

Chapter 13

Fishery waste utilization

Dr. Binsi P.K.


Senior Scientist, Fish Processing Division

ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Cochin

Email: binsipk@gmail.com

Introduction

Global fish production has witnessed a remarkable growth in recent past (excluding aquatic plants) reaching 167.2 million tonnes in 2014, with 93.4 million tonnes from capture and 73.8 million tonnes from aquaculture. A parallel development was observed in the share of world fish production utilised for direct human consumption from 67% in the 1960s to 87%, or more than 146 million tonnes, in 2014. Historically, fish has been considered as an important food source and even today it is one of the most traded commodities in international markets. Interestingly, about 15% of the world requirement for animal proteins is being met from fish alone which accounts to 4–5% of the calculated minimum requirements for protein (Guerardet al., 2005). The estimate in 2013, indicated a slightly higher value of 17% which account to 6.7% of all protein consumed (Seafish, 2014). However, there are growing concerns about the sustainability and management of seafood industry, in parallel with the increasing global demand for seafood. Recent reports project a figure of US\$ 50 billion as the loss from seafood sector every year, due to poor management of available resources. Wastes are generated at different points in the value chain, viz. by-catch, onboard handling, landing centres, transportation, storage, retailers, and consumers. The waste generation begins with the practice of ‘discard at sea’ of unintentional catches. Subsequently, during processing operations, only the muscle parts are consumed and the rest is discarded. Global fish waste generation is estimated to be in excess of 100 mMT, and in the Indian scenario it is >4 mMT. It is estimated that fish processing waste after filleting accounts for approximately 75% of the total fish weight. This figure is too high before the challenging task of feeding the 9 billions of world population by the middle of this century.



Waste and By-products: Global Terminologies

In literature, quite often by-products, waste, discards etc are cited as alternate terms. However, a clear distinction between by-products that can be used for human consumption and waste / discards / viscera is made in regulatory papers (Rustad, 2003). The term 'waste' includes the remnants that cannot be recycled or converted to another high value products, and have to be composted, burned or destroyed (Bekkevold&Olafsen, 2007). On the other hand, the term 'by-products' refers to the left outs that are not generally regarded as conventional marketable products, but can be converted to industrial or edible products. Whereas, the EC regulation on animal by-products (ECNr 1774 / 2002, 2002), adopted on 3 October 2002, defines animal by-products as whole carcasses or parts of animals or products not intended for human consumption; by-products intended for human consumption is not included in this definition. There are several other terms in usage to alternatively represent the by-products, such as waste via co-products or co-streams etc. Lately, as more and more research evidences were mounted on the potential biomolecules derived from marine sources, especially from fish other than meat part, there is a raising tendency to treat these as raw material rather than 'discards/waste'. Consequently, the term 'rest raw material' and 'secondary raw material' is the newly evolved expression today to highlight the importance of treating these materials as equivalent to 'targeted product'. For instance, fish skin is a rest raw material, whereas collagen is a by-product.

Global waste generation Profile

In seafood industry, the general understanding is that the edible meat part constitute forms the 'main product' and the remaining parts including head, trimmings, skin, viscera, scale, bone etc. are considered as 'left over', now as 'rest raw material/secondary raw material'. In a different angle, this perception is a bit ironical. This becomes more apparent, when a global estimate of waste generation profile is taken in to account. The amount of waste generated from seafood sector begins at the site of harvest itself. For the last few decades, the FAO estimate on postharvest losses in seafood sector remains to be 20-35% of the catch, at various stages of value chain. Approximately, 17.9 to 39.5 million tonnes of whole fish is discarded each year by commercial fishing operations. Apart from the quality losses in the supply chain, worldwide, around 130 million tonnes of fish waste is produced each year, which is approximated to more than 75% of total fish production. Normally in capture fisheries, a considerable portion of marine catch is dumped back to the sea, either as untargeted catch or as 'discards' in the case where on-board processing activities are carried out. Generally, bulk of demersal catch is processed on board. As the waste material is rarely landed onshore, a considerable proportion (11%) of the total capture biomass is disposed of at sea, mainly in the form of viscera and heads. This figure may be a bit less in the case of culture fisheries.

Table 1: Waste generation during industrial processing of fish in India

Products	Waste Generated (%; w/w)
Shrimp products	50
Fish fillets	65
Fish steaks	30
Whole and gutted fish	10
Surimi	70
Cuttle fish rings	50
Cuttle fish whole	30
Cuttle fish fillets	50
Squids whole cleaned	20
Squid tubes	50
Squid rings	55

Nature and composition of secondary raw materials from seafood industry

The nature and quantum of secondary raw materials generated in seafood industry depends on several factors, which may be broadly categorised into resource related factors and process related factors. The former category includes species, size, age, biological nature (including presence of toxins and allergens) and morphological features. Generally, 40-70% of original raw material is discarded in commercial processing operations depending on intended product, style of dressing, type of handling (manual/ mechanical), skill of handling person, intended use and to a greater extent on the quality of raw material. Largely, seafood processing operations generate both liquid and solid wastes; solid waste being the bulk ranging from 30% to 65% of the weight of the landed fish. Head, viscera, skin, fin, swim bladder, bone, frame meat, dark meat, scale, gills, shells (crustacean, mollusca), cephalopod pen, ink sac etc. are the major components of solid waste. The liquid effluents mainly consist of blood, slime, mucus, wash off and other solubles. In surimi processing, soluble proteins are washed off to a greater extent during repeated water washing steps

Table 2: Typical composition of secondary raw materials from fish processing operations

Waste Component	% of whole fish	Active component
Head	15 - 25	Protein, PUFA, Minerals, Plasmalogens, GAG
Frame Meat	~10% of frame	Protein
Skin	3 - 5	Protein
Scale	6 - 7	Protein, Minerals
Bone	8-10	Protein, Minerals, Chondroitin
Viscera	5 - 12	Protein, Enzyme, fat
Gill	4-5	Protein, Fe


Global utilisation pattern of secondary raw materials

Presently, a major portion of the discards and low value catch, mainly pelagic varieties, are going for the production of fish meal and oil, which accounts to as much 30% of the world's total catch. A significant, but declining, proportion of world fisheries production is processed into fishmeal and fish oil thereby contributing indirectly to human consumption when they are used as feed in aquaculture and livestock raising. As per FAO projection, by 2025, fish meal produced from fish waste will represent 38% of world fish meal production, compared with 29% for the 2013 to 2015 average level. Apart from fishmeal, a reasonable portion is going for fermented products such as fish sauce and silage. Norway is the main producer of fish silage that is being used almost entirely for feed. A meagre portion is used for human consumption, to the tune of maximum 10%.

Value addition options and opportunities

Generally, two different methods, mass transformation and sorting, have been developed to improve the economic value of fish wastes. Mass transformation involves the conversion of fish waste into a single product. Sorting enables the production of specialised products such as liver oil, gelatin, omega-3, protein containing sports food and drinks, calcium, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals. Wider acceptance and adoption of both methods

could lead to significant reductions in wastes going to landfill and reduce the damaging impact of fish wastes on the environment.



Legislatory framework and Regulatory norms

As secondary raw materials are heterogeneous mixtures of a number of biomolecules, there are several EU and national regulations and recommendations internationally concerning the norms for pathogens, toxins, allergens and biogenic amines in products, particularly for those intended for man and animal nutrition. The major ones are listed below.

- **EC Disposal, Processing and Placing on the Market of Animal By-products Regulations** (SI 257, 1994) that regulates the use, sale and disposal of high and low risk animal by-products which provides limited options for their use.
- EC Regulation No 1774/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 October 2002 laying down **health rules concerning animal by-products not intended for human consumption** (amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 808/2003 of 12 May 2003). Provides a mechanism for the reclassification of all animal by-products not intended for human consumption based on their potential risk - this will drive fish waste utilisation and disposal options in future years.
- Commission Regulation (EC) No. 811/2003 on the intra-species recycling ban for fish, the burial and burning of by-products and certain transitional measures provides additional clarification of Regulation (EC) No. 1774/2002 as follows:
 - ✓ Derogation to permit the feeding of fish with processed animal protein derived from bodies/parts of bodies of the same species. However this is academic, as a) it does not apply to feeding farmed fish with processed animal protein from farmed fish of the same species and b) doing this is already voluntarily banned by the feed industry.
 - ✓ Wild fish and by products from wild fish may be used for the production of fish feeds or directly as a feed.
 - ✓ Fish and animal by-products intended for feed for fish must:
 - Be handled and processed separately from other material

- Originate from wild fish or non-mammal sea animals caught for the purpose of fish meal production or from fresh by-products from wild fish processed for human consumption
 - Be packaged after treatment and clearly identified appropriate for feeding of fish.
- A draft Commission Regulation SANCO/2153/2003 implementing EC Regulation No 1774/2002, approves six additional means of disposal or uses of animal by-products, including (i) alkaline hydrolysis, biodiesel production and combustion of animal fat in a thermal boiler for the treatment and disposal of Category 1 material, as well as (ii) the processes of alkaline hydrolysis, high pressure high temperature hydrolysis, high pressure hydrolysis biogas, biodiesel production, Brookes gasification, and combustion of animal fat in a thermal boiler for the treatment and use or disposal of Categories 2 or 3 material. Fish by-products do not arise in Category 1.

Table 3: Categorisation of Animal By-Product Materials

(Source: <https://www2.gov.scot/Publications/2005/03/20717/52862>)

Category	Raw material	Storage and disposal requirements
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● All body parts affected by TSE, pet/zoo/circus animals, experimental animals. ● Wild animals suspected of being infected with disease communicable to humans or animals, ● Animals containing residues of environmental contaminants; ● Animal material collected when treating waste water from Category 1 processing plants ● Mixtures of Category 1 material with either Categories 2 or 3 materials or both. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Incineration ● Processing in an approved Category 1 processing plants ● For certain marked non-TSE material, may be buried in approved landfill sites
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Fish farming mortalities ● Animal by-products containing digestive tract or manure components ● Animal material collected from treating waste water from slaughter houses or Category 2 processing plants ● Products containing residues of veterinary drugs and contaminants listed in Group B(1) and (2) of Annex I to Directive 96/23/EC ● Non-Category 1 by-products from non-member States. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Incineration ● Processing in an approved Category 2 processing plants ● Certain marked material may be (i) used as an organic fertiliser, (ii) transformed in a biogas plant or (iii) buried in approved landfill sites ● For material of fish origin, may be ensiled or composted (subject to approval). ● Where authorised, used as a feed for zoo, circus, fur animal, hounds, maggot / worm (as bait)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Animals or parts of animals that have been slaughtered for human consumption, inc those killed to eradicate an epizootic disease Mixtures of Category 2 material with Category 3 material 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parts of slaughtered animals for human consumption Fish or other sea animals (exc. sea mammals) caught in the open sea for the purpose of reduction to fish meal Fresh fish by-products from plants manufacturing fish products for human consumption. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incineration Processing in an approved Category 3 processing plants Used as a raw material in pet foods Transformed in a biogas or composting plant For material of fish origin, may be ensiled or composted Where authorised, used as a feed for zoo, circus, fur animal, hounds, maggot / worm (as bait)

Table 4: Categorisation of Aquaculture By-products

Source: SEERAD, pers. comm., 2004

Source of Waste	Waste Category		
	1	2	3
On-farm mortalities			
- where no disease has been confirmed		✓	
- where controls have been applied because of the presence or suspected presence of notifiable disease		✓	
- as a result of jellyfish attack		✓	
- as a result of algal bloom		✓	
- as a result of adverse weather conditions		✓	
- due to a compulsory slaughter notice		✓	
Mortalities at the processor			
- where the fish are dead on arrival		✓	
- show clinical signs of disease and are not processed		✓	
Processing waste			
- where source is subject to disease controls (but fish show no clinical signs of disease)			✓
- where source is not subject to controls			✓

- The Animal By-Products Regulations, 2003 provides a recent (October 2003) enactment of Regulation (EC) 1774/2002 (and the subsequent Regulation (EC) 811/2003 mentioned above). This Regulation recognises the ability to utilise fish by-products (primarily Category 2) for zoo, circus, fur, certain dogs (e.g. hounds) and maggot farming under approved circumstances. In addition, the burning or burial of animal by-products is permitted in certain remote areas, so long these sites are monitored at regular intervals.
- EC 1999/31/EC Landfill Directive: requires Member States to reduce the quantities of biodegradable wastes to 35% of 1995 levels by 2020. This will inevitably encourage alternative disposal techniques, such as composting and incineration.
- UK Animal Protein Regulations (2001): prohibits the use of mammalian protein (with certain specified exceptions) to ruminants and the feeding for mammalian meat and bone meal to all farmed livestock.
- UK Environmental Protection Act 1990: prohibits the keeping, treatment or disposal of waste on land unless a waste management licence has been granted for that purpose.
- UK Food and Environment Protection Act (1985): controls the disposal at sea through strict licensing. This order allows the unlicensed disposal of fish wastes at sea, even after landing its catch. However the disposal at sea from processing onshore is not permitted without a licence.
- UK Food Hygiene (Fishery Products and Live Shellfish (Hygiene) Regulations 1998. Sets out the conditions under which fish and shellfish products must be produced in order to be placed on the market. Includes provision that:
 - ✓ Offal and viscera must be kept separate from products intended for human consumption
 - ✓ Onshore processing facilities must regularly remove waste from the processing area
 - ✓ Containers holding waste material must be water tight, corrosion-resistant and be designed to facilitate cleaning and disinfection
 - ✓ Waste material held overnight must be housed in a designated area
- The Fur Farming (Prohibition) Act 2002 prohibits the farming of animals solely or primarily for their fur
- UK Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Regulations (2000): lay down measures to reduce the emissions to air, water and land from a range of activities including food processing. Affected business need to prove that the best available techniques have been introduced to reduce the environmental impact of its operation.
- UK Landfill Tax Regulations (1996): levy charges on waste disposed of in landfill sites and thus encourages waste minimisation and maximisation of recycling opportunities. Waste is either classified as inactive/inert and other - the latter attracts a higher tax rate per tonne.
- UK Waste Management Licensing Regulations (1994): permits a number of unlicensed exemptions for waste disposal, including the spreading of shell on agricultural land and the use of shell for land reclamation or improvement. Such unlicensed disposal must be registered.

Fishery by-products and high value products from fish waste

Fish meal

Fish meal is highly concentrated nutritious feed supplement consisting of high quality protein, minerals, vitamins of B group and other vitamins and other unknown growth factors. Fishmeal is rich in essential amino acids. It is produced by cooking, pressing, drying and grinding the fish, by-catch fish, miscellaneous fish, filleting waste, waste from canneries and other processing operations. The composition of fishmeal differs considerably due to the variations in the raw material used and the processing methods and conditions employed.

Traditional fishmeal production in India was from the sun dried fish collected from various drying centers and the products were mainly used as manure. Better quality fish meal has been a prominent item of export from the very beginning of this industry. BIS has brought out the specification for fish meal as live stock feed for facilitating proper quality control.

The proximate composition of fish meal in general is given below:

Protein	-	50-57%
Fat	-	5-10%
Ash	-	12-33%
Moisture	-	6-10%

Manufacturing process

Fish can be reduced by two general processes (1) Dry rendering (2) Wet rendering process.

Dry Rendering Process

Dry rendering or dry reduction process is suitable for only lean or non-oil fish such as silver bellies, jew fish, sciaenids, ribbon fish, sole, anchoviella, carcasses of shark, fish offal and filleting waste. In this process, the material is dried to moisture content of 10% and pulverized. If the quantity to be handled is sufficiently large a steam jacketed cooker dryer equipped with power devices for stirring is used. Sometimes, if the size of the fish is comparatively large a coarse grinding is also done before being fed into the cooker drier. The cooker dryer may be operated at atmospheric pressure or under partial vacuum. Being a batch operation the process will have only limited capacity and labour cost is very high. Merit of this process is that the water-soluble materials are retained in the meal.

Wet rendering process

Wet rendering or wet reduction process is normally applied to fatty fish or offal where simultaneous production of fish meal and fish body oil is envisaged. The process consists of grinding, cooking to soften the flesh and bones and to release the oil, pressing to expel the liquor and oil, fluffing the press cake drying, grinding and packing the meal. Further, the press liquor is centrifuged to remove the suspended particles and to separate oil. The stick water is concentrated. The process requires elaborate equipment and is normally a continuous one and therefore adaptable to the reduction of large quantities of fish.

In a continuous wet reduction process the coarsely ground fish or fresh raw fish or offal is passed through a stationary horizontal cylindrical cooker by means of a screw conveyor at a predetermined rate. Steam is admitted through a series of jets. The cooked mass is passed through a continuous screw press. The press cake is fluffed and dried to a

moisture level of 8%. The suspended fish meal present in the press liquor is separated by centrifugal sedimentation and the oil by centrifugation or other conventional methods.

Fish body oil

The main source of fish body oil in India is oil sardine. A survey of the oil industry reveals that the extraction is done on a cottage scale in isolated places near the leading centers and is not well organized. The method of extraction followed is cooking the fish in iron vessels and pressing and separating the oil. Apart from sardine oil, fish body oil is also obtained from the fish meal plants operating in the country. In India oil sardine is a fishery which exhibited wide fluctuations from as low as 1% to as high as 32% of the total landings. The seasonal variation in oil content is predominant in Kerala and Karnataka coast. During the peak season fish has oil content of 17%. By the wet rendering process the fish will yield, on average 12% oil having analytical characteristics similar to other fish oils. Fatty acid composition of oil revealed that they contain high amounts of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). At present the medicinal values of fish oils are well known.

Fish liver oil

The therapeutic value of fish liver oil was discovered in 18th century and fish liver oil becomes a common medicinal product especially for Vitamin A and D. Cod, shark and haddock livers are the important sources of Vitamin A and D. The weight of liver, fat content and presence of vitamins are dependent on a number of factors like species, age, sex, nutritional status, stages of spawning, and area from where it is caught.

In cod (*Gadus collarius*), coal fish (*Pollahius vireus*) and haddock (*Melanggrammus aenglefinus*), the weight of liver normally amount to 4-9% of whole fish and livers contain about 45% to 67% oil. The species of shark such as dog fish (*Squalus acanthias*), Greenland shark (*Somniosus microcephalus*) and barking shark (*Certrohinus maximus*) have large fatty livers weighing up to 10-25% of the whole fish containing 60-75% oil. But halibut, tuna, and whale have 1% liver having 4 to 25% oil with high vitamin A & D content. Depending on the oil content and vitamin A potency fish livers are generally classified in to three groups.

- | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Low oil content | - | high vitamin A potency |
| High oil content | - | low vitamin A potency |
| High oil content | - | medium vitamin A potency |

Processing

The processing procedures of fish liver without affecting the quality of the oil extracted can be summarized as (1) steaming (2) solvent extraction and (3) alkali/enzyme/acid digestion. The process selected should depend on the vitamin and oil content of the livers.

Certain species of shark contain high oil content with high hydrocarbon content, viz. squalene. Squalene a highly unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbon is present in shark liver oils, mainly of the family squalidae, cod and some vegetable oils like olive oil, wheat gum oil, and rice bran oil. Chemically it is known as 2,6,10,15,19,23 hexamethyl, 2,6,10,14,18,22 tetracosahexane having a molecular weight of 410.70, it is an isoprenoid compound containing six isoprene units.

Presentation and storage

Vitamin oils are stored in rust free, well washed and dried air tight drums. The head space should be kept minimum to avoid oxidation. It is advisable to fill head space with inert gas such as nitrogen. If properly processed and stored the oil will remain in satisfactory condition without the use of preservative. Small amounts of antioxidants like BHA, tocopherol, BHT, NDGA can be used to preserve the oil for longer periods.

Fish hydrolysates

This is also liquefied fish product but it differs from silage. They are produced by a process employing commercially available proteolytic enzymes for isolation of protein from fish waste. By selection of suitable enzymes and controlling the conditions the properties of the end product can be selected. Hydrolysates find application as milk replacer and food flavouring agents. Enzymes like papain, nisin, trypsin, bromelain, pancreatin are used for hydrolysis of fish protein. The process consists of chopping, mincing, cooking, cooling to the desired temperature, hydrolysis, sieving, pasteurizing the liquid, concentrating and vacuum drying or spray drying of the product. This is deliquescent, so care should be taken to keep it in fine airtight bottles. It can be incorporated in to beverages as a high energy drink for children and convalescent persons.

Fish maws and isinglass

The world isinglass is derived from the Dutch and German words, which have the meaning sturgeon's air bladder or swimming bladders. Not all air bladders are used for this preparation. The air bladder of deepwater hake is most suitable for production of isinglass. In India air bladders of eel and catfishes are used for the production of isinglass.

The air bladders are separated from fish and temporarily preserved in salt during transport. On reaching the shore they are split open, washed thoroughly, outer membrane is removed by scraping and then air dried. Cleaned, desalted, air dried and hardened swimming bladders (fish maws) are softened by immersing in chilled water for several hours. They are mechanically cut into small pieces and rolled or compressed between hollow iron rollers that are cooled by water and provided with scraper for the removal of any adhering dried material. The rolling process converts the isinglass into thin strips or sheets of 1/8 to 1/4" thickness. There are processes for the production of isinglass in powder form also.

Isinglass dissolves readily in most dilute acids or alkalis, but is insoluble in alcohol. In hot water isinglass swells uniformly producing opalescent jelly with fibrous structure in contrast to gelatin. It is used as a clarifying agent for beverages like wine, beer, vinegar etc. by enmeshing the suspended impurities in the fibrous structure of the swollen isinglass.

India exports dried fish maws, which form the raw material for the production of isinglass and other such products. Process has been developed to produce the finished products from fish maws.

Fish Gelatin

Skin of fish constitute nearly 3% of the total weight and is suitable for the extraction of gelatin. Bones and scales can also be processed into gelatin. The process involves alternate washing of skin with alkali and acid and extracting gelatin with hot water. Gelatin finds applications in pharmaceutical products as encapsulation and in food industry as gelling agent. Fish gelatin has better release of a product's aroma and flavor with less inherent off-flavor and off-odor than a commercial pork gelatin.

Fish calcium

The recommended daily intake of calcium is 1000 mg for the adults, and 1300 mg for elderly women. Fish bones and scales are excellent source of calcium. Whole small fish or fish bone/scale can be used for calcium separation. The filleting frames of carps and other fishes can be used for extraction of calcium. The frames are washed and boiled to separate the adhering meat portions. It is washed again and treated with enzymes to remove the adhering connective tissue, washed, dried and powdered. Fish calcium is essentially dicalcium phosphate which has better nutritional qualities.

Hydroxyapatite

The hydroxyapatite extracted from the scale are having uses as bioceramic coatings and bone fillers. The coatings of hydroxyapatite are often applied to metallic implants to alter the surface properties so as to avoid rejection by the body. Similarly, hydroxyapatite can be employed in forms such as powders, porous blocks or beads to fill bone defects or voids. For permanent filling of teeth hydroxyapatite is found to be a better option for import substitution.

Utilization of prawn shell waste

Head and shell of prawn and other crustaceans form the major fishery waste. The waste contains a good percentage of protein and chitin other than minerals. The protein can be extracted along with the flavour bearing compounds and converted into shrimp extract having potential use as a natural flavoring material. Chitosan, deacetylated chitin, is one of such products, which has application in many fields. It is a modified natural carbohydrate polymer. It is a cationic polyelectrolyte, insoluble in water, organic solvents and alkaline solutions and is soluble in most organic acids, and dilute mineral acids except sulphuric acid. It can form ionic bonds and films. Chitosan finds applications in many industries.

Chitin

The residual shell waste obtained after extraction of protein with hot 0.5% caustic soda may contain small amounts of protein. This is then removed by boiling with 3% caustic soda for few minutes and filtering off the liquor. It should be washed free of alkali before demineralisation. The demineralization is done by treatment with dilute hydrochloric acid at room temperature. Demineralization reduces the volume of the shell considerably and therefore deproteiniser can hold more material if the demineralization is done initially.

Glucosamine hydrochloride

Chitin can be hydrolysed to glucosamine hydrochloride by adding concentrated hydrochloric acid and warming until the solution no longer gives opalescence and diluting with water. The excess acid can be distilled off under vacuum. The crude glucosamine hydrochloride is diluted with water and clarified with activated charcoal. The solution is filtered and evaporated under vacuum. The crude glucosamine hydrochloride can be separated by adding alcohol.

Chitosan

Chitin is dried or centrifuged or pressed to remove water. The deacetylation is done by heating at 90-95°C with 40% (w/w) caustic soda for 90-120 min. The water present in the chitin cake should also be taken in to account while preparing caustic soda solution. To achieve this 50% caustic soda is prepared and calculated quantity of it is added to the chitin cake. The reaction is followed by testing the solubility of the residue in 1% acetic acid. As soon as the dissolution is completed caustic soda is removed from the reaction mixture. The

drained caustic soda can be reused for the next batch of deacetylation by fortification if necessary. The residue is washed with water free of alkali. It is then centrifuged and dried in the sun or an artificial drier at a temperature not exceeding 80°C and pulverized to coarse particles.

Chitosan is almost colourless, light in weight and soluble in dilute organic acids but soluble in water, alkali and organic solvents. It gives viscous solution when dissolved in dilute organic acids such as formic acid, acetic acid etc. Chitosan finds extensive applications in following areas viz; food industries, pharmaceutical applications, chemical industries, dental and surgical uses as a haemostatic agent, wound healing, biodegradable films as a substitute for artificial skins for removing toxic heavy metals, wine clarification, Industrial effluent treatment, agriculture, photography, cosmetic applications and textiles, and in nano applications.

Future market trends

The market for high-end by products from marine sources is fairly high, especially for nutraceutical and medical field. The market demand for high quality oil for functional foods alone is projected to be doubled in next five years (Skjævestad & Vogt, 2009). As of today, the actual market potential of marine biomolecules has not been fully realised. Even though the marine proteins are known to have superior nutritional quality index in terms of amino acid composition and bioavailability, meagre effort is put towards protein isolate or hydrolysate production, except for a few stakeholders in Western and European markets. There is huge demand from health and sports nutrition industry for high quality proteins and peptides, where marine proteins could be ideally place in. The market for sports nutrition products is growing with 5–7% per year. Apart from marine oil and protein, several bioactive ingredients from process discards have entered beverage market as functional and medicinal supplements. These are primarily, chitins, pigments, taurine, squalene, proteoglycans, polyphenols, probiotics, polysaccharides, enzymes, vitamins and minerals. These bioactive molecules offer innumerable health benefits, including anti-oxidant, anti-arthritis, anti-hypertensive, anti-bacterial, anti-carcinogenic, anti-obese, and anti-inflammatory activities.

Challenges and way backwards

The key to successful seafood waste utilisation and management is to develop appropriate eco-friendly reprocessing technologies that can convert all the valuable components present in the waste into valuable products and reduce the amount of waste going to disposal route. However, there are many challenges that must be overcome to achieve this goal.

1. Consumer awareness and education is a major challenge. Without consumer acceptance of food waste reduction approaches, no sustainable eco-friendly food waste utilisation and management strategy can succeed. This demands proper extension efforts from the research and extension organizations.
2. Seafood sector is a poorly organised sector. Highly scattered nature of seafood processing operations (across domestic market and processing facilities) poses problems in collection and processing.
3. Seafoods are highly perishable in nature owing to its unique richness in terms of protein, peptides, enzymes and microbial flora. This quite often leads to the mass resistance from public in starting up a business venture in the vicinity.

4. Stringent legal and environmental restrictions from the regulatory bodies as seafood waste is not categorised as “inactive/inert” waste is a major discouraging for the entrepreneurs to invest upon this resource
5. Inappropriate cold chain management from the source of generation to the point of conversion as the processors are least interested to invest further on discards
6. There is no baseline data on the availability and economics of production collected over the past years, which poses uncertainty about economics and market demand of secondary products
7. Lack of clear legal classification of secondary products in the international market is yet another major challenge to the investors
8. Lack of unified protocols for quality assurance (such as HACCP) for secondary products leads to frequent rejections from the buyers

Strategies for future development

- Strengthening the baseline data (waste generation, local facilities, current disposal plan, major stakeholders etc)
- SWOT analysis accommodating regional disparities for the development of an economically and ecologically sustainable waste management plan
- Improve public awareness on fishery waste value addition options through effective extension efforts
- Establish locality-specific value chain routes covering waste generators (Market, peeling sheds etc), regional producers (SMEs, SHGs etc), and user groups (farmers, dealers etc.)
- Networking & establishing inter-industrial linkages between potential stakeholders (Timely follow-up and review of the efforts undertaken is a must)
- Develop mobile pilot technological platforms for testing and demonstrating different technologies
- Public-Private-organisational partnership (incubation centres for pilot production)
- Public policies and legislations against waste dumping
- Framing policies for better use of fishery wastes (such as coupling of licensing of markets and processing facilities with waste conversion measures taken at the source of generation)
- There are bigger challenges with regard to clinical testing, documentation, standardisation and quality, which need to be addressed in a greater way

Suggested Readings

- Rustad, Turid, IvarStorrø, and Rasa Slizyte. "Possibilities for the utilisation of marine by-products." *International Journal of Food Science & Technology* 46, no. 10 (2011): 2001-2014.
- Skjævestad, B. & Vogt, G. (2009). Omega-3 oljerfærsktmarintra°stoff. En mulig konkurransestrategi for den norske omega-3 industrien. Trondheim: Rubin.
- Venugopal, V. (1995). Methods for processing and utilization of low cost fishes: a critical appraisal. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 32, 1–12.

- Shahidi, F. (1994a). Proteins from seafood processing discards. In: *Seafood Proteins* (edited by Z.E. Sikorski, B. Sun Pan & F. Shahidi). Pp. 171–193. New York: Chapman and Hall.
- Shahidi, F. (1994b). Seafood processing by-products. In: *Seafoods: Chemistry, Processing Technology and Quality* (edited by F. Shahidi & J.R. Botta). Pp. 320–330. London: Blackie Academic & Professional.
- Kim, S.-K. & Mendis, E. (2006). Bioactive compounds from marine processing byproducts – a review. *Food Research International*, 39, 383–393.