Seasonal Occurrence of Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith) in Maize: The Role of Sowing Dates

M. A. Prajwal Gowda [a++*], J. C. Sekhar [b#], P. L. Soujanya [b†], D. Akhilandeshwari [a++], B. Mallaiah [c‡] and K R Yatish [b]

[a] *Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India Telangana-500030, India.*
[b] *Winter Nursery Centre, ICAR-IIMR, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana-500030, India.*
[c] *Department of Plant Pathology, ARI, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana-500030, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

_____

[++] *M.Sc. Scholar;*
[#] *Principal Scientist (Entomology) & I/C;*
[†] *Senior Scientist (Entomology);*
[‡] *Senior Scientist (Pathology);*
Corresponding author: E-mail: prazzzugowdru@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Fall armyworm is a destructive insect pest in maize farming and has expanded widely throughout various agroecological zones, which threatens food security. An experiment was carried out at the Winter Nursery (ICAR-IIMR, Hyderabad) field to study the occurrence of fall armyworm on maize single cross hybrid DHM 117 across different sowing dates during kharif and rabi seasons of 2021-22. Weekly observations were made on a whole-plot basis to record the number of plants damaged, the number of larvae, and egg masses per plant. Among the six sowing dates, the crop sown on 2nd August 2021, had a relatively lower mean percent of infestation range (4.02% - 80.37%), a minimum larval count per plant range (0.01 - 0.24), and the least number of egg masses per plant range (0.00 - 0.11). The findings will be helpful in the construction of forecasting models, facilitating the formulation of eco-friendly management tactics to manage fall armyworms in maize.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zea mays L. (Maize) is one of the predominant cereal crops globally, cultivated in a wide range of environmental conditions. *Zea mays L.* is a member of the family Poaceae also known as corn. It is one of the most flexible growing crops with greater adaptability to different agro-climatic conditions [1,2]. Maize farming in India spans 9.2 million hectares, with a production of 31.65 million metric tons [3]. This accounts for almost 4% of the maize cultivation area and 2% of worldwide production. Maize serves multiple roles, primarily as poultry feed (47%), fodder (13%), human consumption (13%), in the starch industry (14%), processed foods (7%), for export purposes, and in various other applications (6%). Despite the upward trend in maize production over the past 10 years, productivity remains low. Its growth and development are constrained by several biotic and abiotic factors. Of the biotic stresses, insect pests are responsible for causing a decline of 18–26% in crop yield [4].

Fall armyworm (FAW), *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is an invasive pest native to tropical and subtropical regions of America [5]. FAW has become a serious concern due to its feeding on most parts of the maize plant, including the leaf whorl, tassel, and cob, leaving shot holes, skeletonized leaves, and heavily windowed whorls with frass [6]. The annual maize losses caused by FAW ranged between 8.3 and 20.6 million tons, as reported by Day et al. [7]. Insect populations experience fluctuations as a consequence of shifts in ecological elements such as competition intensity, predator abundance, resource availability, and weather patterns [8]. As a part of IPM, an optimum period of sowing is advised to manage the FAW. Therefore, the study was conducted to determine appropriate sowing dates that would result in a lower infestation of FAW.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment: The purpose of this study was to analyse the seasonal occurrence of FAW on maize at six different sowing dates from August to December 2021 (late *kharif* and *rabi* seasons) at the Winter Nursery Centre, ICAR-IIMR, Hyderabad. During 2021, the maize single cross hybrid DHM 117 was sown on the following dates: August 2nd, August 17th, October 23rd, November 10th, November 24th, and December 4th. The crop was grown with a spacing of 75 cm by 20 cm in a plot area measuring 7.5 m by 3 m containing three replications. Each replication has three crop rows. The crop was raised according to the recommended agronomic practices. Weekly observations were made on a whole-plot basis to record the number of plants damaged, the number of larvae and egg masses per plant.

Percentage (%) of infested plants =

$$\frac{\text{Number of infested plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \times 100$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-2nd August 2021 (1st sowing): At the start of the initial observation, on the 32nd Standard Meteorological Week (SMW), the infestation was 11.82% with 0.13 larvae per plant. In the following 33rd SMW, the infestation and mean larvae per plant were significantly reduced to 4.02% and 0.01, respectively. Again, the FAW infestation and larvae per plant grew gradually until the 42nd SMW and it peaked with 80.37%

infestation and 0.24 larvae per plant on the 43rd SMW. Egg masses were first observed during the 34th SMW (0.06) and peaked around the 42nd SMW (0.11).

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-17th August 2021 (2nd sowing): At the start of the 34th SMW (1st observation), the FAW infestation was 5.95%, with 0.06 mean larvae per plant. A plant infestation of 74% was observed during the 37th SMW, with 0.41 larvae per plant. The 43rd SMW recorded the maximum infestation (100%), with the highest larvae per plant (0.56). The 43rd (0.18) and 44th SMWs (0.17) had a higher number of egg masses per plant.

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-23rd October 2021 (3rd sowing): The infestation (25.49%) and mean larvae per plant (0.07) during the 44th SMW (first week of observation) were found to be significantly greater than those of the first and second sowing dates. The amount of infestation increased steadily over time and the peak infestation of FAW was observed in the fourth SMW (100.00%). The highest mean larvae per plant (0.66) and egg masses per plant (0.12) were recorded in the 49th and 46th standard meteorological weeks, respectively.

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-10th November 2021 (4th sowing): The infestation started soon after germination (23.74%) during 47th SMW and it made a rapid increase at 48th SMW with 82.33% infestation and 0.17 larvae per plant, which continued throughout the crop growth period. The maximum FAW infestation of 100% was seen during the 7th SMW with 0.05 larvae per plant. The highest larvae per plant (0.33) and egg masses per plant (0.15) were noticed during the 49th SMW.

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-24th November 2021 sown crop (5th sowing): A moderate infestation of 25.15% was observed on DHM 117 in the first week (49th SMW) of germination, with 0.11 larvae per plant. The FAW infestation increased to 69.71 % in the 50th SMW. The 6th, 7th, 8th and 9th SMW recorded the highest infestation of 96.50%. The fourth SMW (0.34) and sixth SMW (0.09) registered the highest number of larvae and egg masses per plant, respectively.

Incidence of FAW on DHM 117 sown during-4th December 2021 (6th sowing): The incidence of FAW declined slightly as compared to the previous three sown crops (2nd fortnight of October, 1st fortnight of November, and 2nd fortnight of November). The infestation begins soon after germination, *i.e.*, at the seedling stage (50th SMW), with 15.07% infestation and 0.03 larvae per plant. During the 51st SMW, there was a rapid increase in the plant infestation of 48.59% and 0.03 larvae per plant. The occurrence of FAW was observed throughout crop growth. The highest plant infestation (89.09%) was observed in the 7th SMW. However, the highest number of egg masses (0.11) and larvae per plant (0.31) was observed in the 3rd and 4th SMWs, respectively.

An increased FAW incidence during the third, fourth, and fifth sowing dates might be due to favourable weather conditions, which were conducive to FAW growth and development and an early FAW incidence in preceding sowings contributed to the build-up of the population of this pest for the next subsequent sowings. According to Darshan's findings in [9], late-planted maize crops exhibited significantly higher rates of FAW incidence in comparison to those planted early. Canico et al. [10] found that the occurrence and density of FAW upsurged during the dry season. These results suggest that sowing maize at the beginning of the cropping season could be more successful in reducing FAW occurrence compared to sowing during the dry season, which is in line with the present study.

Similar kinds of results were reported by Warkad et al. [11], who studied the seasonal fluctuations in FAW on maize during the *rabi* season of 2019–20. The plants exhibited minimal damage at the onset of the season in December, and it increased progressively throughout the season and reached its peak at crop maturity during the 50th SMW. Lavan Kumar [12] conducted research on the seasonal prevalence of FAW in sweet corn during the *kharif* season of 2019 across three distinct sowing dates: June 15th, July 1st, and July 16th. Across all three sowing periods, the incidence of FAW was observed at 12 days after sowing, with its population peaked between 25 and 45 days after sowing and persisted up to harvest. Niassy et al. [13], found that the abundance of FAW larvae exhibited a notable dependence on crop phenology. Specifically, infestation levels were observed to peak during the vegetative and reproductive phases of the crop, while declining during its mature stages. The current findings align with those of Pitre et al. [14], indicating a preference for egg laying within plants exceeding 32 days of age compared to younger and smaller ones.

Table 1. Percent plant infestation of FAW on maize DHM 117during 2021-22

Std. Weeks (SMW)	Mean percent of infested plants (%)					
	1st sowing (2 Aug 2021, 31 SMW)	2nd sowing (17 Aug 2021, 33 SMW)	3rd sowing (23 Oct 2021, 43 SMW)	4th sowing (10 Nov 2021, 45 SMW)	5th sowing (24 Nov 2021, 47 SMW)	6th sowing (4 Dec 2021, 49 SMW)
32	11.82	-	-	-	-	-
33	4.02	-	-	-	-	-
34	27.03	5.95	-	-	-	-
35	23.16	26.47	-	-	-	-
36	14.43	14.87	-	-	-	-
37	40.37	74.00	-	-	-	-
38	37.57	68.50	-	-	-	-
39	26.17	53.87	-	-	-	-
40	43.17	82.83	-	-	-	-
41	39.87	78.37	-	-	-	-
42	58.17	98.60	-	-	-	-
43	80.37	100.00	-	-	-	-
44	72.07	97.20	25.49	-	-	-
45	-	95.49	63.71	-	-	-
46	-	91.53	85.78	-	-	-
47	-	-	78.18	23.74	-	-
48	-	-	90.36	82.33	-	-
49		-	96.88	89.61	25.15	-
50		-	96.88	88.57	69.71	15.07
51		-	94.84	87.83	72.95	48.59
52		-	99.32	93.77	89.25	71.52
1		-	97.95	92.29	87.92	63.78
2		-	97.27	93.77	84.17	56.10
3		-	99.32	94.51	91.46	73.54
4		-	100.00	97.17	93.98	79.26
5		-	-	97.92	95.26	82.47
6		-	-	98.96	96.50	84.63
7		-	-	100	96.50	89.09
8		-	-	-	96.50	84.63
9		-	-	-	96.50	84.63
10		-	-	-	-	84.63
Range	4.02-80.37	5.95-100.00	25.49-100	23.74-100	25.15-96.50	15.07-89.09
Mean±SD	36.78±22.83	68.28±33.02	86.61±21.15	87.73±19.87	84.30±19.87	70.61±20.77

Table 2. FAW larval count on DHM117 maize during 2021-22

Std. Weeks (SMW)	Mean number of larvae per plant					
	1st sowing (2 Aug 2021, 31 SMW)	2nd sowing (17 Aug 2021, 33 SMW)	3rd sowing (23 Oct 2021, 43 SMW)	4th sowing (10 Nov 2021, 45 SMW)	5th sowing (24 Nov 2021, 47 SMW)	6th sowing (4 Dec 2021, 49 SMW)
32	0.13	-	-	-	-	-
33	0.01	-	-	-	-	-
34	0.14	0.06	-	-	-	-
35	0.04	0.16	-	-	-	-
36	0.07	0.09	-	-	-	-
37	0.21	0.41	-	-	-	-
38	0.15	0.34	-	-	-	-
39	0.08	0.32	-	-	-	-
40	0.15	0.39	-	-	-	-
41	0.09	0.34	-	-	-	-
42	0.14	0.43	-	-	-	-
43	0.24	0.56	-	-	-	-
44	0.13	0.40	0.07	-	-	-
45	-	0.13	0.19	-	-	-
46	-	0.02	0.23	-	-	-
47	-		0.05	0.02	-	-
48	-		0.32	0.17	-	-
49	-	-	0.66	0.33	0.11	-
50	-	-	0.55	0.08	0.06	0.03
51	-	-	0.15	0.07	0.04	0.03
52	-	-	0.35	0.23	0.19	0.23
1	-	-	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.07
2	-	-	0.03	0.18	0.08	0.03
3	-	-	0.05	0.20	0.18	0.10
4	-	-	0.01	0.24	0.34	0.31
5	-	-	-	0.23	0.29	0.25
6	-	-	-	0.06	0.28	0.17
7	-	-	-	0.05	0.14	0.15
8	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.08
9	-	-	-	-	0.02	0.06
10	-	-	-	-	-	0.05
Range	0.01-0.24	0.02-0.56	0.01-0.66	0.06-.0.65	0.02-0.34	0.03-0.31
Mean±SD	0.12±0.06	0.28±0.17	0.21±0.19	0.25±0.20	0.15±0.10	0.13±0.09

Table 3. FAW egg masses count on DHM 117 maize during 2021-22

Std. Weeks (SMW)	Mean number of egg masses/ plant					
	1st sowing (2 Aug 2021, 31 SMW)	2nd sowing (17 Aug 2021, 33 SMW)	3rd sowing 2021, 43 SMW)	4th sowing (23 Oct 45 SMW)	5th sowing (10 Nov 2021, (24 Nov 2021, 47 SMW)	6th sowing (4 Dec 2021, 49 SMW)
32	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
33	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
34	0.06	0.01	-	-	-	-
35	0.05	0.01	-	-	-	-
36	0.03	0.00	-	-	-	-
37	0.06	0.02	-	-	-	-
38	0.03	0.01	-	-	-	-
39	0.02	0.00	-	-	-	-
40	0.03	0.09	-	-	-	-
41	0.02	0.01	-	-	-	-
42	0.11	0.12	-	-	-	-
43	0.07	0.18	-	-	-	-
44	0.04	0.17	0.01	-	-	-
45	-	0.13	0.06	-	-	-
46	-	0.05	0.12	-	-	-
47	-	-	0.02	0.01	-	-
48	-	-	0.08	0.09	-	-
49	-	-	0.07	0.15	0.01	-
50	-	-	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.02
51	-	-	0.11	0.02	0.08	0.04
52	-	-	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.03
1	-	-	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.07
2	-	-	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02
3	-	-	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.11
4	-	-	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07
5	-	-	-	0.02	0.02	0.09
6	-	-	-	0.03	0.09	0.05
7	-	-	-	0.01	0.06	0.07
8	-	-	-	-	0.02	0.03
9	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.02
10	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
Range	0.00-0.11	0.00-0.18	0.01-0.12	0.01-0.15	0.01-0.09	0.02-0.11
Mean±SD	0.04±0.03	0.06±0.07	0.06±0.03	0.05±0.04	0.05±0.03	0.05±0.03

4. CONCLUSION

The timing of maize sowing significantly influences the occurrence of FAW on maize. We observed variations in pest population dynamics throughout the growing season, with certain sowing dates experiencing higher and lower levels of incidence. The crop sown on August 2, 2021, was proven to be the best sowing time out of all six sowing dates. These findings highlight the importance of timely sowing dates as a potential management strategy to mitigate FAW damage to the maize. This data could prove valuable in designing pest monitoring systems and modules aimed at promoting sustainable management of FAW.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ramesh M, Tayde AR. Comparative efficacy of selected chemicals and biopesticides against fall army worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize (*Zea mays* L.). Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 2022, Oct 7;34(23):466-70. [cited 2024 May 22]
Available:https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/2051
2. Lal B, Singh D, Bhadauria NS. Nature of damage and its management of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiprda*) on maize crop: A review. J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2023, Dec 11;45(12):1-8. [cited 2024 May 22]
Available:https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2259
3. Dacnet; 2021.
Available: https://eands.dacnet.nic.in [Accessed 20 February 2024]
4. Mantzoukas S, Eliopoulos PA. Endophytic entomopathogenic fungi: A valuable biological control tool against plant pests. Applied Sciences. 2020;10:360.
5. Sisay B, Tefera T, Wakgari M, Ayalew G and Mendesil E. The efficacy of selected synthetic insecticides and botanicals against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* in maize. Insects. 2019;10:45.
6. De Groote H, Kimenju SC, Munyua B, Palmas S, Kassie M, Bruce A. Spread and impact of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* JE Smith) in maize production areas of Kenya. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 2020, Apr 15;292:106804.
7. Day RP, Abrahams M, Bateman T, Beale V, Clottey M, Cock Y, Colmenarez N, Corniani R, Early J, Godwin J, Gomez PG, Moreno ST, Murphy B, Oppong-Mensah N, Phiri C, Pratt G, Richards, Silvestri S, Witt A. Fall armyworm: Impacts and implications for Africa. Outlooks on Pest Management. 2017;28:196-201.
8. Prakash A, Rao J, Mukherjee A K, Berliner J, Pokhare SS, Adak T, Munda S, Shashank PR. Climate change: impact on crop pests; Applied Zoologists Research Association (AZRA), Central Rice Research Institute: Odisha, India; 2014. ISBN 81-900947-2-7.
9. Darshan R. Population dynamics of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) in maize. M.Sc. (Ag.)Thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2020.
10. Canico A, Mexia A, Santos L. Seasonal dynamics of the alien invasive insect pest *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Manica province, central Mozambique. Insects. 2020;11(8):512.
11. Warkad TP, Bhede BV, Shinde GS. Seasonal- variations in fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* and its natural enemies on maize. Journal of Entomological Research. 2021;45(4):702-706.
12. Kumar L. Seasonal incidence and management of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in sweet corn. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh; 2020.
13. Niassy S, Agbodzavu MK, Kimathi E, Mutune B, Abdel- Rahman EFM, Salifu D,

Hailu G, Belayneh YT, Felege E, Tonnang HE, Ekesi S. Bioecology of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith), its management and potential patterns of seasonal spread in Africa. Plos One. 2021;16(6):0249042.

14. Pitre HN, Mulrooney JE, Hogg DB. Fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) oviposition: Crop preferences and egg distribution on plants. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1983;76(3):463-466.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117938