www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(4): 2120-2125 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-02-2022

Accepted: 23-03-2022

#### K Antony Prajwala

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### BVK Bhagavan

Principal, Scientist and Head, Department of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, HRS, Ambajipeta, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### K Ravindra Kumar

Senior Scientist, Department of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, HRS, Kovvur, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### P Subbaramamma

Associate Professor, Department of Plant Physiology, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### K Prabhakara Rao

Scientist, Department of Plant Biotechnology, CTRI, Rajahmundry, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### T Gouri Sankar

Technical Officer, Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, HRS, Kovvur, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### Corresponding Author: K Antony Prajwala

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, Andhra Pradesh, India

## Optimizing *in vitro* axenic culture establishment in pomegranate cultivars Bhagwa and Super Bhagwa

#### K Antony Prajwala, BVK Bhagavan, K Ravindra Kumar, P Subbaramamma, K Prabhakara Rao and T Gouri Sankar

#### Abstract

An investigation was undertaken to standardise the *in vitro* culture establishment in pomegranate cultivars Bhagwa and Super Bhagwa by using different combinations and concentrations of growth regulators. Among different treatments tested, it was observed that The explants of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa inoculated on MS media supplemented with different combinations and concentrations of plant growth regulators had performed well over the explants cv. Super Bhagwa in terms of number of sprouts explant<sup>-1</sup> (2.34), shoot length (2.41cm), percent callus induction (1.81%) and culture establishment index (140.42). The culturing of nodal explants of pomegranate cultivars on MS media supplemented with  $ImgL^{-1}$  of BAP and 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> of NAA (T<sub>2</sub>) had recorded the less number of days for bud initiation (10.45d), maximum percent (86.83%) of culture establishment, number of sprouts (4.49), leaves (7.83), shoot length (4.05cm) explant<sup>-1</sup> and culture establishment index (390.52) over other treatments and the control treatment showed no culture establishment.

Keywords: in vitro, Bhagwa, super Bhagwa, BAP, TDZ, NAA

#### Introduction

The pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is one of the oldest known edible fruits that belongs to family Lythraceae. It is an economically important crop of the semi-arid tropics of the world due to its highly nutritious value with high returns and great export demand, versatile adaptability, low irrigation water requirement and pharmaceutical value (Bhandari, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[1, 2, 3]</sup>. The fruit is a good source of sugars and Vitamin C, whereas seeds with arils are sun-dried and commercially marketed as condiment or spice, food flavoring agents, besides, having huge market potential as pomegranate seed oil owing to its immense medicinal properties (Parmar and Kaushal 1982; Pal and Singh, 2017.) <sup>[4, 7]</sup>. The plant is well adapted to drought with high distribution extending from Iran to Himalaya in Northern India and has been cultivated throughout the Mediterranean region (Soloklui *et al.*, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[6, 7]</sup>. Pomegranate is conventionally propagated through air layering, hard wood and soft wood cuttings (Chandra *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[8]</sup>. But these traditional propagation methods do not ensure disease free and healthy plants.

Mass multiplication through tissue culture is necessary to meet the rapidly increasing demand for elite pomegranate planting material. Hence, the present work is proposed to study the effect of different treatments in culture establishment in pomegranate cultivars.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation, was undertaken in the Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, during the year 2018-2022 at Tissue Culture Laboratory, Horticultural Research Station, Kovvur, Dr. YSRHU, West Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh.

For *in vitro* propagation, apparently healthy mother plants were selected for excising the explants. Pomegranate cultivars of Bhagwa and Super Bhagwa were sourced from NRC Pomegranate, Sholapur and established mother gardens at Horticulture Research Station, Kovvur.

Nodal explants were collected from the 1-2 year old mother plants. Actively growing vigorous shoots were selected separated from mother plants with the help of secateurs. All the leaves were removed using a sharp scissor and size of the explants was reduced to 2–3 cm. Excised nodal segments were thoroughly washed under running water for 10-15 minutes. Later on, the explants were transferred to glass bottle having double distilled water with 2 -3 drops of 0.1% Tween-20 solution with a gentle shake for 20 min.

Afterwards, the following were pre-treatmented with the solution of Carbendazim 0.2% + (Metalaxyl-M + Mancozeb) 0.2% + 8 HQ 200 ppm for 1 h followed by washings with autoclaved double distilled water under Laminar Air Flow and surface sterilization with HgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.1% for 6 min) followed by four washings with autoclaved double distilled water resulted in maximum percentage of axenic culture.

#### Preparation of culture media

Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium was used as the basal medium throughout the experiment as it was the most favorable medium particularly for plant regeneration. The culture medium was supplemented with combinations and concentrations of BAP and NAA for culture establishment as per the treatments tested for culture initiation. The carbon source employed was sucrose and the gelling agent was agar (Hi- Media, TC grade). For the preparation of media, stock solutions were prepared at the beginning and stored at  $4\pm1$  <sup>o</sup>C temperature. The respective media were prepared from stock solutions.

600 ml double distilled water (DDW) was taken in one liter beaker and required quantities of MS stock solutions were dissolved in DDW using magnetic stirrer. The pH of the media was adjusted to 5.80 by drop wise addition of 1N HCl/NaOH, as per the requirement. MS media consisting of sucrose and agar-agar was used as control. The prepared media solutions were kept for boiling on hot plate and required amount of agar-agar (8 g l<sup>-1</sup>) was added slowly with continuous stirring to the slightly boiled media. Completely boiled media was then dispensed into the jar bottles (25 with tightly plugged autoclavable ml/bottle) and polypropylene caps and labeled to indicate the specific treatment. The media was autoclaved at 121 °C temperature and 15 lbs pressure for 18 minutes for sterilization. Then the media was allowed to cool for solidification and kept in media storage room  $25 \pm 2$  <sup>0</sup>C for about a week to check contamination for future use.

#### **Inoculation of explants**

The surface of the explants was sterilized in laminar flow chamber. Later the explants were given a fresh cut under laminar air flow and were inoculated on MS media enriched with different combinations of growth regulators as per initiation experiment. During the inoculation, the cap of the culture bottle was removed and mouth of the bottles was flamed over the spirit lamp in the chamber. The sterilized and trimmed explants were quickly transferred to the culture medium by gently pressing with the sterilized forceps to secure firm contact with the media and again the rim of the bottles was flamed and was capped tightly.

After each treatment, observations on culture establishment (%), number of days required for bud initiation, number of sprouts produced explants<sup>-1</sup>, shoot length, number of leaves formed shoot<sup>-1</sup>, percent callus induction and culture establishment index were recorded replication wise after 25

days of culture initiation.

#### Statistical analysis

Completely randomized design was followed for the present experiment. The data was analyzed using computer software programmed by the method of variance outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1997)<sup>[20]</sup>. Statistical significance was tested by F value at 5 per cent level of significance. Critical difference at 0.05 level was worked out for the effects which were significant.

#### **Results and Discussions**

### Standardization of growth regulators for culture establishment in pomegranate cultivars.

Different BAP (0.5, 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 mg/l) and TDZ (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 mg/l) concentrations were tried along with NAA (0.5 mg/l) for culture establishment. Under our experimental different combinations conditions, among the and concentrations of growth regulators tested, The mean percent culture establishment was found significantly high (86.83%) in nodal cuttings inoculated on MS medium supplemented with BAP @  $1mgL^{-1}$  + NAA @  $0.5mgL^{-1}$  (T<sub>2</sub>) followed by MS medium supplemented with BAP  $\tilde{@}$  2 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA  $\tilde{@}$ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (83.83%). The percent culture establishment was failed (0%) by inoculation of nodal cuttings on MS media devoid of growth regulators (Control treatment- $T_0$ ). The culture establishment percent Amon cultivars was also found significant with highest mean value (44.51%) in explants of pomegranate cv. Super Bhagwa  $(C_2)$  than cv. Bhagwa  $(C_1)$ (43.59%). The interaction between treatment and cultivars was also significant.

Early (10.45d) bud induction was observed on MS medium supplemented with BAP @ 1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) followed by BAP @ 2 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) (14.52d). which were stastically significant with other treatments. The number of days required for bud initiation was found maximum (41.56d) in auxiliary buds cultured on MS medium supplemented with TDZ @ 0.01 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>). Among the cultivars, significantly earlier axillary bud sprouting (20.70d) was noticed in pomegranate cv. Bhagwa (C<sub>1</sub>) over Super Bhagwa (C<sub>2</sub>) (20.35d). The interaction between treatment and cultivars was also significant (table -1).

Table 1 and 2 also revealed that, maximum number of microshoots per explant (4.49) and maximum shoot length (4.05cm) was recorded in BAP @ 1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>), which was statistically superior with all other treatments for both the parameters. The cultivar response to different combinations and concentrations of growth regulators also showed significant difference with high mean value (2.34 and 2.41 cm respectively) in explants developed from nodal cuttings of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa (C<sub>1</sub>) than cv. Super Bhagwa (C<sub>2</sub>) (2.12 and 2.25cm, respectively) for number of micro-shoots and longest shoot length. However the interaction effect was found non significant.

 Table 1: Effect of different concentrations of growth regulator combinations on culture establishment (%), number of days for bud initiation (No.) and number of sprouts explants<sup>-1</sup> (No.) in Pomegranate cultivars

Treatments	Growth regulator details	Culture establishment (%) Cultivars		Mean	Number of days for bud initiation (No.) Cultivars		Mean	Number of sprouts explants <sup>-1</sup> (No.) Cultivars		Mean
		C1	C2		C1	C2		C1	C2	
T <sub>0</sub>	Control (MS media devoid of	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00	0.00 (1.00)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00

The Pharma Innovation Journal

http://www.thepharmajournal.com

	any growth regulators)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)			(1.00)			(1.00)
T <sub>1</sub>	MS + 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup> BAP + 0.5	51.66	57.33	54.50	19.68 (4.54)	18 84 (4 45)	19.26	1.83 (1.68)	1.62 (1.61) 4.34 (2.31)	1.72
	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(45.93)	(49.19)	(47.56)	17.08 (4.54)	10.04 (4.45)	(4.50)	1.05 (1.00)		(1.64)
	MS + 1.0 mg L <sup>-1</sup> BAP + 0.5	88.66	85.00	86.83	11.08 (3.47)	0.91 (2.29)	10.45	1 65 (2 37)		4.49
12	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(70.30)	(67.21)	(68.75)	11.08 (3.47)	9.81 (3.28)	(3.38)	4.03 (2.37)		(2.34)
T <sub>3</sub>	$MS + 2.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ BAP} + 0.5$	87.00	80.66	83.83	13.96 (3.86)         15.43 (4.05)         42.73 (6.61)	16.32 (4.16)	14.52		3.09 (2.02) 2.59 (1.89)	3.32
13	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(68.84)	(63.90)	(66.37)			(3.93)			(2.07)
$T_4$	$MS + 3.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ BAP} + 0.5$	80.00	75.66	77.83			15.87			2.79
14	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(63.41)	(60.44)	(61.93)			(4.10)			(1.94)
T5	$MS + 0.01 mg L^{-1} TDZ + 0.5$	0.00	9.66	4.83			41.56			1.04
15	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(0.00)	(18.07)	(9.03)			(6.52)		1.02 (1.42)	(1.43)
$T_6$	$MS + 0.05 mg L^{-1} TDZ + 0.5$	20.00	22.33	21.16	39.07 (6.33)	37.77 (6.22)	38.42	1.60 (1.61)	1.29 (1.51)	1.44
16	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(26.55)	(28.17)	(27.36)			(6.27)			(1.56)
$T_7$	$MS + 0.1 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ TDZ} + 0.5$	38.66	41.33	40.00	19.03 (4.47)	20.76 (4.66)	19.90	77311931	2.65 (1.91)	2.69
17	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(38.43)	(39.99)	(39.21)			(4.57)			(1.92)
$T_8$	$MS + 0.5 mg L^{-1} TDZ + 0.5$	26.33	28.66	27.50	25.30 (5.12)	24.20 (5.02)	24.75	2 67 (1 01)	2.49 (1.86)	2.58
18	mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	(30.85)	(32.35)	(31.60)			(5.07)	2.07 (1.91)	2.49 (1.60)	(1.89)
	Mean		44.51		20.70 (4.38)	20.25 (4.26)		2 24 (1 78)	2.12 (1.72)	
			(39.92)		20.70 (4.38)	20.33 (4.30)		2.34 (1.78)	2.12 (1.72)	
			Т	C x T	С	Т	C x T	С	Т	C x T
	SE(m) ±		0.432	0.610	0.021	0.044	0.062	0.028	0.013	0.040
	CD at 5%		1.243	1.758	N/A	0.125	0.177	0.038	0.082	N/A
	NOTE: 1. Figures in parenthesis indicates transformation transformed values)									

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 T0 T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8 Bhagwa (C1) Super Bhagwa (C2)

Fig 1: Effect of different combinations and concentrations of growth regulators on number of leaves per shoot in Pomegranate cultivars.

Under our experimental conditions (Figure-1) the variation in number of leaves produced shoot<sup>-1</sup> was found non-significant between the two pomegranate cultivars and their interaction between different concentrations and combinations of growth regulators. Significantly, the maximum number of leaves (7.83) were produced shoot<sup>-1</sup> by inoculation of nodal cuttings of pomegranate cultivars on MS media supplemented with BAP @ 1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) when compared to other treatments.

The percent of callus induction  $explant^{-1}$  was not found in auxiliary buds cultured on MS media supplemented with different combinations and concentrations of BAP + NAA. The percent of callus induction  $explant^{-1}$  was found significantly high (5.41%) in auxiliary buds cultured on MS medium supplemented with TDZ @ 0.01 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) followed by (3.53%). In case of cultivars callus induction  $explant^{-1}$  was found significantly high (1.81%) in nodal cuttings of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa (C<sub>1</sub>) in contrast to the explants pomegranate cv. Super Bhagwa (C<sub>2</sub>) (0.65%). Interaction of different combinations and concentrations of growth regulators and cultivars was found non-significant (table-2).

The data presented in table-2 on influence of pomegranate cultivars, different combinations and concentrations of plant growth regulators and their interaction effect on culture establishment index was found significant. The highest mean culture establishment (140.42) index was recorded with

explants of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa (C<sub>1</sub>) than the explants of pomegranate cv. Super Bhagwa (C<sub>2</sub>) (124.75). Among treatments, BAP @ 1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) recorded highest culture establishment index (390.52) followed by (279.39) MS media along with BAP @  $2mgL^{-1}$  + NAA @ 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>) treatment. However, no culture establishment was reported in explants produced from nodal cuttings inoculated on MS media supplemented without plant growth regulators (T<sub>0</sub>).

From the present experiment we can interfere that nodal cuttings inoculated on MS media supplemented with BAP than MS media supplemented with TDZ. It might be due to the ability of plant tissues to metabolize BAP more readily than other synthetic growth regulators or the ability of BAP to induce the production of natural hormones such as zeatin within the tissue (Prabhuling and Huchesh, 2018)<sup>[13]</sup>. This study also showed with increase in concentration of BAP above said paramaters mean value was increased upto certain level *i.e.*, BAP @ 1mgL<sup>-1</sup>, and showed a positive correlation between the concentration of BAP upto 1mgL<sup>-1</sup> of BAP for culture establishment (%), number of days required for bud initiation, number of sprouts produced explants<sup>-1</sup>, shoot length, number of leaves formed shoot<sup>-1</sup>, percent callus induction and culture establishment index. Further increase in BAP concentration above 1mgL<sup>-1</sup> had showed negative correlation effect. Therefore, apparently a certain level of BAP is required to obtain the best effect (Arad et al., 2014)

#### The Pharma Innovation Journal

<sup>[10]</sup>. The possible reason may be higher concentration of exogenous hormone could compete with endogenous hormone for the active sites or cause the conformational change in receptor protein and reduce the total number of functioning complex that stimulate the endogenous hormone level. The ratio between the exogenous and endogenous hormones and the natural turnover of functional complex determined the shoot formation pattern. The exogenous application of different concentrations of the hormone may affect the nutrient uptake from medium, which in turn reduces the nutrient supply to the actively dividing meristamatic tissue thereby reduced the shoot bud proliferation (Hamad and Taha, 2008).

#### http://www.thepharmajournal.com

Among the cultivars superior performance of Bhagwa over Super Bhagwa might be attributed to genotypic variation in explant growth response to the endogenous growth regulators itself. Some explants had exhibit genetically slow growth response and other explants had exhibit rapid growth response leads to produce more shoot proliferation, shoot growth by increasing cell division and cell elongation (Samanhudi, 2007) <sup>[9]</sup>. The present results are in line with the findings of Parmar *et al.*, 2015 <sup>[17]</sup>, Singh and Patel (2014) <sup>[15]</sup>, Desai *et al.*, 2018 <sup>[16]</sup>, Sonone and Kshirsagar, 2016 <sup>[18]</sup>, Mulaei *et al.*, 2019 <sup>[11]</sup> in Pomegranate, Sen and Patel, 2018 <sup>[16]</sup> in Fig, Aghaye (2012) <sup>[19]</sup> in Almond and Kanwar *et al.*, 2016 <sup>[14]</sup> in Sour orange.

 Table 2: Effect of different concentrations of growth regulator combinations on shoot length (cm), callus induction percentage (%) and culture establishment index in Pomegranate cultivars

Treatments			Shoot length (cm) Cultivars C <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>		Callus induction percentage (%) Cultivars		Mean	Culture establishment index Cultivars		Mean
						C <sub>2</sub>		Cultivals C <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>		
T <sub>0</sub>	Control (MS media)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
T1	$MS + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ BAP} + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$	3.55	3.29	3.42	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	94.61	93.80	94.20
T <sub>2</sub>	MS + 1.0 mg L <sup>-1</sup> BAP + 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	4.30	3.80	4.05	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	412.44	368.59	390.52
T <sub>3</sub>	MS + 2.0 mg L <sup>-1</sup> BAP + 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	3.76	3.61	3.68	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	309.28	249.50	279.39
$T_4$	$MS + 3.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ BAP} + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$	3.44	3.24	3.34	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	239.75	196.05	217.90
T5	$MS + 0.01 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ TDZ} + 0.5 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ NAA}$	0.96	0.85	0.90	7.00 (15.09)	3.83 (11.22)	5.41 (13.16)	0.00	4.44	2.22
T <sub>6</sub>	$MS + 0.05 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ TDZ} + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$	1.44	1.31	1.37	5.00 (12.54)	2.06 (6.64)	3.53 (9.59)	32.14	28.96	30.55
T7	MS + 0.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> TDZ + 0.5 mg L <sup>-1</sup> NAA	2.18	2.11	2.15	1.66 (6.03)	0.00 (0.00)	0.83 (3.01)	105.36	109.84	107.60
T8	$MS + 0.5 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ TDZ} + 0.5 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ NAA}$	2.04	2.03	2.04	2.66 (9.08)	0.00 (0.00)	1.33 (4.54)	70.19	71.58	70.88
Mean		2.41	2.25		1.81 (4.75)	0.65 (1.98)		140.42	124.75	
		С	Т	C x T	С	Т	C x T	С	Т	$C \ge T$
SE(m) ±		0.032	0.069	0.097	0.460	0.976	1.381	3.692	7.832	11.076
CD at 5%			0.197	N/A	1.325	2.811	3.976	10.633	22.555	31.898

**Note:** 1. Figures in parenthesis indicates transformation transformed values)



 $T_1: MS + 0.5 mgL^{-1} BAP + 0.5 mgL^{-1} NAA$ 



 $T_{3}: MS + 2.0 \ mgL^{\text{--}1} \ BAP + 0.5 \ mgL^{\text{--}1} \ NAA$ 



 $T_2$ : MS + 1.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup> BAP + 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> NAA



 $T_4: MS + 3.0 mgL^{-1} BAP + 0.5 mgL^{-1} NAA$ 



 $T_5$ : MS + 0.01 mgL<sup>-1</sup> TDZ + 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> NAA



 $T_7$ : MS + 0.1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> TDZ + 0.5 mgL<sup>-1</sup> NAA



 $T_8: MS + 0.5 mgL^{-1} TDZ + 0.5 mgL^{-1} NAA$ 

Fig 2: Shoot bud induction in Bhagwa as influenced by composition of media at 25 DAI

#### References

- Bhandari PR. Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L). Ancient seeds for modern cure-Review of potential therapeutic applications. International Journal of Nutrition, Pharmacology, Neurological Diseases. 2012;2:171.
- Singh NV, Singh SK, Singh AK, Meshram DT, Suroshe, SS. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) induced hardening of micro propagated pomegranate (*Punica* granatum L.) plantlets. Scientia Horticulturae. 2012;36:122-127.
- Singh NV, Sharma J, Chandra R, Babu KD, Shinde YR, Mundewadikar DM. Bio-hardening of *in-vitro* raised plants of Bhagwa pomegranate (*Punica granatum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2016;86:132-136.
- Parmar, C, Kaushal, MK. Wild fruits of sub-himalayan region. Kalyani publishers, New Delhi-Ludhiana, 1982, 74-77.
- Pal, RK, Singh NV. Pomegranate for nutrition, livelihood security and entrepreneurship development. Daya Publishing House (A division of Astral International Pvt. Ltd), New Delhi, India. 2017.
- Soloklui AG, Ershadi A, Fallahi E. Evaluation of cold hardiness in seven Iranian commercial pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cultivars. Hort Science. 2012.47:1821-1825.
- Singh NV, Abburi VL, Ramajayam D, Kumar R Chandra, *et al.* Genetic diversity and association mapping of bacterial blight and other horticulturally important traits with microsatellite markers in pomegranate from India. Molecular Genetics and Genomics. 2015;290:1 393-402. doi: 10.1007/s00438-015-1003-0.
- 8. Chandra R, Pal RK, Rigveda D, Singh NV, Maity A. Propagation practices in pomegranate: A review. Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture. 2014;9:1-6.
- 9. Samanhudi. in vitro Multiplication of Citrus Mandarin

cv. Tawangmangu to Support Citrus Agribusiness Development in Indonesia. Prosiding National Citrus Seminar. 2007, 209-218.

- 10. Arad MM, Yadollahi A, Shojaeiyan A, Shokri S, Ghojah SM. Effects of nutrient media, different cytokinin types and their concentrations on in vitro multiplication of  $G \times N15$  (hybrid of almond × peach) vegetative rootstock. Journal of genetic engineering and biotechnology. 2014;12(2):81-87.
- Mulaei S, Jafaria A, Shirmardia M, Kamali K. Micropropagation of Arid Zone Fruit Tree, Pomegranate, cvs. 'Malase Yazdi' and 'Shirine Shahvar'. International Journal of Fruit Science. https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2019.1680334
- Sen DK, Patel RM. *In vitro* mass multiplication of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) through nodal segment explants. Journal of Cell and Tissue Research. 2018;18(2):6435-6440.
- Prabhuling G, Huchesh H. In Vitro Regeneration in Pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) cv. Bhagwa using Double Nodal Segments. Research Journal of Biotechnology. 2018;13(8):1-10.
- Kanwar J, Kaul MK, Shaktawat RPS, Naruka IS. In vitro multiple shoots induction from nodal explants of sour orange (*Citrus aurantium* L.). The Bioscan. Urvive. 2016;11(4):2127-2131.
- 15. Singh P, Patel RM. Factors Influencing *In Vitro* Growth and Shoot Multiplication of Pomegranate. The Bioscan. 2014;9(3):1031-1035.
- Desai P, Patil G, Dholiya B, Desai S, Patel F, Narayanan S. Development of an efficient micropropagation protocol through axillary shoot proliferation for pomegranate variety 'Bhagwa'. Annals of Agrarian science. 2018;16:444-50.
- 17. Parmar N, Kanwar K, Thakur AK. High efficiency plant regeneration from cotyledon explants of pomegranate

http://www.thepharmajournal.com

(*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Kandhari Kabuli. Vegetos. 2015;28(2):160-165.

- Sonone MV, Kshirsagar AB. *In-vitro* callus induction from cotyledonary explant of pomegranate (*Punica* granatum L.) cv. Bhagwa. J Agric. Res. Technol. 2016;41(2):214-219.
- Aghaye RNM, Yadollahi A. Micro propagation of GF 677 rootstock. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012;4(5):131-38.
- 20. Panse VG, Sukhatme. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 1997, 381.