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#### Abstract

In order to effectively utilize the germplasm maintained at ICAR-Central Tobacco Research Institute, a core collection of 65 accessions ( 21 bidi, 19 country cheroot, 8 cigar filler and 17 chewing) constituted from the base collection of 647 accessions ( 205 bidi, 175 chewing, 185 country cheroot and 82 cigar filler) and compared with the diversity of source collection. Out of 24 morphological traits studied, six leaf and three reproductive characters found to contribute to diversity in base collection. Based on the ' $t$ ' test, means of most of the morphological characters in base collections in each sub-group found be nonsignificant compared to their cores. Shannon-Weaver diversity index indicated that $93 \%$ of the diversity in the base collection was represented in the total core. In the Chi-square analysis, the frequency distribution of entries for 24 morphological characters in total base collection and its core found to be non-significant. Thus, all the tests indicated that the diversity in base collections was captured in respective core collections and hence, constituted cores can effectively be evaluated and utilized with minimum effort in breeding programmes.


## INTRODUCTION

The crop germplasm conserved in genebanks around the world represents a total variability of the species and are maintained for further use by researchers in scientific advancements. Plant germplasm collections which include landraces, exotic cultivars, old varieties, related species etc. are the key resources that researchers use in breeding crop varieties with new traits viz., yield, stress resistance, chemical quality, improved handling characters etc. Utilization of diverse
germplasm materials in breeding crop cultivars is essential to broaden the genetic base so as to reduce the genetic vulnerability of the cultivated varieties to biotic and abiotic stresses. However, conservation of large number accessions in germplasm collections is making it practically difficult to evaluate and effectively utilize the existing desirable variability in breeding programmes. For fruitfully utilizing large germplasm collections, Frankel (1984) proposed the concept of 'core collection'. The core collection represents a limited size of germplasm that has chosen to epitomize the genetic diversity of a large collection. Basic knowledge about the entire germplasm collection in a species is, however, essential to establish a core collection. The procedure for making a core collection involves five steps, they are: identifying the material, deciding the size of collection, dividing the material into distinct groups, deciding the number of entry per group and finally choosing the entries from each group to construct the core set (Van et al. 2000). Thus the constituted collection will represent the diversity of total collection and can effectively be utilized by crop breeders. Researchers are using different methods viz., random sampling (Van et al., 2000; Schafleitner et al., 2015), principal component scoring (Noirot et al., 1996), stepwise clustering (Hu et al., 2000) etc. using morphological and molecular data (Gouesnard et al., 2001) in establishing core collections. Core collections are being constituted and evaluated for their successful utilization in Wheat (Zhang et al., 2019), Melilotus sp. (Prasada et al., 1995), mung bean (Schafleitner et al., 2015), sorghum (Prasada et al., 1995; Grenier et al., 2001; Upadhyaya et al., 2019), pearl millet (Bhattacharjee et al., 2007; Upadhyaya
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et al., 2009a), chickpea (Upadhyaya et al., 2001), groundnut (Upadhyaya et al., 2003), pigeonpea (1290 accessions) (Reddy et al., 2005), finger millet (Upadhyaya et al., 2006) and foxtail millet (Upadhyaya et al., 2009b).

Tobacco is a high value commercial crop cultivated in India generating livelihood security to millions of people and revenue to government in the form of huge excise duty and foreign exchange. Diverse types of tobacco including Fluecured Virginia, Burley, Oriental, Bidi, Country Cheroot, Chewing, Cigar Wrapper and Filler, Hookah etc. are cultivated in the country for both domestic and export purposes. ICAR-CTRI as a national active germplasm site for tobacco maintains around 3380 germplasm accessions representing various tobacco types and Nicotiana Species in its genebank. There are 205 bidi, 175 chewing, 185 country cheroot and 82 cigar filler accessions available in the tobacco germplasm. Bidi, Chewing, Country Cheroot (CC) and Cigar Filler (CF) are the indigenous tobacco types cultivated in India mainly for smoking purposes. In order to efficiently characterize and utilize the diversity of these four germplasm groups, an effort made to constitute core collections for each type. Once, it is proved that the established cores epitomize the base collections; they can be fruitfully evaluated and utilized in tobacco breeding and other studies. Hence, in the present investigation, comparative analysis was made between constituted cores of Bidi, Country Cheroot, Chewing, Cigar Filler and their total with their base collections for measuring their resemblance for their effective utilisation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total tobacco germplasm of 647 indigenous tobacco accessions (base collection) including 205 bidi, 175 chewing, 185 country cheroot (CC) and 82 cigar filler (CF) collected from various tobacco growing areas and countries were raised under field condition at Katheru Farm, Rajahmundry, India following standard production practices. All the entries were studied for 24 morphological characters under field condition as per the proforma developed for characterization of germplasm lines at the Institute (Table 1). All the quantitative and qualitative values recorded for
different characters were converted to scores (1-9) as indicated in the brackets next to each note at Table 1.

Cluster analysis: The diversity existing among the base collections of each type and the entire germplasm entries was computed based on the scores recorded for morphological characters using Computer Software Program-DARwin (Perrier, Jacquemond, 2006). Dissimilarly matrix for morphological observation was constructed using Rogers-Tanimoto coefficient of associations to find out genetic relationships. The matrix data were subjected to unweighted pair groups method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) analysis to generate dendrogram using DARwin 5.0 Software and dissimilarity was estimated based on the respective morphological scoring.

Construction of core collection and characterization: Further, based on diversity and passport data of base collection, about approximately 10 per cent of entries were randomly selected from each tobacco sub-type to form core collections in Bidi, Country Cheroot, Chewing, Cigar Filler types. Core accessions from four groups combined together to form a tobacco core collection referred henceforth in the text as 'total core collection'. The variability available in the base collections of each sub-group, total germplasm (sum of four sub-groups) and their respective cores was studied through the comparison of means and means significance. Shannon diversity index and Chi-square test were used to compare total germplasm base collection and its core.

## Comparison of Mean of morphological traits:

 The means of base collections of each sub-group, total germplasm and their respective core collections were compared using $t$-test for all the morphological data. Non-significant t -test results indicates both the groups have similar diversities and vice versa.Shannon-Weaver diversity Index and Chisquare test: It is a commonly used diversity index (Clarke and Warwick, 2001) that takes into account both abundance and evenness of species present in the community. The index assumes that the individuals are sampled randomly from a large independent population. It is explained by the

Table 1: Details of morphological observations recorded on tobacco germplasm accessions

|  | Character | Notes and their assigned scores (given in parenthesis) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant Characters |  |  |
| 1 | Shape (PS) | Conical (1), Cylindrical (2), Elliptical (3) |
| 2 | Mean Stem Height (MSH) | Very Short (1), Short (3), Medium (5), Tall (7),Very Tall (9) |
| 3 | Habit (PH) | Open (1), Erect(3), SemiErect(5), Squattering(7), Bouquet (9) |
| 4 | Internodal Length (PI) | $<4 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{(1)}<,6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{(2),>6cm} \mathrm{(3)}$ |
| Leaf Characters |  |  |
| 5 | Number of Leaves (PN) | Very Few (1),Few (3),Medium Many(7),Very Many (9), |
| 6 | Type (LT) | Sessile (1), Petiolate (2) |
| 7 | Angle of Insertion (LAI) | Acute(1),Moderately Acute(2),Right Angle(3), Moderately Right(4) |
| 8 | Length (LL) | Very Short (1),Short (3),Medium (5), Long (7),Very Long (9) |
| 9 | Width (LW) | Very Narrow (1),Narrow (3),Medium (5), Broad (7) |
| 10 | Midrib (LM) | Thin (1),Medium (2),Thick (3) |
| 11 | Blade Shape (LBS) | Lanceolate (1),Narrow Elliptic (2), Broad Elliptic (3), Ovate (4), Obovate (5),Cordate (6),Rounded (7) |
| 12 | Tip Shape (LTS) | Obtuse (1),Slightly Pointed (3), Medium Pointed (5), Strongly Pointed (7), Very Strongly Pointed (9) |
| 13 | Blistering of Blade (puckering) | BB) Absent or Very Weak (1),Weak (3), Medium (5), Strong (7),Very Strong (9) |
| 14 | Undulations of Margin (LUM) | Absent or Very Weak (1),Weak (3),Medium (5), Strong (7) |
| 15 | Development of Auricles (LDA) | Absent or Very Weak (1),Weak (3),Medium (5),Strong (7), Very Strong (9) |
| 16 | Color of Blade (LCB) | Yellow Green (1),White Green (2),Light Green (3), Medium Green (4),Dark Green (5) |
| 17 | Colour of midrib (LCM) | Whitish (1), White Greenish (2), Greenish (3) |
| Inflorescence Characters |  |  |
| 18 | Inflorescence Shape (IS) | Spherical (1),Flattened Spherical (2), Inverted Conical (3),Double Conical (4) |
| 19 | Inflorescence Compactness (IC) | Very Loose (1),Loose / Slightly loose(3), Medium (5), Slightly Dense / Dence (7), Very Dence (9) |
| Flower Characters |  |  |
| 20 | Expression -Tips of Corolla (FETC) | Absent (1),Weak (3), Medium (5),Strong (7), Very Strong (9) |
| 21 | Colour of Corolla (FCC) | White(1), Light Pink \sh white / whitish pink (2), Medium Pink (3), Dark Pink (4),Red (5), Variegated (6), |
| 22 | Pinkish Yellow(7),Yellow(8) Length of Pistil Relative to Stamens (FLPRS) | Shorter (1),Equal Length (2),Longer (3) |
| Fruit \& Seed characters |  |  |
| 23 | Fruit Form (FF) | Rounded (1),Intermediate (2),Ovate (3), Conical (4), Elongated (5) |
| 24 | Seed Testa Colour (TC) | Light Brown (1),Dark Brown (2), Cream (3),Yellow (4) |

formula : $\mathrm{H}=-{ }^{\mathrm{TM}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} * \ln \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{i}=1$ where, $\mathrm{H}=$ the Shannon diversity index, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ fraction of the entire population made up of species ' i '. Comparable H values for core and its base population indicates core represent base population and vice versa. The

Chi-square test was used to analyse the distribution homogeneity for all the characters in the total base collection and core collection. Nonsignificant Chi-squares demonstrate similar nature of the populations.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variability found to exist in the base collections of all the four sub-groups and total collection for all the 24 morphological characters studied in the present study. Sarala et al., $(2018,2019)$ and Baghyalakshmi et al., (2018) also reported the availability of diversity in tobacco germplasm groups. Total tobacco germplasm base collection of 647 indigenous tobacco accessions including 205 bidi, 175 chewing, 185 country cheroot and 82 cigar filler were characterized for 24 morphological characters (Table 1). Variability found to exist in each of the base collections of germplasm types and their total (all four types put together) for all the morphological characters studied. Observations recorded varied from minimum of two variables in leaf type to eight in corolla colour. However, minimum variables actually recorded differs from two in plant shape, leaf type and seed testa colour to six in leaf blade shape.

In order to effectively utilize the germplasm, about 10 percent of genotypes were selected to establish core collection in bidi, CC, CF and chewing types and all these selections were combined together to form total core collection. In tobacco, Zhide et al.,. (2001) established a core collection with 411 accessions ( 10\%) from 3979 catalogued China tobacco germplasm collections based on cluster analyses with 7-11 qualitative traits combined with experience. Agostino et al., (2012) produced a core collection merging five sets of tobacco accessions composed of 12 "Burley" (including 1 "Maryland"), 20 "Flue-cured", 20 "Oriental", 14 "Cigar", 10 "Primitive", 8 "Dark", and 5 "Other" based on the genetic diversity and potential for tobacco breeding from a selected set of 312 out of 1,900 accessions of $N$. tabacum. Core can be established to represent only a part of a collection for their effective utilisation (Bisht et al., 1998, Erskine eta al. 1991). In most of the cases, variations in different characters would be proportionally represented in the cores constituted compared to their base collections. This primarily indicates the cores embody all the variation of their base collections. As per the definition of the core collection, the size should be much smaller compared to the original set. There are studies showing the core collections ranging from 5 to 20 per cent (Van et al., 2000). Brown (1989) suggested that the entries to a core collection should be
limited to $\sim 10 \%$, using the sampling theory of selectively neutral alleles, with a ceiling of 2000 per species. While analyzing the size and grouping strategies Charmet and Balfourier (1995) and Bisht et al., (1998) found that sizes of $5-10 \%$ were optimal, capturing $75-90 \%$ of the diversity. In contrast, Noirot et al., (1996) have suggested that higher percentages (20-30\%) are needed, particularly where the objective is to capture the genetic diversity of quantitatively inherited characters. This level of sampling is effective in retaining $70 \%$ of alleles of entire collection. Brown (1989) further suggested that when there is no base for stratification, simple random sampling can be used for the formation of core collection. These can be thoroughly evaluated and the information so derived can be utilized for improving the efficiency of breeding programs. The accessions that constitute a subgroup would be more or less uniform and therefore $\sim 10 \%$ of accessions are retained from each subgroup generally (Upadhyaya et al., 2010). When the core developed from larger collection, the percentage size may be much smaller than 5\%. The International Barley Core Collection (1600 accessions) is less than $0.3 \%$ of the world barley holding and the ICRISAT (International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India) sorghum core collection of approximately 600 accessions was formed from a collection of 40000 accessions (1.5\%).

Diversity analysis: Initially, clustering of all the 647 germplasm accessions was done based on diversity analysis of 24 morphological descriptors. Characters namely leaf angle of insertion, leaf length, leaf width, leaf tip shape, leaf blistering of blade, leaf development of auricles, inflorescence shape, flower color of corolla and length of pistil relative to stamens were found to contribute much to the diversity between the four tobacco types (data not presented). Clustering through UPGMA produced groups defining four distinct clusters each in bidi, chewing and country cheroot germplasm with 0 to 4 distance and three clusters in cigar filler genotypes with 0-2 distance (Fig. 1). The genotypes falling in different clusters with larger distances may be having huge diversity and genotypes within the clusters/sub-clusters with less diversity. The maximum dissimilarity value in bidi was found between bidi entries- line 693-4-29-23 and Akkol (4.25), Cigar filler accessions-


Fig. 1: Clustering pattern of bidi, country cheroot, cigar filler and chewing tobacco base collections using DarWIN. (Each germplasm entry is named with a prefix number).

Strain 608 and S-98 (2.15), Country cheroot linesNatu Pothavithanam and II-1876 (4.728) and chewing genotypes- NP 63 and MRP-64 (3.8). Similarly, minimum distance was observed between chewing entries- B. Kalizong and Bandi Karhor (0.19), bidi entries- line 3-2-21-33-81 and ABD-65 (0.058), CF entries- Havana-381 and Havana-307 (0.14) and CC entries- NG-72 and NG76 (0.11).

In the current study, comparable clustering patterns were observed in total germplasm base collection and its core indicating their similarities in diversity. Further, comparison of means of total collection and its core were statistically nonsignificant for all plant, leaf, floral and seed characters indicating that the variation available in the base collections is represented in core collection. Zhide et al., (2001) in their study compared the traits mean, standard variance etc. among core collections and catalogued entire China tobacco germplasm and proved that the core collection well represented the total collection for genetic diversity. Yanhua et al., (2008) studied the levels of genetic variation within 81 tobacco core collection including four populations ( $N$. rustica L. 43 landrace, N. tabacum L. 13 landrace, 10 bred and 15 introduced lines), using sequence
related amplified polymorphism (SRAP).
Core collection construction: Core collection for each sub-group was constructed carefully choosing each entry representing the variability available in the respective base collections. Based on the diversity, passport data and data generated over period of time at the Institute, about 10 percent of genotypes viz., 21 bidi, $19 \mathrm{CC}, 8 \mathrm{CF}$ and 17 chewing entries were selected to establish core collection in each the types. The ' $t$ ' test was calculated each time to reject the entries contributing to core when there was significant difference between the means of germplasm and its core collection. All the accessions thus selected in each sub-group combined together to form a total core collection of 65 entries. Total base collection and its core found to demonstrate comparable clustering patterns indicating their similarities in diversity (Fig. 2). In the total core, minimum distance of 1.41 was observed between chewing entry, NP-24 and bidi entry, ABD-58 and maximum of 8.32 between chewing entry, B.KALIZONG and bidi entry, ABD-78.

Comparison of base and core collections: Mean values of all the morphological traits in the base collections of each sub-group and total germplasm was compared with their respective core collections using't' test (Table 2). Means of the most of the characters in Bidi, country cheroot, cigar filler, chewing and total germplasm base collections and their respective core collections were nonsignificant indicating that the variation in the each


Fig. 2: Clustering pattern of total tobacco germplasm and its core collectionusing DarWIN. (Each line is named with a prefix number).
Table 2: Comparison of Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) values for morphological traits among the tobacco germplasm base and core
collections

|  |  | Bidi |  |  | Country Cheroot |  |  | Cigar Filler |  |  | Chewing |  |  | Total Tobacco Germplasm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | Character Plant | Base | Core | $t$ test Signi. ficance | Base | Core | $t$ test Signi. ficance | Base | Core | t test Signi. ficance | Base | Core | t test Signi. ficance | Base | Core | t test <br> Signi. <br> ficance |
| 1 | Shape | $1.805 \pm 0.028$ | $1.882 \pm 0.144$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.681 \pm \\ & 0.034 \\ & 3.886 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.474 \pm \\ & 0.118 \\ & 3.737 \pm \end{aligned}$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 1.725 \pm \\ & 0.050 \\ & 3.707 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm \\ & 0.258 \\ & 3.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 1.806 \pm \\ & 0.030 \\ & 3.126 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $1.786 \pm 0.114$ | NS | $1.760 \pm 0.017$ | $1.685 \pm 0.063$ | NS |
| 2 | Main stem height | $3.541 \pm 0.092$ | $3.118 \pm 0.352$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.133 \\ & 2.708 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.381 \\ & 3.632 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.171 \\ & 2.634 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.400 \\ & 2.6 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.109 \\ & 2.406 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $3.143 \pm 0.390$ | NS | $3.554 \pm 0.061$ | $3.364 \pm 0.180$ | NS |
| 3 | Habit | $2.044 \pm 0.120$ | $1.588 \pm 0.435$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.136 \\ & 1.714 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.406 \\ & 1.842 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.206 \\ & 1.829 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.980 \\ & 1.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.132 \\ & 1.754 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $2.286 \pm 0.496$ | NS | $2.406 \pm 0.071$ | $2.564 \pm 0.253$ | NS |
| 4 | Internodal Length | $1.744 \pm 0.046$ | $1.647 \pm 0.161$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.043 \\ & 4.719 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.138 \\ & 4.474 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.048 \\ & 4.561 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.245 \\ & 4.2 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.038 \\ & 4.120 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $1.500 \pm 0.139$ | S | $1.749 \pm 0.023$ | $1.655 \pm 0.079$ | NS |
| 5 | Number of Leaves | $4.063 \pm 0.088$ | $3.588 \pm 0.228$ | S | 0.109 | 0.208 | NS | 0.167 | 0.490 | NS | 0.085 | $3.857 \pm 0.275$ | NS | $4.329 \pm 0.053$ | $4.018 \pm 0.136$ | NS |
| Leaf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Type | $1.015 \pm 0.008$ | $1.059 \pm 0.059$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.043 \pm \\ & 0.015 \\ & 1.865 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \pm \\ & 0.000 \\ & 1.947 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.024 \pm \\ & 0.017 \\ & 1.829 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm \\ & 0.200 \\ & 1.8 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.063 \pm \\ & 0.018 \\ & 1.909 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $1.071 \pm 0.071$ | NS | $1.037 \pm 0.007$ | $1.055 \pm 0.031$ | NS |
| 7 | Angle of insertion | $2.024 \pm 0.043$ | $2.059 \pm 0.181$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.030 \\ & 5.454 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.093 \\ & 4.895 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.073 \\ & 5.390 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.200 \\ & 5 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.041 \\ & 5.160 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $1.929 \pm 0.165$ | NS | $1.923 \pm 0.022$ | $1.964 \pm 0.078$ | NS |
| 8 | Length | $6.298 \pm 0.090$ | $6.059 \pm 0.388$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.136 \\ & 2.200 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.358 \\ & 2.158 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.132 \\ & 2.927 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.632 \\ & 3 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.080 \\ & 2.691 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $5.000 \pm 0.296$ | NS | $5.634 \pm 0.058$ | $5.291 \pm 0.204$ | NS |
| 9 | Width | $2.824 \pm 0.076$ | $2.882 \pm 0.208$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.090 \\ & 1.546 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.279 \\ & 1.579 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.107 \\ & 1.451 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 1.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.073 \\ & 1.383 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $2.857 \pm 0.143$ | NS | $2.623 \pm 0.044$ | $2.636 \pm 0.128$ | NS |
| 10 | Midrib | $1.610 \pm 0.043$ | $1.412 \pm 0.150$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.048 \\ & 1.573 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.176 \\ & 1.579 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.060 \\ & 1.951 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.245 \\ & 2 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.038 \\ & 1.816 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $1.214 \pm 0.155$ | NS | $1.510 \pm 0.023$ | $1.418 \pm 0.089$ | NS |
| 11 | Blade Shape | $1.456 \pm 0.056$ | $1.294 \pm 0.143$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.053 \\ & 6.535 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.159 \\ & 6.579 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.107 \\ & 5.829 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.316 \\ & 5.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.064 \\ & 5.839 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $2.000 \pm 0.277$ | NS | $1.650 \pm 0.033$ | $1.636 \pm 0.108$ | NS |
| 12 | Tip Shape | $6.093 \pm 0.085$ | $6.412 \pm 0.228$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.118 \\ & 3.789 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.361 \\ & 3.632 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.139 \\ & 3.829 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.400 \\ & 3 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.088 \\ & 4.269 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $5.714 \pm 0.339$ | NS | $6.118 \pm 0.053$ | $6.200 \pm 0.177$ | NS |
| 13 | Blistering of Blade | $4.337 \pm 0.074$ | $4.412 \pm 0.228$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.077 \\ & 4.092 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.267 \\ & 4.053 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.120 \\ & 4.122 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 4.2 \pm \end{aligned}$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 0.095 \\ & 4.121 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $4.000 \pm 0.277$ | NS | $4.097 \pm 0.045$ | $3.909 \pm 0.145$ | NS |
| 14 | Undulation of Margin | $4.063 \pm 0.075$ | $3.941 \pm 0.303$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.084 \\ & 3.935 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.235 \\ & 3.842 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.144 \\ & 3.439 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.800 \\ & 3.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.080 \\ & 4.051 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $4.000 \pm 0.348$ | NS | $4.095 \pm 0.044$ | $4.018 \pm 0.163$ | NS |
| 15 | Auricles Development | $3.966 \pm 0.088$ | $3.588 \pm 0.285$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.092 \\ & 4.837 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.233 \\ & 4.895 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.092 \\ & 4.890 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.400 \\ & 5 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.082 \\ & 4.800 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $4.286 \pm 0.339$ | NS | $3.913 \pm 0.046$ | $3.836 \pm 0.153$ | NS |
| 16 | Colour of Blade | $4.844 \pm 0.036$ | $5.000 \pm 0.000$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.027 \\ & 3.000 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.072 \\ & 3.000 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.043 \\ & 3.000 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 3 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.038 \\ & 3.000 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $4.857 \pm 0.143$ | NS | $4.836 \pm 0.018$ | $4.927 \pm 0.044$ | NS |
| Inflorescence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 19 | Shape Compactness | $2.166 \pm 0.096$ $4.815 \pm 0.098$ | $2.235 \pm 0.369$ $4.529 \pm 0.322$ | NS NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.102 \\ & 4.838 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.304 \\ & 4.899 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.137 \\ & 5.146 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.245 \\ & 5.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | S NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.096 \\ & 4.726 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $2.214 \pm 0.381$ $4.857 \pm 0.490$ | S NS | $2.142 \pm 0.053$ $4.839 \pm 0.056$ | $2.182 \pm 0.182$ $4.818 \pm 0.203$ | NS NS |
| Flower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Expression Corolla Tips | $6.854 \pm 0.077$ | $7.000 \pm 0.000$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 6.967 \pm \\ & 0.045 \\ & 2.897 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.000 \pm \\ & 0.000 \\ & 2.474 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 6.963 \pm \\ & 0.096 \\ & 2.878 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \pm \\ & 0.000 \\ & 3.2 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 6.993 \pm \\ & 0.073 \\ & 3.103 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $6.143 \pm 0.404$ | NS | $6.884 \pm 0.036$ | $6.782 \pm 0.112$ | NS |
| 21 | Colour of Corolla Length of Pistil Relative to | $2.668 \pm 0.080$ | $2.529 \pm 0.244$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.104 \\ & 1.951 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.221 \\ & 1.842 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.159 \\ & 2.244 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.735 \\ & 2.4 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.122 \\ & 1.983 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $3.357 \pm 0.476$ | NS | $2.878 \pm 0.055$ | $2.782 \pm 0.177$ | NS |
| 22 | Stamens | $1.887 \pm 0.051$ | $1.941 \pm 0.181$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.053 \\ & 3.378 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.158 \\ & 3.368 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.073 \\ & 3512 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.245 \\ & 3.2 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.050 \\ & 3.554 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $2.214 \pm 0.155$ | NS | $1.977 \pm 0.028$ | $2.018 \pm 0.092$ | NS |
| 23 | Fruit Form | $3.420 \pm 0.057$ | $3.118 \pm 0.241$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.057 \\ & 1.243 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.175 \\ & 1.263 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.070 \\ & 1.171 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.583 \\ & 1 \pm \end{aligned}$ | NS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.042 \\ & 1.097 \pm \end{aligned}$ | $3.643 \pm 0.133$ | NS | $3.456 \pm 0.029$ | $3.345 \pm 0.114$ | NS |
| 24 | Testa Colour | $1.210 \pm 0.028$ | $1.235 \pm 0.106$ | NS | 0.033 | 0.104 | NS | 0.042 | 0.000 | NS | 0.024 | $1.071 \pm 0.071$ | NS | $1.184 \pm 0.016$ | $1.182 \pm 0.052$ | NS |

of germplasm groups was captured in their respective core collections. Nevertheless, for very few (1-2) characters viz., number of leaves for bidi, plant shape in CC, plant shape and inflorescence shape in CF and intermodal length and inflorescence shape in chewing tobacco the difference between cores and their base collections was significant. However, means of entire base collection and its core were statistically nonsignificant for all plant, leaf, floral and seed characters.

Shannon-Weaver diversity index ('H') was used to characterize and compare the diversity within total base collection and its core collection. The diversity index between both total germplasm and its core collection was almost equal for majority of the morphological traits except for flower expression of tips of corolla and seed testa colour
(Table 3). The average H' within the entire set was 0.823 and within the core were 0.769 inferring that the $93 \%$ of the diversity in the entire germplasm was represented in the total core. Shannon-Weaver diversity index ('H') between both total collection and its core was almost equal for majority of the morphological traits in our study. Similar results were obtained in groundnut core collection where the difference in $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ between the original and the core was negligible (Upadhyaya et al., 2003). Nonsignificant p values in Chi-square analysis in the present study on frequency distribution of entries for 24 morphological characters among total germplasm and its core also proved that both the entries have similar distribution patterns.

The $p$ value was found to be non-significant in the Chi-square analysis of total tobacco germplasm and its core collection on frequency

Table 3: Shannon-Weaver diversity index for different morphological descriptors in the total tobacco germplasm base collection and its core

| S.No | Character | Total base <br> collection | Core <br> collection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Plant: shape (PS) | 0.586 |  |
| 2 | Plant: Height (PHT) | 1.148 | 0.604 |
| 3 | Plant: Habit (PH) | 0.904 | 1.058 |
| 4 | Plant: Internodal Length (PIL) | 0.857 | 0.907 |
| 5 | Leaf: Number of Leaves (LNL) | 1.001 | 0.860 |
| 6 | Leaf: Type (LT) | 0.159 | 0.692 |
| 7 | Leaf: Angle of Insertion (LAI) | 0.821 | 0.187 |
| 8 | Leaf: length (LL) | 1.106 | 0.763 |
| 9 | Leaf: Width of Blade (WB) | 0.827 | 1.090 |
| 10 | Leaf: Midrib (LM) | 0.853 | 0.754 |
| 11 | Leaf: Blade Shape (LBS) | 1.061 | 0.835 |
| 12 | Leaf: Tip Shape (LTS) | 1.023 | 1.016 |
| 13 | Leaf: Blistering of Blade (puckering) (LBB) | 0.837 | 0.950 |
| 14 | Leaf: Undulations of Margin (LUM) | 0.832 | 0.750 |
| 15 | Leaf: Development of Auricles (LDA) | 0.863 | 0.876 |
| 16 | Leaf: Color of Blade (LCB) | 0.086 | 0.810 |
| 17 | Leaf: Colour of Midrib (LCM) | 1.056 | 0.310 |
| 18 | Inflorescence: Shape (IS) | 1.054 | 0.000 |
| 19 | Inflorescence: Compactness (IC) | 0.519 | 1.068 |
| 20 | Flower: Expression of Tips of Corolla (FTC) | 1.025 | 1.054 |
| 21 | Flower: Colour of Corolla (FCC) | 1.039 | 0.352 |
| 22 | Flower: Length of Pistil Relative to Stamens (FLPS) | 0.981 | 0.961 |
| 23 | Fruit Form (FF) | 0.654 | 1.006 |
| 24 | Seed Testa Colour (TC) | $0.823 \pm 0.058$ | $0.769 \pm 0.062$ |

distribution of entries for 24 morphological characters (Table 4). Thus, all these studies clearly showed that the core collections (sub-group wise and total) constituted are the representative of the base collections and harboring the variation of source collections. Similarly, at ICRISAT, core collections capturing over $80 \%$ of variability in the entire collections of sorghum ( 3575 accessions; 2247 accessions) (Prasada et al., 1995; Grenier et al., 2001), pearl millet (1600 accessions; 2094 accessions) (Bhattacharjee et al., 2007; Upadhyaya et al., 2009a), chickpea (1956 accessions) (Upadhyaya et al., 2001), groundnut (1704 accessions) (Upadhyaya et al., 2003), pigeonpea (1290 accessions) (Reddy et al., 2005), finger millet (622 accession) (Upadhyaya et al.,. 2006) and foxtail millet (155 accessions) (Upadhyaya et al., 2009a) have been developed using passport information and characterization data generated over a period
of time. Charmet and Balfourier (1995) and Bisht et al., (1998) suggested that sizes of $5-10 \%$ were optimal, capturing 75-90\% of the diversity.

However, these cores have to be revised periodically in order to add new genotypes which are acquired during the course of time (Perrier and Jacquemond-Collet, 2006). As the availability of time and resource to evaluate the entire germplasm each time to select a trait is limited, these core collections serve the purpose. The entries in the cores can be extensively evaluated considering its small size and the trait of interest could be studied without consuming huge resource. Tobacco being annual crop and the breeding programme takes more than ten years, evaluating core collection for target traits can thus be saved through. Hence, the constituted core collections can be utilized by tobacco researchers working in various disciplines

Table 4: Comparison of frequency distribution of entries among total tobacco germplasm base collection and its core for various morphological traits

| S. No. | Characters | No. of <br> Classes | Chi <br> square | P <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Plant: shape (PS) | 3 | 1.615 | 0.446 |
| 2 | Plant: Height (PHT) | 5 | 1.030 | 0.905 |
| 3 | Plant: Habit (PH) | 3 | 0.488 | 0.784 |
| 4 | Plant: Internodal Length (PIL) | 4 | 0.662 | 0.718 |
| 5 | Plant: Number of Leaves (PNL) | 5 | 6.798 | 0.147 |
| 6 | Leaf: Type (LT) | 2 | 0.151 | 0.697 |
| 7 | Leaf: Angle of Insertion (LAI) | 4 | 2.560 | 0.465 |
| 8 | Leaf: length (LL) | 5 | 2.220 | 0.695 |
| 9 | Leaf: Width of Blade (WB) | 4 | 1.630 | 0.653 |
| 10 | Leaf: Midrib (LM) | 3 | 4.676 | 0.097 |
| 11 | Leaf: Blade Shape (LBS) | 6 | 4.454 | 0.486 |
| 12 | Leaf: Tip Shape (LTS) | 4 | 0.842 | 0.839 |
| 13 | Leaf: Blistering of Blade (puckering) (LBB) | 5 | 2.845 | 0.584 |
| 14 | Leaf: Undulations of Margin (LUM) | 4 | 3.442 | 0.328 |
| 15 | Leaf: Development of Auricles (LDA) | 5 | 0.897 | 0.925 |
| 16 | Leaf: Color of Blade (LCB) | 4 | 1.721 | 0.632 |
| 17 | Leaf: Color of Midrib (LCM) | 2 | 1.131 | 0.288 |
| 18 | Inflorescence: Shape (IS) | 4 | 0.111 | 0.991 |
| 19 | Inflorescence: Compactness (IC) | 4 | 4.288 | 0.232 |
| 20 | Flower: Expression of Tips of Corolla (FTC) | 4 | 2.220 | 0.528 |
| 21 | Flower: Colour of Corolla (FCC) | 5 | 1.872 | 0.814 |
| 22 | Flower: Length of Pistil Relative to Stamens (FLPS) | 3 | 1.213 | 0.545 |
| 23 | Fruit Form (FF) | 4 | 3.532 | 0.317 |
| 24 | Seed Testa Colour (TC) | 3 | 4.207 | 0.122 |

in their studies for drawing valid conclusions on respective group of tobacco germplasm and total of them with minimum effort, time and resources. These constituted core collections are currently being genotyped using SSR markers and phenotyped for nutrient contents for further exploitation.
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