

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37855/jah.2022.v24i02.35

Economic analysis of production of commercial vegetables with saline water drip irrigation in naturally ventilated polyhouse

R.L. Meena, R. Raju*, B.L. Meena, Anshuman Singh, M.J. Kaledhonkar and S.K. Sanwal

ICAR-Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal – 132001 (Haryana). *E-mail: R.Raju@icar.gov.in

Abstract

Production of vegetables under protected cultivation is necessary to meet out the continuous demand of vegetables across the seasons. Due to capital and labour intensive nature of the polyhouse technology, majority of Indian farmers with small and marginal category (>85%) cannot afford it. On the other hand, 6.73 million hectare land area in India is affected by salinity, hampers the crop production and hence the area under vegetable cultivation is shrinking as majority vegetables are sensitive to salinity stress. This study was undertaken to assess the effect of saline water drip irrigation on production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops in naturally ventilated polyhouse. The economic analysis revealed that the estimated cost of polyhouse construction (300 m²) with drip irrigation system was ₹4,71,563 and the overall cost (cost C₃) of vegetable production under polyhouse was estimated to be ₹2,15,623. Among capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops grown in the polyhouse, overall net returns over cost C₃ was highest from tomato followed by capsicum and green chilli. The economic indicators were very encouraging with positive net present value, higher benefit-cost ratio and higher internal rate of returns and shorter payback period. The investigation also suggests the viability of saline water drip irrigation for commercial cultivation of vegetables in naturally ventilated polyhouse, which is not possible under the natural environment for growing crop with saline water drip irrigation.

Key words: Capsicum, green chilli, tomato, polyhouse, saline water drip irrigation, vegetable.

Introduction

India is the second largest producer of vegetables next to China. During 2017-18 the area under vegetables was 10.26 million hectares with a production of 184.40 million tonnes India's share in the world vegetable market is around 11.2 per cent. The economic value of fruits and vegetables production in the country accounts to ₹4,516.49 billion during 2015-16. In spite of all these achievements and high availability of fruits and vegetables at 393.76 gms/person/day (GOI, 2018), per capita consumption of vegetables in India is very low *i.e.* 173 and 99 gms/person/day in urban and rural areas, respectively (ICMR-NIN, 2019) as against 300 gms/person/day recommended by ICMR in 2009.

Vegetables play a prominent role in human health and provides an opportunity to obtain higher farm income from the limited land resources. However, vegetable cultivation is mainly affected by environmental factors viz., soil type, light, temperature, rainfall etc. About 6.73 million hectare land is salt affected in the country, out of which 2.96 million hectare (44%) is saline and about 32 to 84 per cent of ground water development is poor quality in nature (Minhas, 1999). In India, generally vegetables are cultivated in an open environment but most of the vegetables are sensitive to climatic variations and hence yield low productivity. Protected cultivation is a viable option to overcome the vagaries of natural calamities including soil as well as irrigation water salinity. Cultivation of vegetables in polyhouse protects the crop against biotic (pests, disease and weeds) and abiotic (temperature, humidity, light, rainfall) stresses and ensures high quality crop production throughout the year (Murthy et al., 2009). Vegetable cultivation in polyhouse not only enhances the productivity and

quality, but also balances the production and supply as well as regulates the price fluctuation of vegetables even in the off-season (Kumar *et al.*, 2016).

The cost of polyhouse structure plays the decisive role for adoption and sustainability of vegetable production. It mainly depends on the quality of materials used for the polyhouse structure and glazing and other systems like drip and mist (Murthy et al., 2009). Polyhouses are of various sizes ranging from 1000 to 10,000 m² depending on the requirement. The present study was taken up to examine the economic feasibility of production of commercial vegetables (capsicum, green chilli and tomato) with saline water drip irrigation in a naturally ventilated polyhouse constructed in an area of 300 m².

Material and methods

The current study is based on the data obtained from an experiment conducted at ICAR-CSSRI, Karnal. The experiment was carried out on standardization of production and protection technologies for selected vegetables under saline water drip irrigation in polyhouse during 2015-16 to 2017-18. Three vegetables; capsicum, green chilli and tomato were selected, as these are known to be best suitable and most commonly grown under polyhouse/greenhouses in the world. Capsicum is a season specific crop, winter being the best suitable season in the tropics. It is a high volume and high value vegetable. On the other hand, tomato and green chilli are relatively low value crop, but in demand throughout the year. Though tomato and green chilli are grown nearly in all seasons, their yields are low during summer and monsoon.

To study the effect of salinity on production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops under polyhouse, saline water was applied through drip irrigation. The experiment was carried out with the best available water (BAW; EC $_{\rm iw}$ 0.8 dS/m) and application of irrigation water with different salinity levels, viz. EC $_{\rm iw}$ 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 dS/m.

The production data was generated by cost accounting method for three crop seasons during 2015-16 to 2017-18. The capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops were simultaneously grown in one season in a year by equally dividing the polyhouse area into 100 m². In first year (2015-16), vegetable seedlings were planted in the month of August, harvesting was started in the month of October (capsicum and green chilli) and November (tomato) and harvested upto April (Capsicum and green chilli) and May (tomato) in the next year. Where as in second (2016-17) and third (2017-18) years, the planting was done in the month of September, harvesting was started from November (Capsicum and green chilli) and December (tomato) and continued upto May (Capsicum and green chilli) and June (tomato). The annual cash flow was considered for economic analysis.

For estimating the cashflows, the actual average production data of three crop seasons/years (2015-16 to 2017-18) was used for first year and for remaining years the cash flows were extrapolated appropriately based on the available information. An attempt was also made to estimate the cost of production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato under polyhouse cultivation. It was estimated by accounting all costs included in the cultivation of vegetables under polyhouse of 300 m² and compared with the prevailing market price. After the estimation of annual costs of all *i.e.*s, the cost of production (\mathbb{Z}/kg) per crop (season) was estimated. The price prevailed in the market during the production period was considered for estimating profitability of capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops produced under polyhouse.

Economic Analysis: Different cost concepts are estimated for the study viz., Cost A_1 , Cost A_2 , Cost B_1 , Cost B_2 , Cost C_1 , Cost C_2 , Cost C_3 (CSO, 2008) and returns over different costs are also analyzed to assess the economic benefits such as farm business income, family labour income, net income over cost C_1 , C_2 and C_3 .

Economic feasibility of investment on production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato under polyhouse conditions was estimated by using project evaluation measures *viz.*, payback period (PBP), benefit cost ratio (BCR), net present value (NPV) and internal rate of returns (IRR). Except PBP, which is an undiscounted measure, all other methods, BCR, NPV and IRR, are discounted measures of project worthiness (Gittinger, 1982; Murthy *et al.*, 2009; Raju *et.al*, 2016; Franco *et al.*, 2018). A discount rate of 12 per cent was used to estimate these parameters (Swathy Lakshmi *et.al.*, 2017; Senthilkumar *et al.*, 2018), considering the life of polyhouse as 10 years.

Results and discussion

Polyhouse technology is both capital and labour intensive, and requires a substantial investment especially during the initial establishment period. The cost of polyhouse construction (Table 1) revealed that the total capital cost of \$4,71,563 was invested for erecting polyhouse in an area of 300 m². Generally, commercial

Table 1. Cost of establishment of a polyhouse structure

1 7		
Particulars	Cost	Cost (₹/4000
	$(₹/300 \text{ m}^2)$	m^2)
Structural frame with GI Pipes	1,87,318	24,97,575
	(39.72)	
Entry room (2mx2m) with locking	18,000	18.000
arrangement	(3.82)	
Covering/Polyfilm with 200 micron	46,508	2,76,170
thickness	(9.86)	
Profile (Aluminium) & spring lock to fix	13,230	1,76,400
plastic film	(2.81)	
Thermal screen (manually operated)/Top	26,325	33,750
net for ventilation	(5.58)	
Side vent with bottom apron	15,525	67,275
	(3.29)	
Insect proof net on sides	10,463	56,025
	(2.22)	
Drip irrigation & Fertigation system	43,875	1,00,969
	(9.30)	
Rain water harvesting gutter	40,095	2,67,300
	(8.50)	
Foundation cost	24,750	2,31,660
	(5.25)	
Sprayers & other equipments	14,853	1,98,040
	(3.15)	
Electric installations	1,448	19,307
	(0.31)	
Miscellaneous cost	29,174	39,425
	(6.19)	
Total	4,71,563	39,81,895
	(100.00)	

Figures in parenthesis indicates percentage to total cost

Miscellaneous cost: Initial land preparation cost including cleaning and leveling, preparing bunds and furrows, arrangements for saline water irrigation, incidental charges, *etc.*)

polyhouses are constructed in an area of 4000 m² (one acre), so the study has estimated the cost required for the construction of polyhouse with drip irrigation in one acre area to be ₹39.82 lakhs. The initial establishment includes cost of structural frame with GI pipes, polyfilm sheet, nylon net, drip irrigation, fertigation system and construction costs *etc*. The polyfilm covering with 200 micron thickness normally lasts for 4-5 years and needs to be replaced depending on wear and tear.

Break-up of establishment costs indicates that the major cost was involved on structural frame of polyhouse with GI pipes followed by polyfilm covering with 200 micron thickness, drip irrigation and fertigation system, rainwater harvesting gutter and miscellaneous cost which includes the cost of initial land preparation (cleaning, leveling, preparing bunds and furrows, arrangements for saline water irrigation, incidental charges etc.). Other important costs involved in the establishment of polyhouse were manually operated thermal screen, foundation cost, side vent with bottom apron, sprayers and other permanent equipments purchased for use in the polyhouse, aluminium profile and spring lock to fix polythene sheet, insect proof net on sides and electric installation. In the current study, the life of polyhouse was assumed as 10 years with polythene sheets replacement for every 5 years.

The cost of vegetable production under polyhouse was estimated considering the major cost concepts *viz.*, Cost A₁, Cost A₂, Cost B₁, Cost B₂, Cost C₁, Cost C₂ and Cost C₃. The total estimated cost of production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato under

Table 2. Cost of production of vegetables under polyhouse

	8		
Particulars	Cost of cultivation		Cost of
	$(₹/300 \text{ m}^2)$	(₹/4000	production
		m ²)	(₹/crop)
Human Labour	67,500 (31.30)	9,00,000	22,500
Machine Labour	800 (0.37)	10,667	267
Seedlings	3,830 (1.78)	51,067	1,277
Vermicompost	1,750 (0.81)	23,333	583
Fertilizer	2,466 (1.13)	32,444	811
Plant protection chemicals	2,940 (1.36)	39,200	980
Irrigation charges	2,250 (1.04)	30,000	750
Miscellaneous cost (twines,	14,954 (6.94)	1,99,387	4,985
staking, packing material			
costs, transportation costs,			
etc)			
Interest on working capital	2,251 (1.04)	30,009	750
@7%	45 156 (01 05)	C 20 551	15.710
Depreciation on fixed capital		6,28,751	15,719
COST A ₁	1,45,864 (67.65)	19,44,857	/
Rent paid for leased-in land	0.00	0.00	0.00
COST A ₂	1,45,864 (67.65)	19,44,857	/
Interest on owned capital	47,156 (21.97)	6,28,751	15,719
(excluding land rent) @10%	1 02 021 (00 52)	25.52.600	64.240
COST B ₁	1,93,021 (89.52)	25,73,608	
Rental value of owned land	3,000 (1.39)	40,000	1,000
COST B ₂	1,96,021 (90.91)	26,13,608	
Imputed value of family	0.00	0.00	0.00
labour	1 0 (001 (00 01)	26.12.600	65.240
Cost C ₁	1,96,021 (90.91)	26,13,608	/
Cost C ₂	1,96,021 (90.91)	26,13,608	
Cost C ₃	2,15,623	28,74,969	71,874
	(100.00)		

polyhouse was ₹2,15,623 per 300 m² (Table 2). The break-up of production cost revealed that the maximum amount was spent on human labour followed by depreciation on fixed capital and interest on owned capital, each remains same. Rent paid for leased in land and imputed value of family labour was not taken into account as the experiment was conducted at the institute land and human labour was hired. Overall, cost A₁ (working expenses) and cost A₂ remained same as land rent was not paid as experiment was conducted in institute premises. The cost B₂, cost C₁ and cost C₂ remained to be same as imputed value of family labour was not included in the study. The production under polyhouse was restricted to one season (9-10 months) and all three vegetables were cultivated by equally dividing the polyhouse area. The inputs were equally utilized for all the crops, hence the total production cost *i.e.*, cost C₃ per 300 m² was equally

Table 3. Crop-wise yield under different irrigation water salinity levels

Irrigation water	Average Yield (qtl/300 m ²)			
EC_{iw} (dS/m)	Capsicum	Green Chilli	Tomato	
BAW	14.99 (19.38)	10.59 (16.36)	23.39 (12.28)	
2	13.52 (17.48)	11.18 (17.27)	26.65 (13.99)	
4	12.92 (16.71)	12.54 (19.38)	35.91 (18.85)	
6	13.43 (17.36)	12.22 (18.88)	35.42 (18.60)	
8	12.60 (16.29)	9.32 (14.40)	32.74 (17.19)	
10	9.88 (12.77)	8.87 (13.71)	36.37 (19.09)	
Total	77.34 (100.00)	64.72 (100.00)	190.48 (100.00)	
Average yield	12.89	10.78	31.75	

BAW=Best Available Water, Figures in parenthesis indicates percentage to total

divided among all the crops grown under polyhouse. Thus the production cost of capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops each remained to be same.

The average yield of capsicum, green chilli and tomato, produced under polyhouse in an area of 300 m², were 12.89, 10.78 and 31.75 qtls, respectively. The maximum yield was obtained from tomato crop followed by capsicum and green chilli (Table 3). Among different levels of saline water irrigation, the highest yield of capsicum was obtained with BAW followed by saline water irrigation with 2 dS/m EC $_{\rm iw}$. The yield of green chilli was highest under 4 dS/m EC $_{\rm iw}$ followed by 6 dS/m EC $_{\rm iw}$. However, the yield of both capsicum and green chilli decreased with irrigation of EC $_{\rm iw}$ 10 dS/m, indicating their sensitiveness to irrigation water salinity beyond 6.0 dS/m. In contrast to this, tomato yield was highest at 10 dS/m EC $_{\rm iw}$ followed by 4 dS/m EC $_{\rm iw}$, indicting the higher tolerance of tomato crop to saline water irrigation.

Table 4. Crop-wise gross returns under different irrigation water salinity levels

			·= ·= · 2	
Irrigation water	Gross Returns (₹/300 m ²)			
EC_{iw} (dS/m)	Capsicum	Green Chilli	Tomato	Overall
BAW	26,757	18,903	24,326	69,986
	(38.23)	(27.01)	(34.76)	(100.00)
2	24,133	19,956	27,716	71,806
	(33.61)	(27.79)	(38.60)	(100.00)
4	23,062	22,384	37,346	82,793
	(27.86)	(27.04)	(45.11)	(100.00)
6	23,973	21,813	36,837	82,622
	(29.01)	(26.40)	(44.58)	(100.00)
8	22,491	16,636	34,050	73,177
	(30.74)	(22.73)	(46.53)	(100.00)
10	17,636	15,833	37,825	71,294
	(24.74)	(22.21)	(53.06)	(100.00)
Total	1,38,052	1,15,525	1,98,099	4,51,676
	(30.56)	(25.58)	(43.86)	(100.00)

BAW=Best Available Water, Figures in parenthesis indicates percentage to total

The gross returns from capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops were estimated by considering the farm gate price prevailed during the crop season. The farm gate prices were ₹1,785 per quintal each for capsicum and green chilli and ₹1,040 for tomato crop. The treatment wise yield of each crop was multiplied with their respective farm gate prices and the values across the treatments were added to obtain gross returns from the respective crop. Overall, estimated gross returns of ₹4,51,676 was obtained from production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato under polyhouse in an area of 300 m² (Table 4). Out of total returns, tomato crop contributed the highest gross returns followed by capsicum with and green chilli.

Gross returns from vegetable production under polyhouse were estimated (Table 6) based on two assumptions: (i) utilizing total polyhouse area (300 m^2) for the production of only one vegetable (any of capsicum, green chilli and tomato) and (ii) utilizing total

Table 5. Net returns over different costs of vegetable cultivation under polyhouse (300 m²)

Particulars	Capsicum	Green	Tomato	Overall
		Chilli		
Average yield (qtl)	12.89	10.78	31.75	-
Average output price (₹/qtl)	1,785	1,785	1,040	-
Gross returns	1,38,052	1,15,525	1,98,099	4,51,676
Net returns over				
Cost A1 (Farm business	89,430	66,904	1,49,478	3,05,812
income)				
Cost A2	89,430	66,904	1,49,478	3,05,812
Cost B1	73,712	51,185	1,33,759	2,58,656
Cost B2	72,712	50,185	1,33,759	2,55,656
(Family labour income)				
Cost C1	72,712	50,185	1,32,759	2,55,656
Cost C2	72,712	50,185	1,32,759	2,55,656
Cost C3	66,178	43,651	1,26,225	2,36,054

Table 6. Estimated gross returns from vegetable production under polyhouse with respective irrigation water salinity

1 2	1	0	2	
Irrigation water		Gross return	ns (₹/300 m ²)	
EC_{iw} (dS/m)	Only	Only Green	Only Tomato	Overall
	Capsicum	Chilli		
BAW	4,81,629	3,40,257	4,37,861	4,19,915
2	4,34,398	3,59,213	4,98,888	4,30,833
4	4,15,120	4,02,910	6,72,235	4,96,755
6	4,31,506	3,92,629	6,63,062	4,95,732
8	4,04,838	2,99,452	6,12,893	4,39,061
10	3,17,444	2,84,993	6,80,846	4,27,761

BAW=Best Available Water

polyhouse area (300 m²) for production of vegetables using each category of saline water for irrigation. The estimates revealed that maximum returns can be obtained from capsicum production with BAW. Similarly, the maximum returns can be obtained from production of green chilli and tomato at irrigation water salinity of 4 dS/m and 10 dS/m, respectively. By growing all three vegetables at the given level of salinity, the gross returns were highest at 4 dS/m. The study indicates that, among the selected vegetables, capsicum is sensitive to saline water irrigation as compared to green chilli and tomato. Overall, tomato crop gave higher returns as compared to capsicum and green chilli.

The economic feasibility analysis of vegetable production was carried out with actual production of capsicum, green chilli and tomato crops under naturally ventilated polyhouse in an area of 300 m². The capital cost of polyhouse ₹4,71,563 (Table 1) and the total cost of vegetable production ₹2,15,623 (Table 2) were used for economic feasibility analysis. In addition to the capital cost, the polythene sheets replacement cost was also accounted for analysis. The replacement cost is a total cost of polyfilm, aluminium profile and spring lock system, side vent with bottom apron and insect proof net on sides (Table 1).

The feasibility analysis revealed that the NPV, BCR, IRR and payback period of ₹6,25,711, 1.41, 46.32 per cent and 2 years, respectively (Table 7). These indicators provides an evidence for economic feasibility of commercial cultivation of vegetables. Therefore, vegetable production under polyhouse with saline water drip irrigation may be encouraged. It will help not only in the productive utilization of the area under saline soil and

water in the country for the commercial production of different vegetables but also regulates supply and prices of vegetables even in the off-season.

The alternate feasibility analysis was also carried out using data estimated based on certain assumptions (Table 6). The study revealed that capsicum gives significantly better results under BAW for all the economic indicators *viz.*, NPV (₹7,68,206), BCR (1.50), IRR (53.24%) and payback period (1.77 years). Though all the economic indicators indicated promising result, the returns from capsicum production at EC_{iw} 10 dS/m was lesser as compared to lower salinity levels (Table 8). The economic feasibility of green chilli was highest at EC_{iw} 4 dS/m indicating best possible results with NPV (₹3,93,713), BCR (1.26), IRR (34.63%) and payback period (2.52 years). However, green chilli is not profitable at saline water irrigation with EC_{iw} 8 and 10 dS/m, indicating its sensitivity to higher level of salinity as compared to capsicum. Tomato revealed the highest returns at

Table 7. Economic feasibility of vegetable production under polyhouse (300 m^2) (Based on actual production values)

Economic Indicators	Values
Net Present Value (NPV) (in ₹)	6,25,711
Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)	1.41
Internal Rate of Returns (IRR) (in %)	46.32
Payback period (PBP) (in years)	2.00

Table 8. Alternate economic feasibility analysis of vegetable production under polyhouse

Irrigation water	Economic Indicators			
$(EC_{iw} (dS/m)$	NPV	BCR	IRR	PBP
Total Capsicum				
BAW	7,68,206	1.50	53.24	1.77
2	5,43,510	1.36	42.25	2.16
4	4,51,798	1.30	37.62	2.36
6	5,29,753	1.35	41.56	2.18
8	4,02,884	1.26	35.11	2.49
10	-1,28,79	0.99	11.13	4.63
Total Green Chilli				
BAW	95,647	1.06	18.08	3.78
2	1,85,831	1.12	23.38	3.28
4	3,93,713	1.26	34.63	2.52
6	3,44,800	1.23	32.07	2.66
8	-98,477	0.94	4.92	5.63
10	-1,67,262	0.89	-0.90	6.80
Total Tomato				
BAW	5,59,986	1.37	43.07	2.12
2	8,50,315	1.56	57.16	1.66
4	16,74,991	2.10	95.36	1.03
6	16,31,352	2.07	72.66	1.05
8	13,92,677	1.91	82.45	1.19
10	17,15,957	2.13	97.23	1.19
Overall				
BAW	4,74,613	1.31	38.78	2.31
2	5,26,552	1.35	41.40	2.19
4	8,40,167	1.55	56.68	1.68
6	8,35,302	1.55	56.45	1.68
8	56,5695	1.37	43.35	2.11
10	51,1939	1.34	40.67	2.22
BAW=Best Availal	ble Water			

EC_{iw} 10 dS/m with an acceptable values of NPV (₹17,15,957), BCR (2.13), IRR (97.23%) and payback period (1.19 years). Overall, the economic feasibility indicator for all the three vegetables produced under polyhouse area of 300 m² revealed that highest benefit can be obtained at EC_{iw} 4 dS/m with positive NPV (₹8,40,167), BCR (1.55), IRR (56.68%) and least payback period (1.68 years).

The estimated economic indicators of the study proved that production of commercial vegetables like capsicum, green chilli and tomato in naturally ventilated polyhouse with saline water drip irrigation is an economically viable option. Apart from the utilization of available saline resources, polyhouse cultivation of commercial vegetables, being labour intensive, creates employment opportunity for farm families even in the off-season.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Project Coordinator, ICAR-AICRP on Management of Salt Affected Soils and Use of Saline Water Agriculture for the financial support. The authors are also thankful to the Head, Division of Soil and Crop Management and the Director, ICAR-CSSRI, Karnal for their continuous guidance and encouragement during this study.

References

- CSO (Central Statistical Organization). 2008. *Manual on Cost of Cultivation Surveys*. Ministry of Statistics and Program Implementation, Government of India, pp. 118.
- Franco, D., Singh, D.R. and Praveen, K.V. 2018. Economic feasibility of vegetable production under polyhouse: A case study from Palakkad district of Kerala. *J. Crop. Weed.*, 14: 134-139.

- Gittinger, J.P. 1982. *Economic Analysis of Agricultural Projects*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, London, 361 p.
- GOI (Government of India). 2018. Horticultural Statistics At A Glance 2018. Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare, Government of India.
- ICMR-NIN (Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Nutrition). 2019. Share of Fruits and Vegetables in Tackling CVDs and NCDs (especially diabetes, heart attack, stroke and cancer) in Indian Context. Ministry of Health and Family welfare, GOI. Hyderabad, Telangana. Also available in www.nin.res.in>brief>Fruits_and_Vegetables.pdf
- Kumar, P., Chauhan, R.S. and Grover R.K. 2016. Economics analysis of tomato cultivation under polyhouse and open field conditions in Haryana, India. *J. Appl. Nat. Sci.*, 8: 846-848.
- Minhas, P.S. 1999. *Use of poor-quality waters*. In: Singh, G.B. and Sharma, B.R. (Editors) 50 years of Natural Resource Management Research. Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal. pp. 327-346.
- Murthy, D.S., Prabhakar, B.S., Hebbar, S.S., Srinivas, V. and Prabhakar, M. 2009. Economic feasibility of vegetable production under polyhouse: A case study of capsicum and tomato. *J. Hort. Sci.*, 4: 148-152.
- Raju, R., Thimmappa, K., Kumar, P. Kumar, S. and Tripathi, R.S. 2016. Reclamation of Saline Soils through Subsurface Drainage Technology in Haryana – An Economic Impact Analysis. *J. Soil Salinity and Water Quality*, 8: 194-201.
- Senthilkumar, S., Ashok, K.R., Chinnadurai, M. and Ramanathan, S.P. 2018. An Economic Analysis of Capsicum Production under Protected Cultivation in North West Region of Tamil Nadu, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 7: 2276-2283.
- Swathy Lakshmi, P.V., Prema, A., Ajitha, T.K. and Pradeepkumar, T. 2017. Economic feasibility of polyhouse vegetable cultivation in Kerala (Short communication). J. Trop. Agric., 55: 209-214.