI, while isolates BTMH-6, 7, 9 and BTMH-1, 4, 8 produced the symptoms 9 and 12 DPI, respectively (Figure 5). The pathogen was recovered from inoculated symptomatic plants and compared with original culture to satisfy Koch’s postulates. In host range analysis, among the seven gramineous hosts tested, symptoms were developed only on browntop millet. However, the isolate BTMH-5 failed to infect other six small millets under artificial inoculation conditions.

### 4 DISCUSSION

The study has observed and highlighted the presence of leaf blight disease in browntop millet (Figure 2). The symptoms were almost similar to leaf spot of maize caused by B. setariae (Xiao et al., 2019). Initial symptoms appeared on leaves as small brown
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similar morphological characters with B. luttrellii. It differs by producing concolorous conidia and few conidiogenous loci on conidiophore, while B. luttrellii mostly produces darker conidia and end cells with light colour (Manamgoda et al., 2014).

For species identification in Bipolaris and its sister genera, morphological data combined with molecular taxonomy based on the ITS, GAPDH, TEF1 and LSU genes have been recommended in recent years (Berbee et al., 1999; Manamgoda et al., 2014; Marin-Felix et al., 2017).

Concatenated phylogenetic analysis of ITS, GAPDH and LSU gene sequences showed better interspecies differentiation than any individual data set in the current study (Figure 4). Our findings are similar to those of Pham et al., (2015), Bhunjun et al., (2020) and Sun et al., (2020).

Members of the genus Bipolaris have been found to infect a wide range of hosts, including grass and non-grass species (Manamgoda et al., 2014; Tsukiboshi et al., 2005), of which only few pathogens of economic importance have been thoroughly investigated in terms of biology and host range (Klezewski et al., 2012). Bipolaris species such as B. sorokiniana, B. maydis and B. oryzae have been found on multiple hosts. In contrast, several other species of Bipolaris including B. clavata, B. microstegii and B. gossypina have been reported to infect single host (Manamgoda et al., 2014). Despite the fact that this pathogen was first discovered in 1987 (Sivanesan), on Echinochloa spp., Eleusine coracana, Eragrostis spp., Panicum spp., Pennisetum spp. and Setaria italic, the results of the present study revealed that B. setariae did not infect E. coracana, E. frumentacea, P. sumatrense, P. miliaceum and P. scrobiculatum. This could be due to genomic changes in the pathogen genome over time, such as hybridization, horizontal gene transfer, point mutation, partial or complete gene deletion and nucleotide and/or amino acid substitution resulting in the host jump (Morris & Moury, 2019). For example, emergence of Magnaporthe oryzae on wheat was due to loss of function of a single avirulence gene (Inoue et al., 2017). Blumeria graminis f. spp. triticale, on the other hand, is a hybrid of two B. graminis subspecies that specialize in wheat and rye (Menardo et al., 2016). However, the genetic factor involved in the pathogen host jumps is largely unknown (Morris & Moury, 2019). B. setariae was extremely specific to browntop millet, according to the findings of this study. This could be owing to the above-mentioned mutations in the fungal genome making this pathogen specialized solely to browntop millet.
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quantitative in nature. So far, no brownspot millet cultivar has been identified that confers significant degree of resistance to the leaf blight disease. As a result, research into the discovery of novel resistance sources as well as the genetics of resistance need to be initiated. Unravelling host–pathogen relationship and development of durable resistant varieties through transgenic and CRISPR-Cas gene-editing technology should also become a priority. The pathogen, being hemibiotrophic, survives on plant debris between the cropping seasons; these leftover crop residues are responsible for disease epidemics. So, it is important to reduce the fungal inoculum present in the soil. Therefore, one may also find suitable cultural practices, promising bioagents and effective chemical fungicides on an urgent basis to mitigate the yield losses caused by this disease. We believe that the information gathered in this study will be useful to plant pathologists and taxonomists in species identification and understanding the fungal epidemiology for better management of the disease.
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