# High-throughput sequencing-based analysis of bacterial communities associated with the gut microbiota of Indian and Pacific white shrimps

Sudeep Darbhe Ghate<sup>1†</sup>, Girisha Shivani Kallappa<sup>2†</sup>\*<sup>1</sup>, Vinay Tharabenahalli Nagaraju<sup>3</sup>, and Prasanna Kumar Patil<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, NITTE (Deemed to be University), Mangaluru 575018, India <sup>2</sup>College of Fisheries, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Mangalore 575002, India <sup>3</sup>ICAR-Central Institute of Brackishwater Aquaculture, Chennai 600028, India

(Received February 4, 2022; Revised April 28, 2022; Accepted May 24, 2022)

White shrimps, Penaeus vannamei and Penaeus indicus are important species for brackishwater aquaculture diversification in India. Intestinal microbiota strongly influences the overall physiological processes of aquatic organisms. This study investigates the gut bacterial composition of two economically important penaeid shrimps, employing 16S rRNA gene highthroughput sequencing. The α-diversity indices of *P. vannamei* showed increased richness compared to P. indicus. The βdiversity indices showed strong clustering based on the host phylogeny. A total of 29 phyla were found in P. vannamei where Proteobacteria was the top phyla followed by Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Fusobacteria, while the gut of P. indicus harboured 23 major phyla where Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria are the major phyla. The analysis showed that the gut microbiota of P. vannamei and P. indicus varied significantly, indicating the role of host species in shaping the gut microbiota. The study provides valuable information to devise species specific intervention strategies to enhance health and production in aquaculture.

Keywords: Penaeus indicus, P. vannamei, aquaculture, microbiome

India stands second in global aquaculture production with a production of 7.06 MT in 2018 (FAO, 2020). Shrimp farming is a major economic activity in several south-Asian nations including India. India produces nearly 0.84 MT of shrimp (https://mpeda.gov.in/?page\_id=651) annually and in 2020-21 it contributed 73% of the total USD 6.68 billion dollars fish and

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

Tel.: +91-9900136070

fisheries products export. Bulk of the produce is dominated by Pacific white leg shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei* which is native to Latin-American waters and has been propagated for farming in several south-Asian countries (Briggs *et al.*, 2004).

Indian white shrimp (*Penaeus indicus*) is endemic to Indo-West Pacific regions (Sajeela *et al.*, 2019) and is suggested as an indigenous complimentary species (Vijayan, 2019) alongside *P. vannamei*, for brackishwater aquaculture. *Penaeus vannamei* being an exotic species, the specific pathogen free (SPF) brooders are imported to India and the seeds are produced through biosecure hatcheries operating in India (Remany *et al.*, 2010). While, the bulk of *P. indicus* seeds are produced using the wild brooders after screening for OIE listed pathogens.

Gut microbiota plays a major role in the development, growth, disease resistance and various physiological activities of the host (Butt and Volkoff, 2019; Holt et al., 2021; Rajeev et al., 2021). The microbial composition is reported to vary according to the host species, diet, disease status, stress, salinity and geographical location (Apprill et al., 2017; Cornejo-Granados et al., 2018) and several studies have shown that the host species is the driving force in shaping the intestinal microbiota (Larsen et al., 2014; Rasheeda et al., 2017). Although studies are being carried out to understand the microbiota in shrimp gut, relatively less information is available on gut microbial composition of penaeid shrimp in comparison with mammals and terrestrial invertebrates (Holt et al., 2021). A comparative analysis of the gut microbiota of the native and exotic white shrimp will provide valuable information to devise species specific intervention strategies in managing health and production

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence. E-mail: skgirisha@gmail.com;

of the shrimp. The present study aims to compare the gut microbiota of Indian white shrimp and Pacific white shrimp using 16S rRNA high throughput sequence analysis.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Shrimp sampling

*Penaeus vannamei* juveniles (n = 50, 9.9  $\pm$  1.79 g) were collected from the farm located near Udupi district of Karnataka, India involved in traditional farming. The shrimp were stocked at 30/m<sup>2</sup> in a semi-intensive practice, fed with commercial feed containing 35% protein. While the gut samples from *P. indicus* (n = 50, 9.7  $\pm$  1.56 g) reared in similar conditions were provided by the research farm facility of ICAR-Central Institute of Brackishwater Aquaculture, Chennai, India for the study. *Penaeus vannamei* is not allowed to be farmed with other native species as per the Indian government guidelines, hence it is not possible to collect the samples from same environment for both the species. The sampled shrimps were washed thoroughly in sterile seawater, and the surface was disinfected with 70% alcohol and the whole intestine was aseptically removed and used for DNA extraction.

#### DNA extraction and 16s rRNA high throughput sequencing

Genomic DNA from the intestine samples was extracted using the QIAamp DNA stool mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA concentration and purity were determined using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Six samples each from Indian and Pacific white shrimp were subjected for PCR amplification and nextgeneration sequencing (Eurofins Genomics and Bioinformatics Laboratory). The 16S rRNA V3-V4 hypervariable region was targeted using primers 16S 341F GCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG and 805R ACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC to profile bacterial communities. The amplicon libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT index kit (Illumina Inc.) as per metagenomic sequencing library preparation protocol and sequenced using Illumina MiSeq platform. After the completion of sequencing run, the data was de-multiplexed using bcl2fastq software v2.20 and FastQ files were generated based on the unique dual index sequences.

The quality check of Illumina paired raw reads were performed using Fast OC v0.11.8 software. The paired sequences were curated with Mothur pipeline (v. 1.46.1) to create contigs, filter reads for quality, and reduce noise (Schloss et al., 2009). Unique sequences were picked and aligned to SILVA Gold bacteria alignment (version 138). Following this, representative sequences and operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were classified at 97% similarity against the SILVA taxonomy utilising a Naïve Bayesian classifier. The sequences were subsampled to the lowest depth (63370 seqs/sample) to achieve an even sampling depth for diversity analysis. Species richness and alpha diversity statistics including coverage, Chao1, Ace, Simpson, and Shannon were calculated using Mothur. Rarefaction curves were plotted to analyse distribution of the clustered OTUs to observe species richness of the samples. Non-parametric t-test was carried out using linear discriminant analysis (LEfSe) to determine the significantly differing OTU's between the groups. All the effective bacterial sequences were submitted for downstream analysis using Microbiome analyst (Dhariwal et al., 2017) and R vegan packages. The composition of bacterial collection in the gut of two shrimp species was compared by calculating the similarity index for each pair of samples and resulting distance matrix was visualized using nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) and principal component analysis (PCoA). Mothur was used to test the statistical significance of differences in collection between sample types further by the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) and analysis of similarities (ANOSIM).

#### **Results and Discussion**

We obtained 2,749,871 high-quality bacterial sequences after joining contigs of which 12,88,753 sequences were left on removal of chimeras and undesirable sequences from the 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The average length of high-quality sequence was 427 bp. A total of 29 phyla were found in *P. vannamei* where *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phyla followed by *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Fusobacteria*, while the gut of *P. indicus* harboured 23 major phyla where *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Firmicutes*,

and Actinobacteria are the major phyla. The results are in consistence with the previous reports in P. indicus (Patil et al., 2021) and P. vannamei (Chen et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2017; Cornejo-Granados et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Md Zogratt et al., 2018; Fan and Li, 2019; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2019). These respective top five phyla accounted for 96% of the total sequences in P. indicus and 91% in the P. vannamei samples. Nanoarchaeota and Synergistota phyla was exclusively seen in P. vannamei samples. A large of number of sequences could be classified at the genus level (61% in P. indicus and 84% in P. vannamei). Bacterial sequences belonging to Rhodobacteraceae unclassified genera, Tenacibaculum, Vibrionaceae unclassified, Ruegeria, and Pseudoalteromonas were the top genera in samples of P. indicus while Rhodococcus, Candidatus Bacilloplasma, Paracoccus, Bacillus, and Vibrio were the top genera in P. vannamei (Fig. 1). Core microbiome at generic level is shown in Fig. 2. The relative abundance of microbial genera more than 90% is considered as core gut microbiota of an organism.

Rhodobacteraceae\_unclassified genera, Tenacibaculum, Ruegeria, Vibrionaceae\_unclassified, Pseudoalteromonas, and Vibrio genera makeup the core microbiome in P. indicus while Rhodococcus, Candidatus\_Bacilloplasma, Paracoccus, Bacillus, Vibrio, and Staphylococcus makeup the core microbiome in P. vannamei. Penaeus indicus samples had only few sequences of genera belonging to Rhodococcus, Candidatus\_Bacilloplasma, Paracoccus genera. Fusobacterium, Hypnocyclicus, Carboxylicivirga, Myroides, Epulopiscium, Anaerosporobacter, Acrobacter, Methanosaeta, Methanolinea genera were only found in in P. vannamei samples.

The alpha diversity measures of species richness and diversity statistics calculated using Chao, Ace, Simpson and Shannon indices are provided in Table 1. *Penaeus vannamei* samples had a higher richness in comparison with *P. indicus* samples while the diversity was somewhat comparable among the two groups. The Good's coverage of the *P. vannamei* samples ranged from 99.1 to 99.6% and between 95.9 to 96.7% with *P. indicus* samples. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the



Fig. 1. Relative abundance of major taxa at the phylum (A) and genus (B) levels in the gut microbiota of *P. indicus* and *P. vannamei*. The relative abundance was calculated based on taxonomy assignment using the Silva database.



Fig. 2. The core gut microbiota of *P. indicus* (A) and *P. vannamei* (B) at genus level identified by MicrobiomeAnalyst using the parameters sample prevalence (20%) and relative abundance (0.2%).

Species	No. of shrimps	Reads after cleaning n= 6, Mean ± SD	Chao1	Ace	Shannon	Simpson	Taxonomy	
							Phylum	Genus
P. vannamei	6	$834,\!786\pm30,\!198.15$	$1,\!174.5\pm13$	$1,\!157\pm16$	$4.09\pm0.21$	$0.94\pm0.02$	29	352
P. indicus	6	$69,044 \pm 10,363$	$419.6\pm14$	$413\pm16$	$3.77\pm 0.38$	$0.93\pm0.02$	23	227





Fig. 3. Taxonomic differences were detected between *P. indicus* and *P. vannamei*. (A) PCoA, (B) Cladogram showing differentially abundant taxonomic clades with an LDA score > 4.0 and (C) Linear discriminative analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) analysis between *P. indicus* and *P. vannamei*.

two groups using Bray Curtis index shows separation of the two groups at a *p*-value of < 0.003 and R-value of 0.65 indicating a different microbiome community structure between the two shrimp groups (Fig. 3A). The observed separation between the groups is statistically significant as demonstrated by ANOSIM and AMOVA (p = 0.001). Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) was performed to identify the specific taxa significantly varied in abundance in two species of shrimp. The results indicated differences in the phylogenetic distributions of the microbiotas of two shrimp groups at OTU level (Fig. 3B). In total, 37 taxa, varying significantly were identified with LDA scores > 4. A histogram of the LDA scores was generated for features that showed differential abundance between *P. indicus* and *P. vannamei* (Fig. 3C). The most differentially abundant bacterial taxon in *P. indicus* was *Ruegeria* spp. and *Alphaproteobacteria* (LDA score  $[\log 10] > 5$ ), whereas the *P. vannamei* microbiome was characterized by a preponderance of *Firmicutes*, *Clostridia*, *Lachnospirales*, and *Anaerosporobacter* among others (LDA score  $[\log 10] > 4$ ).

The two most important white shrimp species for aquaculture in India are Pacific whiteleg shrimp and Indian white shrimp, both of which belong to the same genus *Penaeus*. An understanding of the gut microbiota composition of these species may help in improving health and production. Abundance of certain bacteria in the gut are reported to be the indicators of disease, growth, and various physiological conditions of the host (Holt *et al.*, 2021). Hence, characterization of microbiota at different growth stages, disease conditions, physiological stress, geographical locations of different host species are very important to derive a signature microbiome. The present study uses 16S rRNA high throughput sequence analysis to compare the gut microbiota in *P. vannamei* and *P. indicus*.

The dominant microbiota in both the species was Proteobacteria, which is consistent with the previous observations in P. vannamei (Li et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2019), P. indicus (Patil et al., 2021), P. monodon (Chaiyapechara et al., 2012; Rungrassamee et al., 2013, 2014), and P. stylirostris (Cardona et al., 2016) observed in various locations and conditions. Further, it was observed that Proteobacteria remained dominant in P. vannamei despite dietary and environmental modifications (Li et al., 2018). However, our results suggested that the bacterial diversity at genus level varied significantly in both the species analysed indicating the role of host in formation of a species-specific gut microbiota. The differences in the microbiota could be attributed to the change in sampling location of the species, but the literature suggests that, though environment plays a role in contributing to the gut microbiota, the host intestine exerts strong selective pressure on the gut microbial community, indicating host is the major determinant in the formation of gut microbiota (Li et al., 2012; Meziti et al., 2012; Rungrassamee et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2020).

In summary, the present study reported distinct dominant gut microbiota in two white shrimp species. This preliminary comparative analysis warrants further investigations with multiple samples employing whole metagenomic analysis to conclude the differences in the species-specific microbiota irrespective of the environment.

### Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge the facilities and support provided by College of Fisheries, KVAFSU, Mangalore, NITTE (Deemed to be University) and ICAR-CIBA to carry out this work.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Author Contributions

Girisha SK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Patil PK.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Vinay TN: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. Sudeep DG: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft.

#### Data Availability

The datasets of 16S rRNA amplicon sequences obtained in this study were submitted to the NCBI BioProject ID PRJNA792490.

### References

- Apprill A. 2017. Marine animal microbiomes: toward understanding host-microbiome interactions in a changing ocean. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **4**, 222.
- Briggs M, Funge-Smith S, Subasinghe R, and Phillips M. 2004. Introductions and movement of *Penaeus vannamei* and *Penaeus stylirostris* in Asia and the Pacific, Rap publication 2004/10. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Butt RL and Volkoff H. 2019. Gut microbiota and energy homeostasis in fish. Front. Endocrinol. 10, 9.
- Cardona E, Gueguen Y, Magré K, Lorgeoux B, Piquemal D, Pierrat F, Noguier F, and Saulnier D. 2016. Bacterial community characterization of water and intestine of the shrimp *Litopenaeus* stylirostris in a biofloc system. *BMC Microbiol.* 16, 157.
- Chaiyapechara S, Rungrassamee W, Suriyachay I, Kuncharin Y, Klanchui A, Karoonuthaisiri N, and Jiravanichpaisal P. 2012. Bacterial community associated with the intestinal tract of *P. monodon* in commercial farms. *Microb. Ecol.* 63, 938–953.
- Chen WY, Ng TH, Wu JH, Chen JW, and Wang HC. 2017. Microbiome dynamics in a shrimp grow-out pond with possible outbreak of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease. *Sci. Rep.* **7**,

9395.

- **Cornejo-Granados F, Gallardo-Becerra L, Leonardo-Reza M, Ochoa-Romo JP, and Ochoa-Leyva A.** 2018. A meta-analysis reveals the environmental and host factors shaping the structure and function of the shrimp microbiota. *PeerJ* **6**, e5382.
- Dhariwal A, Chong J, Habib S, King IL, Agellon LB, and Xia J. 2017. MicrobiomeAnalyst: a web-based tool for comprehensive statistical, visual and meta-analysis of microbiome data. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 45, W181–W188.
- Fan L and Li QX. 2019. Characteristics of intestinal microbiota in the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* differing growth performances in the marine cultured environment. *Aquaculture* 505, 450–461.
- Fan L, Wang Z, Chen M, Qu Y., Li J, Zhou A, Xie S, Zeng F, and Zou J. 2019. Microbiota comparison of Pacific white shrimp intestine and sediment at freshwater and marine cultured environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 657, 1194–1204.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAO. 2020. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020, Sustainability in action. Rome, Italy.
- Gao S, Pan L, Huang F, Song M, Tian C, and Zang M. 2019. Metagenomic insights into the structure and function of intestinal microbiota of farmed Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* 499, 109–118.
- Holt CC, Bass D, Stentiford GD, and Giezen MVD. 2021. Understanding the role of the shrimp gut microbiome in health and disease. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **186**, 107387.
- Larsen AM, Mohammed HH, and Arias CR. 2014. Characterization of the gut microbiota of three commercially valuable warmwater fish species. J. Appl. Microbiol. 116, 1396–1404.
- Li E, Xu C, Wang X, Wang S, Zhao Q, Zhang Z, Qin JG, and Chen L. 2018. Gut microbiota and its modulation for healthy farming of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei. Rev. Fish. Sci. Aquac.* 26, 381–399.
- Li X, Yu Y, Feng W, Yan Q, and Gong Y. 2012. Host species as a strong determinant of the intestinal microbiota of fish larvae. *J. Microbiol.* **50**, 29–37.
- Liu B, Liu B, Zhou Q, Sun C, Song C, Zhang H, Yang Z, and Shan F. 2020. Patterns of bacterial community composition and diversity following the embryonic development stages of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Aquac. Rep. 17, 100372.
- Md Zoqratt MZH, Eng WWH, Thai BT, Austin CM, and Gan HM. 2018. Microbiome analysis of Pacific white shrimp gut and rearing water from Malaysia and Vietnam: implications for aquaculture research and management. *PeerJ* 6, e5826.

Meziti A, Mente E, and Kormas KA. 2012. Gut bacteria associated

with different diets in reared *Nephrops norvegicus*. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **35**, 473–482.

- Patil PK, Vinay TN, Ghate SD, Baskaran V, and Avunje S. 2021. 16S rRNA gene diversity and gut microbial composition of the Indian white shrimp (*Penaeus indicus*). *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 114, 2019–2031.
- Rajeev R, Adithya KK, Kiran GS, and Selvin J. 2021. Healthy microbiome: a key to successful and sustainable shrimp aquaculture. *Rev. Aquacult.* 13, 238–258.
- Rasheeda MK, Rangamaran VR, Srinivasan S, Ramaiah SK, Gunasekaran R, Jaypal S, Gopal D, and Ramalingam K. 2017. Comparative profiling of microbial community of three economically important fishes reared in sea cages under tropical offshore environment. *Mar. Genomics* 34, 57–65.
- Remany MC, Cyriac D, Nagaraj S, Rao B, Panda AK, Kumar J, and Samraj Y. 2010. Specific pathogen-free assurance of imported Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931 in the Aquatic Quarantine Facility, Chennai. *Curr. Sci.* 99, 1656–1658.
- Rungrassamee W, Klanchui A, Chaiyapechara S, Maibunkaew S, and Tangphatsormuang S. 2013. Bacterial population in intestines of the Black Tiger Shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) under different growth stages. *PLoS ONE* 8, e60802.
- Rungrassamee W, Klanchui A, Maibunkaew S, Chaiyapechara S, Jiravanichpaisal P, and Karoonuthaisiri N. 2014. Characterization of intestinal bacteria in wild and domesticated adult black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *PLoS ONE* 9, e91853.
- Sajeela KA, Gopalakrishnan A, Basheer VS, Mandal A, Bineesh KK, Grinson G, and Gopakumar SD. 2019. New insights from nuclear and mitochondrial markers on the genetic diversity and structure of the Indian white shrimp *Fenneropenaeus indicus* among the marginal seas in the Indian Ocean. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 136, 53–64.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, et al. 2009. Introducing Mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 7537– 7541.
- Vijayan KK. 2019. Domestication and genetic improvement of Indian white shrimp, *Penaeus indicus*: a complimentary native option to exotic *Penaeus vannamei*. J. Coast. Res. **86**, 270–276.
- Zeng S, Huang Z, Hou D, Liu J, Weng S, and He J. 2017. Composition, diversity and function of intestinal microbiota in pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) at different culture stages. *PeerJ* 5, e3986.