

**Table 3.262: Yield and economics as influenced by different treatments in fingermillet + pigeonpea intercropping (8:2) system - Bengaluru**

Treatment	Yield (kg/ha)					NMR (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio	RWUE (kg/ha-mm)			
	2018				FMEY mean (2 yrs)						
	Grain	Straw	Pigeonpea seed	FMEY							
Tillage											
M ₁ : Conventional tillage	1367	1730	171	1652	2058	24068	1.86	5.98			
M ₂ : Reduced tillage	1520	1845	262	1956	2118	33861	2.23	7.08			
M ₃ : Zero tillage	1036	1390	163	1307	1538	16708	1.68	4.73			
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-	-	-			
Cover crop											
C ₁ : Control	1132	1411	153	1387	1658	21478	1.97	5.02			
C ₂ : Field bean	1280	1719	172	1566	1832	18844	1.61	5.67			
C ₃ : Horsegram	1510	1835	271	1963	2223	34346	2.26	7.10			
CD at 5%	293.4	295.0	NS	354.0	186.2	-	-	-			
Interaction											
M ₁ C ₁	1167	1509	123	1371	1822	19811	1.84	4.96			
M ₁ C ₂	1423	1719	129	1638	1957	19671	1.61	5.93			
M ₁ C ₃	1512	1963	261	1947	2394	32725	2.14	7.04			
M ₂ C ₁	1181	1656	203	1519	1846	24971	2.08	5.50			
M ₂ C ₂	1524	1776	246	1934	1969	29136	1.92	7.00			
M ₂ C ₃	1855	2103	336	2416	2538	47505	2.69	8.74			
M ₃ C ₁	1050	1068	132	1270	1306	19619	1.98	4.59			
M ₃ C ₂	894	1663	139	1126	1570	7727	1.27	4.07			
M ₃ C ₃	1164	1440	217	1525	1738	22780	1.91	5.52			
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-	-	-			

FMEY: Fingermillet equivalent yield

Different tillage and cover crop treatments showed non-

significant results with respect to soil physical properties (Table 3.263).

Table 3.263: Soil physical properties as influenced by different treatments in fingermillet + pigeonpea intercropping (8:2) system - Bengaluru

Treatment	Bulk density	Particle density	MWHC	Porosity
	(Mg/m ³)		(%)	
Tillage				
M ₁ : Conventional tillage	1.43	3.1	29.8	52.4
M ₂ : Reduced tillage	1.35	3.1	26.6	50.8
M ₃ : Zero tillage	1.45	3.2	29.0	51.0
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
Cover crop				
C ₁ : Control	1.47	3.2	27.9	51.0
C ₂ : Field bean	1.39	3.1	29.1	51.6
C ₃ : Horsegram	1.38	3.1	28.4	51.6
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS